Public Schools Facilities/Capital Outlay



Schools & Learning Council

Public Education Capital Outlay (PECO) Funds for Public Schools

Fact Sheet

January 2008

1.	What is the Public
	Education Capital
	Outlay and Debt Service
	Trust Fund (PECO)?

PECO is a state program that provides funds to school districts from revenue derived from a tax collected on the gross receipts from the sale of utility services. The amount collected each year varies based on economic conditions.

There are two types of PECO funds for school districts: (1) PECO maintenance dollars and (2) PECO new construction dollars.

2. How are PECO maintenance dollars distributed to school districts?

PECO maintenance dollars are distributed to school districts by a formula that is based upon the square footage and age of "satisfactory" school facilities within the district.

School districts can declare facilities "unsatisfactory;" however, when this is done, these facilities are not used in the calculation for PECO maintenance dollars.

3. What is the recent funding history of PECO monies for the maintenance, repair and renovation of existing public facilities?

School				
District	04-05	05-06	06-07	07-08
Alachua	\$3,376,659	\$2,621,255	\$3,354,304	\$3,678,193
Baker	\$443,803	\$340,332	\$436,006	\$481,046
Bay	\$2,078,756	\$1,671,992	\$2,043,230	\$2,379,455
Bradford	\$507,859	\$382,897	\$504,874	\$520,041
Brevard	\$5,928,776	\$4,593,512	\$5,837,748	\$7,626,065
Broward	\$16,605,789	\$13,019,952	\$16,436,213	\$18,570,181
Calhoun	\$307,156	\$238,446	\$297,068	\$313,815
Charlotte	\$1,282,723	\$912,899	\$1,024,518	\$1,066,800
Citrus	\$1,318,842	\$993,319	\$1,279,197	\$1,402,232
Clay	\$2,656,042	\$2,106,819	\$2,778,034	\$3,256,118
Collier	\$2,186,727	\$1,694,502	\$2,192,213	\$2,748,816
Columbia	\$973,898	\$744,982	\$931,382	\$1,066,307
Dade	\$23,562,702	\$18,044,879	\$22,639,564	\$24,866,420
DeSoto	\$426,947	\$336,843	\$408,852	\$550,986
Dixie	\$241,026	\$189,307	\$250,288	\$280,529
Duval	\$11,774,559	\$8,935,566	\$11,297,188	\$12,718,910
Escambia	\$4,215,818	\$3,232,716	\$3,884,910	\$4,275,239
Flagler	\$523,567	\$438,922	\$598,481	\$644,381
Franklin	\$231,813	\$160,913	\$203,386	\$219,642
Gadsden	\$852,401	\$637,999	\$779,242	\$930,872
Gilchrist	\$148,990	\$123,021	\$177,288	\$182,036
Glades	\$162,910	\$124,585	\$157,112	\$172,826
Gulf	\$355,414	\$276,155	\$348,674	\$375,930
Hamilton	\$266,088	\$200,949	\$282,101	\$290,877
Hardee	\$654,683	\$497,413	\$600,650	\$639,670
Hendry	\$576,528	\$491,205	\$626,000	\$748,504
Hernando	\$1,238,909	\$964,669	\$1,206,699	\$1,423,944
Highlands	\$1,130,931	\$883,752	\$1,091,748	\$1,247,978
Hillsborough	\$14,207,694	\$10,768,929	\$13,399,424	\$15,701,999
Holmes	\$308,207	\$222,983	\$281,672	\$324,318
Indian River	\$2,011,624	\$1,089,471	\$1,378,105	\$1,416,682
Jackson	\$826,110	\$640,442	\$799,212	\$847,103
Jefferson	\$297,856	\$217,484	\$264,452	\$283,105
Lafayette	\$97,791	\$77,501	\$103,444	\$113,267
Lake	\$3,149,807	\$1,951,570	\$2,382,594	\$2,654,410

School	04.05	05.00	00.07	07.00
District	04-05	05-06	06-07	07-08
Lee	\$4,691,102	\$3,663,014	\$4,532,370	\$5,549,091
Leon	\$3,368,671	\$2,609,280	\$3,204,804	\$3,402,589
Levy	\$622,860	\$484,827	\$633,713	\$689,340
Liberty	\$161,958	\$126,986	\$162,306	\$185,750
Madison	\$233,529	\$164,515	\$200,263	\$186,196
Manatee	\$2,570,561	\$2,038,353	\$2,308,778	\$2,893,210
Marion	\$3,406,354	\$2,606,491	\$3,345,711	\$3,766,443
Martin	\$1,472,984	\$1,140,092	\$1,386,226	\$1,551,187
Monroe	\$1,166,229	\$898,004	\$1,157,662	\$994,258
Nassau	\$860,472	\$663,151	\$866,278	\$969,888
Okaloosa	\$2,769,012	\$2,132,166	\$2,608,239	\$2,769,136
Okeechobee	\$613,672	\$475,961	\$606,830	\$647,638
Orange	\$12,241,731	\$9,538,239	\$12,269,486	\$12,543,214
Osceola	\$1,875,100	\$1,558,630	\$2,059,611	\$2,451,009
Palm Beach	\$9,470,636	\$7,075,955	\$8,962,000	\$9,412,297
Pasco	\$3,778,361	\$2,975,876	\$3,719,897	\$4,255,416
Pinellas	\$10,921,878	\$8,366,134	\$9,941,127	\$11,100,125
Polk	\$8,724,048	\$6,739,434	\$8,377,705	\$9,386,951
Putnam	\$1,451,703	\$1,115,211	\$1,426,017	\$1,542,524
	\$1,461,894	\$1,147,906	\$1,500,490	\$1,708,723
St. Lucie	\$1,764,004	\$1,374,594	\$1,759,726	\$2,161,878
	\$1,558,282	\$1,201,818	\$1,502,486	\$1,685,377
	\$3,589,035	\$2,739,436	\$3,311,786	\$3,753,644
Seminole	\$3,016,519	\$2,234,546	\$2,870,300	\$3,815,185
Sumter	\$543,879	\$401,214	\$502,728	\$566,317
Suwannee	\$527,739	\$411,989	\$542,427	\$579,347
Taylor	\$433,869	\$299,841	\$364,511	\$391,319
Union	\$270,043	\$208,098	\$267,454	\$289,550
Volusia	\$4,577,767	\$3,451,209	\$4,445,286	\$4,353,117
Wakulla	\$341,714	\$289,759	\$353,773	\$399,243
Walton	\$475,946	\$398,423	\$495,320	\$534,196
Washington	\$433,672	\$338,297	\$433,573	\$467,092
TOTALS	\$194,324,629	\$148,697,630	\$186,394,756	\$209,019,947
1017.20	Ţ.J.;OZ.;OZO	Ţ.10,001,000	7.00,00.,.00	+

4. How are PECO new construction dollars distributed to school districts?

PECO new construction dollars are distributed to school districts using a two-piece formula.

Forty percent of PECO new construction dollars are distributed based upon the average student population in the district over the last four years.

Sixty percent of PECO new construction dollars are distributed based upon the district's growth over the last four years. District growth is calculated as the difference between the most recent historical enrollment as compared to the highest during the previous three years.

5. What is the recent funding history of PECO monies for the construction of new public school facilities?

School District	03-04	05-06	06-07	07-08
Alachua	\$550,627	\$613,697	\$1,802,277	\$2,335,205
Baker	\$111,531	\$216,070	\$642,569	\$466,170
Bay	\$724,677	\$1,226,463	\$2,198,823	\$1,577,657
Bradford	\$180,098	\$76,451	\$163,804	\$235,730
Brevard	\$2,190,729	\$2,087,689	\$2,691,321	\$3,229,770
Broward	\$7,722,916	\$6,161,274	\$10,594,524	\$12,481,755
Calhoun	\$55,974	\$175,706	\$84,805	\$102,132
Charlotte	\$674,673	\$315,989	\$700,462	\$1,411,468
Citrus	\$428,352	\$364,656	\$1,571,927	\$3,111,553
Clay	\$1,621,719	\$1,988,602	\$7,744,075	\$12,639,717
Collier	\$2,594,878	\$2,566,398	\$8,195,911	\$3,662,101
Columbia	\$272,538	\$297,004	\$1,056,521	\$516,655
Dade	\$8,547,337	\$6,644,539	\$14,340,160	\$16,535,048
DeSoto	\$104,453	\$150,225	\$192,084	\$362,060

		Divio	¢20 212	¢20.050	¢107 622	¢200 002
		Dixie Duval	\$38,213 \$3,063,085	\$39,058 \$2,699,241	\$127,633 \$5,251,479	\$200,082 \$5,730,275
		Escambia	\$724,569	\$967,474	\$1,608,359	\$1,956,747
		Flagler	\$825,805	\$1,081,998	\$5,391,259	\$9,477,874
		Franklin	\$23,851	\$23,700	\$49,443	\$53,387
		Gadsden	\$114,793	\$115,276	\$242,763	\$1,064,790
		Gilchrist	\$96,301	\$96,202	\$232,267	\$202,818
		Glades	\$17,591	\$224,009	\$153,634	\$45,917
		Gulf	\$63,720	\$150,204	\$82,779	\$456,326
		Hamilton	\$34,689 \$248.910	\$36,391 \$267,225	\$76,426	\$229,303
		Hardee Hendry	\$278,557	\$207,225	\$309,993 \$292,220	\$764,212 \$351,797
		Hernando	\$1,021,646	\$1,522,679	\$4,756,122	\$6.812.103
		Highlands	\$340,161	\$636,178	\$820.191	\$2,310,115
		Hillsborough	\$11,589,090	\$9,790,631	\$29,157,253	\$14,559,540
		Holmes	\$57,536	\$73,468	\$252,560	\$151,944
		Indian River	\$684,148	\$692,137	\$1,704,432	\$2,171,067
		Jackson	\$204,111	\$443,019	\$619,275	\$1,376,294
		Jefferson	\$38,639	\$29,392	\$59,005	\$66,943
		Lafayette	\$22,134	\$25,179	\$97,999	\$253,606
		Lake	\$2,544,152	\$2,446,516	\$5,567,828	\$12,813,605
		Lee	\$3,392,118 \$732,171	\$4,347,466 \$609,547	\$15,082,086	\$15,309,952 \$3,370,372
		Leon Levy	\$133,886	\$228,811	\$2,722,737 \$226,550	\$3,370,272 \$821,966
		Liberty	\$38,500	\$54,116	\$303,693	\$216,122
		Madison	\$52,152	\$56,099	\$117,854	\$135,928
		Manatee	\$1,711,157	\$2,443,964	\$6,998,157	\$2,020,069
		Marion	\$1,558,389	\$5,059,384	\$5,003,007	6,664,656
		Martin	\$1,191,594	\$454,579	\$1,535,600	\$2,806,140
		Monroe	\$158,142	\$158,505	\$344,015	\$339,891
		Nassau	\$314,553	\$400,724	\$1,347,689	\$2,143,379
		Okaloosa	\$501,576	\$542,162	\$1,437,100	\$1,316,661
		Okeechobee	\$158,284	\$206,124	\$477,334	\$850,313
		Orange	\$6,014,426	\$10,290,391	\$14,555,629	\$8,333,200
		Osceola Palm Beach	\$3,681,454 \$7,292,911	\$3,191,347 \$6,777,810	\$7,717,006 \$7,686,728	\$14,378,672 \$7,612,280
		Pasco	\$3,300,821	\$4,390,550	\$10,335,897	\$15,167,418
		Pinellas	\$3,076,017	\$2,818,753	\$5,847,929	\$5,306,800
		Polk	\$3,970,169	\$6,043,261	\$16,547,443	\$24,963,291
		Putnam	\$239,384	\$291,900	\$469,820	\$547,332
		St. Johns	\$2,038,113	\$1,700,637	\$6,562,748	\$10,794,394
		St. Lucie	\$1,721,173	\$2,782,348	\$7,109,802	\$21,768,670
		Santa Rosa	\$959,425	\$1,076,928	\$1,610,715	\$3,184,074
		Sarasota	\$1,545,980	\$4,679,454	\$7,226,850	\$4,206,005
		Seminole	\$2,288,825	\$2,948,212	\$4,854,277	\$2,929,596
		Sumter	\$150,395	\$143,805	\$263,752	\$252,729
		Suwannee	\$113,073	\$107,740	\$584,862	\$875,762
		Taylor	\$70,549	\$73,462 \$40,464	\$155,775 \$302,007	\$166,428 \$260,781
		Union Volusia	\$36,123 \$1,984,002	\$49,464 \$2,270,330	\$3,950,276	\$260,781 \$4.683.994
		Wakulla	\$1,964,002	\$644,022	\$525,167	\$1,454,202
		Walton	\$364,541	\$267,489	\$1,027,943	\$512,591
		Washington	\$144,393	\$218,948	\$447,627	\$628,890
		TOTALS	\$96,847,475	\$109,778,425	\$242,210,258	\$283,798,224
		Due to a PECO re appropriate PECC	dollars for	new consti	ruction in 20	04-05.
6.	What are the applicable statutes?	Section 9(a)(2), A	rt. XII of the	State Con	stitution P	PECO.
	Cidiatoo i	Sections 1013.64			CO (mainten	ance, repair,
		renovation and ne Section 1013.65, I			CO Funds.	
7.	Where can I get	Florida Departmer	nt of Educat	ion		
		Office of Educatio				
	additional information?		ııaı Facilille	5		
		(850) 245-0494				
		www.firn.edu/doe/	edfacil			
		Florida House of F	Representat	rives		

Public Education Capital Outlay (PECO) Funds for Public Schools

Policy & Budget Council (850) 488-1601	
Florida House of Representatives Schools & Learning Council (850) 488-7451	



Schools & Learning Council

Capital Outlay & Debt Service (CO&DS) Fund for Public Schools

Fact Sheet

1.	What is the Capital Outlay & Debt Service Fund (CO&DS)?	CO&DS is another major state source of capital outlay revenue available to local school districts. This revenue is derived from proceeds from the first sale of motor vehicle license tags. CO&DS funds are provided to school districts in two ways: (1) as net bond proceeds, and/or (2) as direct cash payments. Districts may elect to participate in the annual bond sale. Participation will impact the amount of direct cash payments due to an increased debt service obligation.			
2.	What is the recent school district funding history of	During the 200 funds derived t	6-2007 fiscal y from net bond p	ear, \$34.6 millio proceeds solely	financed
	CO&DS monies derived from net bond proceeds?			eds. The table ng for the last fo	below our fiscal years.
		2003-2004	2004-2005	2005-2006	2006-2007
		\$21.4 million	\$19.9 million	\$16.7 million	\$17.3 million
3.	What is the recent	community col	lege participation	are caused by s on levels and bo ear, \$17.3 millio	onding capacity.
ا.	funding history of				school districts,
	CO&DS monies provided				eds. The table
	to school districts as direct cash payments?	below illustrate years.	es school distric	t funding for the	e last four fiscal
		2003-2004	2004-2005	2005-2006	2006-2007
		\$14.2 million	\$16.3 million	\$16.7 million	\$17.3 million
4 . 5 .	For what purposes can CO&DS monies be used? What are the applicable	According to Section 9(d), Art. XII of the State Constitution, CO&DS funds must be used to acquire, build, construct, alter, remodel, improve, enlarge, furnish, equip, maintain, renovate, or repair school district capital outlay projects that have been approved by the school board pursuant to the most recent educational plant survey. Section 9(d), Art. XII of the State Constitution CO&DS.			
3.	statutes and rules?	Section 320.20 Section1013.3 Facilities Plan.), F.S Dispos 5, F.S Schoo 69, F.S Full E	sition of License of District Educa Bonding Require	e Tax Moneys. ational

Capital Outlay & Debt Service (CO&DS) Fund for Public Schools

		Florida Department of Education
		"State Requirements for Educational Facilities," Volume 1,
		Chapters 1 and 2, January 2000
		Chapters I and 2, January 2000
		Rule 6A-2.0010, F.A.C Educational Facilities.
6.	Where can I get	Florida Department of Education
	additional information?	Office of Educational Facilities
	additional information:	(850) 245-0494
		,
		http://www.firn.edu/doe/edfacil
		Florida House of Representatives
		Policy & Budget Council
		(850) 488-1601
		(000) 700-1001
		Florida House of Representatives
		Schools & Learning Council
		(850) 488-7451



Schools & Learning Council Special Facility Construction Account

Fact Sheet

1.	What is the Special Facility Construction Account?	The Special Facility Construction Account is funded with Public Education Capital Outlay (PECO) dollars and provides construction funds to school districts that have urgent construction needs but lack sufficient resources and cannot reasonably anticipate sufficient resources within three years in order to fund these construction needs. Typically, small, rural school districts qualify for this funding because their property tax values are too low to fund a new construction project.			
2.	Are there limits on the number of construction projects a school district may receive funding for from the Special Facility Construction Account?	Yes. A school district is not eligible to receive funding from the Special Facility Construction Account for more than one construction project during any three-year period.			
3.	Does a school district have to meet certain criteria in order to receive funds from the Special Facility Construction Account?	 Yes. A school district must meet several criteria in order to receive funds from the Special Facility Construction Account, including: The construction project must be deemed a critical need and must be recommended for funding by the Special Facility Construction Committee. The construction project must be recommended in the most recent educational plant survey. The construction project must appear on the district's approved project priority list. The school board must have adopted a facilities list for the project that is in accordance with the State Requirements for Educational Facilities. The school board must sign an agreement that it will advertise for bids within 30 days of receipt of its encumbrance authorization. A contract must be signed 90 days after the advertising of bids, unless an additional 90 days has been granted by the Commissioner of Education. The total cost per student station of the facility under construction must not exceed the cost per student station prescribed in law and adjusted annually by the Consumer Price Index (approximately \$18,323 per elementary school student station; \$25,702 per high school student station as of January 2007). The school district must levy two mills against its 			

		nonexempt assessed property value and pledge 1.5 mills for three years toward the project; OR the district may pledge the equivalent amount of voter approved half-cent sales tax revenue to the project. The school district must have the Department of Education certify the school district's inability to fund the survey recommended construction project over a continuous three-year period using projected capital outlay revenue.				
		The district must three year com 1.5 mill, PECO Final phase III i	st adopt a resolution a mitment of all unencu and CO&DS revenue must be certified by the n compliance with the	umbered and future e. he school board as		
4.	What is the history of the	Since the 1981-198	32 fiscal year, the De			
	Special Facility		hat the Special Facili d 64 separate school			
	Construction Account?	projects totaling ov	er \$830.1 million. Ap	proximately 68% of		
			s been funded by the			
		approximately 32% of the total amount has been funded by local school districts.				
5.	Did the Legislature appropriate funds from the Special Facility Construction Account for the 2007-2008 fiscal year?	Yes. The Legislature appropriated \$24.9 million from the Special Facility Construction Account in order to fund three school district construction projects during the 2007-2008 fiscal year:				
	the 2007-2000 histor year :	School District Amount of Funds Type of New School to be Built				
		Franklin County	\$11,000,000	K-12 School		
		Levy County	\$200,000	6-12 School		
		Wakulla County	\$13,794,701	Pre-K-5 School		
6.	What are the applicable statutes and rules?	Section 1013.64(2) Account.	, F.S Special Faci	lity Construction		
		Educational Faciliti	t of Education "State es," Volume 1, Chap	•		
7.	Where can I get additional information?	Florida Department of Education Office of Educational Facilities, (850) 245-0494 http://www.firn.edu/doe/edfacil				
		Florida House of Representatives Policy & Budget Council (850) 488-1601				
		Florida House of R Schools & Learning (850) 488-7451	•			



Schools and Learning Council Classrooms First Program

Fact Sheet

1.	What is the Classrooms First Lottery Bond Program?	As part of the SMART Schools Act of 1997, the Legislature established a 20-year lottery-bonding program (Classrooms First) designed to provide approximately \$2 billion in bonded lottery funds to school districts for the construction of permanent classrooms. All 67 school districts receive a portion of these funds based upon a modified PECO distribution formula.
		Under this program, school districts were required to build permanent classrooms first. The intent of this program is to fund new student stations. <i>After</i> a school district has met its need for new classroom space, these funds may be used for major repairs, the renovation or remodeling of existing facilities, or the replacement of relocatables with permanent classrooms. The funds were <i>not</i> authorized for the purchase of more relocatables.
2.	How do school districts receive these dollars?	When the Classrooms First Program was initiated, school districts elected to receive these dollars as annual cash payments or as bond proceeds. The only way for a school district to receive these funds as annual cash payments was for the school board and superintendent to certify to the Commissioner of Education that they had no need for additional new classrooms. Six school districts (Calhoun, Flagler, Franklin, Glades, Jefferson, and Taylor) certified that they had no need for new classrooms and are receiving these funds as cash payments.
3.	How much Classrooms First funding has been provided to school districts since the 1997 Special Session?	The program is almost completed. As of June 30, 2007, over \$1.9 billion in Classrooms First awards have been disbursed to school districts.
4.	What are the applicable statutes?	Section 24.121(2), F.S Allocation of lottery revenues and expenditure of funds for public education. Section 1013.68, F.S Classrooms First Program.
5.	Where can I get additional information?	Florida Department of Education Office of Educational Facilities (850) 245-0494 Florida House of Representatives Schools and Learning Council (850)488-7451



Schools & Learning Council Two-Mill Money

Fact Sheet

1.	What is "two-mill" money?	"Two-mill" money is a statutorily authorized discretionary levy of ad valorem property tax that districts may levy without voter approval. A district may bond up to 75% of its two-mill money to purchase certificates of participation (COPS) — a type of construction debt instrument used to finance school construction.				
2.	What is a "mill"?	One mill represents a 1/1000 (.001) tax on property. In other words, a one-mill levy provides \$1 in tax revenue for every \$1,000 in taxable property. One mill levied against property valued at \$100,000 would				
4.	Do all school districts levy the full two mills of ad valorem property taxes in order to raise local capital outlay revenues? Which districts do not levy the full two mills?	generate \$100 in property tax revenue. No. School districts have the option, but are not required, to levy up to two mills of ad valorem property taxes in order to raise local capital outlay revenues. In the 2007-2008 fiscal year, 52 districts levied the full two mills, 13 districts levied between 0.400 and 1.938 mills, and 2 districts did not have a two mill levy. The following districts did not levy the full two mills during the 2007-2008 fiscal year: Bay, DeSoto, Gulf, Madison, Monroe, Nassau, Okaloosa, Orange, Pasco, Pinellas, Santa Rosa, Sumter, and Walton. The following districts did not have a two mill levy: Holmes and Jackson. The table below summarizes the estimated amount of				
		revenue generated by school districts that levied up to two mills of ad valorem property taxes for the 2005-2006 fiscal year. School District 2007-08 Actual Mills Levied Alachua 2.000 24,411,669 Revenue (\$) from Mills Levied Alachua 2.000 24,411,669				
		Baker 2.000 1,572,127 Bay 1.000 18,184,061 Bradford 2.000 1,717,421 Brevard 2.000 77,862,713 Broward 2.000 336,386,172 Calhoun 2.000 705,189 Charlotte 2.000 44,992,249 Citrus 2.000 23,538,861 Clay 2.000 20,260,499 Collier 2.000 157,420,206				

School District	2007-2008	2007-2008 Estimated
	Actual Mills	Revenue (\$) from Mills
Oalimakia	Levied	Levied
Columbia	2.000	5,042,481
DeSoto	1.500	2,64,523
Dixie	2.000	1,237,735
Duval	2.000	116,298,420
Escambia	2.000	30,297,924
Flagler	2.000	23,430,000
Franklin	2.000	7,815,449
Gadsden	2.000	2,736,173
Gilchrist	2.000	1,332,708
Glades	2.000	1,413,675
Gulf	0.400	1,042,481
Hamilton	2.000	1,365,930
Hardee	2.000	3,373,576
Hendry	2.000	5,382,303
Hernando	2.000	21,579,306
Highlands	2.000	13,004,542
Hillsborough	2.000	167,262,877
Holmes	0.000	0
Indian River	2.000	34,999,136
Jackson	0.000	0
Jefferson	2.000	1,168,317
Lafayette	2.000	458,819
Lake	2.000	42,804,928
Lee	2.000	183,723,928
Leon	2.000	31,162,873
Levy	2.000	4,639,219
Liberty	2.000	503,719
Madison	0.640	442,570
Manatee	2.000	65,604,083
Marion	2.000	42,584,007
Martin	2.000	43,236,452
Miami-Dade	2.000	470,142,359
Monroe	0.500	13,775,332
Nassau	1.810	14,398,136
Okaloosa	1.938	34,943,128
Okeechobee	2.000	4,769,766
Orange	1.500	153,512,782
Osceola	2.000	50,451,704
Palm Beach	2.000	323,435,359
Pasco	1.500	42,363,888
Pinellas	1.850	140,902,021
Polk	2.000	67,179,518
Putnam	2.000	7,937,483
St. Johns	2.000	46,900,764
St. Lucie	2.000	48,843,009
Santa Rosa	1.400	12,572,709
Sarasota	2.000	119,101,977
Seminole	2.000	64,081,232
Sumter	1.880	10,313,642
Suwannee	2.000	3,310,228
Taylor	2.000	2,646,676
Union	2.000	469,170
Volusia	2.000	78,482,976
Wakulla	2.000	2,990,125
Walton	1.301	21,814,498

		Washington	2.000	2,093,536
		TOTALS	2.000	3,301,041,996
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
5.	How much revenue will two-mill money generate during the 2007-2008 fiscal year?	In the 2007-2008 fiscal money will provide an outlay revenues to sch	estimated \$3.3	
6.	For what purposes can two-mill revenue be used?	 and repair of schoole Purchase, lease, of educational facilities related to the delivity Rental or lease of these buildings for opening day colleschool. Purchase, lease, of Servicing payments Participation issue A school board may use costs, provided the schunqualified opinion on preceding 3 years, has instances of material number the preceding 3 years, Education that all of the for the next 5 years can that the district reasonate next 5 years or from all leasing, rezoning, or the exhibit sound manager. Drivers' education maintenance or ope security vehicles, of distributing materials. Payment of the cocasualty insurance. 	ovation, remode of facilities. Or lease-purchates, and construitery of student existing building the state of	eling, maintenance, ase of equipment, action materials directly instruction. Ings or for conversion of tional facilities. In media center of a new ase of school buses; or extificates of ose prior to 1997. In ey for certain other is received an atements for the eaknesses or noted in an audit for on the Commissioner of fructional space needs capital outlay sources or receive during the duling of construction, ethodologies that osts include: or vehicles used for the lats and equipment, and in storing or ment. Is for property and insure school district operating revenues the payment of premiums may be operational
7.	Can voters have a portion of their property taxes reduced if they approve a local sales tax surcharge	Yes. Voters may approorder to raise capital or may pass a resolution two mill levy while the	utlay revenues to include a co	and the school board venant to reduce the

	through a referendum?	effect. (Refer to School Capital Outlay Surtax Fact Sheet.)
		For example, in September 2002, Orange County voters approved the levy of a ½ cent sales surtax for 13 years in order to raise capital outlay revenues under the condition that a ½ mill of ad valorem property taxes is reduced while the ½ cent sales tax surcharge is in effect.
8.	What are the applicable statutes?	Section 1011.71(2), F.S Non-Voted Two-Mill Levy of Ad Valorem Property Taxes.
	statutes?	Section 1011.71(5)(a), F.S Uses for two-mill money. Section 1011.71(5)(b), F.S Schedule for use of two-mill money in capital budget. Section 1011.715, F.S Resolution regarding school capital outlay surcharge.
9.	Where can I get	Florida Department of Education Office of Educational Facilities
	additional information?	(850) 245-0494
		www.firn.edu/doe/edfacil
		Florida House of Representatives
		Policy & Budget Council (850) 488-1601
		Florida House of Representatives Schools & Learning Council (850) 488-7451



Schools & Learning Council School Capital Outlay Surtax (half-cent sales tax)

Fact Sheet

		T			
1.	What is the half-cent	Section 212.05	5(6), F.S., provi	des for the Sc	hool Capital
	sales tax?	Outlay Surtax -	- more common	ly known as th	ne school half-
		cent sales tax.	This tax may be	levied by a so	chool board
			le vote of the ele		
			d may not excee	_	, a
2.	How many school		e most recent in		ilahle 19
۷.		_	have held local		
	districts have held local				
	referendums in order to		sales surtax that		•
	assess a half-cent sales		s. The table belo		
	tax?		ive held local ref	•	
		· ·	and amounts of s		vere
		considered thro	ough the referen	dums.	
			ľ	-	
				Amount of	Estimated
		School	Result of	Potential	Revenue
		District	Referendum	Surtax	2007-2008
		Bay	Passed (1998)	.5 percent	\$12.5 million
1		DeSoto	Failed (1995)	.5 percent	\$19.8 million
		Escambia Gulf	Passed (1997) Passed (1996)	.5 percent	\$0.6 million
l		Hernando	Passed (1998)	.5 percent	\$14.3 million
		Hillsborough	Failed (1995)	.5 percent	ψ 1 1.0 11mmσ11
		Jackson	Passed (1996)	.5 percent	\$1.9 million
		Lake	Failed (1999)	.5 percent	·
		Leon	Passed (2002)	.5 percent	\$20 million
		Manatee	Passed (2002)	.5 percent	\$24 million
		Marion	Passed (2005)	.5 percent	\$22.1 million
		Monroe	Passed (1995)	.5 percent	\$13.2 million
		Orange	Passed (2002)	.5 percent	\$183.5 million
		Palm Beach	Passed (2005)	.5 percent	\$114 million
		Polk Santa Rosa	Passed (2003) Passed (1997)	.5 percent	\$36 million \$6.3 million
l		St. Lucie	Passed (1997)	.5 percent	\$10 million
		Volusia	Passed (2001)	.5 percent	\$37.3 million
		TOTAL	,		\$515 million
			1	•	
		Overall, the vot	ters in 15 school	l districts have	approved
			ms in order to a		
			sed to raise cap		
3.	For what purposes can		212.055(6), F.S		
٥.	half-cent sales tax		be used for fixed		
			ssociated with th	•	
	revenues be used?	1 -	or improvement		
			•		
			have a useful lif		
			land acquisition		
		_	g costs associat	ted with such 1	racilities and
ł		campuses.			

4.	Can voters approve a half- cent sales tax surcharge under the condition that a portion of their property taxes is reduced?	Yes. Under current law, local voters have the option of approving a half-cent sales tax surcharge in order to raise capital outlay revenues under the condition that a portion of the two mills of ad valorem property taxes used for capital outlay is reduced while the ½ cent sales tax surcharge is in effect. (Refer to Two-Mill Money Fact Sheet.)
		For example, in September 2002, Orange County voters approved the levy of a half-cent sales surtax for 13 years in order to raise capital outlay revenues under the condition that a half-mill of ad valorem property taxes is reduced while the half-cent sales tax surcharge is in effect.
5.	What are the applicable statutes?	Section 212.055(6), F.S School Capital Outlay Surtax. Section 1011.715, F.S Resolution regarding school capital outlay surcharge.
6.	Where can I get additional information?	Florida Department of Education Office of Educational Facilities (850) 245-0494 www.firn.edu/doe/edfacil Florida House of Representatives Policy & Budget Council (850) 488-1601 Florida House of Representatives Schools & Learning Council (850) 488-7451



Schools & Learning Council Local Government Infrastructure Surtax

Fact Sheet

January 2008

- 1. What is the Local Government Infrastructure Surtax?
- Section 212.055(2), F.S., provides for the Local Government Infrastructure Surtax. The governing authority in each county may levy this .5% or 1% sales tax after a favorable vote of the electorate through a local referendum. School districts may participate in the tax proceeds with the consent of the county governing authority.
- 2. How many counties have held local referendums in order to assess a local government infrastructure surtax in which a portion of the funds is to be used for school construction?

According to the most recent information available, twenty-two counties have held local referendums since 1986 in order to assess a local government infrastructure sales surtax that is partially used to raise school capital outlay revenues. The table below identifies the counties that have held local referendums, results of the referendums, and the estimated funds that will be received in 2006-2007.

	Result of	Estimated 2006-
School District	Referendum	2007 Receipt
Broward County	Failed (1995)	
Charlotte County	Passed (1995)	\$22.4 million
Clay County	Passed (1998)	\$1.7 million
Escambia County	Passed (1992)	\$31 million
Flagler County	Passed (2003)	\$2.5 million
Glades County	Passed (1992)	\$0.4 million
Highlands County	Passed (1989)	\$8.75 million
Hillsborough County	Passed (1996)	\$27 million
Indian River County	Passed (1989)	\$3.1 million
Lake County	Passed (2001)	\$11.5 million
Leon County	Passed (1989)	\$4 million
Martin County	Passed (1996)	\$16.8 million
Monroe County	Passed (1989)	\$15.8 million
Okaloosa County	Passed (1995)	Expired in 1999
Osceola County	Passed (1999)	\$10.3 million
Pasco County	Passed (2004)	\$21 million
Pinellas County	Passed (1989)	school share expired in 2006
Putnam County	Passed (2003)	\$5.6 million
Sarasota County	Passed (1989)	\$16.5 million
Seminole County	Passed (1991)	\$18.6 million
Taylor County	Passed (1999)	\$2.2 million
Wakulla County	Passed (1987)	\$2.1 million
TOTAL		\$221.25 million

Overall, twenty-one counties have approved local referendums in order to assess a local government infrastructure sales surtax that is used to raise capital outlay revenues.

3. For what purposes may the local government infrastructure tax

Section 212.055(2), F.S., provides that a county may distribute proceeds of the revenues generated from the local government infrastructure sales surtax to the school district for any fixed capital expenditure or fixed capital

Local Government Infrastructure Surtax

	revenues be used?	outlay costs associated with the construction, reconstruction, or improvement of public facilities that have a life expectancy of five or more years, and any land acquisition, land improvement, design, and engineering costs associated with such public facilities.
4.	What are the applicable statutes?	Section 212.055(2), F.S Local Government Infrastructure Surtax.
5.	Where can I get additional information?	Florida Department of Education Office of Educational Facilities (850) 245-0494 www.firn.edu/doe/edfacil Florida House of Representatives Policy & Budget Council (850) 488-1601 Florida House of Representatives Schools & Learning Council (850) 488-7451



Schools & Learning Council Bond Referendum

Fact Sheet

1.	What is a bond	A bond referendum	is a school district e	lection that allows
	referendum?	the voters to decide	whether or not the	school district
		should issue bonds	for the purpose of g	enerating school
			. Bonds are repaid v	•
		revenues.		The property text
2.	How many school		6 fiscal year, 19 sch	ool districts have
	districts have approved		d referendums in ord	
			y needs. The table b	
	local bond referendums		•	•
	in order to fund school	local bond referend	f the school districts	triat riave approved
	district capital outlay	local bollo reference	ums.	
	needs?	School District	Fiscal Year	Amount of
		School District	riscai i eai	Bonds
		Alachua County	1988-1989	\$100.0 million
		Broward County	1986-1987	\$317.0 million
		Charlotte County	1987-1988	\$37.0 million
		Duval County	1986-1987	\$199.0 million
		Flagler County	1988-1989	\$19.3 million
		Hernando County	1987-1988	\$44.0 million
		Indian River County	1990-1991	\$61.4 million
		Leon County	1987-1988	\$86.1 million
		Marion County	1986-1987	\$60.0 million
		Miami-Dade County	1987-1988	\$980.0 million
		Osceola County	1986-1987	\$40.7 million
		Palm Beach County	1986-1987	\$317.0 million
		Pasco County	1986-1987	\$62.0 million
		Putnam County	1986-1987	\$22.0 million
		St. Johns County	1988-1989	\$47.0 million
		St. Lucie County	1995-1996	\$60.0 million
		Seminole County	1985-1986	\$105.0 million
		Volusia County	1985-1986	\$112.0 million
		Wakulla County	1994-1995	\$8.0 million
		TOTAL		\$2.68 billion
		O		
			ssued total \$2.68 bil	
			district capital outla	
3.	For what purposes can	Current law authorize	zes school boards to	propose the
	bond referendum	issuance of bonds f	or the purpose of ac	quiring, building,
	revenues be used?	enlarging, furnishing	g, or improving build	ings or school
	iovoliuos so useu:		ic schools within the	•
			required to submit a	
			cation (DOE) specify	
			It would be generate	
			E approves the reso	
		board is authorized	to hold a bond refer	endum election.
1				

Bond Referendum

4.	What are the applicable statutes?	Sections 1010.40-1010.59, F.S School District Bonds.
5.	Where can I get additional information?	Florida Department of Education Office of Educational Facilities (850) 245-0494 Florida House of Representatives Policy & Budget Council (850) 488-1601
		Florida House of Representatives Schools & Learning Council (850) 488-7451



Schools & Learning Council School Concurrency

Fact Sheet

1.	What is concurrency?	Concurrency is a growth management procedure designed to have necessary public facilities and services available concurrent with the impacts of development.
2.	How does Florida's	Under 2005 Growth Management legislation (Ch. 2005-
	growth management	290, L.O.F.), county governments must adopt school
	policy coordinate with the	concurrency by December 2008. The purpose for
	planning of educational	concurrency is to require the coordination of planning
	facilities?	between district school boards and local governing bodies
		so that public educational facilities are facilitated and
		coordinated in time and place with plans for residential
	NA/Is at a selection of the selection of	development.
3.	What role does local	All planning for school concurrency must be adopted and
	government play in the	approved by each county and all municipalities that are located in that county unless they are eligible for a waiver
	planning process for	or an exemption. The local planning agency prepares a
	educational facilities?	comprehensive plan for the governing body to adopt. The
		comprehensive plan, which is intended to guide local
		governments in their land use decision-making, is required
		by law to include certain elements.
		Provisions in the 2005 Growth Management legislation that
		affect educational facilities and elements of the
		comprehensive plan include the following requirements specifying that local governments must:
		Adopt a Public Schools Facilities Element into its
		comprehensive plan.
		Update existing public school interlocal agreements and
		the Intergovernmental Coordination Element of the
		comprehensive plan to include coordinated procedures
		for implementing school concurrency.
		Establish a financially feasible Public Schools Capital
		Facilities Program and adopt level-of-service standards
		that establish maximum permissible school utilization
		rates. The program and the rates must be included in an
		amended Capital Improvements Element of the
		comprehensive plan.
		"Financial feasibility" means that committed financing for
		capital improvements to school facilities must be currently
		available for the first three years, or will be available for
		years four and five, of a five-year capital improvement
		schedule. In 2007, the Legislature adopted legislation (Ch.
		2007-204, L.O.F.), which provides that a school district may
		instead use a period of 10 or 15 years if it has adopted a

		7
		long-term transportation and school concurrency
		management system. This legislation further:
		Provided that a comprehensive plan will satisfy the
		financial feasibility requirement for school facilities, even
		if level-of-service standards are not met in a particular
		year, as long as these standards are met by the end of
		the planning period used in the capital improvement
		schedule.
		Extended the deadline for a local government to submit
		an annual update of its Capital Improvements Element,
		which demonstrates that it is maintaining a financially
		feasible schedule of capital improvements, from
		December 1, 2007 to December 1, 2008.
4.	What are the	School districts are responsible for the planning of all
	responsibilities of school	school facilities in conjunction with county and municipal
	districts regarding the	planners. The school district is responsible for adoption of
	planning for educational	an annually updated, five-year educational facilities plan
	facilities?	that is developed in coordination with the local government,
		consistent with the local comprehensive plan, and
		financially feasible. Additional school district responsibilities
		relating to educational facilities include:
		Providing for the membership of an elected school
		board member on the regional planning council.
		Consideration of existing and anticipated site needs
		and the most economical and practicable locations of
		schools before acquiring property.
5.	What does the interlocal	The 2005 Growth Management legislation required existing
	agreement address?	public school interlocal agreements to be updated. The
		interlocal agreement between the school district and the
		local government must address:
		Projections of growth and enrollment; Figure 2 and planted multiple calculations.
		Existing and planned public school facilities; School facility site evaluation and approval before land.
		School facility site evaluation and approval before land acquisition:
		acquisition;Need and timing of off-site improvements;
		District for 1941 and consider a second selection of the second s
		 District facilities work program and plant survey; Coordination;
		Joint use of facilities;
		Dispute resolution;
		Oversight; and
		Communication on school capacity issues.
		2 Stillianication on control capacity location.
		Planning for the interlocal agreement must include the
		following:
		Consideration of allowing students to attend the school
		located nearest their homes when a new housing
		development is constructed;
		Consideration of the effects of the location of public
		education facilities, including the feasibility of keeping

6.	What is needed to enact the Public Educational Facilities Element?	central city facilities viable in order to encourage central city redevelopment; and Consultation with state and local road departments to assist in implementing the Safe Paths to Schools Program administered by the Department of Transportation. The purpose of this program is to ensure the planning and construction of safe bicycle and pedestrian pathways for children to schools and parks. The Public Educational Facilities Element is no longer optional under the 2005 Growth Management legislation. Each municipality in the district must adopt a consistent Public Educational Facilities Element unless the municipality is exempt. Issues that this element must address include: Deficiencies in school capacity; Adequate capacity, including level-of-service standards and service areas; Options for proportionate-share mitigation; Adequate infrastructure for existing and proposed schools; Collocation of other public facilities, such as parks, libraries, and community centers, in proximity to public schools; Location of schools proximate to residential areas, including using elementary schools as focal points for neighborhoods; Use of public schools as emergency shelters; and Capacity of existing and planned public schools when reviewing comprehensive plan amendments and rezonings that are likely to increase residential
		development and that are reasonably expected to have an impact on the demand for public school facilities.
7.	Under what	Legislation adopted in 2007 (Ch. 2007-204, L.O.F.)
•	circumstances may a	provides that if inadequate classroom capacity would
	residential development	preclude a development from commencing, the
	commence where	development may nevertheless commence if:
	classroom capacity is	The approved Capital Improvement Element contains
	inadequate to	accelerated facilities that are scheduled for
	accommodate the new	construction in year four or later of the plan and such facilities will mitigate the impact of the proposed
	development?	development on school capacity when built; or
		Accelerated facilities are provided for in the next
		annual update of the Capital Facilities Element and the
		developer and school district have entered into a
		binding, financially guaranteed agreement that the
		developer will construct the accelerated facility within
		the first three years of the plan, and the cost of the
		school facility is equal to or greater than the

		development's proportionate share. The developer receives impact fee credits when the completed school facility is conveyed to the school district.
8.	What are the applicable statutes?	Section 163.3174(1), F.S. – Local planning agency. Section 163.3177(6)(a),(h), F.S. – Elements of comprehensive plan. Section 163.31777, F.S. – Public schools interlocal agreement. Section 163.3180(13), F.S. – Concurrency. Section 163.3187(1)(j)&(l), F.S. – Amendment of adopted comprehensive plan. Section 186.504, F.S. – Regional planning councils; membership. Section 1013.31, F.S. – Educational plant survey. Section 1013.33, F.S. – Coordination with local government. Section 1013.35, F.S. – Educational facilities plan. Sections 1013.355 - 1013.357, F.S. – Educational facilities benefit districts. Section 1013.36, F.S. – Site planning and selection. Rule 9J-5.025, F.A.C. – Public School Facilities Element for Public School Concurrency
9.	Where can I get additional information?	Florida Department of Education Office of Educational Facilities (850) 245-0494 Department of Community Affairs Division of Community Planning State Initiatives Administrator (850) 487-4545 Florida House of Representatives Schools & Learning Council (850) 488-7451