

Finance and Tax Committee

Monday, February 29, 2016 2:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m. Morris Hall

MEETING PACKET

The Florida House of Representatives

Finance and Tax Committee



Steve Crisafulli Speaker Matt Gaetz Chair

AGENDA

February 29, 2016 2:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m. Morris Hall

- I. Call to Order/Roll Call
- II. Chair's Opening Remarks
- III. Consideration of the following bill(s):
 HB 1019 Tax-and-surcharge-free Cigarettes by Goodson
 HJR 7113 Voter Control of Gambling Expansion in Florida by Regulatory Affairs Committee,
 Diaz, J.
- IV. Consideration of the following proposed committee substitute(s): PCS for HB 7109 -- Gaming
- Closing Remarks and Adjournment

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 1019

Tax-and-surcharge-free Cigarettes

SPONSOR(S): Goodson

TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1558

REFERENCE	ACTION ANALYST		STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF		
1) Business & Professions Subcommittee	9 Y, 0 N	Butler	Anstead		
2) Finance & Tax Committee		Dugan RD	Langston		
3) Regulatory Affairs Committee			, ,		

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Prior to 2009, recognized Indian tribes in Florida were permitted to sell tax-free cigarettes to tribal and nontribal members on reservations in Florida. When the \$1 per package surcharge was added in Florida in 2009, the tax free tribe program was discontinued and replaced with a process whereby tribes were provided coupons to sell tax-free cigarettes to tribal members only. Currently, a tribe receives "Indian tax-and-surcharge-exemption coupons" in an amount that is based on the probable demand of the tribal members and calculated by multiplying the number of tribal members times five packs of cigarettes times 365.

The bill provides that tribes may use excess "Indian-tax-and-surcharge-exemption coupons." beyond the number of cigarettes demanded by tribal members, for the sale of tax-and-surcharge-free cigarettes to nontribal members for purchases made on the reservation.

The number of Indian-tax-and-surcharge-exemption coupons given to a tribe is not increased by this bill, and thus, the number of Indian-tax-and-surcharge-free cigarettes sold by tribes is still limited by the number of exemption coupons currently being provided to the tribes.

The Revenue Estimating Conference determined the bill is not expected to have a fiscal impact to state or local governments.

The bill has an effective date of July 1, 2016.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h1019b.FTC.DOCX

DATE: 2/28/2016

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Current Situation

The Department of Business and Professional Regulation (Department) licenses and regulates certain businesses and professionals in Florida. It is structured to include separate divisions and various professional boards responsible for carrying out the Department's mission to license efficiently and regulate fairly. The Division of Alcoholic Beverage and Tobacco (Division) within the Department is responsible for the enforcement of ch. 569, F.S., regulating tobacco products.

The Division oversees the collection of excise taxes from the sale of cigarettes and other tobacco products. Part I, ch. 210, F.S., consisting of ss. 210.01-210.22, F.S., provides for the taxation of cigarettes. Part II, ch. 210, F.S., consisting of ss. 210.25-210.75, F.S., provides for the taxation of tobacco products other than cigarettes and cigars.

Cigarette Excise Tax and Surcharge Collection

In Florida, a cigarette surcharge is levied on each cigarette and pack of cigarettes; the surcharge varies based on the weight and length of the cigarettes or the quantity of cigarettes in a package. This surcharge ranges from 50 cents to \$4 per pack, with a \$1 surcharge assessed on the most common pack of cigarettes (packs containing more than 10 but less than 20 cigarettes).

Florida also charges an excise tax, which varies based on the weight and length of the cigarettes or the quantity of cigarettes in a package. This tax ranges from 16.95-cents to 135.6-cents per pack, with a 33.9-cent tax on the standard 20-cigarette pack.

A tax stamp must be affixed to the cigarette package, as evidence that the excise tax has been paid, before the cigarettes can be offered for sale in this state.³ Dealers and manufacturers who are authorized by the Division to affix the stamps to packages will purchase the stamps at the value of the tax and surcharge, and pass along the cost to vendors. ⁴ If a stamped cigarette is sold and shipped to another state, or is damaged and unfit for sale, the manufacturer or dealer may receive a refund of the tax and surcharge. ⁵

Exemption from Cigarette Taxes for Recognized Indian Tribes

In 1979 the Legislature granted to the Seminole Tribe of Florida the authority to sell tax-free cigarettes on reservations to the public from reservation cigarette sellers. At that time, there was only a \$0.339 excise tax. When the \$1 surcharge was added by Chapter 2009-79, Laws of Florida, the tax-free tribe program was discontinued, and instead, another process was established whereby recognized tribes in Florida were provided a coupon for tax-free cigarettes to sell to their tribal members only.

¹ s. 210.011, F.S.

² Id

³ ss. 210.05 & 210.06, F.S.

⁴ *Id*.

⁵ s. 210.11, F.S.

⁶ Currently, the state recognized the Seminole and Miccosukee Tribes

⁷ Ch. 2009-79, Laws of Fla.

The Division provides "Indian tax-and-surcharge-exemption coupons" (coupons) to each recognized tribe in Florida in an amount that is based on the probable demand of the tribal members and calculated by multiplying the number of members of the tribe times five packs of cigarettes times 365.8

The state provides the tribe with coupons quarterly, and the tribe's governing body distributes the coupons to reservation cigarette sellers. The reservation cigarette sellers present the coupons to a wholesale dealer in order to purchase tax-exempt cigarettes. Wholesale dealers are required to submit documentation to the Division to claim a refund on any taxes paid for tax-exempt cigarettes sold to tribes. A tribal member may purchase exempt cigarettes from a reservation cigarette seller if such cigarettes have an affixed cigarette-tax-and-surcharge stamp.

Currently a nontribal member is not exempt from paying the cigarette tax or surcharge when purchasing within the state, regardless of whether the purchase is made on a reservation.

Effect of the Bill

The bill provides that a tribe may use excess coupons for the sale of tax-and-surcharge-free cigarettes to nontribal members in purchases made on the reservation. The bill does not provide a method for determining the number of excess coupons.

The bill does not increase the number of coupons given to the governing body of a tribe, and thus, the number of tax-and-surcharge-free cigarettes sold by a tribe is limited by the number of coupons already being provided to the tribe.

The bill has an effective date of July 1, 2016.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 Amends s. 210.1801, F.S., to provide that excess Indian-tax-and-surcharge-exemption coupons may be used by a recognized Indian tribe when selling cigarettes to nontribal members on the reservation.

Section 2 Provides an effective date.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

⁸ s. 210.1801, F.S. Currently there are approximately 4,000 members of the Seminole Tribe, which places the number of coupons provided to the Seminole Tribe of Florida's governing body at approximately 7,300,000 coupons. The approximate retail value of these coupons is \$9,774,700.

⁹ s. 210.1801, F.S.

 $[\]int_{10}^{10} Id$.

^{11 11}

	_		
2	Expe	_ ~!:4	
,		W 111	11120
∠.		IUIL	ui Co.

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The amount of the cigarette tax and surcharge in Florida is currently approximately \$1.34 per pack. Thus, the bill would allow nontribal members to obtain a pack of cigarettes for at least \$1.34 less than the price at retail outlets not located on a reservation. Whether nontribal members will modify purchasing behavior to direct purchases to reservation retail outlets is unknown, and consequently, potential revenue impacts for other private sector retail outlets is unknown.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

- Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:
 Not applicable.
- 2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

The bill does not provide a method for determining excess coupons, or whether this determination should be done quarterly or annually. If the excess is determined on a quarterly basis and the tribe sells the excess to nontribal members in earlier quarters, it is possible that the tribe will not have enough cigarettes for tribal members in later quarters.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

None.

STORAGE NAME: h1019b.FTC.DOCX

DATE: 2/28/2016

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to tax-and-surcharge-free cigarettes; amending s. 210.1801, F.S.; authorizing recognized Indian tribes to use excess Indian-tax-and-surcharge-exemption coupons when selling cigarettes to nontribal members on the reservation; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsection (1), paragraph (a) of subsection (3), and subsection (4) of section 210.1801, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

210.1801 Exempt cigarettes for members of recognized

Indian tribes.—

(1) Notwithstanding any provision of this chapter to the contrary, a member of an Indian tribe recognized in this state who purchases cigarettes on an Indian reservation for his or her own use is exempt from paying a cigarette tax and surcharge. However, such member purchasing cigarettes outside of an Indian reservation or a nontribal member purchasing cigarettes on an Indian reservation is not exempt from paying the cigarette tax or surcharge when purchasing cigarettes within this state, unless the nontribal member purchases cigarettes on an Indian reservation as set forth in paragraph (3)(a). Accordingly, the tax and surcharge shall apply to all cigarettes sold on an

Page 1 of 4

Indian reservation to a nontribal member, and evidence of such tax or surcharge shall be by means of an affixed cigarette tax and surcharge stamp.

- (3) Indian-tax-and-surcharge-exemption coupons shall be provided to the recognized governing body of each Indian tribe to ensure that each Indian tribe can obtain cigarettes that are exempt from the tax and surcharge which are for the use of the tribe or its members. The Indian-tax-and-surcharge-exemption coupons shall be provided to the Indian tribes quarterly. It is intended that each Indian tribe will distribute the Indian-tax-and-surcharge-exemption coupons to reservation cigarette sellers on such tribe's reservation. Only Indian tribes or reservation cigarette sellers on their reservations may redeem such Indian-tax-and-surcharge-exemption coupons pursuant to this section.
- (a) The number of Indian-tax-and-surcharge-exemption coupons to be given to the recognized governing body of each Indian tribe shall be based upon the probable demand of the tribal members on the tribe's reservation plus the number needed for official tribal use. The annual total number of Indian-tax-and-surcharge-exemption coupons to be given to the recognized governing body of each Indian tribe shall be calculated by multiplying the number of members of the tribe times five packs of cigarettes times 365. If, based on probable demand, the number of tax-and-surcharge-exemption coupons given to the governing body of a recognized Indian tribe exceeds the actual demand of the tribal members plus the number needed for official

Page 2 of 4

tribal use, the tribe may use the excess coupons to sell taxand-surcharge-free cigarettes to nontribal members on the reservation.

- (4)(a) An Indian tribe may purchase cigarettes for its own official use from a wholesale dealer without payment of the cigarette tax and surcharge to the extent that the Indian tribe provides the wholesale dealer with Indian-tax-and-surcharge-exemption coupons entitling the Indian tribe to purchase such quantities of cigarettes as allowed by each Indian-tax-and-surcharge-exemption coupon without paying the cigarette tax and surcharge.
- (b) A tribal member may purchase cigarettes for his or her own use without payment of the cigarette tax and surcharge if the tribal member makes such purchase on a qualified reservation.
- (c) A nontribal member may purchase cigarettes for his or her own use without payment of the cigarette tax and surcharge if the nontribal member makes the purchase on an Indian reservation as set forth in paragraph (3)(a).
- (d)(c) A reservation cigarette seller may purchase cigarettes for resale without payment of the cigarette tax from a wholesale dealer licensed pursuant to this chapter:
- 1. If the reservation cigarette seller brings the cigarettes or causes them to be delivered onto a qualified reservation for resale on the reservation;
 - 2. To the extent that the reservation cigarette seller

Page 3 of 4

provides the wholesale dealer with Indian-tax-and-surchargeexemption coupons entitling the reservation cigarette seller to purchase such quantities of cigarettes as allowed on each Indian-tax-and-surcharge-exemption coupon without paying the cigarette tax and surcharge; and

79

80

81 82

83

84

8586

87

88 89

90

91

92

- 3. If the cigarettes are affixed with a cigarette tax and surcharge stamp.
- (e)(d) A wholesale dealer may not collect the cigarette tax and surcharge from any purchaser if the purchaser gives the dealer Indian-tax-and-surcharge-exemption coupons that entitle the purchaser to purchase such quantities of cigarettes as allowed on each such Indian-tax-and-surcharge-exemption coupon without paying the cigarette tax and surcharge.
 - Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2016.

Page 4 of 4

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HJR 7113

Voter Control of Gambling Expansion in Florida

SPONSOR(S): Regulatory Affairs Committee, Diaz

TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS:

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF	
Orig. Comm.: Regulatory Affairs Committee	15 Y, 2 N	Anderson	Hamon	_
1) Finance & Tax Committee		Pewitt \(\rac{1}{2} \rightarrow \rightarr	Langston	A-

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

This joint resolution proposes to create article X, section 29 of the Florida Constitution, relating to voter control of gambling expansion. The joint resolution requires a constitutional amendment proposed by initiative petition to expand gambling in any fashion in the state.

Expansion of gambling is defined to include the introduction of any additional types of games or the introduction of gambling at any facility not conducting gambling as of January 1, 2016, or expressly authorized by statute during the current legislative session. Gambling is defined consistent with federal law, with certain exceptions.

The resolution does not alter the Legislature's ability to restrict, regulate, or tax gambling activity in Florida.

The resolution does not limit the State of Florida's ability to negotiate a state-tribal compact under the federal Indian Gaming Regulation Act or to enforce any current compact.

The joint resolution requires publication prior to the election. The required publication of the amendment would have an effect on expenditures. The Division of Elections within the Department of State estimated the full publication costs for advertising the proposed constitutional amendment to be approximately \$157,589.23.

For the proposed constitutional amendment to be placed on the ballot, the Legislature must approve the joint resolution by a three-fifths vote of the membership of each house of the Legislature.

If the joint resolution is passed by a three-fifths vote of both houses of the Legislature, it will be submitted to the voters in the general election in November of 2016.

The Constitution requires 60 percent voter approval for passage of a proposed constitutional amendment. If approved by the voters, the proposed constitutional amendment would be effective January 3, 2017.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h7113.FTC.DOCX

DATE: 2/27/2016

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Current Situation

Article X, section 7 of the Florida Constitution prohibits lotteries, other than pari-mutuel pools authorized by law on the effective date of the Florida Constitution, from being conducted in Florida by private citizens.

The Florida Supreme Court has found that "The Constitution of Florida is a limitation of power, and, while the Legislature cannot legalize any gambling device that would in effect amount to a lottery, it has inherent power to regulate or to prohibit any and all other forms of gambling; such distinction being well defined in the law."

The Court went on to limit the applicability of the constitutional provision to such legalized lotteries, "the primary test of which was whether or not the vice of it infected the whole community or country, rather than individual units of it. Any gambling device reaching such proportions would amount to a violation of the Constitution."

Thus, the Legislature may regulate keno, bingo, and slot machines.

Pari-mutuel wagering on horseracing and greyhound racing was authorized by statute in 1931 and on jai alai in 1935. Such activities are regulated by ch. 550, F.S., and overseen by the Division of Parimutuel Wagering (DMPW) within the Department of Business and Professional Regulation.

Article X, section 15 of the Florida Constitution authorizes the state to operate lotteries. The Legislature has implemented this provision through ch. 24, F.S., which establishes the Florida Lottery.

Article X, section 23 of the Florida Constitution authorizes slot machines at seven pari-mutuel facilities in Miami-Dade and Broward Counties that conducted pari-mutuel wagering on live events in 2002 and 2003, subject to local approval by countywide referendum. The Legislature has implemented this provision through ch. 551, F.S. The DPMW oversees such activities.

In 2010, the Legislature authorized slot machines at pari-mutuel wagering facilities in counties that meet the definition of s. 125.011, F.S., (currently Miami-Dade County), provided that such facilities have conducted pari-mutuel wagering on live racing for two years and meet other criteria. Hialeah Park is the only facility that operates slot machines under this provision.

The Legislature also provided that pari-mutuel wagering facilities in other counties could gain eligibility to conduct slot machines if located in a county that has approved slot machines by a referendum which was held pursuant to a statutory or legislative grant of authority granted after July 1, 2010, provided that such facility had conducted live racing for two calendar years preceding its application and complies with other requirements for slot machine licensure.⁸

STORAGE NAME: h7113.FTC.DOCX

DATE: 2/27/2016

¹ Lee v. City of Miami, 121 Fla. 93, 102 (1935).

² *Id*.

³ Overby v. State, 18 Fla. 178, 183 (1881).

⁴ Greater Loretta Imp. Ass'n v. State ex rel. Boone, 234 So.2d 665 (Fla. 1970).

⁵ See Lee v City of Miami, 121 Fla. 93 (1935), and Florida Gaming Centers v. Florida Dept. of Business and Professional Regulation, 71 So.3d 226 (Fla. 1st DCA 2011).

⁶ Deregulation of Intertrack and Simulcast Wagering at Florida's Pari-Mutuel Facilities, Interim Report No. 2006-145, Florida Senate Committee on Regulated Industries, September 2005.

⁷ See Ch. 2010-29, Laws of Fla., and s. 551.102(4), F.S.

⁸ See 2012-01 Fla. Op. Att'y Gen. (interpreting the slot machine eligibility provision as requiring additional statutory or constitutional authorization "to bring a referendum within the framework set out in the third clause of s. 551.102(4)").

Gambling on Indian lands is regulated by federal law, which requires the state negotiate in good faith for compacts governing the operation of certain types of games, if authorized for any person in the state. Florida has negotiated such a compact with the Seminole Tribe of Florida.

Proposed Changes

The joint resolution proposes creation of article X, section 29 of the Florida Constitution relating to voter control of gambling expansion. The joint resolution amends the Florida Constitution to require a constitutional amendment proposed by initiative petition to expand gambling in the state.

Expansion of gambling is defined in the joint resolution as the introduction of gambling at any facility or location in the state other than those facilities lawfully conducting gambling as of January 1, 2016, or expressly authorized by statute enacted during the 2016 regular session of the Legislature. The term includes the introduction of additional types or categories of gambling at any such location.

The joint resolution does not limit the Legislature's authority to restrict, regulate, or tax any gambling activity by general law.

With certain exceptions, gambling is defined consistent with federal law governing gambling on Indian lands.¹⁰ The resolution cites the federal definition of class III gaming. Such games include:

- House banked or banking games such as baccarat, chemin de fer, blackjack (21), and pai gow;
- Casino games such as roulette, craps, and keno;
- Slot machines as defined in 15 U.S.C. s. 1171(a)(1);
- Electronic or electromechanical facsimiles of any game of chance;
- Sports betting and pari-mutuel wagering, including, but not limited to, wagering on horse racing, dog racing, or jai alai; and
- Lotteries, other than state-operated lotteries.

The resolution specifically includes the following in the definition of gambling, regardless of how those devices are defined under the federal law:

- Electronic gambling device,
- Internet sweepstakes device, and
- Video lottery terminal, other than a state-operated video lottery terminal.

The joint resolution does not limit the authority of the state to negotiate a tribal-state compact under the federal Indian Gaming Regulation Act or to enforce any existing tribal-state compact.

If the joint resolution is passed by a three-fifths vote of both houses of the Legislature, it will be submitted to the voters in the general election in November of 2016.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

This is a joint resolution, which is not divided by sections.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

The joint resolution does not appear to have an impact on state government revenues.

¹⁰ Indian Gaming Regulatory Act, 25 U.S.C. ss. 2701 et seq.

STORAGE NAME: h7113.FTC.DOCX

DATE: 2/27/2016

PAGE: 3

⁹ See Indian Gaming Regulatory Act, 25 U.S.C. ss. 2701 et seq.

2. Expenditures:

Article XI, section 5(d) of the Florida Constitution, requires proposed amendments or constitutional revisions to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in each county where a newspaper is published. The amendment or revision must be published once in the tenth week and again in the sixth week immediately preceding the week the election is held. The Division of Elections within the Department of State estimated the average cost per word to advertise a proposed amendment to the Florida Constitution to be approximately \$135.97 per word. The estimated total publishing cost for advertising the joint resolution would be approximately \$157,589.23.¹¹

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

The joint resolution does not appear to have an impact on local government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

The joint resolution does not appear to have an impact on local government expenditures.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

This joint resolution does not appear to have an economic impact on the private sector.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable. This is not a general bill and is therefore not subject to the municipality/county mandates provision of article VII, section 18 of the Florida Constitution.

2. Other:

The Legislature may propose amendments to the Florida Constitution by joint resolution approved by three-fifths of the membership of each house. The amendment must be submitted to the electors at the next general election more than 90 days after the proposal has been filed with the Secretary of State's office, unless pursuant to law enacted by the a three-fourths vote of the membership of each house, and limited to a single amendment or revision, it is submitted at an earlier special election held more than ninety days after such filing. The submitted at an earlier special election held more than ninety days after such filing.

Article XI, section 5(e) of the Florida Constitution, requires approval by 60 percent of voters for a constitutional amendment to take effect. The amendment, if approved, becomes effective after the next general election or at an earlier special election specifically authorized by law for that purpose. Without an effective date, the amendment becomes effective on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in the January following the election, which will be January 3, 2017.

DATE: 2/27/2016

¹¹ Department of State, Agency Analysis 2015 Bill HJR 1239 (Mar. 12, 2015).

¹² FLA. CONST. art. XI, s. 1.

¹³ FLA. CONST. art. XI, s. 5.

- B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY: Not applicable.
- C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS: None.
 - IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

None.

1 House Joint Resolution

A joint resolution proposing the creation of Section 29 of Article X of the State Constitution to require that any expansion of gambling be authorized by a constitutional amendment proposed by initiative petition and approved by Florida voters and providing construction.

7 8

9

2

4

5

6

Be It Resolved by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

10 11

12

13

14

That the following creation of Section 29 of Article X of the State Constitution is agreed to and shall be submitted to the electors of this state for approval or rejection at the next general election or at an earlier special election specifically authorized by law for that purpose:

15 16

ARTICLE X

17 18

MISCELLANEOUS

19 20 SECTION 29. Voter control of gambling expansion.—

(a) PUBLIC POLICY.—The power to authorize the expansion of

21

gambling in this state is reserved to the people. No expansion of gambling is authorized except by a constitutional amendment proposed by initiative petition pursuant to Section 3 of Article

23

22

XI and approved by the electors pursuant to Section 5 of Article

2425

XI.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section, the term:

Page 1 of 7

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51

(1) "Expansion of gambling" means the introduction of gambling at a facility or location other than a facility or location that lawfully conducts gambling as of January 1, 2016, or is expressly authorized to conduct gambling by legislation enacted during the 2016 regular session of the legislature.

The term "expansion of gambling" includes the introduction of additional types or categories of gambling at any such facility or location.

(2) "Gambling" means any of the types of games that are within the definition of class III gaming in the federal Indian Gaming Regulatory Act, 25 U.S.C. ss. 2701 et seq., and in 25 C.F.R. s. 502.4, as of the effective date of this section. The term "gambling" includes, but is not limited to, any banking game, including, but not limited to, card games such as baccarat, chemin de fer, blackjack or 21, and pai gow; casino games such as roulette, craps, and keno; slot machines as defined in 15 U.S.C. s. 1171(a)(1); electronic or electromechanical facsimiles of any game of chance; sports betting and pari-mutuel wagering, including, but not limited to, wagering on horseracing, dog racing, or jai alai exhibitions; and lotteries other than state-operated lotteries. The term "gambling" also includes the use of any electronic gambling device, Internet sweepstakes device, or video lottery terminal other than a state-operated video lottery terminal, regardless of how those devices are defined under the federal Indian Gaming Regulatory Act.

Page 2 of 7

(c) LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY RETAINED.—This section does not limit the right of the legislature to exercise its authority through general law to restrict, regulate, or tax any gambling activity.

(d) TRIBAL-STATE COMPACTING AUTHORITY UNAFFECTED.—This section does not limit the authority of the state to negotiate a tribal-state compact under the federal Indian Gaming Regulatory Act or affect any existing tribal-state compact.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following statement be placed on the ballot:

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

ARTICLE X, SECTION 29

VOTER CONTROL OF GAMBLING EXPANSION IN FLORIDA.—Proposing an amendment to the State Constitution to provide that the power to authorize the expansion of gambling in Florida is reserved to the people; prohibit the expansion of gambling unless proposed and approved as a constitutional amendment by initiative petition; define "expansion of gambling" and "gambling"; and clarify that this amendment does not affect the right of the Legislature to exercise its authority through general law or the state's authority regarding tribal—state compacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following statement be placed on the ballot if a court declares the preceding statement defective and the decision of the court is not reversed:

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

ARTICLE X, SECTION 29

Page 3 of 7

VOTER CONTROL OF GAMBLING EXPANSION IN FLORIDA.—This proposed amendment to the State Constitution provides that the power to authorize the expansion of gambling in Florida is reserved to the people. The proposed amendment prohibits the expansion of gambling unless proposed and approved as a constitutional amendment by initiative petition. By providing that an initiative petition is the exclusive means of amending the State Constitution to authorize the expansion of gambling, the proposed amendment affects Article XI of the State Constitution.

For purposes of the proposed amendment, the term "gambling" means any of the types of games that are defined as class III gaming under the federal Indian Gaming Regulatory Act, including banking games, casino games, sports betting and pari-mutuel wagering, and non-state-operated lotteries. The term "gambling" also includes the use of any electronic gambling device, Internet sweepstakes device, or video lottery terminal other than a state-operated video lottery terminal, regardless of how those devices are defined under the federal Indian Gaming Regulatory Act.

For purposes of the proposed amendment, the term "expansion of gambling" means the introduction of gambling at a facility or location other than those facilities and locations: (1) lawfully conducting gambling as of January 1, 2016; or (2) expressly authorized to conduct gambling by legislation adopted during the 2016 regular session of the Legislature. The term "expansion of

Page 4 of 7

gambling" also includes the introduction of additional types or categories of gambling at any such facility or location.

The proposed amendment does not affect the right of the Legislature to exercise its authority through general law to restrict, regulate, or tax any gambling activity. The proposed amendment does not affect or limit the authority of the State of Florida to negotiate a tribal-state compact under the federal Indian Gaming Regulatory Act or affect any existing tribal-state compact.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following statement be placed on the ballot if a court declares the preceding statements defective and the decision of the court is not reversed:

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

ARTICLE X, SECTION 29

VOTER CONTROL OF GAMBLING EXPANSION IN FLORIDA.—Proposing the following amendment to the State Constitution:

ARTICLE X

MISCELLANEOUS

SECTION 29. Voter control of gambling expansion.-

(a) PUBLIC POLICY.—The power to authorize the expansion of gambling in this state is reserved to the people. No expansion of gambling is authorized except by a constitutional amendment proposed by initiative petition pursuant to Section 3 of Article XI and approved by the electors pursuant to Section 5 of Article XI.

Page 5 of 7

130

131

132133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149150

151

152

153

154

(b) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section, the term:

(1) "Expansion of gambling" means the introduction of gambling at a facility or location other than a facility or location that lawfully conducts gambling as of January 1, 2016, or is expressly authorized to conduct gambling by legislation enacted during the 2016 regular session of the legislature.

The term "expansion of gambling" includes the introduction of additional types or categories of gambling at any such facility or location.

(2) "Gambling" means any of the types of games that are within the definition of class III gaming in the federal Indian Gaming Regulatory Act, 25 U.S.C. ss. 2701 et seq., and in 25 C.F.R. s. 502.4, as of the effective date of this section. The term "gambling" includes, but is not limited to, any banking game, including, but not limited to, card games such as baccarat, chemin de fer, blackjack or 21, and pai gow; casino games such as roulette, craps, and keno; slot machines as defined in 15 U.S.C. s. 1171(a)(1); electronic or electromechanical facsimiles of any game of chance; sports betting and pari-mutuel wagering, including, but not limited to, wagering on horseracing, dog racing, or jai alai exhibitions; and lotteries other than state-operated lotteries. The term "gambling" also includes the use of any electronic gambling device, Internet sweepstakes device, or video lottery terminal other than a state-operated video lottery terminal, regardless

Page 6 of 7

of	how	those	devices	are	defined	under	the	federal	Indian	Gaming
Reg	gulat	cory A	ct.		•					

155

156157

158

159

160

161

162

163

1.64

- (c) LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY RETAINED.—This section does not limit the right of the legislature to exercise its authority through general law to restrict, regulate, or tax any gambling activity.
- (d) TRIBAL-STATE COMPACTING AUTHORITY UNAFFECTED.—This section does not limit the authority of the state to negotiate a tribal-state compact under the federal Indian Gaming Regulatory Act or affect any existing tribal-state compact.

Page 7 of 7

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: PCS for HB 7109 Gaming SPONSOR(S): Finance & Tax Committee TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS:

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIR	ECTOR or
			BUDGET/P	OLICY CHIEF
Orig. Comm.: Finance & Tax Committee		Pewitt $\int \int$	Langston	18

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The bill ratifies and approves the Gaming Compact between the Tribe and the State of Florida, which was executed by Governor Rick Scott and the Tribe on December 7, 2015 (the 2015 Compact), contingent upon renegotiation. The 2015 Compact permits the Tribe to offer the banked card games (such as blackjack), slot machines, raffles and drawings, live table games (such as craps and roulette), and any other game authorized in Florida. In exchange, the Tribe will make revenue sharing payments totaling at least \$3 billion to the State during the first 7 years of the Compact. The Tribe may stop or reduce revenue sharing payments if the state authorizes specified gaming in violation of the Tribe's exclusivity rights as set forth in the 2015 Compact.

The bill makes amendments to Florida's pari-mutuel wagering, slot machines, and gambling laws, including:

- Permitting greyhound, harness, quarterhorse, and certain thoroughbred and jai alai permitholders to conduct pari-mutuel wagering, cardrooms, and slots without the requirement of live races;
- Providing for the revocation of dormant permit, under certain conditions;
- Permitting slot machine licenses in any county, with some exceptions, which holds a successful referendum authorizing slot machines before January 1, 2017.
- Providing for a new slot machine permitholder to be selected pursuant to specified criteria;
- Prohibiting the issuance of new or additional permits, and prohibiting the conversion of permits;
- Prohibiting the transfer or relocation of most pari-mutuel permits or licenses;
- Prohibiting the issuance of additional summer jai alai permits;
- Removing tax credits for greyhound permitholders and revising the tax on handle for live greyhound racing and intertrack wagering from 5.5% to 1.28%;
- Removing provisions that allow for reissuance of permits after they escheat to the state;
- Repealing tax credits for unclaimed greyhound racing wagers;
- Revising the requirements for a greyhound permitholder to provide a greyhound adoption booth at its facility and requiring sterilization of greyhounds before adoption;
- Requiring injuries to racing greyhounds be reported;
- Extending weekday hours of operation for all slot machine and cardroom licensees from 18 to 24 hours;
- Streamlining the slot machines chapter and revising the issuance of slot machine licenses;
- Providing that free alcoholic beverages may be served to persons playing slot machines;
- Providing that an automated teller machine may be located in a slot machine licensees' facility;
- Regulating fantasy contests;
- Authorizing, regulating, and taxing multijurisdictional simulcast and wagering (i.e., "advance deposit wagering");
- Authorizing blackjack at certain facilities with slot machine licenses;
- Creating a thoroughbred purse pool program
- Limiting the number of slot machines that may be available at new facilities and statewide;
- Providing guaranteed minimum tax revenues from new slot machine licensees

The bill is expected to have a fiscal impact on state funds; see FISCAL COMMENTS.

The bill provides most provisions are contingent upon the 2015 Gaming Compact becoming effective. Such provisions are effective July 1, 2016, or upon the 2015 Compact becoming effective, whichever is later.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX

DATE: 2/24/2016

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Current Situation

General Overview of Gaming in Florida

In general, gambling is illegal in Florida.¹ Chapter 849, F.S., prohibits keeping a gambling house,² running a lottery,³ or the manufacture, sale, lease, play, or possession of slot machines.⁴ Certain exceptions have been authorized, with restrictions on permitted locations, operators, and prizes, including penny-ante games,⁵ bingo,⁶ cardrooms,⁷ charitable drawings,⁸ game promotions (sweepstakes),⁹ and bowling tournaments.¹⁰

In 2013, the Legislature clarified that Internet café style gambling machines were illegal in the state. The legislation clarified existing sections of law regarding slot machines, charitable drawings, game promotions, and amusement machines and created a rebuttable presumption that machines used to simulate casino-style games in schemes involving consideration and prize are prohibited slot machines.¹¹

In 2015, the Legislature determined that the regulation of the operation of skill-based amusement games and machines would ensure compliance with Florida law and prevent the expansion of casinostyle gambling. The Legislature clarified the operation and use of amusement games or machines to ensure that regulations would not be interpreted as creating an exception to the state's general prohibitions against gambling.¹²

Lotteries

Lotteries are prohibited by the Florida Constitution.¹³ The constitutional prohibition is codified in statute at s. 849.09, F.S. Other than the statement in the Florida Constitution that indicates that the term "lottery" does not include "types of pari-mutuel pools authorized by law as of the effective date of this constitution," the term "lottery" is not defined by the Florida Constitution or statute. Generally, a lottery is a scheme which contains three elements: consideration, chance, and prize. As to consideration, while most states view consideration narrowly as a tangible asset, such as money, Florida views consideration broadly, as the conferring of any benefit.¹⁴ Thus, even if players do not pay to participate in a game where they have a chance to win a prize, it may be an illegal lottery.

In 1986, Florida voters approved an amendment to the Florida Constitution to allow the state to operate a lottery. This lottery is known as the Florida Education Lotteries and directs proceeds to the State Education Lotteries Trust Fund.

¹ s. 849.08, F.S.

² s. 849.01, F.S.

³ s. 849.09, F.S.

⁴ s. 849.16, F.S.

⁵ s. 849.085, F.S.

⁶ s. 849.0931, F.S.

⁷ s. 849.086, F.S.

⁸ s. 849.0935, F.S.

⁹ s. 849.094, F.S., authorizes game promotions in connection with the sale of consumer products or services.

¹⁰ s. 546.10, F.S

¹¹ Florida House of Representatives Select Committee on Gaming, Final Bill Analysis of 2013 CS/HB 155, p. 1 (Apr. 19, 2013).

s. 546.10, F.S.

Article X, s. 7, Fla. Const. *But, see, Article X, s. 15, Fla. Const., authorizing lotteries operated by the state.*

¹⁴ Little River Theatre Corp. v. State ex rel. Hodge, 135 Fla. 854 (1939).

To allow activities that would otherwise be illegal lotteries, the Legislature has carved out several narrow exceptions to the statutory lottery prohibition. Statutory exceptions are provided for charitable bingo, charitable drawings, and game promotions. Charities use drawings or raffles as a fundraising tool. Organizations suggest a donation, collect entries, and randomly select an entry to win a prize. Under s. 849.0935, F.S., qualified organizations may conduct drawings by chance, provided the organization has complied with all applicable provisions of ch. 496, F.S. Game promotions, often called sweepstakes, are advertising tools by which businesses promote their goods or services. As they contain the three elements of a lottery: consideration, chance, and prize, they are generally prohibited by Florida law unless they meet a statutory exception.¹⁵

Section 7 of Article X of the 1968 State Constitution provides, "Lotteries, other than the types of parimutuel pools authorized by law as of the effective date of this constitution, are hereby prohibited in this state." 16

Section 15 of Article X of the State Constitution (adopted by the electors in 1986) provides for state operated lotteries:

Lotteries may be operated by the state.... On the effective date of this amendment, the lotteries shall be known as the Florida Education Lotteries. Net proceeds derived from the lotteries shall be deposited to a state trust fund, to be designated The State Education Lotteries Trust Fund, to be appropriated by the Legislature. The schedule may be amended by general law. ¹⁷

Slot Machines

Slot machines have been generally prohibited in Florida since 1937.¹⁸ Section 849.16, F.S., defines a slot machine as a machine or device that requires the insertion of a piece of money, coin, account number, code, or other object or information to operate and allows the user, whether by application of skill or by reason of any element of chance or of any other outcome of such operation unpredictable by him or her, to receive money, credit, allowance, or thing of value, or secure additional chances or rights to use such machine, apparatus, or device. Slot machines are authorized at certain facilities in Broward and Miami-Dade counties by constitutional amendment or statute and are regulated under ch. 551, F.S.¹⁹ Except for the Seminole casinos authorized in the gaming compact with the Seminole Tribe of Florida (the "2010 Compact"), free-standing, commercial casinos are not authorized, and gaming activity, other than what is expressly authorized, is illegal.

Section 23 of Article X of the State Constitution (adopted by the electors in 2004) provides for slot machines in Miami-Dade and Broward Counties:

After voter approval of this constitutional amendment, the governing bodies of Miami-Dade and Broward Counties each may hold a county-wide referendum in their respective counties on whether to authorize slot machines within existing, licensed parimutual facilities (thoroughbred and harness racing, greyhound racing, and jai-alai) that have conducted live racing or games in that county during each of the last two calendar years before the effective date of this amendment. If the voters of such county approve the referendum question by majority vote, slot machines shall be authorized in such parimutual facilities. If the voters of such county by majority vote disapprove the referendum

¹⁵ Little River Theatre Corp., supra at 868.

¹⁶ The pari-mutuel pools that were authorized by law on the effective date of the Florida Constitution, as revised in 1968, include horseracing, greyhound racing, and jai alai games. The revision was ratified by the electorate on November 5, 1968.

¹⁷ The Department of the Lottery is authorized by s. 15, Art. X, Florida Constitution. Chapter 24, F.S., was enacted by ch. 87-65, L.O.F., to establish the state lottery. Section 24.102, F.S., creates the Department of the Lottery and states the Legislature's intent that it be self-supporting and revenue-producing and function as an entrepreneurial business enterprise.

¹⁸s. 849.15, F.S., originally enacted by s. 1, ch. 18143, L.O.F. (1937).

¹⁹ See Article X, Section 23, Florida Constitution; ch. 2010-29, L.O.F. and chapter 551, F.S.

question, slot machines shall not be so authorized, and the question shall not be presented in another referendum in that county for at least two years.

Pari-mutuel Wagering

The Division of Pari-mutuel Wagering (Division) within the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR) regulates and oversees pari-mutuel facilities in Florida. Its purpose is to ensure the health, safety, and welfare of the public, racing animals, and licensees through efficient, and fair regulation of the pari-mutuel industry in Florida.²⁰ The Division collects revenue in the form of taxes and fees from permitholders for the conduct of gaming.

Chapter 550, F.S., authorizes pari-mutuel wagering at licensed tracks and frontons and provides for state regulation. Pari-mutuel is defined as "a system of betting on races or games in which the winners divide the total amount bet, after deducting management expenses and taxes, in proportion to the sums they have wagered individually and with regard to the odds assigned to particular outcomes."²¹

Chapter 551, F.S., authorizes slot machine gaming at the location of certain licensed pari-mutuel locations in Miami-Dade County or Broward County and provides for state regulation. Chapter 849, F.S., authorizes cardrooms at certain pari-mutuel facilities. A license to offer pari-mutuel wagering, slot machine gambling, or a cardroom at a pari-mutuel facility is a privilege granted by the state. A license to offer pari-mutuel wagering, slot machine gambling, or a cardroom at a pari-mutuel facility is a privilege granted by the state.

The Division currently makes an annual report to the Governor showing its actions, money received under Chapter 550, F. S., the practical effects of Chapter 550, and any suggestions for more effective accomplishment of the goals of the chapter.²⁴

Current Situation: Seminole Gaming Compact

Indian Gaming in Florida

Gambling on Indian lands is subject to federal law, with limited state involvement. The Indian Gaming and Regulatory Act (IGRA), codified at 25 USCA §§ 2701-2721, was enacted in 1988 in response to the United State Supreme Court decision in California v. Cabazon Band of Mission Indians, 480 U.S. 202 (1987). The act provides for "a system for joint regulation by tribes and the Federal Government of class II gaming on Indian lands and a system for compacts between tribes and States for regulation of class III gaming." In so doing, IGRA seeks to balance the competing interests of two sovereigns: the interests of the Tribe in engaging in economic activities for the benefit of its members and the interest of the state in either prohibiting or regulating gaming activities within its borders. ²⁶

IGRA separates gaming activities into three categories:

 Class I games are "social games solely for prizes of minimal value or traditional forms of Indian gaming engaged in by individuals as a part of, or in connection with, tribal ceremonies or celebrations."²⁷ Class I games are within the exclusive jurisdiction of the Indian tribes.²⁸

DATE: 2/24/2016

STORAGE NAME: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX

²⁰ From 1932 to 1969, Florida's pari-mutuel industry was regulated by the State Racing Commission. In 1970, the commission became a division within DBPR, and, in 1993, the Department of Business Regulation became the DBPR.
²¹ s. 550.002(22), F.S.

s. 849.086(2)(c), F.S., defines "cardroom" to mean a facility where authorized card games are played for money or anything of value and to which the public is invited to participate in such games and charges a fee for participation by the operator of such facility. See s. 550.1625(1), F.S., "...legalized pari-mutuel betting at dog tracks is a privilege and is an operation that requires strict supervision and regulation in the best interests of the state."

24 s. 550.0251(1), F.S.

²⁵ United States Senate Report No. 100-446, Aug. 3, 1988.

²⁷ 25 U.S.C. 2703(6). ²⁸ 25 U.S.C. 2710(a)(1).

- Class II games are bingo and card games that are explicitly authorized or are not explicitly prohibited by the laws of the State.²⁹ The tribes may offer Class II card games "only if such card games are played in conformity with those laws and regulations (if any) of the State regarding hours or periods of operation of such card games or limitations on wagers or pot sizes in such card games." Class II gaming does not include "any banking card games, including baccarat, chemin de fer, or blackjack (21), or electronic or electromechanical facsimiles of any game of chance or slot machines of any kind."³⁰ Class II games are also within the jurisdiction of the Indian tribes, but are also subject to the provisions of IGRA.³¹
- Class III games are defined as any games that are not Class I or Class II. Class III games include slot machine and banked card games such as blackjack, baccarat and chemin de fir.³²

A tribe can qualify to offer Class III games in the following ways:

- If the state authorizes Class III games for any purpose to any person, organization, or entity, the tribe must:
 - Authorize the games by an ordinance or resolution adopted by the governing body of the Indian tribe, approved by the Chairman of the National Indian Gaming Commission, and in compliance with IGRA; and
 - Conduct the games in conformance with a Tribal-State compact entered into between the tribe and the State.³³
- If the state does NOT authorize Class III gaming for any purpose by any person, organization, or entity, the tribe must request negotiations for a tribal-state compact governing gaming activities on tribal lands. Upon receiving such a request, the state may be obligated to negotiate with the Indian tribe in good faith.³⁴ Under IGRA, a tribe is not entitled to a compact.

When the negotiations fail to produce a compact, a tribe may file suit against the state in federal court and seek a determination of whether the state negotiated in good faith. If the court finds the state negotiated in good faith, the tribe's proposal fails. On a finding of lack of good faith, however, the court may order negotiation, then mediation. If the state ultimately rejects a court-appointed mediator's proposal, the Secretary "shall prescribe, in consultation with the Indian tribe, procedures... under which class III gaming may be conducted." 35

Generally, in accordance with IGRA a compact may include the following provisions:

- The application of the criminal and civil laws and regulations of the Indian tribe or the State that are directly related to, and necessary for, the licensing and regulation of gaming;
- The allocation of criminal and civil jurisdiction between the State and the Indian tribe necessary for the enforcement of laws and regulations;
- An assessment in an amount necessary to defray the costs of regulation;
- Revenue sharing by the Indian tribe for permitted activities;
- Remedies for breach of contract;
- Standards for the operation of gaming and gaming facilities, including licensing; and
- Any other subjects that are directly related to the operation of gaming activities.³⁶

DATE: 2/24/2016

²⁹ 25 U.S.C. 2703(7)(A).

³⁰ 25 U.S.C. 2703(7)(B).

³¹ 25 U.S.C. 2710(a)(2) and (b).

³² 25 U.S.C. 2703 and 25 C.F.R. § 502.4.

³³ 25 U.S.C. 2710(d)(1).

³⁴ 25 U.S.C. 2710 (d)(3)(A).

³⁵ 25 U.S.C. 2710(d)(7). This option is addressed in *Seminole Tribe of Florida v. Florida*, 517 U.S. 44 (1996), which brought into question whether a tribe has the ability to enforce the provisions of IGRA against a state. The Department of Interior adopted rules to provide a remedy for the tribes. The validity of the rules were also brought into question in *Texas v. United States*, 497 F.3d 491, (5th Cir. 2007).

³⁶ 25 U.S.C. 2710 (d)(3)(C).

Any compact that is entered into by a tribe and a state will take effect when approval by the Secretary of the Interior is published in the Federal Register.³⁷ Upon receipt of a proposed compact, the Secretary has 45 days to approve or disapprove the compact.³⁸ A compact will be considered approved if the Secretary fails to act within the 45-day period. A compact that has not been validly "entered into" by a state and a tribe, e.g. execution of a compact by a state officer who lacks the authority to bind the state, cannot be put "into effect", even if the Secretary of the Interior publishes the compact in the Federal Register.³⁹

There is no explicit provision under IGRA that authorizes revenue sharing. IGRA specifically states:

[N]othing in this section shall be interpreted as conferring upon a State or any of its political subdivisions authority to impose any tax, fee, charge, or other assessment upon an Indian tribe or upon any other person or entity authorized by an Indian tribe to engage in a class III activity. No State may refuse to enter into the negotiations described in paragraph (3) (A) based upon the lack of authority in such State, or its political subdivisions, to impose such a tax, fee, charge, or other assessment.⁴⁰

Notwithstanding this restriction, revenue sharing has been permitted when the State has provided a valuable economic benefit to, usually in the form of substantial exclusivity in game offerings or geographic monopoly or a right to conduct such offerings on more favorable terms than non-Indians.⁴¹

The 2010 Compact

Chapter 285, F.S., ratified the 2010 Compact. It provides that it is not a crime for a person to participate in raffles, drawings, slot machine gaming, or banked card games (e.g., blackjack or baccarat) at a tribal facility operating under the compact. The 2010 Compact provides for revenue sharing. For the exclusive authority to offer banked card games on tribal lands at five locations and to offer slot machine gaming outside Miami-Dade and Broward Counties, the Seminole Tribe pays the State of Florida a share of "net win" (approximately \$240 million per year). Section 285.710(1)(f), F.S., designates the Division within DBPR as the "state compliance agency" having authority to carry out the state's oversight responsibilities under the 2010 Compact. The 2010 Compact took effect when published in the Federal Register on July 6, 2010 and lasts for 20 years, expiring July 31, 2030, unless renewed. The 2010 Compact required the Seminole Tribe to share revenue with the state in the amount of \$1 billion over five years.

The 2010 Compact provides consequences for the expansion of gaming in Miami-Dade and Broward counties:

- If new forms of Class III gaming and casino-style gaming are authorized for the eight licensed pari-mutuel facilities located in Miami-Dade and Broward counties (which may not relocate) and the net win from the Tribe's Broward facilities drops for the year after the new gaming begins, then the Tribe may reduce the payments from its Broward facilities by 50 percent of the amount of the reduction in net win.
- If new forms of Class III gaming and other casino-style gaming are authorized for other locations in Miami-Dade and Broward counties, then the Tribe may exclude the net win from their Broward facilities from their net win calculations when the new games begin to be played.⁴³

³⁷ 25 U.S.C. 2710(d)(3)(B).

³⁸ 25 U.S.C. 2710(d)(8)(C).

³⁹ See Pueblo of Santa Ana v. Kelly, 104 F.3d 1546, (10th Cir. 1997), cert. denied, 522 U.S. 807 (1997).

⁴⁰ 25 U.S.C. 2710(d)(4).

⁴¹ See generally In re Indian Gaming Related Cases, 331 F.3d 1094 (9th Cir. 2003)(upholding revenue sharing where revenues were apportioned to non-gaming tribes); see also Letter From Gale A. Norton, Secretary of the Department of Interior, to Cyrus Schindler, President of the Seneca Nation of Indians, dated November 12, 2002.

⁴² s. 285.710, F.S.

⁴³ The Tribe would automatically be authorized to conduct the same games authorized for any other person at any location. **STORAGE NAME**: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX

Revenue sharing payments cease if:

- The state authorizes new forms of Class III gaming or other casino-style gaming after February 1, 2010, or authorizes Class III gaming or other casino-style gaming at any location outside of Miami-Dade and Broward counties that was not authorized for such games before February 1, 2010; and
- The new gaming begins to be offered for private or public use.

The 2015 Compact

A new compact was executed by the Governor and the Tribe on December 7, 2015 (the "2015 Compact"), but must be ratified by the Legislature and approved by the United States Secretary of the Interior to become effective. If the 2015 Compact is ratified and approved, the 2010 Compact will be nullified, and the provisions of the 2015 Compact will provide the Tribe exclusivity to operate certain games, with certain exceptions. The 2015 Compact will provide the Tribe exclusivity to operate certain games, with certain exceptions. In exchange, the Tribe will share revenue with the state with a Guaranteed Minimum Compact Term Payment of \$3 billion over 7 years.

The 2015 Compact differs from the 2010 Compact in several key ways. The table below outlines the specific provisions that differ between the two compacts:

	2015 Compact	2010 Compact
Revenue Sharing	Revenue sharing, providing for minimum guaranteed payments by the Seminole Tribe to the State of \$3 billion dollars over seven years.	Revenue sharing, providing for minimum guaranteed payments of \$1 billion dollars over the first five years. (The minimum guaranteed payments ended on July 1, 2015)
Class III Gaming Authorizations	All seven Seminole Casinos may offer slot machines, banked card games, raffles and drawings, live table games, and any new game authorized in Florida.	All seven Seminole Casinos may offer slot machines, raffles and drawings, and any new game authorized in Florida. Banked card games may be offered at five of the Seminole Casinos (excluding the Brighton and Big Cypress facilities).
Banked Card Game Exclusivity	No facility in Florida may offer banked or banking card games or live table games, except for certain facilities in Miami-Dade and Broward Counties which may offer blackjack under certain circumstances. ⁴⁴	No facility in Florida may offer banked card games.
Slot Machine Exclusivity	No facility except for specifically authorized facilities in Miami-Dade, Broward, or Palm Beach County may offer slot machines. ⁴⁵	No facility except for specifically authorized PMW facilities in Miami-Dade or Broward County may offer slot machines.

STORAGE NAME: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX

DATE: 2/24/2016

⁴⁴ Blackjack must be authorized by state law before it may be offered at any facility in Broward or Miami-Dade.

⁴⁵ The 2015 Compact allows the Legislature to authorize two additional facilities, one located in Miami-Dade County and one located in Palm Beach County, which may offer slot machines or video race terminals without violating the exclusivity provisions under certain circumstances.

Compulsive Gambling Exclusivity Payment	Tribe will make annual \$1,750,000 donation to the Florida Council on Compulsive Gambling and maintain a voluntary exclusion list, so long as exclusivity is maintained.	Tribe will make annual \$250,000 donation per Facility (\$1,750,000 total) to the Florida Council on Compulsive Gambling and maintain a voluntary exclusion list, so long as exclusivity is maintained.
Class III Gaming is authorized in non- specified facilities within Miami-Dade, Palm Beach, or Broward County	Guaranteed minimum payments and revenue sharing payments cease.	Guaranteed minimum payments cease and revenue sharing payments are calculated excluding Broward County facilities.
Class III Gaming is authorized <u>outside</u> of Miami-Dade, Palm Beach, or Broward County	All payments under the Compact cease.	All payments under the Compact cease.

The 2015 Compact contains "internet/online gaming" and "new games" provisions similar to the 2010 Compact. If state law is amended to permit "internet/on-line gaming," the Tribe will no longer be required to make payments to the state based on the Guaranteed Minimum Compact Term Payments, but will be required to continue make Revenue Share Payments. Internet gaming is not defined in the 2015 Compact.

The 2015 Compact also defines two new types of gaming, as they would relate to the Compact, "video race terminals" and "designated player games." These games could possibly be considered types of Class III gaming; however, the 2015 Compact specifically excludes both types from violating the exclusivity provisions of the 2015 Compact, in certain situations.

The 2015 Compact defines a video race terminal as, "an individual race terminal linked to a central server as part of a network-based video game, where terminals allow pari-mutuel wagering by players on the results of previously conducted horse races, but only if the game is certified in advance by an independent testing laboratory licensed or contracted by the Division as complying with" a number of provisions.

Obligations of the 2015 Compact

The ratification of the 2015 Compact permits the Tribe to offer the following games, termed "covered games," at all seven of its tribal casinos:

- Banked card games, including blackjack, chemin de fer, and baccarat;
- Slot machines:
- Raffles and drawings;
- Live table games, including craps and roulette; and
- Any other game authorized for any person for any purpose, except for a compact with a qualifying Indian Tribe.

The ratification of the 2015 Compact provides that "[a]ny of the facilities existing on Indian Lands... may be relocated, expanded, or replaced by another facility on the same Indian Lands with advance notice of sixty (60) calendar days."

The ratification of the 2015 Compact places a cap on the number of slot machines, banking or banked card games, and live table games that may be offered by the Tribe.

The 2015 Compact has a term of 20 years.

Payments to the State for the 2015 Compact

The 2015 Compact establishes a guarantee minimum payment period that is defined as the seven year period beginning July 1, 2017, and ending June 30, 2024. During the guarantee minimum payment period, the Tribe will make payments as specified, to total \$3 billion over seven years. The payments shall be paid by the Tribe to the state as follows:

- During the initial period (from the effective date to June 30, 2017), the Tribe makes payments based on a variable percentage of net win similar to the percentage payments in the 2010 Compact.
- During the guarantee minimum payment period from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2024, the Tribe pays a total of \$3 billion over seven years.
- At the end of the guarantee minimum payment period, if the percentage payments (that range from 13 percent of net win up to \$2 billion, to 25 percent of net win greater than \$4.5 billion) would have amounted to more than the guaranteed minimum payments, the Tribe must pay the difference.
- The Tribe's guaranteed minimum revenue sharing payments are:
 - \$325 million 1st year;
 \$350 million 2nd year;

 - \$375 million 3rd year;
 - \$425 million 4th year;
 - \$475 million 5th year;
 - \$500 million 6th year; and
 \$550 million 7th year.
- The percentage payments include a 1 percent increase on amounts up to \$2 billion, and a 2.5 percent increase on amounts greater than \$2 billion, up to and including \$3 billion, as compared to the 2010 Compact.
- After the first seven years, the Tribe will continue to make percentage payments to the state without a guaranteed minimum payment.

Exclusivity Requirements of the 2015 Compact

Revenue sharing payments may be affected if the state permits:

- New forms of Class III gaming or other casino-style gaming after July 1, 2015, or Class III gaming or other casino-style gaming at any location not authorized for such games before July 1, 2015;
- Licensed pari-mutuel wagering entities other than the Tribe to offer banked card games;
- Class III gaming at other locations in Miami-Dade, Broward, or Palm Beach counties, except the legislature may add one location in Miami-Dade County with 750 slot machines and 750 video race terminals, if approved by a county-wide referendum, and similarly one location in Palm Beach County:
- Class III gaming to be offered outside of Miami-Dade, Broward, and Palm Beach Counties.

Licensed pari-mutuel wagering entities may not increase the number of slot machines they offer or relocate their facility. If they do so, the guaranteed minimum payments from the Tribe to the state cease and the percentage payments are calculated excluding the Tribe facilities located in Broward County.

The 2015 Compact indicates that internet gaming is not currently permitted in Florida. If the legislature authorizes internet gaming, the guaranteed minimum payments cease, but the percentage payments continue. If the Tribe offers internet gaming to patrons, then the guaranteed minimum payments continue.

Exceptions to Violations of the 2015 Compact Exclusivity Provisions

The 2015 Compact provides that the legislature may authorize non-tribe pari-mutuel wagering entities to conduct the following actions without affecting revenue sharing:

- Licensed pari-mutuel wagering facilities in Miami-Dade and Broward Counties may offer blackjack, subject to limitations:
- One new location in Miami-Dade County may offer slot machines and video race terminals, subject to limitations, if approved by a county-wide referendum;
- One new location in Palm Beach may offer slot machines and video race terminals, subject to limitations, if approved by a county-wide referendum;

Slot machines and video race terminals at the above locations do not violate the 2015 Compact so long as a maximum of 500 slot machines and 250 video race terminals are offered before October 1, 2018. and a maximum of 750 slot machines and 750 video race terminals are offered after October 1, 2018.

Effect of the Bill: Seminole Gaming Compact

Indian Gaming in Florida

Ratification of the 2015 Compact

The bill ratifies and approves the 2015 Compact between the Tribe and the State of Florida, contingent on the Governor and the Tribe amending the 2015 Compact to include the following provisions:

- All amendments to chapters 285, 546, 550, 551, and 849 made by the bill are authorized by the Compact, do not affect the Tribe's revenue sharing agreement, violate the Tribe's exclusivity, or authorize the Tribe to conduct online gaming;
- The Tribe will have exclusive authority to operate slot machines in Glades. Hendry, and Collier Counties and within 100 miles of the Seminole Hard Rock Hotel and Casino-Tampa;
- The Tribe will have exclusive authority to operate banked card games, including blackjack, baccarat, and chemin de fer in Glades, Hendry, Collier, and Hillsborough Counties;
- The Tribe will have exclusive authority to operate dice games, such as craps and sic-bo, and wheel games, such as roulette and big six, in Broward, Glades, Hendry, Collier, and Hillsborough Counties:
- The cumulative total of slot machines offered by pari-mutuel facilities in Florida may not exceed 16,000, and any facility authorized to offer slot machines after the effective date of the act may not offer more than 1,500 slot machines;
- No facility may be licensed to offer slot machines unless it is outside the area where the Tribe is granted exclusive rights to offer slot machines.
- Any relocation of a facility on Tribal lands is limited to relocation to a contiguous parcel;
- The live table games which the Tribe are permitted to offer are limited to craps, sic-bo, roulette, bix six, and similar variations of big six.

If ratified, the 2015 Compact will supersede the 2010 Compact, and will become effective after approval by the U.S. Secretary of the Interior. Furthermore, the bill requires Governor Scott to cooperate with the Tribe in seeking approval of the 2015 Compact from the United States Secretary of the Interior.

Current Situation: Fantasy Contests

Background of fantasy contest industry

A fantasy contest (also called a fantasy sport or fantasy game) is a type of contest where participants assemble, own, and manage imaginary teams made up of actual professional sports players. The

STORAGE NAME: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX

DATE: 2/24/2016

teams compete based on the statistical performance generated by the actual players in an actual sports game. The players' performances are converted into points that are compiled according to the participant's team roster. In fantasy contests, participants draft, trade, and cut players similar to a real team owner.

The online fantasy contest industry is a \$4 billion dollar industry in the United States. 46 Fantasy NFL football is the most popular fantasy contest, and in 2015 an estimated 56.8 million people competed in fantasy contests in the United States and Canada. 47

Although fantasy contests began as a contest played amongst friends or co-workers, new technology in the mid-1990s allowed for broader access to the public to pursue fantasy contests because statistics could be easily and quickly compiled online. Additionally, news and information about players was more readily available through growing access to the Internet.

Daily fantasy contests are an accelerated version of fantasy contests, which are played across a shorter period of time. For example, daily fantasy contests may be played over a single week in a season, rather than the entire season. Daily fantasy contests typically require an entry fee. The fee funds an advertised prize pool from which the servicer takes a percentage of fees collected as revenue.⁴⁸

The legality of daily fantasy contests has been challenged nationwide with critics arguing that the contests more closely resemble proposition wagering on athlete performance than traditional fantasy contests.

Fantasy contests in Florida

The Florida Constitution, Florida Statutes, and Florida courts have not specifically addressed fantasy contests. Regardless of whether fantasy contests are games of skill or games of chance, they may be subject to the state's gambling laws and anti-bookmaking statute. Section 849.14, F.S., provides that a stake, bet, or wager of money or another thing of value placed "upon the result of any trial or contest of skill, speed, power, or endurance of human or beast" is unlawful. Receiving money or acting as the custodian or depositary of money as part of such a stake, bet, or wager is also unlawful.

Section 849.25, F.S., Florida's anti-bookmaking statute, defines bookmaking as "the act of taking or receiving, while engaged in the business or profession of gambling, any bet or wager upon the result of any trial or contest of skill, speed, power, or endurance of human, beast, fowl, motor vehicle, or mechanical apparatus or upon the result of any chance, casualty, unknown, or contingent event whatsoever." The statute includes factors that are to be considered evidence of bookmaking, including charging a percentage on accepted wagers, receiving more than five wagers in a day, and receiving over \$500 in total wagers in a single day or over \$1500 in a single week.⁴⁹

On January 8th, 1991, Florida Attorney General Robert A. Butterworth provided an advisory legal opinion⁵⁰ regarding whether participation in a fantasy sports league violated Florida's gambling laws. Butterworth concluded that the operation of a fantasy league would violate s. 849.14, F.S. Butterworth concluded that since the fantasy sports league's entry fee was used to make up the prizes, it qualified as a "stake, bet, or wager" under Florida law.⁵¹ He stated that, "while the skill of the individual

⁴⁹ s. 849.25(1)(b), F.S.

DATE: 2/24/2016

⁴⁶ FANTASY SPORTS TRADE ASSOCIATION, http://fsta.org/about (last visited January 8, 2016).

⁴⁷ FANTASY SPORTS TRADE ASSOCIATION, http://fsta.org/research/industry-demographics/ (last visited January 8, 2016).

⁴⁸ THE WASHINGTON POST, *Daily fantasy sports Web sites find riches in Internet gaming law loophole*, https://www.washingtonpost.com/sports/daily-fantasy-sports-web-sites-find-riches-in-internet-gaming-law-loophole/2015/03/27/92988444-d172-11e4-a62f-ee745911a4ff_story.html (last visited January 8, 2016).

⁵⁰ 91-03 Fla. Op. Att'y Gen. (1991).

⁵¹ Creash v. State, 131 Fla. 111, 118 (Fla. 1938).

contestant picking the members of the fantasy team is involved, the prizes are paid to the contestants based upon the performance of the individual professional football players in actual games."⁵²

Butterworth concluded that contests, in which the skill of the contestant predominates over the element of chance, such as in certain sports contests, are not prohibited lotteries. As an example, he noted that golf and bowling tournaments were contests of skill and were not prohibited. He considered that "it might well be argued that skill is involved in the selection of a successful fantasy team by requiring knowledge of the varying abilities and skills of the professional football players who will be selected to make up the fantasy team." ⁵³

Fantasy contests may be subject to Florida's anti-lottery laws. Players in daily fantasy contests are competing for a distribution of a prize that may be made from a pool of funds that are made up of players' contributions. It is unknown whether all fantasy contest operators conduct fantasy contests similarly. Numerous types of contests are currently being offered, including, but not limited to, cash games, guaranteed prize pool games, double-up or 50/50 games, and head-to-head games. Most prizes appear to be based on the accumulation of entry fees and contests have been cancelled when the number of required participants has not been met and operators reserve the right to cancel contests at their discretion.⁵⁴

These types of games may be considered pool betting or pari-mutuel betting. The Attorney General of Nevada has determined that daily fantasy contests constitute sports pools. ⁵⁵ Daily fantasy contest sites may apply to the Nevada Gaming Control Board for a license to operate a sports pool in the state. Internationally, some daily fantasy contest sites are licensed for pool betting. ⁵⁶ The Florida Constitution ⁵⁷ prohibits lotteries other than pari-mutuel pools authorized by law as of the effective date of the 1968 Constitution.

Fantasy contests in the United States

The federal Unlawful Internet Gambling Enforcement Act of 2006⁵⁸ ("UIGEA") prohibits the processing of certain online financial wagering to prevent payment systems from being used in illegal online gambling. The UIGEA prohibits gambling businesses from knowingly accepting payments in connection with a "bet or wager" that involves the use of the Internet and that is unlawful under any federal or state law.

The UIGEA expressly states that participation in fantasy or simulation sports contests is not included in the definition of "bet or wager" when certain conditions are met. For purposes of the UIGEA, participation in a fantasy or simulation sports contest is not a bet or wager when:

- Prizes and awards offered to winning participants are established and made known in advance of the game or contest and the value is not determined by the number of participants or amount of fees paid by the participants.
- Winning outcomes reflect the relative knowledge and skill of the participants and are determined predominantly by accumulated statistical results of the performance of individuals.

⁵² 91-03 Fla. Op. Att'y Gen. (1991).

⁵³ *Id*.

⁵⁴ FANDUEL, Terms of Use, https://www.fanduel.com/terms (last visited January 16, 2016).

⁵⁵2015-102 Nev. Op. Att'y Gen. 8 (2015).

⁵⁶ DraftKings, Inc. is licensed for Pool Betting and Gambling Software by the UK Gambling Commission. https://secure.gamblingcommission.gov.uk/gccustomweb/PublicRegister/PRAccountDetails.aspx?accountNo=42475 (last visited January 6, 2015).

⁵⁷ FLA. CONST. art. X, s. 7.

⁵⁸ 31 U.S..C. § 5361-5366 (2006).

⁵⁹ 31 U.S.C. § 5362(1) (2006). **STORAGE NAME**: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX

 Winning outcomes are not based on the score, point spread, or any performance of any single sports team or combination of such teams or solely on a single performance of an individual athlete in a single sporting event.

Contest operators argue that they are legal under the UIGEA. In *Humphrey v. Viacom, Inc.*, the district court determined that because the entry fee was paid "unconditionally," the owner did not participate, and the prizes were guaranteed and determined in advance, the fantasy contest entry fees were not "wagers" under the act. ⁶⁰ However, although the UIGEA exempts fantasy and simulation sports contests from the application of the UIGEA, it does not make such contests legal generally. The UIGEA does not change or preempt any other federal or state law. As expressed in the Rule of Construction in the UIGEA, "no provision of this subchapter shall be construed as altering, limiting, or extending any federal or state law or tribal-state compact prohibiting, permitting, or regulating gambling within the United States." Therefore, any other state or federal law could apply.

The federal Professional and Amateur Sports Protection Act of 1992 ("PASPA") states that it is unlawful for a governmental entity or person to operate or promote any gambling that is based directly or indirectly on one or more competitive sports games or on the performance of an amateur or professional athlete in a competitive sports game. States are prohibited from authorizing or licensing sports betting not already legal as of 1992. A professional or amateur sports organization whose competitive game is alleged to be the basis of a violation of PASPA has standing to bring a civil action in federal district court to enjoin a violation. Currently, the NCAA and others are suing the state of New Jersey for attempting to repeal an anti-sports betting statute.

Because many fantasy contests are operated in partnership with a professional sports league, it may be unlikely that such contests would face legal challenge under PASPA. However, the National Collegiate Athletic Association has historically been fearful of online gambling, so college-related fantasy contests may be open to a higher risk of a legal challenge under PASPA. Additionally, contests that offer the opportunity for users to bet on game results rather than player performance are at an elevated risk of a legal challenge due to PASPA language that provides that it is unlawful to operate or promote gambling indirectly on a sports game or performance. PASPA prohibits betting, gambling, or wagering on one or more performances of professional or amateur athletes in a competitive game.

The federal Illegal Gambling Business Act of 1970 ("IGBA")⁶⁹ defines an "illegal gambling business" as a gambling business that is in violation of the law of the state in which it is conducted, involves five or more persons who conduct or manage all or part of such business, and that has been in continuous operation for a period of more than 30 days or has a gross revenue of \$2000 in a single day. The IGBA specifically exempts savings promotion raffles and bingo games, lotteries, or other games of chance operated by certain non-profit corporations.⁷⁰ An employee or company that has violated the IGBA is subject to penalties including fines, forfeiture of profits and assets, and imprisonment for up to 5 years.

⁶⁰ Humphrey v. Viacom, Inc., 2007 WL 1797648 (D.N.J. June 20, 2007).

⁶¹ 31 U.S..C. § 5361(b) (2006).

^{62 28} U.S.C. § 3702 (1992).

⁶³ Nevada, Delaware, Montana, and Oregon allowed sports betting in 1992 and met the criteria under the law.

⁶⁴ NCAA v. Governor of the State of N.J., 730 F.3d 208 (3d Cir. Sept. 17, 2013). The Court determined that New Jersey's law violated PASPA because it authorizes sports gambling, but has since granted a re-hearing of the case which vacates the original decision.

⁶⁵ Marc Edelman, Navigating the Legal Risks of Daily Fantasy Sports: A Detailed Primer in Federal and State Gambling Law, U. Ill. L. Rev. (accepted for publication in January 2016 edition).

⁶⁶ See Marissa Lankester, Time to Fight against Sports Gambling, Star Ledger (Newark, NJ), May 29, 2014, at 17.

⁶⁷ Edelman at 34.

⁶⁸ SPORTS LAW BLOG, *No Question, PASPA Applies to Daily Fantasy Sports*, http://sports-law.blogspot.com/2016/01/no-question-paspa-applies-to-daily.html (last visited Jan. 14, 2016).

⁵⁹ 18 U.S.C. § 1995 (1970).

⁷⁰ See 26 US.C. § 501. STORAGE NAME: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX

Several states, including Arizona, Iowa, Louisiana, Montana, and Washington have current laws that have been interpreted to make fantasy contests illegal in their jurisdictions, though some of those states have recently proposed legislation to legalize and regulate fantasy contests.⁷¹ Several other states, including California, Illinois, Massachusetts, and Pennsylvania, have proposed legislation to clarify and regulate fantasy contests. 72 Proposed legislation in Florida, Illinois, Louisiana, Missouri, Pennsylvania, and Washington uses language from the UIGEA to legalize and regulate fantasy contests. The proposed Illinois legislation is similar to the Florida bill. Amaryland and Kansas expressly legalized fantasy contests in 2012 and 2015, respectively. Currently, there is not a regulatory framework for fantasy contests in the State of Florida.

Effect of the Bill: Fantasy Contests

The bill creates s. 546.11-546.19, F.S., known as the "Fantasy Contest Amusement Act," to regulate fantasy contests. The bill provides requirements for fantasy contest operators, including registration requirements, and outlines penalties for violations of the provisions.

The bill defines the term "fantasy contest" to mean a fantasy or simulated sports game or contest where the contest participant manages and owns a fantasy or simulated sports team made up of human athletes or players that are members of an amateur or professional sports organization and that meets the following conditions:

- The value of all prizes and awards offered to winning players must be established and made known in advance of contest, and the value of such prizes may not be based on the number of contest participants or the amount of entry fees paid;
- Winning outcomes must reflect the relative knowledge and skill of the players and are determined by accumulated statistical results of the performance of human athletes or players;
- Winning outcomes may not be based on the score, point spread, or any performance of any single sports team or combination of such teams or solely on a single performance of a single human athlete or player in a single sporting event:
- Fantasy contests may not be based on the results of college or high school sports teams, athletes, or players; and
- Membership of a fantasy or simulation sports team may not be based on the current membership, or a majority of membership, of an actual team that is a member of a professional sports organization.

This definition generally follows the exception provided in the federal UIGEA.⁷⁴

The bill defines the term "fantasy contest operator" to mean a person or entity other than a noncommercial contest operator that offers fantasy contests requiring an entry fee for a cash prize to members of the general public. A fantasy contest operator must register with the Division of Regulation within the Department of Business and Professional Regulation to offer fantasy contests in the state and pay an initial registration fee. The initial registration fee is the lesser of:

- \$500,000; or
- Ten percent of the applicant's net revenue in the first year of operation, where net revenue is defined as the difference between the total amount of entry fees collected from contest participants in this state and the total amount of cash or equivalent prizes awarded to contest participants in this state.

⁷⁴ 31 U.S.C. § 5362(1)(E)(ix)(1).

STORAGE NAME: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX **DATE**: 2/24/2016

⁷¹ Iowa, Louisiana, and Montana brought forth unsuccessful legislation to clarify and regulate fantasy contests in 2015. Washington held a committee hearing on a bill to be introduced in the 2016 session.

⁷² See LEGAL SPORTS REPORT, http://www.legalsportsreport.com/dfs-bill-tracker/ (last visited Jan. 6, 2016).

⁷³ HB 4323 (IL 2016).

At the time of application, a contest operator must provide an estimate of its application fee based on its expected net revenue during the first year, and must provide written evidence to the division justifying the estimate. It must also include a payment equal to the estimated amount before any license may be granted.

The annual license renewal fee is the lesser of:

- \$100,000; or
- Ten percent of the contest operator's net revenue in the year after the license is renewed.

Upon applying for renewal of licensure, the contest operator must provide an estimate of its renewal fee based on its expected net revenue during the upcoming year, and must provide written evidence to the division justifying the estimate. It must also remit a payment in an amount equal to its estimated renewal fee, plus the difference between its actual application or renewal fee for the previous year and the estimated fee it paid at the time of licensure or renewal the previous year.

The bill requires that the division grant or deny a license within 120 days of receiving an application. If no action has been taking after 120 days, the application is deemed to be approved. The bill provides requirements for the contents of the application, including:

- The full name of the applicant;
- The names and addresses of officers, directors, and owners of 5% or greater equity;
- The names and addresses of the ultimate equitable owners if different than those listed above;
- The estimated number of fantasy contests to be held annually;
- · A statement of the assets and liabilities of the applicant;
- The names and addresses of officers and directors of any debtor of the applicant, if the division requires;
- A complete set of fingerprints for each officer and director of the applicant, which must be submitted to the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

A contest operator may not be licensed if the applicant or any officer or director of the applicant has been convicted of a felony in this state, or of a crime in another state which is a felony in this state, or if the division finds them not to be of good moral character. The contest operator must provide proof of a surety bond, payable to the state, in the amount of \$1 million. The division is authorized to suspend, revoke, or deny the license of any contest operator found to be in violation of the act or any rules promulgated therefrom.

A fantasy contest operator is required to implement the following consumer protection procedures:

- Restrict employees of the fantasy contest operator and certain relatives of such employees from competing in fantasy contests open to the public.
- Restrict fantasy contest operators from being a contest participant in the contest offered by the operator.
- Prevent employees of the contest operator from sharing confidential information that could affect fantasy contest play.
- Verify that contest players are 18 years of age or older.
- Restrict a person from entering a fantasy contest that is determined on the accumulated statistical results of a team of individuals in which the person is a player, game official, coach, owner or other participant.
- Allow a person to restrict or prevent his or her own access to a fantasy contest upon request.
- Disclose the number of entries that a fantasy contest player may submit to a fantasy contest and provide steps to prevent players from submitting more than the allowable number.
- Separate contest players' funds from operational funds and maintain a reserve.

STORAGE NAME: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX DATE: 2/24/2016

- Contract with a third party to perform an annual independent audit to ensure compliance with this section and submit the results to the division.
- Offer training to employees on responsible play and work with and fund a compulsive or addictive behavior prevention program using 7.5% of the proceeds from application and renewal fees.
- Prevent fantasy contests involving horseracing.

The division is authorized to adopt rules to enforce the provisions of the act, including the creation of recordkeeping and reporting requirements. It is also authorized to:

- Conduct investigations of fantasy contests;
- Review the books, accounts, and records of contest operators;
- Take testimony, issue summons and subpoenas; and
- Monitor and ensure safeguarding of fantasy contest entry fees.

The bill provides recordkeeping requirements for contest operators, including that such records be maintained for a minimum of 3 years, and that they contain sufficient detail for the division to determine whether the contest operator is in compliance with the law. The contest operator must make such records available for inspection by the division. It must also submit a quarterly report to the division containing such information as the division requires.

The bill provides that a contest operator or employee or agent thereof who violates the provisions in this bill is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed \$5,000 per violation, up to a cap of \$100,000, which shall accrue to the state and may be recovered through civil action brought by the division or the Department of Legal Affairs.

The bill provides that fantasy contests, as defined in the bill, which are conducted by a licensed contest operator or a noncommercial contest operator would be exempt from the provisions of:

- 849. 01, F.S., relating to the keeping of gambling houses;
- 849.08, F.S., relating to gambling;
- 849.09, F.S., relating to the prohibition of lotteries;
- 849.11, F.S., relating to games of chance by lot;
- 849.14, F.S., relating to bets on contests of skill; and
- 849.25, F.S., relating to bookmaking.

It provides that any contest operator who applies for licensure within 90 days of the act becoming law and who is granted a license within 240 days of the act becoming law shall not be subject to the penalties established in 546.18 for offering fantasy contests prior to 240 days after the effective date of the act.

Current Situation: Pari-mutuel Wagering

Licensed Pari-mutuel Wagering in Florida

In Florida, pari-mutuel wagering is authorized on jai alai, greyhound racing and various forms of horseracing and overseen by the Division. Chapter 550, F.S., provides specific licensing requirements, taxation provisions, and regulations for the conduct of the industry.

Pari-mutuel wagering activities are limited to operators who have received a permit from the Division, which is then subject to ratification by county referendum. Permitholders apply for an operating license annually to conduct pari-mutuel wagering activities, ⁷⁵ cardrooms, ⁷⁶ and slot machines. ⁷⁷

PAGE: 16

⁷⁵ s. 550.0115, F.S.

⁷⁶ s. 849.086, F.S.

Horse racing was authorized in the state in 1931. The state authorizes three forms of horse racing classes for betting: thoroughbred, harness, and quarter horse racing. Thoroughbred racing involves only horses specially bred and registered by certain bloodlines. The thoroughbred industry is highly regulated and specifically overseen by national and international governing bodies. Harness racing uses standard bred horses, which are a "pacing or trotting horse...that has been registered as a standardbred by the United States Trotting Association" or by a foreign registry whose stud book is recognized by the USTA.⁷⁸ Quarter horse racing involves horses developed in the western United States which are capable of high speed for a short distance.⁷⁹ They are registered with the American Quarter Horse Association.

Permit Applications

The Division approves pari-mutuel wagering permits. Generally, as long as the applicant meets statutory minimum requirements, the Division issues the permit. There is no application fee. While the Division is authorized to charge applicants for its investigation, it has not done so in recent years. It determines eligibility using existing resources.

The Division has issued 50 pari-mutuel wagering permits, and 5 non-wagering permits. There are 35 pari-mutuel permitholders currently operating at 29 facilities throughout Florida. Currently, 24 pari-mutuel facilities are operating cardrooms. There are eight pari-mutuel facilities that have been licensed to operate slot machines. Several locations have multiple permits that operate at a single facility. The breakdown by permit type is as follows:

- 19 Greyhound permits
- 5 Thoroughbred permits
- 1 Harness permit
- 5 Quarter Horse permits
- 8 Jai-Alai permits
- 1 track offering limited intertrack wagering and horse sales

Permit Revocation

Under certain circumstances in statute, a permitholder may lose his or her permit to conduct parimutuel wagering. If a permitholder has failed to complete construction of at least 50 percent of the facilities necessary to conduct pari-mutuel wagering within 12 months after approval by the voters of the permit, the Division shall revoke the permit after giving adequate notice to the permitholder. The Division may grant one extension of 12 months upon a showing of good cause by the permitholder.

If a permitholder fails to pay tax on handle for live thoroughbred horse performances for a full schedule of live races for two consecutive years, his or her permit is void and escheats back to the state, unless the failure of payment was due to events beyond the control of the permitholder. Financial hardship to the permitholder does not, in and of itself, constitute just cause for the failure to pay taxes in this section. There is a similar requirement for harness racing permitholders in s. 550.9512(3)(a), F.S. In the case of failure to pay taxes, the permit escheats to the state and may be reissued.

⁷⁷ s. 551.104, F.S.

⁷⁸ s. 550.002(33), F.S.

⁷⁹ s. 550.002(28), F.S.

⁸⁰ Florida Department of Business & Professional Regulation, Division of Pari-Mutuel Wagering, *Pari-Mutuel Permitholders with* 2015-2016 Operating Licenses, available at http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/MAP-Permitholders--WITH--2015-2016-Operating Licenses.pdf

⁸¹ s. 550.054(10), F.S.

⁸² s. 550.09515(3)(a), F.S.

Permit Relocation

Certain permitholders may relocate the location listed in their permit to a new location within 30 miles. Greyhound and jai alai permitholders operating in counties where they are the only permitholder of that class may relocate under s. 550.0555, F.S. Greyhound permitholders that converted their permit from a jai alai permit under s. 550.054, F.S., may relocate under that statute. A greyhound permitholder in a county where it is the only permitholder who operates at a leased facility may also relocate under s. 550.054, F.S.

In each of these cases, the relocation must not cross county boundaries and must be approved under the local zoning regulations. In relocation under s. 550.054, F.S., the Division is required to grant the application for relocation once the permitholder fulfills the requirements of the statute. Approval by the Division is required for relocations under s. 550.0555, F.S.

Permit Conversion

Certain permitholders may convert their permits, for instance, a permit for pari-mutuel wagering on jai alai may be converted to greyhound racing if the permitholder meets certain criteria.⁸³ In the past, quarter horse permits have been converted to limited thoroughbred permits,⁸⁴ jai alai to greyhound racing,⁸⁵ etc.

Permitholders may also convert to conduct summer jai alai, in certain circumstances. ⁸⁶ This provision, enacted in 1980, has been subject to competing interpretations. ⁸⁷ The bill enacting the provision included in a whereas clause a finding that "it would be to the best interests of the state to permit summer jai alai *so long as there is no increase in the number of permittees authorized to operate* within any specified county." The provision provides:

If a permitholder that is eligible under this section to convert a permit chooses not to convert, a new permit is made available in that permitholder's county to conduct summer jai alai games as provided by this section, notwithstanding mileage and permit ratification requirements. If a permitholder converts a quarter horse racing permit pursuant to this section, this section does not prohibit the permitholder from obtaining another quarter horse racing permit.

If the provision is interpreted to provide for the issuance of a new permit, it could be used to issue new permits as often as every two years.

Intertrack Wagering

Wagering on races hosted at remote tracks is called intertrack (when both tracks are in Florida) or simulcast (when one track is out of state) wagering. In-state 'host tracks' conduct live or receive broadcasts of simulcast races that are then broadcast to 'guest tracks,' which accept wagers on behalf of the host. To conduct intertrack or simulcast wagering, permitholders must conduct a full schedule of live racing and meet other requirements.⁸⁸

⁸⁸ See s. 550.615, F.S.

⁸³ s. 550.054(14), F.S., ruled an unconstitutional act by *Debary Real Estate Holdings, LLC v. State, Dept. of Business and Professional Regulation, Div. of Pari-Mutuel Wagering*, 112 So.3d 157, 168 (Fla. 1st DCA 2013).

⁸⁴ See s. 550.3345, F.S.

⁸⁵ ch. 89-219, Laws of Fla.

⁸⁶ s. 550.0745, F.S.

⁸⁷ Following rulings from the First and Third District Courts of Appeal, DBPR issued a new summer jai alai permit to the South Florida Racing Association in Miami-Dade county. *South Florida Racing Association, LLC v. Department of Business & Professional Regulation, Division of Pari-mutuel Wagering, Consent Order, Case No.* 2014-042577 (July 31, 2015).

A limited amount of intertrack wagering is also authorized by statute for one permanent thoroughbred sales facility. 89 In order to qualify for a license, the facility must have at least 15 days of thoroughbred horse sales at a permanent sales facility in this state for at least three consecutive years. Additionally, the facility must have conducted at least 1 day of nonwagering thoroughbred racing in this state, with a purse structure of at least \$250,000 per year for 2 consecutive years before application for a license.

A limited intertrack wagering licensee is limited to conducting intertrack wagering during:

- The 21 days in connection with thoroughbred sales;
- Between November 1 and May 8;
- Between May 9 and October 31, if:
 - No permitholder within the county is conducting live events.
 - o Permitholders operating live events within the county consent.
 - o For the weekend of the Kentucky Derby, the Preakness, the Belmont, and a Breeders' Cup Meet.

The licensee is further limited to intertrack wagering on thoroughbred racing, unless all permitholders in the same county consent. The licensee must pay 2.5 percent of total wagers on jai alai or greyhound racing to thoroughbred permitholders operating live races for purses.

Live Racing Requirements

To be eligible for a cardroom license, permitholders must conduct at least 90% of the performances conducted the year they applied for the initial cardroom license or the prior year, if the permitholder ran a full schedule of live performances. 90 To conduct intertrack or simulcast wagering, permitholders must conduct a full schedule of live racing as defined in ch. 550 and meet other requirements. 91 To continue to offer slot machines, permitholders must conduct a full schedule of live racing as defined in ch. 550.92

Effect of the Bill: Pari-Mutuel Wagering

Licensed Pari-mutuel Wagering in Florida

The bill amends s. 550.0251, F.S., providing that the Division shall make an annual report to the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives, in addition to current law that requires an annual report to the Governor.

The report shall include, at a minimum:

- Recent events in the gaming industry, including pending litigation, pending facility license applications, and new and pending rules.
- Actions of DBPR relative to the implementation and administration of ch. 550, F.S.
- The state revenues and expenses associated with each form of authorized gaming. Revenues and expenses associated with pari-mutuel wagering shall be further delineated by the class of license.
- The performance of each pari-mutuel wagering licensee, cardroom licensee, and slot licensee.
- A summary of disciplinary actions taken by DBPR.
- A summary of each permitholder's licensing history.
- Any suggestions to more effectively achieve the purposes of ch. 550, F.S.

PAGE: 19

s. 550.6308, F.S.

s. 849.086(5)(b), F.S.

See s. 550.615, F.S.

⁹² s. 551.104(1)(c), F.S.

Permit Applications

The bill provides that, effective upon becoming law, the Division may not approve or issue any new permit authorizing pari-mutuel wagering.

Permit Revocation

The bill provides additional basis for the Division to revoke a permit:

- If a permitholder has failed to obtain an operating license to conduct live events for a period of more than 24 consecutive months.
- If a permitholder has failed to conduct live performances within the 24 months prior to the effective date of the bill, unless the permit was issued under s. 551.1041, F.S., or if the permit was issued on or after July 1, 2015.
- If a permitholder fails to pay taxes and fees pursuant to s. 551.0951, chapter 551, or s. 849.086 for more than 24 consecutive months. This extends the existing requirement relative to thoroughbred and harness racing permits to all pari-mutuel wagering permits.

The bill specifies that permits revoked under these situations are void and may not be reissued.

The bill provides that approval may be obtained upon a request to place a permit in inactive status for up to 24 months. While in inactive status, the permitholder is ineligible for licensure for pari-mutuel wagering, cardrooms or slot machines.

Permit Relocation

The bill repeals all relocation provisions, with the exception of the provisions related to relocation for certain greyhound facilities. The bill additionally allows any greyhound permitholder which previously converted from a jai alai permit to relocate within 30 miles, as long as the new facility is in the same county, the department approves the move, the new facility is at least 10 miles from any other parimutuel, and, if there are 3 or more pari-mutuel facilities in the county, at least 10 miles from the mean high tide line of the Atlantic Ocean.

Permit Conversion

The bill repeals all conversion provisions.

Intertrack Wagering

The bill reduces requirements for intertrack wagering:

- Any track or fronton licensed under ch. 550, F.S., and any permitholder that does not perform a full schedule of live races, may receive broadcasts of any class of pari-mutuel race or game and accept wagers on such races or games.
- However, some permitholders may still need to obtain written consent if the same class of live race or game is conducted within the market area of the permitholder to accept intertrack wagers.

Limited Intertrack Wagering

The bill also reduces the requirements to obtain a limited intertrack wagering license:

- The number of days for public sales of thoroughbred horses is reduced from 15 to 8.
- The requirement to conduct at least one day of nonwagering racing is removed.
- Some restrictions on the conduct of intertrack wagering are removed.

STORAGE NAME: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX DATE: 2/24/2016

- The requirement to obtain consent of other county permitholders to accept intertrack wagers on non-thoroughbred events is removed.
- The restrictions on when intertrack wagering can be offered are removed, and the same restrictions that apply to other pari-mutuel permitholders will now apply.

Live Racing Requirements

The bill removes the live racing requirement for all harness, quarterhorse, and greyhound racing permitholders who meet minimum requirements, and for thoroughbred racing permitholders located in a county who have had an operating license for 25 years and a slot license for 5 years, and for jai alai permitholders who have had an operating license for at least 5 years and who are not authorized to operate a cardroom. The bill amends ch. 550, F.S., to provide conforming changes throughout the chapter to allow certain permitholders the ability to operate pari-mutuel wagering, cardrooms, and slots without live racing and provides the option for permitholders to choose whether to continue to conduct live performances or to conduct no live performance.

Thoroughbred Purse Pool

The bill creates a thoroughbred racing purse pool to be allocated to certain thoroughbred permitholders. The bill amends s. 285.710, F.S., and provides that, in addition to the three percent distributed to local governments, including counties and municipalities affected by the Seminole Tribe's operation of covered games, \$10 million of the amount paid by the Tribe to the state shall be designated as a thoroughbred purse pool. The purse pool shall be distributed equally to any thoroughbred permitholder that:

- Has conducted a full schedule of live races for 15 consecutive years preceding the 2015-2016 fiscal year;
- · Has never held a slot machine license; and
- Is located in a county in which class III gaming is conducted on Indian lands.

The permitholder that receives the allocation from the purse pool must use it for thoroughbred racing purses and the operations of the permitholder's thoroughbred racing facility, with at least 75% of the funds being used for purses.

The bill creates a new section, 550.1172, which provides for a new thoroughbred purse pool to be funded by 4% of operating revenues from card rooms operated by pari-mutuel permitholders that have decoupled. The funds from this program are distributed to thoroughbred racing permitholders running live performaces on a pro rata basis, based on the permitholder's share of total live throughbred race days during the state fiscal year.

Multijurisdictional simulcast and interactive wagering totalisator hubs

The bill creates s. 550.6347, relating to multijurisdictional simulcast and interactive wagering totalisator hubs. The bill defines a "multijurisdictional simulcast and interactive wagering totalisator hub" or "hub" as a business that, through a qualified subscriber-based service, conducts pari-mutuel wagering on the races that it simulcasts and other races that it carries in its wagering menu. It defines a "qualified subscriber-based service" as any information service or system that uses:

- A device or combination of devices authorized and operated for placing, receiving, or otherwise
 making a wager, and to which a person must subscribe in order to be able to place, receive, or
 otherwise make a bet or wager;
- · An effective customer verification and age verification system; and
- Appropriate security standards to prevent unauthorized access by any person who has not subscribed or who is a minor.

STORAGE NAME: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX DATE: 2/24/2016

The bill requires that each hub, officer of a hub, and employee of a hub, if such employee is located in this state, acquire an occupational license pursuant to s. 550.105(2)(a).

Each hub is required to pay a daily license fee of \$100 per day of operations. It must also pay a tax in an amount equal to 0.5% of the total wagers recorded on pari-mutuel events in this state.

The bill states that, except as otherwise provided, wagers placed through hubs are subject to s. 849.01, relating to the keeping of gambling houses. It also states that wagers may only be made through a hub by somebody in the enclosure of a licensed pari-mutuel facility, or by using a device owned or leased for at least 12 months by the person making the wager.

Other Changes to Pari-mutuel Wagering

The bill:

- Removes all tax credits for greyhound permitholders and revises the tax on handle for live greyhound racing and intertrack wagering from 5.5% to 1.28%;
- Repeals s. 550.1647, F.S., relating to tax credits for unclaimed tickets at greyhound facilities;
- Revises the requirements for a greyhound permitholder to provide a greyhound adoption booth at
 its facility, defines the term "bona fide organization that promotes or encourages the adoption of
 greyhounds," and requires sterilization of greyhounds before adoption;
- Creates s. 550.2416, F.S., requiring injuries to racing greyhounds be reported on a form adopted by the Division within a certain timeframe and specifying information that must be included in the form. It requires the Division to maintain the forms as public records for a specified time and specifies disciplinary action that may be taken against a licensee of DBPR who fails to report an injury or who makes false statements on an injury form. The Division may also fine, suspend, or revoke the license of any individual who knowingly violates any part of the section. It allows DBPR to adopt a rule defining "injury."
- Requires greyhound permitholders to offer certain simulcast signals if offering intertrack wagering and requires a greyhound permitholder to conduct intertrack wagering on thoroughbred signals to operate a cardroom.
- Allows a limited thoroughbred racing permitholder to apply to run live races under certain circumstances.

Current Situation: Slot Machines

Slot Machines in Florida

Racinos, pari-mutuel facilities that operate slot machine gaming, are governed by ch. 551, F.S. Eligible facilities are defined to include:

- Any licensed pari-mutuel facility located in Miami-Dade County or Broward County existing at the time of adoption of s. 23, Art. X of the State Constitution that has conducted live racing or games during calendar years 2002 and 2003 and has been approved by a majority of voters in a countywide referendum to have slot machines at such facility in the respective county;
- Any licensed pari-mutuel facility located within a county as defined in s. 125.011, F.S., provided such facility has conducted live racing for 2 consecutive calendar years immediately preceding its application for a slot machine license, pays the required license fee, and meets the other requirements of this chapter; or
- Any licensed pari-mutuel facility in any other county in which a majority of voters have approved slot
 machines at such facilities in a countywide referendum held pursuant to a statutory or constitutional
 authorization after the effective date of this section in the respective county, provided such facility
 has conducted a full schedule of live racing for 2 consecutive calendar years immediately preceding
 its application for a slot machine license, pays the required license fee, and meets the other
 requirements of this chapter.

STORAGE NAME: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX DATE: 2/24/2016

E NAME: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX PAGE: 22

Seven pari-mutuel facilities obtained eligibility through constitutional approval - the first clause. An additional pari-mutuel facility, Hialeah Park, was ineligible as it had not operated live racing or games during 2002 and 2003. It obtained eligibility through the second clause.

No facilities have obtained eligibility through the third clause; however, it has been subject to competing interpretations. Stakeholders and counties have argued that the phrase "after the effective date of this section" applies to "a countywide referendum held" - so any county could authorize slot machines relying on their general authority to hold referenda. Based on this interpretation, Brevard, Gadsden, Lee, Palm Beach, Hamilton and Washington counties, have approved slot machines at pari-mutuel facilities by referendum, but have not received a slot machine license.

The Attorney General rejected this interpretation, arguing that the phrase "after the effective date of this section" modified the phrase "a statutory or constitutional authorization" - so, counties could not rely on their general authority to hold referenda, instead needing a specific authorization to hold a referendum on the question of slot machines, which the Division followed. 94 Permitholders have disputed this interpretation and, after appealing one case to the 1st District Court of Appeal, cases are currently pending in the Florida Supreme Court and the 4th District Court of Appeal on the issue. 95 Were such gaming to occur, all revenue sharing would end under the 2010 Compact (if outside Miami-Dade or Broward Counties) and the 2015 Compact (if outside of Miami-Dade, Broward, or Palm Beach Counties). The 2010 Compact was ratified in the same law that effectuated the third clause.

Slot machine licensees are required to pay a license fee of \$2 million per fiscal year. In addition to the license fees, the tax rate on slot machine revenues at each facility is 35 percent. If, during any state fiscal year, the aggregate amount of tax paid to the state by all slot machine licensees in Broward and Miami-Dade counties is less than the aggregate amount of tax paid to the state by all slot machine licensees in the 2008-2009 fiscal year, each slot machine licensee must pay to the state, within 45 days after the end of the state fiscal year, a surcharge equal to its pro rata share of an amount equal to the difference between the aggregate amount of tax paid to the state by all slot machine licensees in the 2008-2009 fiscal year and the amount of tax paid during the fiscal year that resulted in the revenue shortfall.

To continue to offer slot machines, permitholders must conduct a full schedule of live racing. ⁹⁶ Additionally, thoroughbred permitholders must file an agreement between the track and the Florida Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association governing payment of purses on live thoroughbred races at the licensee's facility with the Division, as well as an agreement with the Florida Thoroughbred Breeders' Association on the payment of breeders', stallion, and special racing awards on those races. ⁹⁷ Similarly, quarter horse permitholders must file an agreement with the Division between the track and the Florida Quarter Horse Racing Association or the association representing a majority of the horse owners and trainers at the licensee's facility governing the payment of purses on live quarter horse races at the licensee's facility. ⁹⁸

STORAGE NAME: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX

^{93 2012-01} Fla. Op. Att'y Gen. (2012).

⁹⁴ Mary Ellen Klas, *Attorney General Opinion Puts Reins on Slots at Gretna Barrel Racing Track*, Miami Herald (Jan. 12, 2012), http://www.miamiherald.typepad.com/nakedpolitics/2012/01/attorney-general-opinion-puts-reins-on-gretna-barrel-racing-.html.
⁹⁵ The first district court of appeal certified a question to the Florida Supreme Court and the Florida Supreme Court has accepted jurisdiction. *See Gretna Racing, LLC v. Dep't of Bus. & Prof'l Regulation*, 178 So. 3d 15 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 2015) *review granted sub nom. Gretna Racing, LLC v. Florida Dep't of Bus. & Prof'l Regulation*, No. SC15-1929, 2015 WL 8212827 (Fla. Dec. 1, 2015).
⁹⁶ s. 551.104(1)(c), F.S.

⁹⁷ s. 551.104(10)(a)1, F.S.

⁹⁸ s. 551.104(10)(a)2, F.S.

Effect of the Bill: Slot Machines

The bill amends the definition of "eligible facility" to state that any licensed pari-mutuel facility may apply for and receive a license to operate slot machines if a majority of voters approve slot machines in a countywide referendum in the county where the facility is located before January 1, 2017, and if it meets other requirements of the law. Countywide referendums held prior to the effective date of the bill satisfy this requirement. A facility is not eligible for a license to operate slot machines if it is within 100 miles of the Hard Rock Hotel and Casino in Tampa.

Any facility which receives a license to operate slot machines pursuant to this change is limited to no more than 1,000 slot machines, effective January 1, 2017. Effective October 1, 2018, this limit is increased to 1,500. Additionally, the bill limits to 16,000 the cumulative total of slot machines at parimutuels in the state. If the total exceeds this number, each facility offering slot machines must reduce the number of machines based on its pro rata share of the total number of slot machines offered by pari-mutuels in the state.

The Division may not issue a license if such an issuance would trigger a reduction in revenue-sharing payments under the 2015 Compact.

The bill requires that the total tax revenue paid on slot machine operations by permitholders who receive their slot machine license subsequent to a countywide referendum held after January 1, 2012, exceed \$34.75 million in fiscal year 2018-2019, \$69.5 million in fiscal year 2019-2020, and \$121.4 million in fiscal year 2020-2021 and each fiscal year thereafter. If the actual taxes received by the state from such licensees is less than the required minimum, each such licensee must pay to the state an amount equal to its pro rata share of the difference between the required minimum tax payments and the actual aggregate tax payments from all such licensees.

New Slot Machine License in Miami-Dade County

The bill allows issuance of an additional slot machine license in a county as defined in s. 125.011, F.S., for the purpose of enhancing live pari-mutuel activity. Any pari-mutuel permitholder in that county that is not a slot machine licensee may apply for the license within 120 days after the effective date of the bill, upon payment of a \$2 million nonrefundable application fee. If there is more than one applicant, the license will be awarded by the division to the applicant that receives the highest score based on specified criteria. The bill does not specify the relative value or points that are attributable to the selection criteria.

The division must complete its evaluations at least 120 days after the submission of applications and notice its intent to award the license within that time. The time frames in the Administrative Procedure Act do not apply. Any protest of the intent to award the license will be heard by the Division of Administrative Hearings, and any appeal of a license denial must be made to the First District Court of Appeal. The division is authorized to adopt emergency rules, based on a legislative finding that such emergency rulemaking power is necessary for the preservation of the rights and welfare of the people in order to provide additional funds to benefit the public. The division is exempted from existing law requiring publication in writing at the time of, or prior to, its action, the specific facts and reasons for finding an immediate danger to the public health, safety, or welfare, and its reasons for concluding that the procedure used is fair under the circumstances. The emergency rules may be effective for longer than 90 days and may be renewed. The bill provides the emergency rules will remain in effect until replaced by other emergency rules or by rules adopted pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act.

Additional Changes to Slot Machines

The bill also:

Extends the hours of operation for all slot machine licensees from 18 to 24 hours 7 days a week.

- Lowers the tax rate on slot machine revenues from 35 percent to 30 percent effective January 1, 2017, with the option for a facility to acquire a tax rate of 25 percent, effective July 1, 2017, if the facility voluntarily elects to permanently reduce its authorized total number of slot machines to 1,700 machines or less. The tax rate on facilities licensed to offer slot machines after the effective date of the bill is 30%, and decreases to 25% effective July 1, 2017.
- Reduces the maximum number of slot machines that a facility may make available for play from 2,000 machines to 1,850 machines.
- Removes a prohibition against offering complimentary or reduced cost alcoholic beverages to
 persons playing slot machines and a prohibition against allowing an automated teller machine in the
 gaming area of a facility of a slot machine licensee.

Video Race Terminals

The proposed committee substitute does not authorize any facility in the state to offer video race terminals.

Blackjack at Pari-Mutuel Facilities

The bill authorizes certain pari-mutuel facilities in Miami-Dade and Broward Counties to offer house banked blackjack. Each such facility may have up to 25 tables of blackjack, and the maximum bet that may be placed is \$25. The tax rate is 10% of gross revenues.

Current Situation: Cardrooms

Cardrooms in Florida

Cardrooms were authorized at pari-mutuel facilities in 1996. 99 Cardrooms can only be offered at a location where the permitholder is authorized to conduct pari-mutuel activities. To be eligible for a cardroom license, permitholders must conduct at least 90% of the performances conducted the year they applied for the initial cardroom license or the prior year, if the permitholder ran a full schedule of live performances. 100

The cardrooms may operate 18 hours per day on Monday through Friday and for 24 hours per day on Saturday and Sunday. No-limit poker games are permitted. Such games are played in a non-banking matter, i.e., the house has no stake in the outcome of the game. Cardrooms must be approved by an ordinance of the county commission where the pari-mutuel facility is located. Each cardroom operator must pay a tax of 10 percent of the cardroom operation's monthly gross receipts.

Designated Player Games

Designated player games card games (also known as player-banked games) are card games where a designated player occupies the position of the dealer in a game. Other players compete against the designated player individually to determine the game's winner, and the designated player collects or pays out winnings from their own bank.

Several pari-mutuel facilities that also operate cardrooms in the state are currently operating designated player games. A pari-mutuel facility that operates a cardroom may only offer authorized games within the cardroom. An "authorized game" is defined as "a game or series of games of poker or dominos which are played in a nonbanking manner." The licensed cardrooms are prohibited from offering "banked" card games in which players bet against the house.

STORAGE NAME: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX

⁹⁹ s. 20, Ch. 96-364, Laws of Fla.

¹⁰⁰ s. 849.086(5)(b), F.S.

¹⁰¹ s. 849.086, F.S.

Under the Division's rule 61D-11.002, cardroom operators are required to determine house rules for the operation of designated player games. The house rules must establish uniform requirements to be a designated player, ensure that the opportunity to be the dealer rotates around the table, and not require the designated player to cover all wagers. From the play of designated player games, the pari-mutuel facilities have seen revenues at some facilities increase by up to 20 percent.

In October 2015, the Division proposed rules to ban designated player games and delete the requirements for operation of designated player games. After a rule challenge to the proposed rule, the Division revised its proposed rules to remove the prohibition against designated player games, but the proposed rule still deletes the cardroom requirements for designated player games. In January 2016, the Division issued administrative complaints against seven pari-mutuel facilities, stating that the facilities are "operating a banking game or a game not specifically authorized" by state law. The results of the complaints are pending.

The Seminole Compacts and Designated Player Games

The 2010 Compact specifically limits the type of banking games that may be authorized or offered in Florida without violating the exclusivity provisions of the Compact; however, it is unclear if a designated player game would violate these provisions and the 2010 Compact does not specifically address designated player games.

The 2015 Compact provides that games are banked if banked by either the house or player; however, "designated player games" as defined by the 2015 Compact <u>do not</u> violate the exclusivity provisions, so long as the designated player game is operated under certain conditions.

Under the 2015 Compact a "designated player" is "the player identified by a button as the player in the dealer position, seated at any traditional player position in a Designated Player Game, who is not required to cover all wagers."

Under the 2015 Compact, the term "designated player game" means "games consisting of at least three (3) cards in which players compare their cards only to those cards of the player in the dealer position, who also pays winners and collects from losers. The ranking of poker hands in such game(s) shall be consistent with the definition of traditional poker hand rankings provided in Hoyle's Modem Encyclopedia of Card Games, 1974 Ed."

The conditions under which designated player games are authorized include: 108

- The maximum wager in any game may not exceed \$25.
- The designated player must occupy a playing position at the table
- The designated player position must be offered after each hand, in a clockwise rotation, to each player.
- A player that participates as a designated player for 30 consecutive hands must play as a nondesignated player for at least 2 hands before resuming play as the designated player.

STORAGE NAME: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX

¹⁰² Rule 61D-11.002, F.A.C.

 $^{^{103}}$ \bar{Id} .

Kam, Dara, *Gambling operators outraged over card games*, Sun Sentinel, *available at* http://www.sunsentinel.com/business/consumer/fl-nsf-gambling-card-games-illegal-20151203-story.html, (last visited Feb. 4, 2016).

¹⁰⁵ Proposed Rule 61D-11.002, F.A.C. (Published in F.A.R. Oct. 19, 2015).

¹⁰⁶ Proposed Rule 61D-11.002, F.A.C. (Notice of Change. Jan. 15, 2016).

¹⁰⁷ Kam, Dara, *State targets pari-mutuels over card games*, Tampa Bay Business Journal, *available at* http://www.bizjournals.com/tampabay/news/2016/01/27/state-targets-pari-mutuels-over-card-games.html (last visited Feb. 4, 2016) and Administrative Complaints filed by the Division (Jan. 25, 2016)(on file with the Regulatory Affairs Committee). ¹⁰⁸ *Id.*

- A designated player may not be required to cover more than 10 times the minimum posted bet for players seated during any one game.
- Licensed pari-mutuel facilities that offer slot machines may not offer designated player games.
- Designated player game tables offered at a licensed pari-mutuel cardroom facility may not exceed 25 percent of the total poker tables authorized at the cardroom.

Effect of the Bill: Cardrooms

Cardrooms in Florida

The bill extends the hours of operation for all cardrooms from 18 to 24 hours 7 days a week, and removes restrictions on serving free alcoholic beverages and food at such facilities.

Designated Player Poker Games

The bill defines designated player poker games and restricts which cardroom operators and licensed pari-mutuel facilities may offer designated player poker games. The bill requires cardroom operators that offer designated player poker games to run game play according to requirements in the 2015 Compact and the Division rules.

The bill authorizes the Division to approve designated player games at cardrooms only if the games would not trigger a reduction in revenue-sharing payments under the Compact.

The bill defines a "designated player" as a "player identified as the player in the dealer position, seated at a traditional player position in a designated player poker game, who pays winning players and collects from losing players, but is not required to cover all wagers."

The bill defines a "designated player poker game" as a "game consisting of at least three cards in which the players compare their cards only to the cards of the designated player, and in which the hands are ranked consistent with the definition of traditional poker rankings provided in the 1974 edition of Hoyle's Modern Encyclopedia of Card Games."

The bill permits the Division to authorize cardroom operators to offer designated player poker games. The bill provides that designated player poker games offered by a cardroom operator may not make up more than 50 percent of the total authorized game tables.

The bill provides requirements for the operation of designated player games. The bill requires the designated player to occupy a playing position at the table and prohibits the cardroom from requiring a designated player to cover all wagers.

The bill prohibits a cardroom operator from serving as a designated player and from having a financial interest in a designated player.

Effect of the Bill: Other Provisions

The bill appropriates \$150,000 from the Pari-Mutuel Wagering Trust Fund to the Division of Pari-mutuel Wagering in the Department of Business and Professional Regulation in the 2016-2017 fiscal year to implement the state oversight provisions of the bill.

The bill provides that all provisions of the bill are severable.

The bill provides that other than the amendments to s. 285.710(1) and 285.710(3), the amendments made by the bill are contingent upon the December 7, 2015, Gaming Compact being renegotiated, ratified, and approved by the U.S. Secretary of the Interior. If this does not happen, none of the provisions of the bill shall become law.

STORAGE NAME: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX

The effective date of the bill is the later of July 1, 2016, or upon the approval of the December 7, 2015, Gaming Compact by the U.S. Secretary of the Interior.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 amends s. 285.710, F.S., ratifying and approving the Gaming Compact between the Seminole Tribe of Florida and the State of Florida under certain conditions; superseding a prior compact; directing the Governor to cooperate with the Tribe in seeking approval of the compact from the United States Secretary of the Interior; expanding the games authorized to be conducted and the counties in which such games may be offered; providing for a portion of the amount paid by the Tribe to the state to be designated as the thoroughbred purse pool share.

Section 2 amends s. 285.710, F.S., correcting a citation.

Section 3 creates s. 546.11, F.S., providing a short title.

Section 4 creates s. 546.12, F.S., providing legislative findings related to fantasy contests.

Section 5 creates s. 546.13, F.S., providing definitions.

Section 6 creates s. 546.14, F.S., requiring licensure for contest operators, requiring payment of application and renewal fees, providing the requirements for the contents of applications for licensure, providing that certain applicants are not eligible for licensure, requiring proof of a surety bond, and permitting the Division of Regulation within the Department of Business and Professional Regulation.

Section 7 creates 546.15, F.S., requiring contest operators to adopt a number of consumer protection practices, requiring the division to contract with a third party to provide services related to the prevention of compulsive and addictive play, and granting rulemaking authority to the division.

Section 8 creates s. 546.16, F.S., providing the division with authority to enforce the provisions of the act relating to fantasy contests.

Section 9 creates s. 546.17, F.S., requiring contest operators to keep records, make them available for inspection by the division, and submit a quarterly report to the division.

Section 10 creates s. 546.18, F.S., providing civil penalties for violation of the provisions of this act or any rule promulgated pursuant thereto.

Section 11 creates s. 546.19, F.S., providing that contest operators licensed pursuant to the act and noncommercial contest operators are not subject to certain provisions regulating gambling.

Section 12 provides contest operators who apply for and are granted a license within a certain time period are exempt from penalties for offering fantasy contests before receiving a license.

Section 13 amends s. 550.002, F.S., amending and creating definitions.

Section 14 amends s. 550.01215, F.S., revising provisions for applications for pari-mutuel operating licenses.

Section 15 amends s. 550.0251, F.S.; requiring the Division to annually report to the Governor and the Legislature; specifying requirements for the content of the report.

Section 16 amends s. 550.054, F.S., requiring the Division to revoke a pari-mutuel wagering operating permit under certain circumstances; prohibiting issuance or approval of new pari-mutuel permits after a specified date; providing exceptions; authorizing a permitholder to apply to the Division to place a STORAGE NAME: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX

permit in inactive status; revising provisions that prohibit transfer or assignment of a pari-mutuel permit; prohibiting transfer or assignment of a pari-mutuel permit or license under certain conditions; prohibiting relocation of a pari-mutuel facility, cardroom, or slot machine facility or conversion of pari-mutuel permits to a different class; providing for an exception; deleting provisions for certain converted permits.

Section 17 amends s. 550.0555, F.S., revising conditions under which certain pari-mutuel permitholders may relocate.

Section 18 repeals s. 550.0745, F.S., relating to the conversion of pari-mutuel permits to summer jai alai permits.

Section 19 amends s. 550.0951, F.S., deleting provisions for specified tax credits for a greyhound racing permitholder; revising the tax on handle for live greyhound racing and intertrack wagering if the host track is a greyhound track.

Section 20 amends s. 550.09512, F.S., providing for the revocation of certain harness horse racing permits; specifying that a revoked permit may not be reissued.

Section 21 amends s. 550.09514, F.S., deleting certain provisions that prohibit tax on handle until a specified amount of tax savings have resulted; revising purse requirements of a greyhound racing permitholder that conducts live racing.

Section 22 amends s. 550.09515, F.S., providing for the revocation of certain thoroughbred racing permits; specifying that a revoked permit may not be reissued; removing an obsolete provision.

Section 23 amends s. 550.105, F.S., requiring certain employees of multijurisdictional simulcast and interactive wagering totalisator hubs to obtain an occupations license.

Section 24 amends s. 550.1625, F.S., deleting the requirement that a greyhound racing permitholder pay the breaks tax.

Section 25 repeals s. 550.1647, F.S., relating to unclaimed tickets and breaks held by greyhound racing permitholders.

Section 26 amends s. 550.1648, F.S., revising requirements for a greyhound racing permitholder to provide a greyhound adoption booth at its facility; requiring sterilization of greyhounds before adoption; authorizing the fee for such sterilization to be included in the cost of adoption; defining the term "bona fide organization that promotes or encourages the adoption of greyhounds."

Section 27 creates s. 550.1752, F.S., providing for the creation of a purse pool for thoroughbred racing permitholders who conduct live performances, to be funded from a portion of cardroom revenues from certain permitholders.

Section 28 creates s. 550.2416, F.S.; requiring injuries to racing greyhounds to be reported within a certain timeframe on a form adopted by the Division; requiring such form to be completed and signed under oath or affirmation by certain individuals; providing penalties; specifying information that must be included in the form; requiring the Division to maintain the forms as public records for a specified time; specifying disciplinary action that may be taken against a licensee of the DBPR who fails to report an injury or who makes false statements on an injury form; exempting injuries to certain animals from reporting requirements; requiring the Division to adopt rules;

Section 29 amends s. 550.26165, F.S., conforming a cross-reference.

Section 30 amends s. 550.334, F.S., revising a requirement for quarter horse racing permitholders to conduct intertrack wagering.

STORAGE NAME: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX

Section 31 amends s. 550.3345, F.S., revising provisions for a permit previously converted from a quarter horse racing permit to a limited thoroughbred racing permit.

Section 32 amends s. 550.3551, F.S., revising conditions for receiving and accept wagers on out-of-state broadcasts of races and games; deleting a requirement that a harness permitholder conduct a certain number of races; deleting a provision that limits the number of out-of-state races on which wagers are accepted by a greyhound racing permitholder.

Section 33 amends s. 550.375, F.S., removing requirements related to a thoroughbred racing permitholder's application; conforming a cross-reference.

Section 34 amends s. 550.615, F.S., revising provisions relating to intertrack wagering.

Section 35 amends s. 550.6305, F.S., revising provisions requiring certain simulcast signals be made available to certain permitholders; providing for certain permitholders of a converted permit to accept wagers on certain rebroadcasts.

Section 36 amends s. 550.6308, F.S.; revising the number of days of thoroughbred horse sales required to obtain a limited intertrack wagering license; removing restrictions on the times at which a limited intertrack wagering licensee may offer intertrack wagering revising provisions for such wagering.

Section 37 creates s. 550.6347, F.S., providing definitions, regulations, and taxation for multijurisdictional simulcast and interactive wagering totalisator hubs.

Section 38 amends s. 551.101, F.S., revising provisions that authorize slot machine gaming at certain facilities.

Section 39 amends s. 551.102, F.S., revising the definition of the terms "eligible facility" and "slot machine licensee" for purposes of provisions relating to slot machines.

Section 40 amends s. 551.104, F.S., revising provisions for approval of a license to conduct slot machine gaming; specifying that certain pari-mutuel permitholders are not required to conduct a full schedule of live racing to receive and maintain a license to conduct slot machine gaming.

Section 41 creates s. 551.1041, F.S.; authorizing the Division to grant a slot machine license to a slot machine facility under certain circumstances; providing requirements for selection of the facility to receive such a license.

Section 42 creates s. 551.1044, F.S., authorizing banked blackjack at certain facilities licensed to offer slot machines in Miami-Dade and Broward Counties and providing for taxation of revenues received from blackjack operations.

Section 43 amends s. 551.106, F.S., revising the tax rate on slot machine revenues and providing a guaranteed minimum on tax revenues from new slot machines licensees.

Section 44 amends s. 551.114, F.S., revising the maximum number of slot machines that may be available; limiting the number of slot machines available for play at certain facilities; revising requirements for designated slot machine gaming areas; requiring certain permitholders to locate their slot machine gaming area in certain locations.

Section 45 amends s. 551.116, F.S., revising the times that a slot machine gaming area may be open.

Section 46 amends s. 551.121, F.S., allowing complimentary or reduced-cost alcoholic beverages to be served to persons playing slot machines.

STORAGE NAME: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX

Section 47 amends s. 849.086, F.S., revising definitions; defining the terms "designated player" and "designated player poker game"; exempting certain permitholders from a requirement that they conduct a minimum number of live races as a condition of cardroom licensure under certain conditions; revising times that a cardroom may operate; providing for the Division to authorize designated player poker games in certain cardrooms; providing requirements for such games; providing that such games may be authorized by the Division only if they would not trigger a reduction in certain payments; deleting provisions relating to a referendum election for the transfer of certain cardroom gaming licenses.

Section 48 provides that Division shall revoke any permit to conduct pari-mutuel wagering if the permitholder has not conducted live events within the 24 month immediately preceding the effective date of this act, unless the permit was issued under s. 551.1041, F.S., or if the permit was issued after July 1, 2015. A permit revoked under this section may not be reissued.

Section 49 provides severability.

Section 50 provides an appropriation to the Department of Business and Professional Regulation to implement the provisions of this bill.

Section 51 provides that, other than the sections directing that the compact is ratified under certain circumstances, all provisions of the bill are contingent upon the compact becoming effective.

Section 52 provides a contingent effective date.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

See FISCAL COMMENTS.

2. Expenditures:

See FISCAL COMMENTS.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

See FISCAL COMMENTS.

2. Expenditures:

See FISCAL COMMENTS.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

To the extent that the bill reduces current requirements for pari-mutuel wagering licensees, such as reduced requirements for operation by certain permitholders, and limited intertrack wagering licensees, it may reduce private sector costs through increased flexibility.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The Revenue Estimating Conference (REC) has not evaluated this bill, but has evaluated some components of this bill found in similar legislation. The fiscal impacts below are a combination of REC and staff estimates.

STORAGE NAME: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX

PAGE: 31

The fiscal impacts of the bill are dependent on whether or not the gaming compact with the Seminole Tribe is ratified with modifications as required by the bill. If a modified compact is not ratified then the bill will have no revenue or expenditure impact compared to current baseline revenue estimates. If the modified compact is ratified then the estimated revenue impacts are as displayed in the table below.

	General Revenue		State Trust Funds		Local Govt.		Total	
	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring	Cash	Recurring
2015-16	102.0				3.2		105.2	-
2016-17	163.2	222.4	(12.0)	74.2	5.3	3.4	156.5	300.0
2017-18	178.8	222.4	(19.2)	74.2	4.5	3.4	164.1	300.0
2018-19	191.3	222.6	21.3	74.2	2.9	3.4	215.5	300.2
2019-20	211.5	222.7	31.7	74.2	3.1	3.4	246.3	300.3
2020-21	255.8	222.7	32.0	74.2	4.5	3.4	292.2	300.3

In addition to the estimated impacts shown in the table, the provisions relating to authorization and regulation of fantasy sports contests and advance deposit wagering will result in new state expenditure requirements, but are also expected to generate additional revenues. The magnitude of both the expenditure and revenue impacts is unknown at present.

The bill appropriates \$150,000 from the Pari-Mutuel Wagering Trust Fund to the Division of Pari-mutuel Wagering in the Department of Business and Professional Regulation in the 2016-2017 fiscal year to implement the state oversight provisions of the bill.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not Applicable. This bill does not appear to affect county or municipal governments.

2. Other:

Retroactive Legislation

The bill directs the Division to revoke permits that have not been used for the conduct of pari-mutuel wagering on horseracing, jai alai and greyhound racing, as defined by the bill, during the 24 months preceding the effective date of this bill.

Such permitholders may claim that the retroactive application of this provision violates the Contract Clause of art. I, s. 10, U.S. Constitution, which prohibits states from passing laws which impair contract rights. However, the U.S. Supreme Court has found that "a lottery grant is not in any sense a contract, within the meaning of the constitution of the United States, but is simply a gratuity and license, which the state, under its police powers, and for the protection of the public morals, may at any time revoke, and forbid the further conduct of the lottery."

Compensation Claims

The bill directs the Division to revoke permits under specific situations. One of the provisions provides for the revocation of permits issued before January 1, 2012, that have not been used for the conduct of pari-mutuel wagering. Such permitholders may claim that such revocation constitutes a taking warranting compensation.

The Fifth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution provides that private property shall not be taken for public use without just compensation. "To have a property interest in a benefit, a person clearly

STORAGE NAME: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX

must have more than an abstract need or desire for it. He must have more than a unilateral expectation of it. He must, instead, have a legitimate claim of entitlement to it." Thus, Florida courts have found no unconstitutional taking in the retroactive application of statutes requiring revocation of certain occupational licenses and licenses to carry concealed firearms if the licensee was a convicted felon because such licensure is a privilege, not a vested right.

As to pari-mutuel wagering, "Florida courts have consistently emphasized the special nature of legalized racing, describing it as a privilege rather than as a vested right." Likewise, the Florida Supreme Court has found that "[a]uthorized gambling is a matter over which the state may exercise greater control and exercise its police power in a more arbitrary manner" Thus, the Florida Supreme Court found that, unlike permits to construct a building, "[i]t is doubtful if we can agree with counsel in concluding that a racing permit is a vested interest or right and after once granted cannot be changed." 113

Furthermore, compensation may not be warranted if the Legislature is deemed to have exercised its police powers, rather than powers of eminent domain. ¹¹⁴ "[T]he Government as condemnor may not be required to compensate a condemnee for elements of value that the Government has created, or that it might have destroyed under the exercise of governmental authority other than the power of eminent domain." ¹¹⁵ Thus, the loss of licenses to sell alcoholic beverages, for example, is not compensable. ¹¹⁶

Similar arguments have been made in states where pari-mutuel wagering has been prohibited after being licensed for many years. When Massachusetts banned greyhound racing by constitutional amendment in 2008, a licensed and operating dog track challenged the ban as a taking. The Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts rejected the argument, finding "[T]he plaintiffs here have no compensable property interest in their racing licenses."

If revoked permits are found to be a taking warranting compensation, just compensation equals the fair market value of the permit at the time of revocation. The fair market value of non-operating permits is uncertain. Such permits are a prerequisite to licensure for pari-mutuel wagering and, by themselves, do not appear to vest the holder with any rights. There are no application fees to receive a permit for pari-mutuel wagering and no fees to retain such a permit. Permits may not be transferred without state approval. While a pari-mutuel wagering permit is one pre-requisite to licensure to conduct cardrooms and slot machines, it is not the only pre-requisite. Not all permitholders may be able to obtain a license to conduct pari-mutuel wagering events, which would require adequate zoning and facilities.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The bill provides DBPR rulemaking authority to adopt rules to enforce various provisions of the act.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

¹⁰⁹ Board of Regents of State Colleges v. Roth, 408 U.S. 564, 577 (1972).

¹¹⁰ See, e.g., Crane v. Department of State, Div. of Licensing, 547 So.2d 266, 267 (Fla. 3rd DCA 1989), citing Mayo v. Market Fruit Co. of Sanford, 40 So.2d 555, 559 (Fla. 1949).

¹¹¹ Solimena v. State, Dept. of Business Regulation, Division of Pari-Mutuel Wagering, 402 So.2d 1240 (Fla. 3rd DCA 1981).

¹¹² Hialeah Race Course v. Gulfstream Park Racing Ass'n, 37 So.2d 692, 694 (Fla. 1948).

¹¹³ State ex rel. Biscayne Kennel Club v. Stein, 130 Fla. 517, 520 (Fla. 1938).

¹¹⁴ City of Miami Springs v. J.J.T., 437 So.2d 200 (Fla. 3rd DCA 1983) ("even the complete prohibition of a previously lawful and existing business does not constitute a taking where the owner is not deprived of all reasonable use of his property, as long as the prohibition promotes the health, safety and welfare of the community and is thus a valid exercise of the police power.").

115 U. S. v. Fuller, 409 U.S. 488, 491-492, 93 S.Ct. 801, 804 (U.S. Ariz.1973).

¹¹⁶ See, e.g., Yates v. Mulrooney, 281 N.Y.S. 216, 219 (N.Y. App. Div. 1935); Mugler v. Kansas, 123 U.S. 623, 668-70 (1887).

¹¹⁷ Carney v. Attorney General, 451 Mass. 803 (2008).

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

STORAGE NAME: pcs7109.FTC.DOCX DATE: 2/24/2016

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to gaming; amending s. 285.710, F.S.; ratifying and approving the Gaming Compact between the Seminole Tribe of Florida and the State of Florida provided certain conditions are met; superseding a prior compact; directing the Governor to cooperate with the Tribe in seeking approval of the compact from the United States Secretary of the Interior; expanding the games authorized to be conducted and the counties in which such games may be offered; providing for a portion of the amount paid by the Tribe to the state to be designated as the thoroughbred purse pool share; directing the state compliance agency to determine calculations for the thoroughbred purse pool share distributions; amending s. 285.712, F.S.; correcting a citation; creating s. 546.11, F.S.; providing a short title; creating s. 546.12, F.S.; providing legislative findings and intent; creating s. 546.13, F.S.; providing definitions; creating s. 546.14, F.S.; requiring contest operators to obtain licenses from the Division of Regulation of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation to conduct fantasy contests in the state; providing an application fee and annual license renewal fees; providing application requirements; requiring the division to approve or deny a license within a

Page 1 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

specified timeframe; providing that a complete application is deemed approved under certain circumstances; providing that persons or entities are not eligible for licensure under certain circumstances; requiring a contest operator to provide evidence of a surety bond; requiring the surety bond to be kept during the term of the license and any renewal term thereafter; creating s. 546.15, F.S.; requiring contest operators to implement certain procedures; requiring contest operators to contract for independent audits and to annually submit the results to the division; requiring contest operators to coordinate with a compulsive or addictive behavior prevention program and provide training to employees; requiring the division to contract for services related to the prevention of compulsive or addictive behavior; creating s. 546.16, F.S.; authorizing the division to adopt rules and perform certain duties; authorizing the division to suspend, revoke, or deny a license for certain violations; creating s. 546.17, F.S.; requiring contest operators to keep and maintain daily records and to make such records available for inspection; requiring contest operators to file a quarterly report; creating s. 546.18, F.S.; providing penalties; authorizing the division or the Department of Legal Affairs to bring certain civil actions;

Page 2 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

27

2829

30

3132

33

34 35

36

3738

39

40

4142

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51

52

creating s. 546.19, F.S.; providing that specified provisions of chapter 849, F.S., relating to gambling offenses, do not apply to fantasy contest operators complying with certain requirements or to noncommercial contest operators; prohibiting the Division of Regulation form penalizing an unlicensed contest operator for a specified period of time; amending s. 550.002, F.S.; revising the definition of the term "full schedule of live racing or games"; providing definitions for purposes of the Florida Pari-mutuel Wagering Act; amending s. 550.01215, F.S.; revising provisions for applications for pari-mutuel operating licenses; authorizing a greyhound racing permitholder to specify certain information on its application; authorizing a greyhound racing permitholder to receive an operating license to conduct pari-mutuel wagering activities at another permitholder's greyhound racing facility; authorizing the Division of Pari-mutuel Wagering of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation to approve changes in racing dates for greyhound racing permitholders under certain circumstances; exempting certain permitholders from specified live racing requirements; providing requirements for licensure of certain jai alai permitholders; deleting a provision for conversion of certain converted permits to jai

Page 3 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

53

54

55

56

57 58

59

60

61

62 63

64

65

66

67

68 69

70 71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

alai permits; authorizing certain thoroughbred racing permitholders to apply to conduct live performances under certain conditions; amending s. 550.0251, F.S.; requiring the division to annually report to the Governor and the Legislature; specifying requirements for the content of the report; amending s. 550.054, F.S.; requiring the division to revoke a pari-mutuel wagering operating permit under certain circumstances; prohibiting issuance or approval of new pari-mutuel permits after a specified date; providing exceptions; authorizing a permitholder to apply to the division to place a permit in inactive status; revising provisions that prohibit transfer or assignment of a pari-mutuel permit; prohibiting transfer or assignment of a parimutuel permit or license under certain conditions; prohibiting relocation of a pari-mutuel facility, cardroom, or slot machine facility or conversion of pari-mutuel permits to a different class; providing for an exception; deleting provisions for certain converted permits; amending s. 550.0555, F.S.; revising provisions for the relocation of certain jai alai and greyhound racing permits; repealing s. 550.0745, F.S., relating to the conversion of parimutuel permits to summer jai alai permits; amending s. 550.0951, F.S.; deleting provisions for specified tax credits for a greyhound racing permitholder; revising

Page 4 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

79

80

8182

83

84

85

86

87

88

8990

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98 99

100

101

102

103

104

the tax on handle for live greyhound racing and intertrack wagering if the host track is a greyhound track; amending s. 550.09512, F.S.; providing for the revocation of certain harness horse racing permits; specifying that a revoked permit may not be reissued; amending s. 550.09514, F.S.; deleting certain provisions that prohibit tax on handle until a specified amount of tax savings have resulted; revising purse requirements of a greyhound racing permitholder that conducts live racing; amending s. 550.09515, F.S.; providing for the revocation of certain thoroughbred racing permits; specifying that a revoked permit may not be reissued; removing an obsolete provision; amending s. 550.105, F.S.; providing for business, professional, and general occupational licenses for multijurisdictional simulcasting and interactive wagering totalisator hubs; amending s. 550.1625, F.S.; deleting the requirement that a greyhound racing permitholder pay the breaks tax; repealing s. 550.1647, F.S., relating to unclaimed tickets and breaks held by greyhound racing permitholders; amending s. 550.1648, F.S.; revising requirements for a greyhound racing permitholder to provide a greyhound adoption booth at its facility; requiring sterilization of greyhounds before adoption; authorizing the fee for such

Page 5 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

105

106107

108

109 110

111112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119 120

121

122

123

124125

126

127

128

129

130

sterilization to be included in the cost of adoption; defining the term "bona fide organization that promotes or encourages the adoption of greyhounds"; creating 550.1752, F.S.; providing for a thoroughbred purse supplement program in the division; providing for funding and distribution of such funds; authorizing the division to adopt rules; creating s. 550.2416, F.S.; requiring injuries to racing greyhounds to be reported within a certain timeframe on a form adopted by the division; requiring such form to be completed and signed under oath or affirmation by certain individuals; providing penalties; specifying information that must be included in the form; requiring the division to maintain the forms as public records for a specified time; specifying disciplinary action that may be taken against a licensee of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation who fails to report an injury or who makes false statements on an injury form; exempting injuries to certain animals from reporting requirements; requiring the division to adopt rules; amending s. 550.26165, F.S.; conforming a crossreference; amending s. 550.334, F.S.; revising a requirement for quarter horse racing permitholders to conduct intertrack wagering; amending s. 550.3345, F.S.; revising provisions for a permit previously

Page 6 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

converted from a quarter horse racing permit to a limited thoroughbred racing permit; amending s. 550.3551, F.S.; revising conditions for receiving and accepting wagers on out-of-state broadcasts of races and games; deleting a requirement that a harness permitholder conduct a certain number of races; deleting a provision that limits the number of out-ofstate races on which wagers are accepted by a greyhound racing permitholder; amending s. 550.5251, F.S.; revising the period within which a thoroughbred racing permitholder must file its application to conduct thoroughbred racing meetings; amending s. 550.615, F.S.; revising requirements for conducting intertrack wagering; amending s. 550.6305, F.S.; revising provisions requiring certain simulcast signals be made available to certain permitholders; providing for certain permitholders of a converted permit to accept wagers on certain rebroadcasts; amending s. 550.6308, F.S.; revising conditions for a person licensed to conduct public sales of thoroughbred horses to obtain a limited intertrack wagering license; revising provisions for such wagering; creating s. 550.6347, F.S.; directing the division to develop and adopt rules to license and regulate multijurisdictional simulcasting and interactive wagering totalisator hubs; providing

Page 7 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176177

178

179

180

181

182

definitions; providing requirements for operation of 183 184 such hubs; providing for fees and taxes; providing for 185 application of specified provisions; amending s. 186 551.101, F.S.; revising provisions that authorize slot 187 machine gaming at certain facilities; amending s. 188 551.102, F.S.; revising the definition of the terms "eligible facility," "slot machine license," and "slot 189 190 machine licensee" for purposes of provisions relating to slot machines; prohibiting locating eligible 191 192 facilities in certain areas; amending s. 551.104, 193 F.S.; revising provisions for approval of a license to 194 conduct slot machine gaming; specifying that certain 195 permitholders are not required to conduct a full 196 schedule of live racing to receive and maintain a 197 license to conduct slot machine gaming; conforming 198 provisions relating to payment of purses; creating s. 199 551.1041, F.S.; authorizing the division to grant one 200 additional slot machine license to a facility in a 201 specified county; providing for award of such license 202 if more than one permitholder applies; providing 203 procedures; authorizing the division to adopt 204 emergency rules; creating s. 551.1044, F.S.; providing 205 for certain pari-mutuel facilities to operate house-206 banked blackjack table games; providing a tax; 207 providing for application of specified provisions; 208 amending s. 551.106, F.S.; revising the tax rate on

Page 8 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

slot machine revenues; requiring a new facility quarantee fee to be paid by certain slot machine facilities; providing for calculation of the fee; amending s. 551.114, F.S.; revising the maximum number of slot machines that may be available; limiting the number of slot machines available for play at certain facilities; revising requirements for designated slot machine gaming areas; requiring certain greyhound racing permitholders to locate their slot machine gaming area in certain locations; amending s. 551.116, F.S.; revising the times that a slot machine gaming area may be open; amending s. 551.121, F.S.; removing a provision that prohibits complimentary or reducedcost alcoholic beverages to be served to persons playing slot machines; removing a provision that prohibits automatic teller machines in the gaming area; amending s. 849.086, F.S.; revising definitions; defining the terms "designated player" and "designated player poker game"; exempting certain permitholders from a requirement that they conduct a minimum number of live races as a condition of cardroom licensure under certain conditions; requiring certain greyhound racing permitholders to conduct intertrack wagering on thoroughbred signals as a condition of cardroom licensure; revising times that a cardroom may operate; providing for the division to authorize designated

Page 9 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

209

210

211212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

player poker games in certain cardrooms; providing requirements for such games; providing that such games may be authorized by the division only if they would not trigger a reduction in certain payments; revising provisions for use of cardroom receipts; requiring permitholders not conducting a full schedule of live racing or games to pay a portion of its cardroom receipts to the thoroughbred purse supplement program; removing a provision requiring an agreement between a permitholder and a horseracing association; directing the division to revoke certain pari-mutuel permits; specifying that the revoked permits may not be reissued; providing severability; providing an appropriation; providing contingent effective dates.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1) and subsections (3), (9), and (13) of section 285.710, Florida Statutes, are amended, and subsection (15) is added to that section, to read:

285.710 Compact authorization.-

- (1) As used in this section, the term:
- (a) "Compact" means the Gaming Compact between the Seminole Tribe of Florida and the State of Florida, executed on April -7, 2010.
 - (3)(a) A The Gaming Compact between the Seminole Tribe of

Page 10 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

Florida and the State of Florida, executed by the Governor and the Tribe on April 7, 2010, was is ratified and approved by chapter 2010-29, Laws of Florida. The Governor shall cooperate with the Tribe in seeking approval of the compact from the United States Secretary of the Interior.

- (b) The Gaming Compact between the Seminole Tribe of Florida and the State of Florida, which was executed by the Governor and the Tribe on December 7, 2015, shall be deemed ratified and approved if it is amended by an agreement between the Governor and the Tribe to incorporate the terms specified in paragraphs (c), (d), (e), (f), and (g). The amended Gaming Compact supersedes the Gaming Compact ratified and approved under paragraph (a). The Governor shall cooperate with the Tribe in seeking approval of the amended Gaming Compact from the United States Secretary of the Interior.
- (c) The December 7, 2015, Gaming Compact shall become effective after it is approved as a tribal-state compact within the meaning of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act by action of the United States Secretary of the Interior or by operation of law under 25 U.S.C. s. 2710(d)(8), and upon publication of a notice of approval in the Federal Register under 25 U.S.C. s. 2710(d)(8)(D).
- (d) The December 7, 2015, Gaming Compact must be amended to include provisions that all amendments made to chapters 285, 546, 550, 551, and 849 by this act are authorized under the Gaming Compact and do not impact the agreement's revenue sharing

Page 11 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

payments,	violate	the Ti	ribe's	exclusivity,	or	authorize	the
Tribe to	conduct o	online	gamino	J •			

- (e) The December 7, 2015, Gaming Compact must be amended to include provisions that the State of Florida shall grant to the Tribe the exclusive rights to:
- 1. Operate slot machines in Glades, Hendry, and Collier
 Counties and within that area of the state located within a 100mile radius of the Seminole Hard Rock Hotel and Casino-Tampa;
- 2. Operate banking or banked card games, including blackjack or 21, baccarat and chemin de fer in Glades, Hendry, Collier, and Hillsborough Counties; and
- 3. Operate dice games, such as craps and sic-bo, and wheel games, such as roulette and big six, in Broward, Glades, Hendry, Collier, and Hillsborough Counties.
- (f) The December 7, 2015, Gaming Compact must be amended to include provisions that, the State of Florida agrees that:
- 1. It will not approve any new pari-mutuel permits after the effective date of the amended Gaming Compact;
- 2. It will not approve any card game for play at parimutuel cardrooms not found in Hoyle's Modern Encyclopedia of Card Games, 1974 Edition;
- 3. The maximum cumulative number of slot machines available for play at pari-mutuel facilities located outside of the concession radius established in sub-paragraph (d)1. will not exceed a maximum of 16,000, and a pari-mutuel permitholder licensed to operate slot machines after the effective date of

Page 12 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

this act may not be licensed to operate more than 1,500 slot machines; and

- 4. A pari-mutuel facility may not operate slot machines unless it is located outside of the area specified in subparagraph (d)1. and has conducted a successful slot machine referendum before or within 180 days after the effective date of this act.
- (g) The December 7, 2015, Gaming Compact must be amended to state that relocation of a facility from one parcel of current Indian lands to any other noncontiguous parcel of Indian lands shall not be authorized. Any facility existing on Indian lands may only be relocated within a 1-mile radius on the same parcel of Indian lands on which it is currently located.

 Expansion or replacement of a facility on the same parcel of Indian lands on which it currently exists may be authorized.
- (9) The moneys paid by the Tribe to the state for the benefit of exclusivity under the compact ratified by this section shall be deposited into the General Revenue Fund.
- (a) Three percent of the <u>annual</u> amount paid by the Tribe to the state shall be designated as the local government share and shall be distributed as provided in subsections (10) and (11).
- (b) Ten million dollars of the annual amount paid by the Tribe to the state shall be designated as the thoroughbred purse pool share and shall be distributed as provided in subsection (15).

Page 13 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

- (11) Upon receipt of the annual audited revenue figures from the Tribe and completion of the calculations as provided in subsections (10) and (15) subsection (10), the state compliance agency shall certify the results to the Chief Financial Officer and shall request the distributions to be paid from the General Revenue Fund within 30 days after authorization of nonoperating budget authority pursuant to s. 216.181(12).
- (13) For the purpose of satisfying the requirement in 25 U.S.C. s. 2710(d)(1)(B) that the gaming activities authorized under an Indian gaming compact must be permitted in the state for any purpose by any person, organization, or entity, the following class III games or other games specified in this section are hereby authorized to be conducted by the Tribe pursuant to the compact:
 - (a) Slot machines, as defined in s. 551.102(8).
- (b) Banking or banked card games, including baccarat, chemin de fer, and blackjack or 21 at the tribal facilities in Broward County, Collier County, and Hillsborough County.
 - (c) Dice games, such as craps and sic-bo.
 - (d) Wheel games, such as roulette and big six.
 - (e) (c) Raffles and drawings.
- (15) Effective July 1, 2016, the calculations necessary to determine the thoroughbred purse pool share distributions shall be made by the state compliance agency. The thoroughbred purse pool share shall be distributed equally to any thoroughbred racing permitholder that has conducted a full schedule of live

Page 14 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

races for 15 consecutive years after June 31, 2000, has never operated at a facility in which slot machines are located, has never held a slot machine license, and is located in a county in which class III gaming is conducted on Indian lands, as long as the thoroughbred racing permitholder uses the allocation for thoroughbred racing purses and the operations of the permitholder's thoroughbred racing facility, with at least 75 percent allocated to thoroughbred racing purses.

Section 2. Subsection (4) of section 285.712, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

285.712 Tribal-state gaming compacts.-

(4) Upon receipt of an act ratifying a tribal-state compact, the Secretary of State shall forward a copy of the executed compact and the ratifying act to the United States Secretary of the Interior for his or her review and approval, in accordance with 25 U.S.C. s. $\underline{2710(d)(8)}$ $\underline{2710(8)(d)}$.

Section 3. Section 546.11, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

546.11 Short title.—Sections 546.11-546.19 may be cited as the "Fantasy Contest Amusement Act."

Section 4. Section 546.12, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

546.12 Legislative findings and intent.—It is the intent of the Legislature to ensure public confidence in the integrity of fantasy contests and fantasy contest operators. This act is designed to regulate fantasy contest operators and persons who

Page 15 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

participate in fantasy contests and to adopt consumer protections related to such contests. The Legislature finds that fantasy contests, as defined in s. 546.13, involve the skill of contest participants and do not constitute gambling, gaming, or games of chance.

Section 5. Section 546.13, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

546.13 Definitions.—As used in ss. 546.11-546.19, the term:

- (1) "Confidential information" means information related to participation in fantasy contests by contest participants which is obtained solely as a result of a person's employment with or work as an agent of a contest operator.
- (2) "Contest operator" means a person or entity other than a noncommercial contest operator that offers fantasy contests that require an entry fee for a cash prize to members of the public. Sections 546.11-546.19 apply solely to the specific products, services, or offerings of a person or entity that cause that person or entity to meet the definition of "contest operator" and do not extend to any other product or service offered by that person or entity.
- (3) "Contest participant" means a person who pays an entry fee for the right to participate in a fantasy contest offered by a contest operator.
- (4) "Division" means the Division of Regulation within the Department of Business and Professional Regulation.

Page 16 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

	(5)	"Entry	fee"	means	the o	cash	or	cash	equi	valent	re	quired
to	be paid	i by a	conte	st par	ticipa	ant	to a	cont	test	operato	or	for
the	right	to par	ticip	ate in	a far	ntas	у со	ntest	<u>.</u>			
	(6)	"Fanta	sy co	ntest"	means	s a	fant	asy o	or si	mulatio	on	game

- or contest in which a contest participant manages a fantasy or simulated sports team consisting of athletes or players who are members of an amateur or professional sports organization and which meets the following conditions:
- (a) All prizes offered to winning contest participants are established and made known to the contest participants in advance of the fantasy contest, and the value of such prizes is not determined by the number of contest participants or the amount of entry fees paid by such participants.
- (b) All winning outcomes reflect the relative knowledge and skill of contest participants and are determined predominantly by accumulated statistical results of the performance of the athletes participating in multiple real-world sporting or other events. A winning outcome may not be based:
- 1. On the score, point spread, or performance of a single real-world team or combination of such teams; or
- 2. Solely on the single performance of an individual athlete in a single real-world sporting or other event.
- (c) Fantasy contests may not be based on the results of college or high school sports teams, athletes, or players.
- (d) Membership of a fantasy or simulation sports team may not be based on the current membership, or a majority of

Page 17 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

membership, of an actual team that is a member of a professional sports organization.

- (7) "Net revenues" means an amount equal to the total entry fees collected from contest participants in this state by a contest operator during a 12-month period, less the total amount of cash or cash equivalent paid to contest participants in this state during the same period.
- (8) "Noncommercial contest operator" means a person who organizes and conducts a fantasy contest, or an entity who makes available a fantasy contest software platform, whereby participants may be charged fees for the right to participate; the fees are collected, maintained, and distributed by the same person; and all fees are returned to the participants in the form of prizes or other equivalent.

Section 6. Section 546.14, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

546.14 Licensing.-

- (1) A contest operator offering fantasy contests with an entry fee to persons in this state must complete and submit an application to the division for a license to conduct such fantasy contests.
- (2)(a) At the time of initial application for license, the contest operator shall provide the division with an estimate of the application fee calculated pursuant to paragraph (b), in addition to written evidence supporting the estimate, and shall pay the estimated fee to the division. A license may not be

Page 18 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

issued unless the application fee is paid.

- (b) The application fee shall be the lesser of:
- 1. Five hundred thousand dollars; or
- 2. Ten percent of the contest operator's estimated net revenues for 12 months after the date the license is issued.
- (3) (a) At the time of application for the annual renewal of a license, the contest operator shall provide the division with evidence of the actual net revenues collected during the previous licensure period, an estimate of the license renewal fee calculated pursuant to paragraph (b), and written evidence supporting the estimate. The contest operator shall pay to the division an amount equal to the difference between the actual application fee or renewal fee for the previous licensure period and the estimated application fee paid at the time of the previous application, plus the estimated license renewal fee for the upcoming licensure period. A license may not be renewed unless the application fee is paid.
 - (b) The annual license renewal fee shall be the lesser of:
 - 1. One hundred thousand dollars; or
- 2. Ten percent of the contest operator's estimated net revenues for 12 months after the date the license is renewed.
- (4) An application for a contest operator's license is exempt from the 90-day licensing requirement of s. 120.60.

 Within 120 days after receipt of a complete application, the division shall approve or deny the license. A complete application that is not acted upon within 120 days after receipt

Page 19 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

is	deemed	approved,	and	the	division	shall	issue	the	license.

- (5) An application for a contest operator's license must include:
 - (a) The full name of the applicant.
- (b) If the applicant is a corporation, the name of the state in which it is incorporated and the names and addresses of the officers, directors, and shareholders of the corporation who hold 5 percent or more equity in the corporation. If the applicant is a business entity other than a corporation, the names and addresses of the principals, partners, or shareholders who hold 5 percent or more equity in the entity.
- (c) If the applicant is a corporation or other business entity, the names and addresses of the ultimate equitable owners of the corporation or entity, if different from those provided under paragraph (b), unless the securities of the corporation or entity are registered pursuant to s. 12 of the Securities

 Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. ss. 78a-78kk, and:
- 1. The corporation or entity files the reports required by s. 13 of such federal act with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission; or
- 2. The securities of the corporation or entity are regularly traded on an established securities market in the United States.
- (d) The estimated number of fantasy contests that the applicant will annually conduct.
 - (e) A statement of the applicant's assets and liabilities.

Page 20 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

- (f) If applicable and required by the division, the names and addresses of the officers and directors of any debtor of the applicant and the names and addresses of any stockholder who holds more than 10 percent of the stock of the debtor.
- officer or director, a complete set of fingerprints taken by an authorized law enforcement officer. Such fingerprints must be submitted to the Federal Bureau of Investigation for processing. Foreign nationals shall submit such documents as necessary to allow the division to conduct criminal history records checks in the person's home country. The applicant must pay all costs of fingerprint processing, and the division may charge a \$2 handling fee for each set of fingerprints.
- (6) A person, corporation, or entity is not eligible for a contest operator's license or the renewal of such license if the person or an officer or a director of the corporation or entity has been convicted of a felony in this state, a felony in another state which would be a felony if committed in this state, or a felony under the laws of the United States, or has been determined by the division after investigation not to be of good moral character. For purposes of this subsection, the term "convicted" means having been found guilty, regardless of adjudication of guilt, as a result of a jury verdict, nonjury trial, or entry of a plea of guilty or nolo contendere.
- (7) An applicant for a contest operator's license shall provide evidence of a surety bond in the amount of \$1 million,

Page 21 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

payable to the state, furnished by a corporate surety authorized to do business in the state in such a form as established by division rule. Such bond shall be kept in full force and effect by the contest operator during the term of the license and any renewal thereof.

Section 7. Section 546.15, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

546.15 Consumer protection.—

- (1) A contest operator that charges an entry fee to contest participants shall implement commercially reasonable procedures for its fantasy contests with an entry fee that are intended to:
- (a) Prevent an employee of the contest operator and relatives of such employee residing in the same household as the employee from participating in a fantasy contest which is open to the public.
- (b) Prohibit the contest operator from participating as a contest participant in a fantasy contest offered by the contest operator.
- (c) Prevent an employee or agent of the contest operator from sharing confidential information with third parties which could affect fantasy contests until the information is made publicly available.
- (d) Verify that each contest participant is 18 years of age or older.
 - (e) Restrict a person who is a player, game official, or

Page 22 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

550 b 551

other participant in a real-world sporting or other event from participating in a fantasy contest that is determined in whole or in part on the person's performance, the performance of the person's real-world team, or the accumulated statistical results of the real-world sporting or other event in which the person is a player, game official, or other participant.

- (f) Allow a person to restrict or prevent his or her own access to a fantasy contest and take reasonable steps to prevent himself or herself from entering a fantasy contest.
- (g) Disclose the number of entries that a single contest participant may submit to each fantasy contest and take reasonable steps to prevent contest participants from submitting more than the allowable number of entries.
- (h) Segregate contest participants' funds from operational funds and maintain a reserve in the form of cash or cash equivalent, an irrevocable letter of credit, a bond, or a combination thereof, in the total amount of the deposits in contest participants' accounts, for the benefit and protection of authorized contest participants' funds held in the contest participants' accounts.
 - (i) Prevent fantasy contests involving horseracing.
- (2) For fantasy contests requiring an entry fee, a contest operator must annually contract with a third party to perform an independent audit, consistent with standards established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, to ensure the contest operator's compliance with ss. 546.11-546.19. The contest

Page 23 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

operator must annually submit the results of the independent audit to the division.

- (3) (a) A contest operator must provide training to employees on responsible play and practices and coordinate with the compulsive or addictive behavior prevention program implemented pursuant to this subsection to recognize problem situations, implement responsible play and practices, and implement protections for underage participants.
- (b) The division shall, subject to competitive bidding, contract for services related to the prevention of compulsive or addictive behavior related to fantasy contests. The contract shall provide for an advertising program to encourage responsible play and practices and to publicize a telephone help line and shall include accountability standards that must be met by any private provider. Failure of a private provider to meet any material terms of the contract, including the accountability standards, constitutes a breach of contract or grounds for nonrenewal.
- (c) The compulsive or addictive behavior prevention program shall be funded by the allocation of 7.5 percent of initial application fees and 7.5 percent of any subsequent annual license renewal fees paid by contest operators to the division.

Section 8. Section 546.16, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

546.16 Authority of the division.—The division is

Page 24 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

625	responsible for the administration and enforcement of ss.
626	546.11-546.19. The division is authorized to:
627	(1) Adopt rules for the administration and enforcement of
628	ss. 546.11-546.19. Such rules shall include, but need not be
629	limited to, procedures for the operation of fantasy contests,
630	recordkeeping and reporting requirements for contest operators,
631	and procedures for the collection of entry fees.
632	(2) Perform any other duties authorized by the Secretary
633	of Business and Professional Regulation.
634	(3) Conduct investigations and monitor the operation of
635	fantasy contests.
636	(4) Review the books, accounts, and records of any current
637	or former contest operator.
638	(5) Suspend, revoke, or deny, after hearing, the license
639	of a contest operator that violates ss. 546.11-546.19 or rules
640	adopted thereunder by the division.
641	(6) Take testimony and issue summons, subpoenas, and
642	subpoenas duces tecum in connection with any matter related to
643	the administration or enforcement of ss. 546.11-546.19.
644	(7) Monitor and enforce the collection and safeguard of
645	contest entry fees, the payment of contest prizes, and the
646	consumer protection provisions of s. 546.15.
647	(8) Coordinate with other department personnel as needed
648	to assist in the administration and enforcement of ss. 546.11-

Page 25 of 122

Section 9. Section 546.17, Florida Statutes, is created to

PCS for HB 7109

546.19.

649

650

651 read:

546.17 Records and reports.-

(1) Each contest operator shall keep and maintain daily records of its operations relevant to compliance with ss.

546.14-546.16 and shall maintain such records for at least 3 years. Such records shall include all financial transactions and contain sufficient detail to determine compliance with the requirements of this section. All records shall be available for audit and inspection by the division or other law enforcement agencies during the contest operator's regular business hours. The information required in such records shall be determined by division rule.

(2) Each contest operator shall file a quarterly report with the division that includes such required records and any additional information deemed necessary by the division. The report shall be submitted in the format prescribed by the division which, once filed, becomes a public record.

Section 10. Section 546.18, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

546.18 Penalties.—In addition to other applicable civil, administrative, and criminal penalties, a contest operator, or an employee or agent thereof that violates ss. 546.11-546.19 is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed \$5,000 for each violation, and not to exceed \$100,000 in the aggregate, which shall accrue to the state and may be recovered in a civil action brought by the division or the Department of Legal Affairs in

Page 26 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

677 circuit court in the name and on behalf of the state; the same
678 to be applied when collected as all other penal forfeitures are
679 disposed of.

Section 11. Section 546.19, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

546.19 Exemption.—Fantasy contests conducted in accordance with ss. 546.11-546.19 by a contest operator licensed in accordance with ss. 546.11-546.19, or by a noncommercial contest operator, are not subject to ss. 849.01, 849.08, 849.09, 849.11, 849.14, or 849.25.

Section 12. The Division of Regulation of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation may not penalize an unlicensed contest operator for offering fantasy contests within 240 days after the effective date of this act, if the contest operator applies for a license within 90 days after the effective date of this act and is issued such license within 240 days after the effective date of this act.

Section 13. Subsections (11) through (39) of section 550.002, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

550.002 Definitions.—As used in this chapter, the term:

(11)(a) "Full schedule of live racing or games" means:

1. For a greyhound <u>racing permitholder</u> or jai alai permitholder, the conduct of a combination of at least 100 live evening or matinee performances. <u>during the preceding year; for a permitholder who has a converted permit or filed an application on or before June 1, 1990, for a converted permit,</u>

Page 27 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

the conduct of a combination of at least 100 live evening and matinee wagering performances during either of the 2 preceding vears;

- 2. For a jai alai permitholder that who does not operate slot machines in its pari-mutuel facility, who has conducted at least 100 live performances per year for at least 10 years after December 31, 1992, and has had whose handle on live jai alai games conducted at its pari-mutuel facility which was has been less than \$4 million per state fiscal year for at least 2 consecutive years after June 30, 1992, the conduct of a combination of at least 40 live evening or matinee performances. during the preceding year;
- 3. For a jai alai permitholder that who operates slot machines in its pari-mutuel facility, the conduct of a combination of at least 150 performances. during the preceding year;
- 4. For a summer jai alai permitholder, authorized pursuant to former s. 550.0745, Florida Statutes, 2015, as created by s. 14, chapter 1992-348, Laws of Florida, the conduct of at least 58 live performances during the preceding year, unless the permitholder meets the requirements of subparagraph 2.
- 5. For a harness <u>racing</u> permitholder, the conduct of at least 100 live regular wagering performances. during the preceding year;
- $\underline{6.}$ For a quarter horse $\underline{\text{racing}}$ permitholder at its facility, unless an alternative schedule of at least 20 live

Page 28 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

regular wagering performances <u>each year</u> is agreed upon by the permitholder and either the Florida Quarter Horse Racing Association or the <u>horsemen horsemen's</u> association representing the majority of the quarter horse owners and trainers at the facility and filed <u>with the division along</u> with its annual <u>operating license date</u> application:

- <u>a.</u> In the 2010-2011 fiscal year, the conduct of at least 20 regular wagering performances. τ
- $\underline{\text{b.}}$ In the 2011-2012 and 2012-2013 fiscal years, the conduct of at least 30 live regular wagering performances., and
- $\underline{\text{c.}}$ For every fiscal year after the 2012-2013 fiscal year, the conduct of at least 40 live regular wagering performances.+
- 7. For a quarter horse <u>racing</u> permitholder leasing another licensed racetrack, the conduct of 160 events at the leased facility during the preceding year. ; and
- 8. For a thoroughbred <u>racing</u> permitholder, the conduct of at least 40 live regular wagering performances during the preceding year.
- (b) For a permitholder which is restricted by statute to certain operating periods within the year when other members of its same class of permit are authorized to operate throughout the year, the specified number of live performances which constitute a full schedule of live racing or games shall be adjusted pro rata in accordance with the relationship between its authorized operating period and the full calendar year and the resulting specified number of live performances shall

Page 29 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

constitute the full schedule of live games for such permitholder and all other permitholders of the same class within 100 air miles of such permitholder. A live performance must consist of no fewer than eight races or games conducted live for each of a minimum of three performances each week at the permitholder's licensed facility under a single admission charge.

- (12) "Greyhound racing permitholder" means any entity permitted under this chapter to conduct pari-mutuel wagering meets of greyhound racing, regardless of whether the permitholder indicates that it will conduct live racing on its annual operating license application.
- (13) (12) "Guest track" means a track or fronton receiving or accepting an intertrack wager.
- $\underline{(14)}$ "Handle" means the aggregate contributions to pari-mutuel pools.
- (15) (14) "Harness racing" means a type of horseracing which is limited to standardbred horses using a pacing or trotting gait in which each horse pulls a two-wheeled cart called a sulky guided by a driver.
- (16) "Harness racing permitholder" means any entity permitted under this chapter to conduct pari-mutuel wagering meets of harness racing, regardless of whether the permitholder indicates that it will conduct live racing on its annual operating license application.
- (17) "Horserace permitholder" means any thoroughbred entity permitted under the provisions of this chapter to conduct

Page 30 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

pari-mutuel wagering meets of thoroughbred racing; any harness entity permitted under this chapter to conduct pari-mutuel wagering meets of harness racing; or any quarter horse entity permitted under this chapter to conduct pari-mutuel wagering meets of quarter horse racing.

- (18) "Host track" means a track or fronton conducting a live or simulcast race or game that is the subject of an intertrack wager.
- (19)(17) "Intertrack wager" means a particular form of pari-mutuel wagering in which wagers are accepted at a permitted, in-state track, fronton, or pari-mutuel facility on a race or game transmitted from and performed live at, or simulcast signal rebroadcast from, another in-state pari-mutuel facility.
- (20) (18) "Jai alai" or "pelota" means a ball game of Spanish origin played on a court with three walls.
- (21) "Jai alai permitholder" means any entity permitted under this chapter to conduct pari-mutuel wagering meets of jai alai games, regardless of whether the permitholder indicates that it will conduct live jai alai games on its annual operating license.
- $\underline{(22)}$ (19) "Market area" means an area within 25 miles of a permitholder's track or fronton.
- $\underline{(23)}$ "Meet" or "meeting" means the conduct of live racing or jai alai for any stake, purse, prize, or premium.
 - (24) (39) "Net pool pricing" means a method of calculating

Page 31 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

798.

prices awarded to winning wagers relative to the contribution, net of takeouts, to a pool by each participating jurisdiction or, as applicable, site.

- (25) (21) "Operating day" means a continuous period of 24 hours starting with the beginning of the first performance of a race or game, even though the operating day may start during one calendar day and extend past midnight except that no greyhound race or jai alai game may commence after 1:30 a.m.
- (26) (22) "Pari-mutuel" means a system of betting on races or games in which the winners divide the total amount bet, after deducting management expenses and taxes, in proportion to the sums they have wagered individually and with regard to the odds assigned to particular outcomes.
- (27) (23) "Pari-mutuel facility" means a racetrack, fronton, or other facility used by a permitholder for the conduct of pari-mutuel wagering.
- (28) (24) "Pari-mutuel wagering pool" means the total amount wagered on a race or game for a single possible result.
- (29) (25) "Performance" means a series of events, races, or games performed consecutively under a single admission charge.
- (30) "Post time" means the time set for the arrival at the starting point of the horses or greyhounds in a race or the beginning of a game in jai alai.
- $\underline{(31)}$ "Purse" means the cash portion of the prize for which a race or game is contested.
 - (32)(28) "Quarter horse" means a breed of horse developed

Page 32 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815816

817

818819

820

821822

823824

825

826827

828

829

830831

832

in the western United States which is capable of high speed for a short distance and used in quarter horse racing registered with the American Quarter Horse Association.

- (33) "Quarter horse racing permitholder" means any entity permitted under this chapter to conduct pari-mutuel wagering meets of quarter horse racing, regardless of whether the permitholder indicates that it will conduct live racing on its annual operating license application.
- (34)(29) "Racing greyhound" means a greyhound that is or was used, or is being bred, raised, or trained to be used, in racing at a pari-mutuel facility and is registered with the National Greyhound Association.
- (35) (30) "Regular wagering" means contributions to parimutuel pools involving wagering on a single entry in a single race, or a single jai alai player or team in a single game, such as the win pool, the place pool, or the show pool.
- (36)(31) "Same class of races, games, or permit" means, with respect to a jai alai permitholder, jai alai games or other jai alai permitholders; with respect to a greyhound permitholder, greyhound races or other greyhound racing permitholders; with respect to a thoroughbred racing permitholder, thoroughbred races or other thoroughbred racing permitholders; with respect to a harness racing permitholder, harness races or other harness racing permitholders; with respect to a quarter horse racing permitholder, quarter horse races or other quarter horse racing permitholders.

Page 33 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

(37)(32) "Simulcasting" means broadcasting events occurring live at an in-state location to an out-of-state location, or receiving at an in-state location events occurring live at an out-of-state location, by the transmittal, retransmittal, reception, and rebroadcast of television or radio signals by wire, cable, satellite, microwave, or other electrical or electronic means for receiving or rebroadcasting the events.

(38) (33) "Standardbred horse" means a pacing or trotting horse that is used in harness racing and that has been registered as a standardbred by the United States Trotting Association or by a foreign registry whose stud book is recognized by the United States Trotting Association.

(39) "Takeout" means the percentage of the pari-mutuel pools deducted by the permitholder prior to the distribution of the pool.

(40)(35) "Thoroughbred" means a purebred horse whose ancestry can be traced back to one of three foundation sires and whose pedigree is registered in the American Stud Book or in a foreign stud book that is recognized by the Jockey Club and the International Stud Book Committee.

(41) "Thoroughbred racing permitholder" means any entity permitted under this chapter to conduct pari-mutuel wagering meets of thoroughbred racing, regardless of whether the permitholder indicates that it will conduct live racing on its annual operating license application.

Page 34 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

(42)(36) "Totalisator" means the computer system used to accumulate wagers, record sales, calculate payoffs, and display wagering data on a display device that is located at a parimutuel facility.

(43) (37) "Ultimate equitable owner" means a natural person who, directly or indirectly, owns or controls 5 percent or more of an ownership interest in a corporation, foreign corporation, or alien business organization, regardless of whether such person owns or controls such ownership through one or more natural persons or one or more proxies, powers of attorney, nominees, corporations, associations, partnerships, trusts, joint stock companies, or other entities or devices, or any combination thereof.

(44) (38) "Year," for purposes of determining a full schedule of live racing, means the state fiscal year.

Section 14. Subsections (1), (3), and (6) of section 550.01215, Florida Statutes, are amended, and subsection (7) is added to that section, to read:

550.01215 License application; periods of operation; bond, conversion of permit.—

(1) Each permitholder shall annually, during the period between December 15 and January 31 4, file in writing with the division its application for an operating a license for to conduct performances during the next state fiscal year. Each application for live performances must shall specify the number, and dates, and starting times of all live performances that

Page 35 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

886[°]

which the permitholder intends to conduct. It $\underline{\text{must}}$ shall also specify which performances will be conducted as charity or scholarship performances.

- (a) In addition, Each application for an operating a
 license must also shall include:
- $\underline{\text{1. Whether the For each}}$ permitholder $\underline{\text{which}}$ elects $\underline{\text{to}}$ accept wagers on broadcast events.
- 2. For each permitholder that elects to operate a cardroom, the dates and periods of operation the permitholder intends to operate the cardroom. or,
- 3. For each thoroughbred racing permitholder that which elects to receive or rebroadcast out-of-state races after 7 p.m., the dates for all performances which the permitholder intends to conduct.
- 4. Whether the permitholder intends to conduct live racing.
- 5. Whether the permitholder wants to place the permit into inactive status for a period of 12 months pursuant to division rule.
- (b)1. A greyhound racing permitholder that conducted a full schedule of live racing for a period of at least 10 consecutive state fiscal years after the 1996-1997 state fiscal year, or that converted its permit to a permit to conduct greyhound racing after the 1996-1997 state fiscal year, may specify in its annual application for an operating license that it does not intend to conduct live racing, or that it intends to

Page 36 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

conduct less than a full schedule of live racing, in the next state fiscal year. A greyhound racing permitholder may receive an operating license to conduct pari-mutuel wagering activities at another permitholder's greyhound racing facility pursuant to s. 550.475.

- 2. Any harness racing permitholder and any quarter horse racing permitholder that has held an operating license for at least 5 years and a cardroom license for at least 2 years is exempt from the live racing requirements of this subsection and may specify in its annual application for an operating license that it does not intend to conduct live racing, or that it intends to conduct less than a full schedule of live racing, in the next state fiscal year.
- 3. A thoroughbred racing permitholder that has had an operating license for at least 25 years, operated a slot machine facility, and held a slot machine license for at least 5 years is exempt from the live racing requirements of this subsection and may specify in its annual application for an operating license that it does not intend to conduct live racing, or that it intends to conduct less than a full schedule of live racing, in the next state fiscal year.
- 4. A jai alai permitholder that has held an operating license for at least 5 years and is not authorized to conduct cardroom operations pursuant to s. 849.086(16) is exempt from the live jai alai requirements of this subsection and may specify in its annual application for an operating license that

Page 37 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

it does not intend to conduct live jai alai, or that it intends to conduct less than a full schedule of live jai alai, in the next state fiscal year.

- (c) Permitholders <u>may</u> shall be entitled to amend their applications through February 28.
- The division shall issue each license no later than March 15. Each permitholder shall operate all performances at the date and time specified on its license. The division shall have the authority to approve minor changes in racing dates after a license has been issued. The division may approve changes in racing dates after a license has been issued when there is no objection from any operating permitholder located within 50 miles of the permitholder requesting the changes in operating dates. In the event of an objection, the division shall approve or disapprove the change in operating dates based upon the impact on operating permitholders located within 50 miles of the permitholder requesting the change in operating dates. In making the determination to change racing dates, the division shall take into consideration the impact of such changes on state revenues. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, and for the 2016-2017 fiscal year only, the division may approve changes in racing dates for permitholders if the request for such changes is received before August 31, 2016.
- (6) A summer jai alai permitholder, authorized pursuant to former s. 550.0745, Florida Statutes, 2015, as created by s. 14, chapter 1992-348, Laws of Florida, may apply for a operating

Page 38 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

963

964965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

license to operate a jai alai fronton only during the summer season beginning May 1 and ending November 30 of each year on the dates selected by the permitholder. Such permitholder is subject to the same taxes, rules, and provisions of this chapter which apply to the operation of winter jai alai frontons. A summer jai alai permitholder is not eligible for licensure as a slot machine facility. A summer jai alai permitholder and a winter jai alai permitholder may not operate on the same days or in competition with each other. This subsection does not prevent a summer jai alai licensee from leasing the facilities of a winter jai alai licensee for the operation of a summer meet Any permit which was converted from a jai alai permit to a greyhound permit may be converted to a jai alai permit at any time if the permitholder never conducted greyhound racing or if the permitholder has not conducted greyhound racing for a period of 12 consecutive months.

- of each year, the holder of a limited thoroughbred racing permit that did not file an application for live performances between December 15 and January 31 may apply to conduct live performances; and such application must be filed before February 15:
- (a) All thoroughbred racing permitholders with slot machine licenses have not collectively sought pari-mutuel wagering licenses for at least 160 performances and a minimum of 1,760 races in the next state fiscal year;

Page 39 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

989

990

991

992993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015	(b) All thoroughbred racing permitholders have not
1016	collectively sought pari-mutuel wagering licenses for at least
1017	200 performances or a minimum of 1,760 races in the next state
1018	fiscal year; or
1019	(c) All thoroughbred racing permitholders did not
1020	collectively run at least 1,760 races in the previous state
1021	fiscal year.
1022	Section 15. Subsection (1) of section 550.0251, Florida
1023	Statutes, is amended to read:
1024	550.0251 The powers and duties of the Division of Pari-
1025	mutuel Wagering of the Department of Business and Professional
1026	Regulation.—The division shall administer this chapter and
1027	regulate the pari-mutuel industry under this chapter and the
1028	rules adopted pursuant thereto, and:
1029	(1) The division shall make an annual report to the
1030	Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the
1031	House of Representatives. The report shall include, at a
1032	minimum:
1033	(a) Recent events in the gaming industry occurring since
1034	the last annual report, including administrative complaints
1035	filed against permitholders; consent orders entered into with
1036	permitholders; litigation between the division and a
1037	permitholder; the approval, revocation, or suspension of any
1038	permit or operating, slot machine, or cardroom license; and new

Page 40 of 122

Actions of the department relating to the

PCS for HB 7109

(b)

1039

1040

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

and approved or proposed rules.

implementation and administration of this chapter, chapter 551, and s. 849.086.

- (c) The state revenues associated with each form of authorized gaming. Revenues associated with pari-mutuel wagering must be further delineated by the class of license.
- (d) The performance of each pari-mutuel wagering licensee, cardroom licensee, and slot machine licensee.
- (e) A summary of disciplinary actions taken by the department.
- (f) A summary of each permitholder's licensing history from the date of issuance of the permit to the present or the most recent 25 years, whichever is less, including each year an operating, cardroom, or slot machine license was issued, the address of the operation of each, and the number of races or games actually completed during the fiscal year.
- g) Any recommendations to more effectively achieve showing its own actions, receipts derived under the provisions of this chapter, the practical effects of the application of this chapter, and any suggestions it may approve for the more effectual accomplishments of the purposes of this chapter, chapter 551, and s. 849.086.

Section 16. Paragraph (b) of subsection (9), paragraph (a) of subsection (11), and subsections (13) and (14) of section 550.054, Florida Statutes, are amended, and paragraphs (c) through (f) are added to subsection (9) of that section, to read:

Page 41 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

550.054 Application for permit to conduct pari-mutuel wagering.-

(9)

- (b) The division may revoke or suspend any permit or license issued under this chapter upon a the willful violation by the permitholder or licensee of any provision of chapter 551, chapter 849, or this chapter or rules of any rule adopted pursuant thereto under this chapter. With the exception of the revocation of permits required in paragraphs (c) and (f) In lieu of suspending or revoking a permit or license, the division, in lieu of suspending or revoking a permit or license, may impose a civil penalty against the permitholder or licensee for a violation of this chapter or rules adopted pursuant thereto any rule adopted by the division. The penalty so imposed may not exceed \$1,000 for each count or separate offense. All penalties imposed and collected must be deposited with the Chief Financial Officer to the credit of the General Revenue Fund.
- c)1. The division shall revoke the permit of any permitholder that fails to make payments due pursuant to ch. 550, ch. 551, or s. 849.086 for more than 24 consecutive months unless such failure was the direct result of fire, strike, war, or other disaster or event beyond the permitholder's control. Financial hardship to the permitholder does not, in and of itself, constitute just cause for failure to operate or pay tax on handle.
 - 2. The division shall revoke the permit of any

Page 42 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

permitholder that has not obtained an operating license in accordance with s. 550.01215 for a period of more than 24 consecutive months after June 30, 2012. The division shall revoke the permit upon adequate notice to the permitholder. Financial hardship to the permitholder does not, in and of itself, constitute just cause for failure to operate.

- (d) A new permit to conduct pari-mutuel wagering may not be approved or issued after July 1, 2016.
- (e) A permit revoked under this subsection is void and may not be reissued.
- (f) A permitholder may apply to the division to place the permit into inactive status for a period of 12 months, if such application is made pursuant to s. 550.01215 and division rule. The permitholder may renew inactive status for up to 12 additional months, but a permit may not be in inactive status for a period of more than 24 consecutive months. Permitholders in inactive status are not eligible for an operating license or licensure for pari-mutuel wagering, slot machines, or cardrooms. Inactive status shall be removed upon approval of an application for an operating license. The division shall revoke any permitholder that is in inactive status for more than 24 months.
- (11)(a) A permit granted under this chapter may not be transferred or assigned except upon written approval by the division pursuant to s. 550.1815, except that the holder of any permit that has been converted to a jai alai permit may lease or build anywhere within the county in which its permit is located.

Page 43 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

1119 (13) (a) Notwithstanding any provision provisions of this 1120 chapter or chapter 551, a pari-mutuel no thoroughbred horse racing permit or license issued under this chapter may not shall 1121 1122 be transferred, or reissued when such reissuance is in the 1123 nature of a transfer so as to permit or authorize a licensee to 1124 change the location of a thoroughbred horse racetrack except 1125 upon proof in such form as the division may prescribe that a 1126 referendum election has been held: 1. If the proposed new location is within the same county 1127 1128 as the already licensed location, in the county where the 1129 licensee desires to conduct the race meeting and that a majority 1130 of the electors voting on that question in such election voted 1131 in favor of the transfer of such license. 1132 2. If the proposed new location is not within the same 1133 county as the already licensed location, in the county where the 1134 licensee desires to conduct the race meeting and in the county 1135 where the licensee is already licensed to conduct the race 1136 meeting and that a majority of the electors voting on that question in each such election voted in favor of the transfer of 1137 1138 such license. 1139 (b) Each referendum held under the provisions of this 1140 subsection shall be held in accordance with the electoral 1141 procedures for ratification of permits, as provided in s. 550.0651. The expense of each such referendum shall be borne by 1142 1143 the licensee requesting the transfer.

Page 44 of 122

(14) (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a

PCS for HB 7109

1144

pari-mutuel permit, cardroom, or slot machine facility may not be relocated except as provided ss. 550.0555 and 550.3345, and a pari-mutuel permit may not be converted to another class of permit. Any holder of a permit to conduct jai alai may apply to the division to convert such permit to a permit to conduct greyhound racing in lieu of jai alai if:

- 1. Such permit is located in a county in which the division has issued only two pari-mutuel permits pursuant to this section;
- 2. Such permit was not previously converted from any other class of permit; and
- 3. The holder of the permit has not conducted jai alai games during a period of 10 years immediately preceding his or her application for conversion under this subsection.

(b) The division, upon application from the holder of a jai alai permit meeting all conditions of this section, shall convert the permit and shall issue to the permitholder a permit to conduct greyhound racing. A permitholder of a permit converted under this section shall be required to apply for and conduct a full schedule of live racing each fiscal year to be eligible for any tax credit provided by this chapter. The holder of a permit converted pursuant to this subsection or any holder of a permit to conduct greyhound racing located in a county in which it is the only permit issued pursuant to this section who operates at a leased facility pursuant to s. 550.475 may move the location for which the permit has been issued to another

Page 45 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

location within a 30-mile radius of the location fixed in the permit issued in that county, provided the move does not cross the county boundary and such location is approved under the zoning regulations of the county or municipality in which the permit is located, and upon such relocation may use the permit for the conduct of pari-mutuel wagering and the operation of a cardroom. The provisions of s. 550.6305(9)(d) and (f) shall apply to any permit converted under this subsection and shall continue to apply to any permit which was previously included under and subject to such provisions before a conversion pursuant to this section occurred.

Section 17. Subsection (2) of section 550.0555, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

550.0555 Greyhound dogracing permits; Permitholder relocation within a county; conditions.—

dogracing in a county in which there is only one dogracing permit issued, as well as any holder of a valid outstanding permit for jai alai in a county where only one jai alai permit is issued, is The following permitholders are authorized, without the necessity of an additional county referendum required under s. 550.0651, to move the location for which the permit has been issued to another location within a 30-mile radius of the location fixed in the permit issued in that county, provided the move does not cross the county boundary, that such relocation is approved under the zoning regulations of

Page 46 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

the county or municipality in which the permit is to be located as a planned development use, consistent with the comprehensive plan, and that such move is approved by the department after it is determined that the new location is at least 10 miles from an existing pari-mutuel facility and, if within a county with three or more pari-mutuel permits, is at least 10 miles from the waters of the Atlantic Ocean:

- (a) Any holder of a valid outstanding permit for greyhound racing that was previously converted from a jai alai permit;
- (b) Any holder of a valid outstanding permit for greyhound racing in a county in which there is only one greyhound racing permit issued; and
- (c) Any holder of a valid outstanding permit for jai alai in a county where only one jai alai permit is issued. at a proceeding pursuant to chapter 120 in the county affected that the move is necessary to ensure the revenue-producing capability of the permittee without deteriorating the revenue-producing capability of any other-pari-mutuel permittee within 50 miles;

The <u>distances</u> shall be measured on a straight line from the nearest property line of one racing plant or jai alai fronton to the nearest property line of the other <u>and the nearest mean high tide line of the Atlantic Ocean</u>.

Section 18. Section 550.0745, Florida Statutes, is repealed.

Section 19. Section 550.0951, Florida Statutes, is amended

Page 47 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

1223 to read:

1226

1227

1228

1229

12301231

1232

1233

1234

12351236

1237

1238

1239

1240

1241

1242

1243

1244

1245

1246

1247

1248

550.0951 Payment of daily license fee and taxes;
penalties.—

(1) (a) DAILY LICENSE FEE.—Each person engaged in the business of conducting race meetings or jai alai games under this chapter, hereinafter referred to as the "permitholder," "licensee," or "permittee," shall pay to the division, for the use of the division, a daily license fee on each live or simulcast pari-mutuel event of \$100 for each horserace, and \$80 for each greyhound race, dograce and \$40 for each jai alai game, any of which is conducted at a racetrack or fronton licensed under this chapter. A In addition to the tax exemption specified in s. 550.09514(1) of \$360,000 or \$500,000 per greyhound permitholder per state fiscal year, each greyhound permitholder shall receive in the current state fiscal year a tax credit equal to the number of live greyhound races conducted in the previous state fiscal year times the daily license fee specified for each dograce in this subsection applicable for the previous state fiscal year. This tax credit and the exemption in s. 550.09514(1) shall be applicable to any tax imposed by this chapter or the daily license fees imposed by this chapter except during any charity or scholarship performances conducted pursuant to s. 550.0351. Each permitholder may not be required to shall pay daily license fees in excess of not to exceed \$500 per day on any simulcast races or games on which such permitholder accepts wagers, regardless of the number of out-of-

Page 48 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

state events taken or the number of out-of-state locations from which such events are taken. This license fee shall be deposited with the Chief Financial Officer to the credit of the Parimutuel Wagering Trust Fund.

(b) - Each permitholder that cannot utilize the full amount of the exemption of \$360,000 or \$500,000 provided in s. 550.09514(1) or the daily license fee credit provided in this section may, after notifying the division in writing, elect once per state fiscal year on a form provided by the division to transfer such exemption or credit or any portion thereof to any greyhound permitholder which acts as a host track to such permitholder for the purpose of intertrack wagering. Once an election to transfer such exemption or credit is filed with the division, it shall not be rescinded. The division shall disapprove the transfer when the amount of the exemption or credit or portion thereof is unavailable to the transferring permitholder or when the permitholder who is entitled to transfer the exemption or credit or who is entitled to receive the exemption or credit owes taxes to the state pursuant to a deficiency letter or administrative complaint issued by the division. Upon approval of the transfer by the division, the transferred tax exemption or credit shall be effective for the first performance of the next payment period as specified in subsection (5). The exemption or credit transferred to such host track may be applied by such host track against any taxes imposed by this chapter or daily license fees imposed by this

Page 49 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

1249

1250 1251

1252

12531254

12551256

1257

1258

1259

1260

1261

1262

1263

1264

1265

1266

1267

1268

1269 1270

1271

1272

1273

1274

chapter. The greyhound permitholder host track to which such exemption or credit is transferred shall reimburse such permitholder the exact monetary value of such transferred exemption or credit as actually applied against the taxes and daily license fees of the host track. The division shall ensure that all transfers of exemption or credit are made in accordance with this subsection and shall have the authority to adopt rules to ensure the implementation of this section.

- (2) ADMISSION TAX.-
- (a) An admission tax equal to 15 percent of the admission charge for entrance to the permitholder's facility and grandstand area, or 10 cents, whichever is greater, is imposed on each person attending a horserace, greyhound race dograce, or jai alai game. The permitholder is shall be responsible for collecting the admission tax.
- (b) The No admission tax imposed under this chapter and or chapter 212 may not shall be imposed on any free passes or complimentary cards issued to persons for which there is no cost to the person for admission to pari-mutuel events.
- (c) A permitholder may issue tax-free passes to its officers, officials, and employees and to or other persons actually engaged in working at the racetrack, including accredited media press representatives such as reporters and editors, and may also issue tax-free passes to other permitholders for the use of their officers and officials. The permitholder shall file with the division a list of all persons

Page 50 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

to whom tax-free passes are issued under this paragraph.

- (3) TAX ON HANDLE.—Each permitholder shall pay a tax on contributions to pari-mutuel pools, the aggregate of which is hereinafter referred to as "handle," on races or games conducted by the permitholder. The tax is imposed daily and is based on the total contributions to all pari-mutuel pools conducted during the daily performance. If a permitholder conducts more than one performance daily, the tax is imposed on each performance separately.
- (a) The tax on handle for quarter horse racing is 1.0 percent of the handle.
- (b)1. The tax on handle for greyhound racing dogracing is 1.28 5.5 percent of the handle, except that for live charity performances held pursuant to s. 550.0351, and for intertrack wagering on such charity performances at a guest greyhound track within the market area of the host, the tax is 7.6 percent of the handle.
- 2. The tax on handle for jai alai is 7.1 percent of the handle.
 - (c)1. The tax on handle for intertrack wagering is:
- a. If the host track is a horse track, 2.0 percent of the handle.
- b. If the host track is a <u>harness</u> horse track, 3.3 percent of the handle.
 - <u>c.</u> If the host track is a <u>greyhound harness</u> track, <u>1.28</u>
 <u>5.5</u> percent of the handle, to be remitted by the guest track. <u>if</u>

Page 51 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

1301

1302

1304

1305 1306

1307

1308

1310

1311

1312 1313

13141315

1316

1317

1318

1319

1320

1325

1326

the host track is a dog track, and

- d. If the host track is a jai alai fronton, 7.1 percent of the handle if the host track is a jai alai fronton.
- e. The tax on handle for intertrack wagering is 0.5

 percent If the host track and the guest track are thoroughbred racing permitholders or if the guest track is located outside the market area of a the host track that is not a greyhound racing track and within the market area of a thoroughbred racing permitholder currently conducting a live race meet, 0.5 percent of the handle.
- f. The tax on handle For intertrack wagering on rebroadcasts of simulcast thoroughbred horseraces, is 2.4 percent of the handle and 1.5 percent of the handle for intertrack wagering on rebroadcasts of simulcast harness horseraces, 1.5 percent of the handle.
- $\underline{2.}$ The tax shall be deposited into the Pari-mutuel Wagering Trust Fund.
- 3.2. The tax on handle for intertrack wagers accepted by any greyhound dog track located in an area of the state in which there are only three permitholders, all of which are greyhound racing permitholders, located in three contiguous counties, from any greyhound racing permitholder also located within such area or any greyhound dog track or jai alai fronton located as specified in s. 550.615(7) 550.615(6) or (9), on races or games received from any jai alai the same class of permitholder located within the same market area is $1.28 \ \frac{3.9}{3.9}$ percent of the

Page 52 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

handle if the host facility is a greyhound racing permitholder.

and, If the host facility is a jai alai permitholder, the tax is rate shall be 6.1 percent of the handle until except that it shall be 2.3 percent on handle at such time as the total tax on intertrack handle paid to the division by the permitholder during the current state fiscal year exceeds the total tax on intertrack handle paid to the division by the permitholder during the 1992-1993 state fiscal year, in which case the tax is 2.3 percent of the handle.

- (d) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, in order to protect the Florida jai alai industry, effective July 1, 2000, a jai alai permitholder may not be taxed on live handle at a rate higher than 2 percent.
- (4) BREAKS TAX.—Effective October 1, 1996, each permitholder conducting jai alai performances shall pay a tax equal to the breaks. As used in this subsection, the term "breaks" means the money that remains in each pari-mutuel pool after funds are The "breaks" represents that portion of each pari-mutuel pool which is not redistributed to the contributors and commissions are or withheld by the permitholder as commission.
- (5) PAYMENT AND DISPOSITION OF FEES AND TAXES.—Payments imposed by this section shall be paid to the division. The division shall deposit such payments these sums with the Chief Financial Officer, to the credit of the Pari-mutuel Wagering Trust Fund, hereby established. The permitholder shall remit to

Page 53 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

1356_|

the division payment for the daily license fee, the admission tax, the tax on handle, and the breaks tax. Such payments must shall be remitted by 3 p.m. on Wednesday of each week for taxes imposed and collected for the preceding week ending on Sunday. Beginning on July 1, 2012, such payments must shall be remitted by 3 p.m. on the 5th day of each calendar month for taxes imposed and collected for the preceding calendar month. If the 5th day of the calendar month falls on a weekend, payments must shall be remitted by 3 p.m. on the first Monday following the weekend. Permitholders shall file a report under oath by the 5th day of each calendar month for all taxes remitted during the preceding calendar month. Such payments must shall be accompanied by a report under oath showing the total of all admissions, the pari-mutuel wagering activities for the preceding calendar month, and any such other information as may be prescribed by the division.

(6) PENALTIES.-

(a) The failure of any permitholder to make payments as prescribed in subsection (5) is a violation of this section, and the permitholder may be subjected by the division may impose to a civil penalty against the permitholder of up to \$1,000 for each day the tax payment is not remitted. All penalties imposed and collected shall be deposited in the General Revenue Fund. If a permitholder fails to pay penalties imposed by order of the division under this subsection, the division may suspend or revoke the license of the permitholder, cancel the permit of the

Page 54 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

1379

1380

1381

13821383

1384

1385

13861387

13881389

13901391

1392

1393

13941395

1396

1397

13981399

1400

1401

1402

1403

1404

permitholder, or deny issuance of any further license or permit to the permitholder.

(b) In addition to the civil penalty prescribed in paragraph (a), any willful or wanton failure by any permitholder to make payments of the daily license fee, admission tax, tax on handle, or breaks tax constitutes sufficient grounds for the division to suspend or revoke the license of the permitholder, to cancel the permit of the permitholder, or to deny issuance of any further license or permit to the permitholder.

Section 20. Section 550.09512, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

550.09512 Harness horse <u>racing</u> taxes; abandoned interest in a permit for nonpayment of taxes.—

(1) Pari-mutuel wagering at harness horse racetracks in this state is an important business enterprise, and taxes derived therefrom constitute a part of the tax structure which funds operation of the state. Harness racing horse permitholders should pay their fair share of these taxes to the state. This business interest should not be taxed to such an extent as to cause any racetrack which is operated under sound business principles to be forced out of business. Due to the need to protect the public health, safety, and welfare, the gaming laws of the state provide for the harness horse industry to be highly regulated and taxed. The state recognizes that there exist identifiable differences between harness racing horse permitholders based upon their ability to operate under such

Page 55 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

1431 regulation and tax system.

- (2)(a) The tax on handle for live harness horse performances is 0.5 percent of handle per performance.
- (b) For purposes of this section, the term "handle" shall have the same meaning as in s. 550.0951, and shall not include handle from intertrack wagering.
- horse racing permitholder that fails to make payments due pursuant to this chapter, ch. 551, or s. 849.086 for more than 24 consecutive months who does not pay tax on handle for live harness horse performances for a full schedule of live races during any 2 consecutive state fiscal years shall be void and shall escheat to and become the property of the state unless such failure to operate and pay tax on handle was the direct result of fire, strike, war, or other disaster or event beyond the ability of the permitholder to control. Financial hardship to the permitholder does shall not, in and of itself, constitute just cause for failure to operate and pay tax on handle. A permit revoked under this subsection is void and may not be reissued.
- (b) In order to maximize the tax revenues to the state, the division shall reissue an escheated harness horse permit to a qualified applicant pursuant to the provisions of this chapter as for the issuance of an initial permit. However, the provisions of this chapter relating to referendum requirements for a pari-mutuel permit shall not apply to the reissuance of an

Page 56 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

escheated harness horse permit. As specified in the application and upon approval by the division of an application for the permit, the new permitholder shall be authorized to operate a harness horse facility anywhere in the same county in which the escheated permit was authorized to be operated, notwithstanding the provisions of s. 550.054(2) relating to mileage limitations.

(4) In the event that a court of competent jurisdiction determines any of the provisions of this section to be unconstitutional, it is the intent of the Legislature that the provisions contained in this section shall be null and void and that the provisions of s. 550.0951 shall apply to all harness racing horse permitholders beginning on the date of such judicial determination. To this end, the Legislature declares that it would not have enacted any of the provisions of this section individually and, to that end, expressly finds them not to be severable.

Section 21. Section 550.09514, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

550.09514 Greyhound <u>racing dogracing</u> taxes; purse requirements.—

(1) Wagering on greyhound racing is subject to a tax on handle for live greyhound racing as specified in s. 550.0951(3). However, each permitholder shall pay no tax on handle until such time as this subsection has resulted in a tax savings per state fiscal year of \$360,000. Thereafter, each permitholder shall pay the tax as specified in s. 550.0951(3) on all handle for the

Page 57 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

remainder of the permitholder's current race meet. For the three permitholders that conducted a full schedule of live racing in 1995, and are closest to another state that authorizes greyhound pari-mutuel wagering, the maximum tax savings per state fiscal year shall be \$500,000. The provisions of this subsection relating to tax exemptions shall not apply to any charity or scholarship performances conducted pursuant to s. 550.0351.

(1) (2) (a) The division shall determine for each greyhound racing permitholder the annual purse percentage rate of live handle for the state fiscal year 1993-1994 by dividing total purses paid on live handle by the permitholder, exclusive of payments made from outside sources, during the 1993-1994 state fiscal year by the permitholder's live handle for the 1993-1994 state fiscal year. A greyhound racing Each permitholder conducting live racing during a fiscal year shall pay as purses for such live races conducted during its current race meet a percentage of its live handle not less than the percentage determined under this paragraph, exclusive of payments made by outside sources, for its 1993-1994 state fiscal year.

(b) Except as otherwise set forth herein, in addition to the minimum purse percentage required by paragraph (a), each greyhound racing permitholder conducting live racing during a fiscal year shall pay as purses an annual amount of \$60 for each live race conducted equal to 75 percent of the daily license fees paid by the greyhound racing each permitholder in for the preceding 1994-1995 fiscal year. These This purse supplement

Page 58 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

shall be disbursed weekly during the permitholder's race meet in an amount determined by dividing the annual purse supplement by the number of performances approved for the permitholder pursuant to its annual license and multiplying that amount by the number of performances conducted each week. For the greyhound permitholders in the county where there are two greyhound permitholders located as specified in s. 550.615(6), such permitholders shall pay in the aggregate an amount equal to 75 percent of the daily license fees paid by such permitholders for the 1994-1995 fiscal year. These permitholders shall be jointly and severally liable for such purse payments. The additional purses provided by this paragraph must be used exclusively for purses other than stakes and must be disbursed weekly during the permitholder's race meet. The division shall conduct audits necessary to ensure compliance with this section.

(c)1. Each greyhound <u>racing</u> permitholder, when conducting at least three live performances during any week, shall pay purses in that week on wagers it accepts as a guest track on intertrack and simulcast greyhound races at the same rate as it pays on live races. Each greyhound <u>racing</u> permitholder, when conducting at least three live performances during any week, shall pay purses in that week, at the same rate as it pays on live races, on wagers accepted on greyhound races at a guest track <u>that</u> which is not conducting live racing and is located within the same market area as the greyhound <u>racing</u> permitholder conducting at least three live performances during any week.

Page 59 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

- 2. Each host greyhound <u>racing</u> permitholder shall pay purses on its simulcast and intertrack broadcasts of greyhound races to guest facilities that are located outside its market area in an amount equal to one quarter of an amount determined by subtracting the transmission costs of sending the simulcast or intertrack broadcasts from an amount determined by adding the fees received for greyhound simulcast races plus 3 percent of the greyhound intertrack handle at guest facilities that are located outside the market area of the host and that paid contractual fees to the host for such broadcasts of greyhound races.
- (d) The division shall require sufficient documentation from each greyhound <u>racing</u> permitholder regarding purses paid on live racing to assure that the annual purse percentage rates paid by each <u>greyhound racing</u> permitholder <u>conducting on the</u> live races are not reduced below those paid during the 1993-1994 state fiscal year. The division shall require sufficient documentation from each greyhound <u>racing</u> permitholder to assure that the purses paid by each permitholder on the greyhound intertrack and simulcast broadcasts are in compliance with the requirements of paragraph (c).
- (e) In addition to the purse requirements of paragraphs (a)-(c), each greyhound <u>racing</u> permitholder <u>conducting live</u> <u>races</u> shall pay as purses an amount equal to one-third of the amount of the tax reduction on live and simulcast handle applicable to such permitholder as a result of the reductions in

Page 60 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

tax rates provided by s. 6, chapter 2000-354, Laws of Florida this act through the amendments to s. 550.0951(3). With respect to intertrack wagering when the host and guest tracks are greyhound racing permitholders not within the same market area, an amount equal to the tax reduction applicable to the guest track handle as a result of the reduction in tax rate provided by s. 6, chapter 2000-354, Laws of Florida, this act through the amendment to s. 550.0951(3) shall be distributed to the quest track, one-third of which amount shall be paid as purses at the quest track. However, if the guest track is a greyhound racing permitholder within the market area of the host or if the guest track is not a greyhound racing permitholder, an amount equal to such tax reduction applicable to the quest track handle shall be retained by the host track, one-third of which amount shall be paid as purses at the host track. These purse funds shall be disbursed in the week received if the permitholder conducts at least one live performance during that week. If the permitholder does not conduct at least one live performance during the week in which the purse funds are received, the purse funds shall be disbursed weekly during the permitholder's next race meet in an amount determined by dividing the purse amount by the number of performances approved for the permitholder pursuant to its annual license, and multiplying that amount by the number of performances conducted each week. The division shall conduct audits necessary to ensure compliance with this paragraph.

(f) Each greyhound racing permitholder conducting live

Page 61 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

1561

15621563

1564

15651566

1567

15681569

1570

1571

1572

15731574

1575

1576

1577

1578

1579

1580

1581

1582

1583

1584

1585

1586

racing shall, during the permitholder's race meet, supply kennel operators and the Division of Pari-Mutuel Wagering with a weekly report showing purses paid on live greyhound races and all greyhound intertrack and simulcast broadcasts, including both as a guest and a host together with the handle or commission calculations on which such purses were paid and the transmission costs of sending the simulcast or intertrack broadcasts, so that the kennel operators may determine statutory and contractual compliance.

- racing shall make direct payment of purses to the greyhound owners who have filed with such permitholder appropriate federal taxpayer identification information based on the percentage amount agreed upon between the kennel operator and the greyhound owner.
- (h) At the request of a majority of kennel operators under contract with a greyhound racing permitholder conducting live racing, the permitholder shall make deductions from purses paid to each kennel operator electing such deduction and shall make a direct payment of such deductions to the local association of greyhound kennel operators formed by a majority of kennel operators under contract with the permitholder. The amount of the deduction shall be at least 1 percent of purses, as determined by the local association of greyhound kennel operators. No Deductions may not be taken pursuant to this paragraph without a kennel operator's specific approval before

Page 62 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

or after the effective date of this act.

(2)(3) For the purpose of this section, the term "live handle" means the handle from wagers placed at the permitholder's establishment on the live greyhound races conducted at the permitholder's establishment.

Section 22. Section 550.09515, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

550.09515 Thoroughbred <u>racing</u> horse taxes; abandoned interest in a permit for nonpayment of taxes.—

- (1) Pari-mutuel wagering at thoroughbred horse racetracks in this state is an important business enterprise, and taxes derived therefrom constitute a part of the tax structure which funds operation of the state. Thoroughbred horse permitholders should pay their fair share of these taxes to the state. This business interest should not be taxed to such an extent as to cause any racetrack which is operated under sound business principles to be forced out of business. Due to the need to protect the public health, safety, and welfare, the gaming laws of the state provide for the thoroughbred horse industry to be highly regulated and taxed. The state recognizes that there exist identifiable differences between thoroughbred horse permitholders based upon their ability to operate under such regulation and tax system and at different periods during the year.
- (2) (a) The tax on handle for live thoroughbred horserace performances shall be 0.5 percent.

Page 63 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

- (b) For purposes of this section, the term "handle" shall have the same meaning as in s. 550.0951, and shall not include handle from intertrack wagering.
- thoroughbred racing horse permitholder that fails to make payments due pursuant to this chapter, ch. 551, or s. 849.086 for more than 24 consecutive months who does not pay tax on handle for live thoroughbred horse performances for a full schedule of live races during any 2 consecutive state fiscal years shall be void and shall escheat to and become the property of the state unless such failure to operate and pay tax on handle was the direct result of fire, strike, war, or other disaster or event beyond the ability of the permitholder to control. Financial hardship to the permitholder does shall not, in and of itself, constitute just cause for failure to operate and pay tax on handle. A permit revoked under this subsection is void and may not be reissued.
- (b) In order to maximize the tax revenues to the state, the division shall reissue an escheated thoroughbred horse permit to a qualified applicant pursuant to the provisions of this chapter as for the issuance of an initial permit. However, the provisions of this chapter relating to referendum requirements for a pari-mutuel permit shall not apply to the reissuance of an escheated thoroughbred horse permit. As specified in the application and upon approval by the division of an application for the permit, the new permitholder shall be

Page 64 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

authorized to operate a thoroughbred horse facility anywhere in the same county in which the escheated permit was authorized to be operated, notwithstanding the provisions of s. 550.054(2) relating to mileage limitations.

- (4) In the event that a court of competent jurisdiction determines any of the provisions of this section to be unconstitutional, it is the intent of the Legislature that the provisions contained in this section shall be null and void and that the provisions of s. 550.0951 shall apply to all thoroughbred horse permitholders beginning on the date of such judicial determination. To this end, the Legislature declares that it would not have enacted any of the provisions of this section individually and, to that end, expressly finds them not to be severable.
- (5) Notwithstanding the provisions of s. 550.0951(3)(c), the tax on handle for intertrack wagering on rebroadcasts of simulcast horseraces is 2.4 percent of the handle; provided however, that if the guest track is a thoroughbred track located more than 35 miles from the host track, the host track shall pay a tax of .5 percent of the handle, and additionally the host track shall pay to the guest track 1.9 percent of the handle to be used by the guest track solely for purses. The tax shall be deposited into the Pari-mutuel Wagering Trust Fund.
- (6) A credit equal to the amount of contributions made by a thoroughbred <u>racing</u> permitholder during the taxable year directly to the Jockeys' Guild or its health and welfare fund to

Page 65 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

be used to provide health and welfare benefits for active, disabled, and retired Florida jockeys and their dependents pursuant to reasonable rules of eligibility established by the Jockeys' Guild is allowed against taxes on live handle due for a taxable year under this section. A thoroughbred racing permitholder may not receive a credit greater than an amount equal to 1 percent of its paid taxes for the previous taxable year.

performances on its 2001-2002-license, failure to pay tax on handle for a full schedule of live races for those performances in the 2001-2002 fiscal year does not constitute failure to pay taxes on handle for a full schedule of live races in a fiscal year for the purposes of subsection (3). This subsection may not be construed as forgiving a thoroughbred permitholder from paying taxes on performances conducted at its facility pursuant to its 2001-2002 license other than for failure to operate all performances on its 2001-2002 license. This subsection expires July 1, 2003.

Section 23. Paragraph (a) of subsection (2) of section 550.105, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

550.105 Occupational licenses of racetrack employees; fees; denial, suspension, and revocation of license; penalties and fines.—

(2)(a) The following licenses shall be issued to persons or entities with access to the backside, racing animals, jai

Page 66 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

alai players' room, jockeys' room, drivers' room, totalisator room, the mutuels, or money room, or to persons who, by virtue of the position they hold, might be granted access to these areas or to any other person or entity in one of the following categories and with fees not to exceed the following amounts for any 12-month period:

- 1. Business licenses: any business such as a vendor, contractual concessionaire, contract kennel, business owning racing animals, trust or estate, totalisator company, stable name, <u>multijurisdictional simulcasting and interactive wagering</u> totalisator hub, or other fictitious name: \$50.
- 2. Professional occupational licenses: professional persons with access to the backside of a racetrack or players' quarters in jai alai such as trainers, officials, veterinarians, doctors, nurses, EMT's, jockeys and apprentices, drivers, jai alai players, owners, trustees, directors of a multijurisdictional simulcasting and interactive wagering totalisator hub or any management or officer or director or shareholder or any other professional-level person who might have access to the jockeys' room, the drivers' room, the backside, racing animals, kennel compound, or managers or supervisors requiring access to mutuels machines, the money room, or totalisator equipment: \$40.
- 3. General occupational licenses: general employees with access to the jockeys' room, the drivers' room, racing animals, the backside of a racetrack or players' quarters in jai alai,

Page 67 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

such as grooms, kennel helpers, leadouts, pelota makers, cesta makers, or ball boys, or a practitioner of any other occupation who would have access to the animals, the backside, or the kennel compound, or who would provide the security or maintenance of these areas, or mutuel employees, totalisator employees, money-room employees, or any employee with access to mutuels machines, the money room, or totalisator equipment or who would provide the security or maintenance of these areas, or any employees of a multijurisdictional simulcasting and interactive wagering totalisator hub: \$10.

The individuals and entities that are licensed under this paragraph require heightened state scrutiny, including the submission by the individual licensees or persons associated with the entities described in this chapter of fingerprints for a Federal Bureau of Investigation criminal records check.

Section 24. Section 550.1625, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

550.1625 Greyhound racing dogracing; taxes.-

(1) The operation of a greyhound dog track and legalized pari-mutuel betting at greyhound dog tracks in this state is a privilege and is an operation that requires strict supervision and regulation in the best interests of the state. Pari-mutuel wagering at greyhound dog tracks in this state is a substantial business, and taxes derived therefrom constitute part of the tax structures of the state and the counties. The operators of

Page 68 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

greyhound dog tracks should pay their fair share of taxes to the state; at the same time, this substantial business interest should not be taxed to such an extent as to cause a track that is operated under sound business principles to be forced out of business.

(2) A permitholder that conducts a greyhound race dograce meet under this chapter must pay the daily license fee, the admission tax, the breaks tax, and the tax on pari-mutuel handle as provided in s. 550.0951 and is subject to all penalties and sanctions provided in s. 550.0951(6).

Section 25. <u>Section 550.1647, Florida Statutes, is</u> repealed.

Section 26. Section 550.1648, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

550.1648 Greyhound adoptions.-

- (1) A greyhound racing Each dogracing permitholder that conducts live racing at operating a greyhound racing dogracing facility in this state shall provide for a greyhound adoption booth to be located at the facility.
- (1)(a) The greyhound adoption booth must be operated on weekends by personnel or volunteers from a bona fide organization that promotes or encourages the adoption of greyhounds pursuant to s. 550.1647. Such bona fide organization, as a condition of adoption, must provide sterilization of greyhounds by a licensed veterinarian before relinquishing custody of the greyhound to the adopter. The fee for

Page 69 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

sterilization may be included in the cost of adoption. As used in this section, the term "weekend" includes the hours during which live greyhound racing is conducted on Friday, Saturday, or Sunday, and the term "bona fide organization that promotes or encourages the adoption of greyhounds" means an organization that provides evidence of compliance with chapter 496 and possesses a valid exemption from federal taxation issued by the Internal Revenue Service. Information pamphlets and application forms shall be provided to the public upon request.

- (b) In addition, The kennel operator or owner shall notify the permitholder that a greyhound is available for adoption and the permitholder shall provide information concerning the adoption of a greyhound in each race program and shall post adoption information at conspicuous locations throughout the greyhound racing dogracing facility. Any greyhound that is participating in a race and that will be available for future adoption must be noted in the race program. The permitholder shall allow greyhounds to be walked through the track facility to publicize the greyhound adoption program.
- (2) In addition to the charity days authorized under s. 550.0351, a greyhound racing permitholder may fund the greyhound adoption program by holding a charity racing day designated as "Greyhound Adopt-A-Pet Day." All profits derived from the operation of the charity day must be placed into a fund used to support activities at the racing facility which promote the adoption of greyhounds. The division may adopt rules for

Page 70 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

administering the fund. Proceeds from the charity day authorized in this subsection may not be used as a source of funds for the purposes set forth in s. 550.1647.

- (3)(a) Upon a violation of this section by a permitholder or licensee, the division may impose a penalty as provided in s. 550.0251(10) and require the permitholder to take corrective action.
- (b) A penalty imposed under s. 550.0251(10) does not exclude a prosecution for cruelty to animals or for any other criminal act.

Section 27. Section 550.1752, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

550.1752 Thoroughbred purse supplement program.-

- (1) The thoroughbred purse supplement program is created in the Division of Pari-mutuel Wagering for the purpose of maintaining an active and viable live thoroughbred racing, owning, and breeding industry in this state. The program shall be funded by cardroom net proceeds contributed pursuant to s. 849.086(13). Payments available for the program shall be calculated on a monthly basis until such time as the division determines that sufficient funds are available for allocation.
- (2) The division shall adopt by rule the form to be used by a thoroughbred racing permitholder for applying to receive funds from the program to be used to supplement purses for its live racing meet.
 - (3) The division shall apportion the purse supplement

Page 71 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

1847 funds to all applicants on a pro rata basis based upon the 1848 number of days of live performances to be conducted by 1849 applicants pursuant to their annual racing licenses. (4) If a day of live performances is not conducted by a 1850 1851 thoroughbred racing permitholder that has received funds 1852 pursuant to this section for that day of live performances, the 1853 thoroughbred racing permitholder failing to conduct the day of 1854 live performances shall return the purse supplement fund allocated for that day to the division, and the division shall 1855 reapportion such amount based on the number of remaining days of 1856 1857 live performances to be conducted during the state fiscal year. 1858 (5) Purse supplement funds under this section are intended 1859 to enhance the total purses paid per race day in comparison to 1860 the purses paid by a permitholder in the prior state fiscal year 1861 and to encourage live thoroughbred racing in this state from May 1862 through November of each year. A thoroughbred racing 1863 permitholder may not receive purse supplement funds under this 1864 section unless it has an agreement to this effect with the 1865 Florida Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association, Inc., 1866 or the association representing a majority of the horse owners 1867 and trainers conducting racing at the permitholder's pari-mutuel 1868 facility, for purses to be paid in its upcoming licensed meet. 1869 (6) The division may adopt rules necessary to implement 1870 this section. 1871 Section 28. Section 550.2416, Florida Statutes, is created

Page 72 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

to read:

1872

1873	550.2416 Reporting of racing greyhound injuries
1874	(1) An injury to a racing greyhound which occurs while the
1875	greyhound is located in this state must be reported on a form
1876	adopted by the division within 7 days after the date on which
1877	the injury occurred or is believed to have occurred. The
1878	presence of cocaine found in a racing greyhound shall be
1879	considered an injury under this section. The division may adopt
1880	rules defining the term "injury."
1881	(2) The form shall be completed and signed under oath or
1882	affirmation by the:
1883	(a) Racetrack veterinarian or director of racing, if the
1884	injury occurred at the racetrack facility; or
1885	(b) Owner, trainer, or kennel operator who had knowledge
1886	of the injury, if the injury occurred at a location other than
1887	the racetrack facility, including during transportation.
1888	(3) The division may fine, suspend, or revoke the license
1889	of any individual who knowingly violates this section or who
1890	intentionally causes an injury to a racing greyhound.
1891	(4) The form must include the following:
1892	(a) The greyhound's registered name, right-ear and left-
1893	ear tattoo numbers, and, if any, the microchip manufacturer and
1894	number.
1895	(b) The name, business address, and telephone number of
1896	the greyhound owner, the trainer, and the kennel operator.
1897	(c) The color, weight, and sex of the greyhound.
1898	(d) The specific type and bodily location of the injury,

Page 73 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

1899	the cause of the injury, and the estimated recovery time from
1900	the injury.
1901	(e) If the injury occurred when the greyhound was racing:
1902	1. The racetrack where the injury occurred;
1903	2. The distance, grade, race, and post position of the
1904	greyhound when the injury occurred; and
1905	3. The weather conditions, time, and track conditions when
1906	the injury occurred.
1907	(f) If the injury occurred when the greyhound was not
1908	racing:
1909	1. The location where the injury occurred; and
1910	2. The circumstances surrounding the injury.
1911	(g) Other information that the division determines is
1912	necessary to identify injuries to racing greyhounds in this
1913	state.
1914	(5) An injury form created pursuant to this section must
1915	be maintained as a public record by the division for at least 7
1916	years after the date it was received.
1917	(6) A licensee of the department who knowingly makes a
1918	false statement concerning an injury or fails to report an
1919	injury is subject to disciplinary action under this chapter or
1920	chapters 455 and 474.
1921	(7) This section does not apply to injuries to a service

animal, personal pet, or greyhound that has been adopted as a

The division shall adopt rules to implement this

Page 74 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

(8)

pet.

1922

1923

1924

section.

1925

1926 1927

1928

19291930

1931

1932 1933

1934

1935

1936 1937

1938

1939 1940

1941

19421943

19441945

1946

1947

1948

1949

1950

Section 29. Subsection (1) of section 550.26165, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

550.26165 Breeders' awards.-

The purpose of this section is to encourage the agricultural activity of breeding and training racehorses in this state. Moneys dedicated in this chapter for use as breeders' awards and stallion awards are to be used for awards to breeders of registered Florida-bred horses winning horseraces and for similar awards to the owners of stallions who sired Florida-bred horses winning stakes races, if the stallions are registered as Florida stallions standing in this state. Such awards shall be given at a uniform rate to all winners of the awards, may shall not be greater than 20 percent of the announced gross purse, and may shall not be less than 15 percent of the announced gross purse if funds are available. In addition, at least no less than 17 percent, but not nor more than 40 percent, as determined by the Florida Thoroughbred Breeders' Association, of the moneys dedicated in this chapter for use as breeders' awards and stallion awards for thoroughbreds shall be returned pro rata to the permitholders that generated the moneys for special racing awards to be distributed by the permitholders to owners of thoroughbred horses participating in prescribed thoroughbred stakes races, nonstakes races, or both, all in accordance with a written agreement establishing the rate, procedure, and eligibility

Page 75 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

requirements for such awards entered into by the permitholder, the Florida Thoroughbred Breeders' Association, and the Florida Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association, Inc., except that the plan for the distribution by any permitholder located in the area described in s. 550.615(7) $\frac{550.615(9)}{}$ shall be agreed upon by that permitholder, the Florida Thoroughbred Breeders' Association, and the association representing a majority of the thoroughbred racehorse owners and trainers at that location. Awards for thoroughbred races are to be paid through the Florida Thoroughbred Breeders' Association, and awards for standardbred races are to be paid through the Florida Standardbred Breeders and Owners Association. Among other sources specified in this chapter, moneys for thoroughbred breeders' awards will come from the 0.955 percent of handle for thoroughbred races conducted, received, broadcast, or simulcast under this chapter as provided in s. 550.2625(3). The moneys for quarter horse and harness breeders' awards will come from the breaks and uncashed tickets on live quarter horse and harness horse racing performances and 1 percent of handle on intertrack wagering. The funds for these breeders' awards shall be paid to the respective breeders' associations by the permitholders conducting the races.

Section 30. Subsection (8) of section 550.334, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

550.334 Quarter horse racing; substitutions.-

(8) To be eligible to conduct intertrack wagering, a

Page 76 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

1951

1952 1953

1954

1955 1956

1957

1958

1959

1960

1961

19621963

1964

1965

1966

1967

1968

1969

1970

1971

1972

1973

1974

19751976

quarter horse racing permitholder must have conducted a full schedule of live racing in accordance with an operating license in the 2015-2016 fiscal preceding year.

Section 31. Section 550.3345, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

550.3345 Conversion of quarter horse permit to a Limited thoroughbred racing permit.

- economic contribution of the important and long-standing economic contribution of the thoroughbred horse breeding industry to this state and the state's vested interest in promoting the continued viability of this agricultural activity, the state intends to provide a limited opportunity for the conduct of live thoroughbred horse racing with the net revenues from such racing dedicated to the enhancement of thoroughbred purses and breeders', stallion, and special racing awards under this chapter; the general promotion of the thoroughbred horse breeding industry; and the care in this state of thoroughbred horses retired from racing.
- converted from Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the holder of a quarter horse racing permit pursuant to chapter 2010-29, Laws of Florida, issued under s. 550.334 may only be held by, within 1 year after the effective date of this section, apply to the division for a transfer of the quarter horse racing permit to a not-for-profit corporation formed under state law to serve the purposes of the state as provided in subsection (1).

Page 77 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

The board of directors of the not-for-profit corporation must be composed comprised of 11 members, 4 of whom shall be designated by the applicant, 4 of whom shall be designated by the Florida Thoroughbred Breeders' Association, and 3 of whom shall be designated by the other 8 directors, with at least 1 of these 3 members being an authorized representative of another thoroughbred racing permitholder in this state. A limited thoroughbred racing The not-for-profit corporation shall submit an application to the division for review and approval of the transfer in accordance with s. 550.054. Upon approval of the transfer by the division, and notwithstanding any other provision of law to the contrary, the not-for-profit corporation may, within 1 year after its receipt of the permit, request that the division convert the quarter horse racing permit to a permit authorizing the holder to conduct pari-mutuel wagering meets of thoroughbred racing. Neither the transfer of the quarter horse racing permit nor its conversion to a limited thoroughbred permit shall be subject to the mileage limitation or the ratification election as set forth under s. 550.054(2) or s. 550.0651. Upon receipt of the request for such conversion, the division shall timely issue a converted permit. The converted permit and the not-for-profit corporation are shall be subject to the following requirements:

(a) All net revenues derived by the not-for-profit corporation under the thoroughbred horse racing permit, after the funding of operating expenses and capital improvements,

Page 78 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

2003

2004

2005

2006

2007

2008

2009

2010

2011

2012

2013

2014

2015

2016

2017

2018

2019

2020

2021

2022

2023

2024

2025

2026

2027

2028

shall be dedicated to the enhancement of thoroughbred purses and breeders', stallion, and special racing awards under this chapter; the general promotion of the thoroughbred horse breeding industry; and the care in this state of thoroughbred horses retired from racing.

- (b) From December 1 through April 30, no live thoroughbred racing may not be conducted under the permit on any day during which another thoroughbred racing permitholder is conducting live thoroughbred racing within 125 air miles of the not-for-profit corporation's pari-mutuel facility unless the other thoroughbred racing permitholder gives its written consent.
- (c) After the conversion of the quarter horse racing permit and the issuance of its initial license to conduct parimutuel wagering meets of thoroughbred racing, the not-for-profit corporation shall annually apply to the division for a license pursuant to s. 550.5251.
- (d) Racing under the permit may take place only at the location for which the original quarter horse racing permit was issued, which may be leased by the not-for-profit corporation for that purpose; however, the not-for-profit corporation may, without the conduct of any ratification election pursuant to s. 550.054(13) or s. 550.0651, move the location of the permit to another location in the same county or counties, if the permitholder's location is situated in such a manner that it is located in more than one county, provided that such relocation is approved under the zoning and land use regulations of the

Page 79 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

applicable county or municipality.

2078.

- (e) A limited thoroughbred racing No permit may not be transferred converted under this section is eligible for transfer to another person or entity.
- (3) Unless otherwise provided in this section, after conversion, the permit and the not-for-profit corporation shall be treated under the laws of this state as a thoroughbred racing permit and as a thoroughbred racing permitholder, respectively with the exception of s. 550.09515(3).

Section 32. Subsection (6) of section 550.3551, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

550.3551 Transmission of racing and jai alai information; commingling of pari-mutuel pools.—

(6)(a) A maximum of 20 percent of the total number of races on which wagers are accepted by a greyhound permitholder not located as specified in s. 550.615(6) may be received from locations outside this state. A jai alai permitholder may not conduct fewer than eight live races or games on any authorized race day except as provided in this subsection. A thoroughbred racing permitholder may not conduct fewer than eight live races on any race day without the written approval of the Florida Thoroughbred Breeders' Association and the Florida Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association, Inc., unless it is determined by the department that another entity represents a majority of the thoroughbred racehorse owners and trainers in the state. A harness permitholder may conduct fewer than eight

Page 80 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

PCS for HB 7109

2081

2082

20832084

2085

2086

2087

2088

2089

2090

2091

2092

2093

2094

2095

2096

2097

2098

2099

2100

2101

2102

2103

2104

2105

2106

ORIGINAL

2016

live races on any authorized race day, except that such permitholder must conduct a full schedule of live racing during its race meet consisting of at least eight live races per authorized race day for at least 100 days. Any harness racing horse permitholder that during the preceding racing season conducted a full schedule of live racing and any harness racing permitholder that has held an operating license for at least 5 years and a slot machine license for at least 5 years may, at any time during its current race meet, receive full-card broadcasts of harness horse races conducted at harness racetracks outside this state at the harness track of the permitholder and accept wagers on such harness races. With specific authorization from the division for special racing events, a permitholder may conduct fewer than eight live races or games when the permitholder also broadcasts out-of-state races or games. The division may not grant more than two such exceptions a year for a permitholder in any 12-month period, and those two exceptions may not be consecutive.

(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, any harness <u>racing</u> horse permitholder accepting broadcasts of out-of-state harness horse races when such permitholder is not conducting live races must make the out-of-state signal available to all permitholders eligible to conduct intertrack wagering and shall pay to guest tracks located as specified in $\underline{s. ss. 550.615(6)}$ and $\underline{550.6305(9)(d)}$ 50 percent of the net proceeds after taxes and fees to the out-of-state host track on

Page 81 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

harness race wagers which they accept. If conducting live racing, a harness racing horse permitholder shall be required to pay into its purse account 50 percent of the net income retained by the permitholder on account of wagering on the out-of-state broadcasts received pursuant to this subsection. Nine-tenths of a percent of all harness wagering proceeds on the broadcasts received pursuant to this subsection shall be paid to the Florida Standardbred Breeders and Owners Association under the provisions of s. 550.2625(4) for the purposes provided therein.

Section 33. Subsection (1) of section 550.5251, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

550.5251 Florida thoroughbred racing; certain permits; operating days.—

(1) Each thoroughbred racing permitholder shall annually, during the period commencing December 15 of each year and ending January 31 4 of the following year, file in writing with the division its application pursuant to s. 550.01215 to conduct one or more thoroughbred racing meetings during the thoroughbred racing season commencing on the following July 1. Each application shall specify the number and dates of all performances that the permitholder intends to conduct during that thoroughbred racing season. On or before March 15 of each year, the division shall issue a license authorizing each permitholder to conduct performances on the dates specified in its application, if any. Up to February 28 of each year, each permitholder may request and shall be granted changes in its

Page 82 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

authorized performances; but thereafter, as a condition precedent to the validity of its license and its right to retain its permit, each permitholder must operate the full number of days authorized on each of the dates set forth in its license, if any.

Section 34. Subsections (2), (4), (6), (7), (8), (9), and (10) of section 550.615, Florida Statutes, are amended, and a new subsection (9) is added to that section, to read:

550.615 Intertrack wagering.-

- (2) (a) Any track or fronton licensed under this chapter may which in the preceding year conducted a full schedule of live racing is qualified to, at any time, receive broadcasts of any class of pari-mutuel race or game and accept wagers on such races or games conducted by any class of permitholders licensed under this chapter.
- (b) Any fronton licensed under this chapter which in the preceding year conducted a full schedule of live games may, at any time, receive broadcasts of any class of pari-mutuel race or game and accept wagers on such races or games conducted by any class of permitholders licensed under this chapter.
- (4) An In no event shall any intertrack wager may not be accepted on the same class of live races or games of any permitholder without the written consent of such operating permitholders conducting the same class of live races or games if the guest track is within the market area of such operating permitholder. A greyhound racing permitholder licensed under

Page 83 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

this chapter which accepts intertrack wagers on live greyhound signals is not required to obtain the written consent required by this subsection from any operating greyhound racing permitholder within its market area.

(6) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (3), in any area of the state where there are three or more horserace

permitholders within 25 miles of each other, intertrack wagering between permitholders in said area of the state shall only be authorized under the following conditions: Any permitholder, other than a thoroughbred permitholder, may accept intertrack wagers on races or games conducted live by a permitholder of the same class or any harness permitholder located within such area and any harness permitholder may accept wagers on games conducted live by any jai alai permitholder located within its market area and from a jai alai permitholder located within the area specified in this subsection when no jai alai permitholder located within its market area is conducting live jai alai performances; any greyhound or jai alai permitholder may receive broadcasts of and accept wagers on any permitholder of the other class provided that a permitholder, other than the host track, of such other class is not operating a contemporaneous live performance within the market area.

(7) In any county of the state where there are only two permits, one for dogracing and one for jai alai, no intertrack wager may be taken during the period of time when a permitholder is not licensed to conduct live races or games without the

Page 84 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

2159

2160

2161

2162

21632164

2165

2166

2167

2168

2169

2170

2171

2172

2173

2174

2175

2176

2177

2178

2179

2180

2181

2182

2183

2184

written consent of the other permitholder that is conducting live races or games, either permitholder is conducting live races or games, either permitholder may accept intertrack wagers on horseraces or on the same class of races or games, or on both horseraces and the same class of races or games as is authorized by its permit.

(6) (8) In any three contiguous counties of the state where there are only three permitholders, all of which are greyhound racing permitholders, if a greyhound racing any permitholder leases the facility of another greyhound racing permitholder for the purpose of conducting all or any portion of the conduct of its live race meet pursuant to s. 550.475, such lessee may conduct intertrack wagering at its pre-lease permitted facility throughout the entire year, including while its live race meet is being conducted at the leased facility, if such permitholder has conducted a full schedule of live racing during the preceding fiscal year at its pre-lease permitted facility or at a leased facility, or combination thereof.

(7)(9) In any two contiguous counties of the state in which there are located only four active permits, one for thoroughbred horse racing, two for greyhound racing dogracing, and one for jai alai games, an no intertrack wager may not be accepted on the same class of live races or games of any permitholder without the written consent of such operating permitholders conducting the same class of live races or games if the guest track is within the market area of such operating

Page 85 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

2211 permitholder.

- (8) (10) All costs of receiving the transmission of the broadcasts shall be borne by the guest track; and all costs of sending the broadcasts shall be borne by the host track.
- (9) A greyhound racing permitholder operating pursuant to a current year's operating license, regardless of whether the permitholder specifies a full schedule of live performances, no live performances, or less than a full schedule of live performances, may accept wagers on live races conducted at outof-state greyhound tracks only on the days when such permitholder receives all live races that any host track in this state makes available.

Section 35. Paragraphs (d), (f), and (g) of subsection (9) of section 550.6305, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

550.6305 Intertrack wagering; guest track payments; accounting rules.—

- (9) A host track that has contracted with an out-of-state horse track to broadcast live races conducted at such out-of-state horse track pursuant to s. 550.3551(5) may broadcast such out-of-state races to any guest track and accept wagers thereon in the same manner as is provided in s. 550.3551.
- (d) Any permitholder located in any area of the state where there are only two permits, one for greyhound racing dogracing and one for jai alai, and any permitholder that converted its permit to conduct jai alai to a permit to conduct greyhound racing in lieu of jai alai under s. 550.054(14),

Page 86 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

Florida Statutes 2014, as created by s. 6, chapter 2009-170, Laws of Florida, may accept wagers on rebroadcasts of out-of-state thoroughbred horse races from an in-state thoroughbred horse racing permitholder and is shall not be subject to the provisions of paragraph (b) if such thoroughbred horse racing permitholder located within the area specified in this paragraph is both conducting live races and accepting wagers on out-of-state horseraces. In such case, the guest permitholder is shall be entitled to 45 percent of the net proceeds on wagers accepted at the guest facility. The remaining proceeds shall be distributed as follows: one-half shall be retained by the host facility and one-half shall be paid by the host facility as purses at the host facility.

where there are only two permits, one for greyhound racing dogracing and one for jai alai, and any permitholder that converted its permit to conduct jai alai to a permit to conduct greyhound racing in lieu of jai alai under s. 550.054(14), Florida Statutes 2014, as created by s. 6, chapter 2009-170, Laws of Florida, may accept wagers on rebroadcasts of out-of-state harness horse races from an in-state harness horse racing permitholder and may shall not be subject to the provisions of paragraph (b) if such harness horse racing permitholder located within the area specified in this paragraph is conducting live races. In such case, the guest permitholder is shall be entitled to 45 percent of the net proceeds on wagers accepted at the

Page 87 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

guest facility. The remaining proceeds shall be distributed as follows: one-half shall be retained by the host facility and one-half shall be paid by the host facility as purses at the host facility.

- (g)1.<u>a.</u> Any thoroughbred <u>racing</u> permitholder <u>that</u> which accepts wagers on a simulcast signal must make the signal available to any permitholder that is eligible to conduct intertrack wagering under the provisions of ss. 550.615-550.6345.
- <u>b.2.</u> Any thoroughbred <u>racing</u> permitholder <u>that</u> which accepts wagers on a simulcast signal received after 6 p.m. must make such signal available to any permitholder that is eligible to conduct intertrack wagering under the provisions of ss. 550.615-550.6345, including any permitholder located as specified in s. 550.615(6). Such guest permitholders are authorized to accept wagers on such simulcast signal, notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter to the contrary.
- c.3. Any thoroughbred racing permitholder that which accepts wagers on a simulcast signal received after 6 p.m. must make such signal available to any permitholder that is eligible to conduct intertrack wagering under the provisions of ss. 550.615-550.6345, including any permitholder located as specified in s. 550.615(9). Such guest permitholders are authorized to accept wagers on such simulcast signals for a number of performances not to exceed that which constitutes a

Page 88 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

full schedule of live races for a quarter horse <u>racing</u> permitholder pursuant to s. 550.002(11), notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter to the contrary, except that the <u>restrictions provided in s. 550.615(9)(a) apply to wagers on such simulcast signals</u>.

2. A No thoroughbred racing permitholder is not shall be required to continue to rebroadcast a simulcast signal to any in-state permitholder if the average per performance gross receipts returned to the host permitholder over the preceding 30-day period were less than \$100. Subject to the provisions of s. 550.615(4), as a condition of receiving rebroadcasts of thoroughbred simulcast signals under this paragraph, a guest permitholder must accept intertrack wagers on all live races conducted by all then-operating thoroughbred racing permitholders.

Section 36. Section 550.6308, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

550.6308 Limited intertrack wagering license.—In recognition of the economic importance of the thoroughbred breeding industry to this state, its positive impact on tourism, and of the importance of a permanent thoroughbred sales facility as a key focal point for the activities of the industry, a limited license to conduct intertrack wagering is established to ensure the continued viability and public interest in thoroughbred breeding in Florida.

(1)(a) Upon application to the division on or before

Page 89 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

2315 January 31 of each year, any person who that is licensed to 2316 conduct public sales of thoroughbred horses pursuant to s. 2317 535.01 and, that has conducted at least 8 15 days of 2318 thoroughbred horse sales at a permanent sales facility in this state for at least 3 consecutive years, and that has conducted 2319 2320 at least 1 day of nonwagering thoroughbred racing in this state, 2321 with a purse structure of at least \$250,000 per year for 2 2322 consecutive years before such application, shall be issued a 2323 license, subject to the conditions set forth in this section, to 2324 conduct intertrack wagering at such a permanent sales facility 2325 on any day on which intertrack wagering is authorized pursuant 2326 to s. 550.615. during the following periods: 2327 (a) Up to 21 days in connection with thoroughbred sales; 2328 (b) Between November 1 and May 8; 2329 (c) Between May 9 and October 31 at such times and on such 2330 days as any thoroughbred, jai alai, or a greyhound permitholder 2331 in the same county is not conducting live performances; provided 2332 that any such permitholder may waive this requirement, in whole 2333 or in part, and allow the licensee under this section to conduct 2334 intertrack wagering during one or more of the permitholder's 2335 live performances; and 2336 (d) During the weekend of the Kentucky Derby, the 2337 Preakness, the Belmont, and a Breeders' Cup Meet that is 2338 conducted before November 1 and after May 8. 2339 (b) Only No more than one such license may be issued, and

Page 90 of 122

the no such license may not be issued for a facility located

PCS for HB 7109

2340

within 50 miles of any <u>for-profit</u> thoroughbred <u>racing</u> permitholder's licensed track.

- (2) If more than one application is submitted for such license, the division shall determine which applicant shall be granted the license. In making its determination, the division shall grant the license to the applicant demonstrating superior capabilities, as measured by the length of time the applicant has been conducting thoroughbred sales within this state or elsewhere, the applicant's total volume of thoroughbred horse sales, within this state or elsewhere, the length of time the applicant has maintained a permanent thoroughbred sales facility in this state, and the quality of the facility.
- (3) The applicant must comply with the provisions of ss. 550.125 and 550.1815.
- (4) Intertrack wagering under this section may be conducted only on thoroughbred horse racing, except that intertrack wagering may be conducted on any class of pari-mutuel race or game conducted by any class of permitholders licensed under this chapter if all thoroughbred, jai alai, and greyhound permitholders in the same county as the licensee under this section give their consent.
- <u>(4)</u>(5) The licensee shall be considered a guest track under this chapter. The licensee shall pay 2.5 percent of the total contributions to the daily pari-mutuel pool on wagers accepted at the licensee's facility on greyhound races or jai alai games to the thoroughbred permitholder that is conducting

Page 91 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

2359.

2367 live races for purses to be paid during its current racing meet. 2368 If more than one thoroughbred permitholder is conducting live 2369 races on a day during which the licensee is conducting 2370 intertrack wagering on greyhound races or jai alai games, the 2371 licensee shall allocate these funds between the operating 2372 thoroughbred permitholders on a pro rata basis based on the 2373 total live handle at the operating permitholders' facilities. 2374 Section 37. Section 550.6347, Florida Statutes, is created to read: 2375 2376 550.6347 Multijurisdictional simulcasting and wagering; 2377 fees; rules; distribution of moneys paid to commission.-2378 Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, (1)2379 the division shall develop and adopt rules to license and 2380 regulate all phases of operation of multijurisdictional 2381 simulcasting and interactive wagering totalisator hubs located 2382 in this state. 2383 (2) As used in this chapter, the terms: 2384 (a) "Multijurisdictional simulcasting and interactive 2385 wagering totalisator hub" or "hub" means a business that, 2386 through a qualified subscriber-based service, conducts pari-2387 mutuel wagering on the races that it simulcasts and other races 2388 that it carries in its wagering menu. 2389 (b) "Qualified subscriber-based service" means any

(b) "Qualified subscriber-based service" means any information service or system that uses:

1. A device or combination of devices authorized and operated for placing, receiving, or otherwise making a wager,

Page 92 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

2390

2391

2392

2393	and to which a person must subscribe in order to be able to
2394	place, receive, or otherwise make a bet or wager;
2395	2. An effective customer verification and age verification
2396	system; and
2397	3. Appropriate security standards to prevent unauthorized
2398	access by any person who has not subscribed or who is a minor.
2399	(3) The following requirements must be met before
2400	commencement of business by or employment at a
2401	multijurisdictional simulcasting and interactive wagering
2402	totalisator hub located or conducting business in this state:
2403	(a) Each hub must obtain a business license pursuant to s.
2404	550.105(2)(a)1.;
2405	(b) Each officer of a hub must obtain an occupational
2406	license pursuant to s. 550.105(2)(a)2.; and
2407	(c) Each employee of a hub located in this state must
2408	obtain an occupational license pursuant to s. 550.105(2)(a)3.
2409	(4) A multijurisdictional simulcasting and interactive
2410	wagering totalisator hub conducting business in the state shall
2411	pay a daily license fee of \$100 per operating day.
2412	(5) In addition to the daily license fee under subsection
2413	(4), a multijurisdictional simulcasting and interactive wagering
2414	totalisator hub conducting business in the state shall pay a tax

Page 93 of 122

equal to one-half of 1 percent of total handle recorded by the

in this state. Such tax shall be paid in accordance with rules

established by the division and shall be subject to the payment

totalisator system for wagers placed on pari-mutuel performances

PCS for HB 7109

2415

2416

2417

2418

schedules and penalties set forth in s. 550.0951.

- (6) Except as otherwise provided in this section, parimutuel wagering through a hub is subject to the provisions of s. 849.01.
- (7) Pari-mutuel wagers placed through a hub may only be made within the enclosure of a pari-mutuel facility licensed under this chapter or through a device owned or leased for a period of at least 12 months by the person making the wager.

Section 38. Section 551.101, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

551.101 Slot machine gaming authorized.—Any licensed eligible facility pari-mutuel facility located in Miami-Dade County or Broward County existing at the time of adoption of s. 23, Art. X of the State Constitution that has conducted live racing or games during calendar years 2002 and 2003 may possess slot machines and conduct slot machine gaming at the location where the pari-mutuel permitholder is authorized to conduct pari-mutuel wagering activities pursuant to such permitholder's valid pari-mutuel permit or as otherwise authorized by law provided that a majority of voters in a countywide referendum have approved slot machines at such facility in the respective county. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, it is not a crime for a person to participate in slot machine gaming at a pari-mutuel facility licensed to possess slot machines and conduct slot machine gaming or to participate in slot machine gaming described in this chapter.

Page 94 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

2419

2420

2421 2422

2423

2424

2425

2426

24272428

2429

2430

2431

2432

2433

2434

2435

2436

2437

2438

2439

2440

2441

2442

2443

2444

2445 Section 39. Subsections (4), (10), and (11) of section 2446 551.102, Florida Statutes, are amended to read: 2447 551.102 Definitions.—As used in this chapter, the term: (4) "Eligible facility" means a any licensed pari-mutuel 2448 facility located in Miami-Dade County or Broward County existing 2449 at the time of adoption of s. 23, Art. X of the State 2450 Constitution that has conducted live racing or games during 2451 2452 calendar years 2002 and 2003 and has been approved by a majority 2453 of voters in a countywide referendum to have slot machines at 2454 such facility in the respective county; any licensed pari-mutuel 2455 facility located within a county as defined in s. 125.011, 2456 provided such facility-has conducted live-racing for 2 2457 consecutive calendar years immediately preceding its application 2458 for a slot machine license, pays the required license fee, and 2459 meets the other requirements of this chapter; or any licensed 2460 pari-mutuel facility in any other county in which a majority of 2461 voters have approved slot machines at such facilities in a 2462 countywide referendum which was held before the effective date 2463 of this act or before January 1, 2017 held pursuant to a 2464 statutory or constitutional authorization after the effective 2465 date of this section in the respective county, provided the permitholder at such facility has conducted a full schedule of 2466 2467 live racing for 2 consecutive calendar years immediately 2468 preceding its application for a slot machine license, pays the required license licensed fee, and meets the other requirements 2469 of this chapter. An eligible facility may not be located within 2470

Page 95 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

2471	100 miles of the Seminole Hard Rock Hotel and Casino-Tampa
2472	located at 5223 Orient Road, Tampa, Florida.
2473	(10) "Slot machine license" means a license issued by the
2474	division authorizing a pari-mutuel permitholder to place and
2475	operate slot machines as provided by s. 23, Art. X of the State
2476	Constitution, the provisions of this chapter, and division
2477	rules.
2478	(11) "Slot machine licensee" means a pari-mutuel
2479	permitholder that who holds a slot machine license issued by the
2480	division pursuant to this chapter that authorizes such person t
2481	possess a slot machine within facilities specified in s. 23,
2482	Art. X of the State Constitution and allows slot machine gaming
2483	Section 40. Subsections (2) and (3), paragraph (c) of
2484	subsection (4), and paragraph (a) of subsection (10) of section
2485	551.104, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
2486	551.104 License to conduct slot machine gaming
2487	(2) An application may be approved by the division only:
2488	(a) After the voters of the county where the applicant's
2489	facility is located have authorized by referendum slot machines
2490	within pari-mutuel facilities in that county; or
2491	(b) Pursuant to s. 551.1041 as specified in s. 23, Art. X
2492	of the State Constitution.

be conducted only at the eligible facility at which the permitholder is authorized under its valid pari-mutuel wagering

Page 96 of 122

(3) (a) A slot machine license may be issued only to a

licensed pari-mutuel permitholder, and slot machine gaming may

PCS for HB 7109

2493

24942495

2496

permit to conduct pari-mutuel wagering activities.

- (b) The division may not issue a slot machine license to any pari-mutuel permitholder if issuance of the license would trigger a reduction in revenue-sharing payments under the Gaming Compact between the Seminole Tribe of Florida and the State of Florida authorized pursuant to s. 285.710(3)(b).
- any pari-mutuel permitholder that includes, or previously included within its ownership group, an ultimate equitable owner that was also an ultimate equitable owner of a pari-mutuel permitholder whose permit was voluntarily or involuntarily surrendered, suspended, or revoked by the division within 10 years before the date of the permitholder's filing an application for a slot machine license.
- (4) As a condition of licensure and to maintain continued authority for the conduct of slot machine gaming, the slot machine licensee shall:
- or games, conduct no fewer than a full schedule of live racing or games as defined in s. 550.002(11). A permitholder's responsibility to conduct such number of live races or games shall be reduced by the number of races or games that could not be conducted due to the direct result of fire, war, hurricane, or other disaster or event beyond the control of the permitholder. The races or games may be conducted at the facility of the slot machine licensee or at another pari-mutuel

Page 97 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

facility leased pursuant to s. 550.3345.

- 1. A greyhound racing permitholder is exempt from the live racing requirement of this subsection if the permitholder conducted a full schedule of live racing for a period of at least 10 consecutive state fiscal years after the 2002-2003 state fiscal year.
- 2. Harness racing and quarter horse racing permitholders that have held an operating license for at least 5 years and either a slot machine license for at least 5 years or a cardroom license for at least 2 years are exempt from the live racing requirements of this subsection.
- 3. Thoroughbred racing permitholders that have had an operating license for at least 25 years, and that operated a slot machine facility and held a slot machine license for at least 5 years are exempt from the live racing requirements of this subsection.
- at a licensee's pari-mutuel facility, a no slot machine license or renewal thereof may not shall be issued to an applicant holding a permit under chapter 550 to conduct pari-mutuel wagering meets of thoroughbred racing unless the applicant has on file with the division a binding written agreement between the applicant and the Florida Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association, Inc., governing the payment of purses on live thoroughbred races conducted at the licensee's pari-mutuel facility. In addition, no slot machine license or renewal

Page 98 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

thereof shall be issued to such an applicant unless the applicant has on file with the division a binding written agreement between the applicant and the Florida Thoroughbred Breeders' Association, Inc., governing the payment of breeders', stallion, and special racing awards on live thoroughbred races conducted at the licensee's pari-mutuel facility. The agreement governing purses and the agreement governing awards may direct the payment of such purses and awards from revenues generated by any wagering or gaming the applicant is authorized to conduct under Florida law. All purses and awards shall be subject to the terms of chapter 550. All sums for breeders', stallion, and special racing awards shall be remitted monthly to the Florida Thoroughbred Breeders' Association, Inc., for the payment of awards subject to the administrative fee authorized in s. 550.2625(3).

2. Unless no live quarter horse races are conducted at a licensee's pari-mutuel facility, a no slot machine license or renewal thereof may not shall be issued to an applicant holding a permit under chapter 550 to conduct pari-mutuel wagering meets of quarter horse racing unless the applicant has on file with the division a binding written agreement between the applicant and the Florida Quarter Horse Racing Association or the association representing a majority of the horse owners and trainers at the applicant's eligible facility, governing the payment of purses on live quarter horse races conducted at the licensee's pari-mutuel facility. The agreement governing purses

Page 99 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

may direct the payment of such purses from revenues generated by any wagering or gaming the applicant is authorized to conduct under Florida law. All purses shall be subject to the terms of chapter 550.

Section 41. Section 551.1041, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

important and long-standing economic contribution of the parimutuel industry to this state as a whole and the state's vested interest in the revenue generated therefrom and promoting the continued viability of the important statewide agricultural activities it supports, the Legislature finds that it is in the state's interest to provide a limited opportunity for the establishment of an additional slot machine license to be awarded and renewed annually to a pari-mutuel permitholder located within a county as defined in s. 125.011.

- (1)(a) Within 120 days after the effective date of this section, any pari-mutuel permitholder that is located within a county as defined in s. 125.011 and is not a slot machine licensee may apply pursuant to s. 551.104 to the division for the slot machine license created by this section.
- (b) The application shall be accompanied by a license application fee of \$2 million, which shall be nonrefundable. The license application fee shall be deposited into the Pari-mutuel Wagering Trust Fund of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation to be used by the division and the

Page 100 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

Department of Law Enforcement for investigations, regulation of slot machine gaming, and enforcement of slot machine gaming provisions under this chapter. If the applicant is awarded the license created pursuant to this section, the license application fee shall be credited to the license fee required pursuant to s. 551.106.

- (2) If there is more than one applicant for the slot machine license created pursuant to this section, the division shall award the license to the applicant that best meets the selection criteria, as demonstrated in the application. The selection criteria include:
- (a) The extent to which the proposed slot machine facility will increase tourism, generate jobs, provide revenue to the local economy, and provide revenue to the state as evidenced by an evaluation by the applicant and its partners of their history in constructing premier facilities with high-quality amenities that complement the local tourism industry.
- (b) The financial history of the applicant and its partners in making capital investments in slot machine gaming and pari-mutuel facilities and its bona fide plan for future community involvement and financial investment.
- (c) The history of investment by the applicant and its partners in the communities in which its previous developments have been located.
- (d) The applicant's ability to purchase and maintain a surety bond in an amount established by the division, to

Page 101 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

represent the projected annual revenues generated by the proposed slot machine facility.

- (e) The applicant's ability to demonstrate the financial wherewithal to adequately capitalize, develop, construct, maintain, and operate a proposed slot machine facility, which shall cost at least \$100 million in costs related to construction and development of the facility, excluding purchase price and costs associated with acquisition of real property and any impact fees. This shall include the ability to meet any projected secured and unsecured debt obligations and complete construction within 2 years after the awarding of the slot machine license.
- (f) The applicant's ability to implement a program to train and employ residents of South Florida at the facility and contract with local business owners for goods and services.
- (g) The ability of the applicant and its partners to generate substantial gross gaming revenue after the award of gaming licenses.
- (3) (a) Notwithstanding the timelines set forth in s.

 120.60, the division shall complete its evaluation within 120 days after the submission of applications and notice its intent to award the license within that timeframe. Within 30 days after the submission of an application, the division shall issue, if necessary, requests for additional information or any notices of deficiency to the license applicant. The applicant shall have 15 days to respond to such requests or notices. Failure to properly

Page 102 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

respond and provide sufficient information or correct identified deficiencies shall serve as grounds for denial of the application.

- submitted within 3 business days after the issuance of the notice of intent to award and shall be forwarded to the Division of Administrative Hearings which shall conduct an administrative hearing before an administrative law judge regarding the protest within 30 days after the notice of intent to award. The administrative law judge shall issue a proposed recommended order not more than 30 days after the completion of the final hearing. The division shall issue a final order within 15 days after receipt of the proposed recommended order.
- (c) Any appeal of a license denial shall be made to the First District Court of Appeals.
- (4) The division may adopt emergency rules pursuant to s.

 120.54 to implement this section. The Legislature finds that such emergency rulemaking power is necessary for the preservation of the rights and welfare of the people in order to provide additional funds to benefit the public. The Legislature further finds that the unique nature of the competitive award of the slot machine license under this section requires that the department respond as quickly as is practicable to implement these provisions. Therefore, in adopting such emergency rules, the division need not make the findings required by s.

 120.54(4)(a). Emergency rules adopted under this section are

Page 103 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

exempt from s. 120.54(4)(c) and shall remain in effect until replaced by other emergency rules or by rules adopted under the nonemergency rulemaking procedures of the Administrative Procedure Act.

Section 42. Section 551.1044, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

- 551.1044 House banked blackjack table games authorized.-
- (1) Notwithstanding the provisions of s. 849.086(12)(a), the pari-mutuel permitholder of each of the following parimutuel wagering facilities may operate up to 25 house banked blackjack table games at the permitholder's facility:
- (a) A licensed pari-mutuel facility at which live racing or games were conducted during calendar years 2002 and 2003, located in Miami-Dade County or Broward County, and authorized for slot machine licensure pursuant to s. 23, Art. X of the State Constitution.
- (b) A licensed pari-mutuel facility where a full schedule of live racing has been conducted for 2 consecutive calendar years immediately preceding its application for a slot machine license and located within a county as defined in s. 125.011.
- (2) Wagers on authorized house banked blackjack table games may not exceed \$25 for each initial two card wager.

 Subsequent wagers on splits or double downs are allowed but may not exceed the initial two card wager. Single side bets of not more than \$5 are allowed.
 - (3) Each pari-mutuel permitholder offering banked

Page 104 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

blackjack pursuant to this section shall pay a tax to the state of 10 percent of the blackjack operation's monthly gross receipts. All provisions of s. 849.086(13), except s. 849.086(13)(b), shall apply to taxes owed pursuant to this section.

Section 43. Subsections (3) through (5) of section 551.106, Florida Statutes, are renumbered as subsections (4) through (6), respectively, paragraph (a) of subsection (2) is amended, and a new subsection (3) is added to that section, to read:

551.106 License fee; tax rate; penalties.-

- (2) TAX ON SLOT MACHINE REVENUES.-
- (a) The tax rate on slot machine revenues at each facility shall be 35 percent. Effective January 1, 2017, the tax rate on slot machine revenues at each facility shall be 30 percent.

 However, notwithstanding s. 551.114(1), a slot machine licensee offering slot machines for play that agrees and elects to permanently reduce its authorized total number of slot machines to up to 1,700 and attests to do so in its annual license renewal application approved by the division on or before July 1, 2017, shall have a tax rate on slot machine revenues at such facility of 25 percent effective July 1, 2017. Slot machine licensees licensed after the effective date of this act shall have a tax rate on slot machine revenues at such facility of 25 percent, effective July 1, 2017. If, during any state fiscal year, the aggregate amount of tax paid to the state by all slot

Page 105 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

machine licensees in Broward and Miami-Dade Counties is less than the aggregate amount of tax paid to the state by all slot machine licensees in the 2008-2009 fiscal year, each slot machine licensee shall pay to the state within 45 days after the end of the state fiscal year a surcharge equal to its pro rata share of an amount equal to the difference between the aggregate amount of tax paid to the state by all slot machine licensees in the 2008-2009 fiscal year and the amount of tax paid during the fiscal year. Each licensee's pro rata share shall be an amount determined by dividing the number 1 by the number of facilities licensed to operate slot machines during the applicable fiscal year, regardless of whether the facility is operating such machines.

- (3) NEW FACILITY GUARANTEE FEE.-
- (a) For any slot machine licensee located within a county that has conducted a successful slot machine referendum after January 1, 2012, the following aggregate tax payment guarantee shall apply in a pro rata amount pursuant to paragraph (b):
- 1. Thirty-four million seven hundred fifty thousand dollars for the 2018-2019 fiscal year;
- 2. Sixty-nine million five hundred thousand dollars for the 2019-2020 fiscal year; and
- 3. One hundred twenty-one million four hundred thousand dollars for the 2020-2021 fiscal year and for every fiscal year thereafter.
 - (b) Each slot machine licensee located within a county

Page 106 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

that has conducted a successful slot machine referendum after January 1, 2012, shall pay to the state within 45 days after the end of the state fiscal year a surcharge equal to its pro rata share of an amount equal to the difference between the tax payment guarantee in paragraph (a) and the aggregate amount of tax paid during the immediately preceding fiscal year by all slot machine licensees located within counties which conducted a successful slot machine referendum after January 1, 2012. No such slot machine licensee shall be responsible for a pro rata share of more than 25 percent of the aggregate difference, if applicable, in any fiscal year.

Section 44. Subsections (1), (2), and (4) of section 551.114, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

551.114 Slot machine gaming areas.-

- (1) (a) The cumulative total of slot machines made available for play by all slot machine licensees in this state may not exceed 16,000. If the statewide cumulative total exceeds 16,000, each facility making slots available for play must reduce the total number of slots at the facility on a pro rata basis, based on the facility's share of the total slots made available for play in this state. The division may adopt rules to administer this paragraph.
- (b) Except as provided in paragraph (c) or s. $\underline{551.106(2)(a)}$, a slot machine licensee may make available for play up to $\underline{1,850}$ $\underline{2,000}$ slot machines within the property of the facilities of the slot machine licensee.

Page 107 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

- operating at a facility authorized after the effective date of this act may make available for play up to 1,000 slot machines. Effective October 1, 2018, such licensee may make available for play up to 1,500 slot machines.
- (2) The slot machine licensee shall display pari-mutuel races or games within the designated slot machine gaming areas and offer patrons within the designated slot machine gaming areas the ability to engage in pari-mutuel wagering on <u>any</u> live, intertrack, and simulcast races conducted or offered to patrons of the licensed facility.
- within the current live gaming facility or in an existing building that <u>is</u> <u>must be</u> contiguous and connected to the live gaming facility. If a designated slot machine gaming area is to be located in a building that is to be constructed, that new building must be contiguous and connected to the live gaming facility. For any permitholder licensed to conduct pari-mutuel activities pursuant to a current year's operating license that does not require live performances, designated slot machine gaming areas may be located only within the eligible facility licensed pursuant to s. 551.104.

Section 45. Section 551.116, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

551.116 Days and hours of operation.—Slot machine gaming areas may be open daily throughout the year. The slot machine

Page 108 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

gaming areas may be open a cumulative amount of 18 hours per day on Monday through Friday and 24 hours per day on Saturday and Sunday and on those holidays specified in s. 110.117(1).

Section 46. Section 551.121, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 551.121 Prohibited activities and devices; exceptions.-
- (1) Complimentary or reduced-cost alcoholic beverages may not be served to persons playing a slot machine. Alcoholic beverages served to persons playing a slot machine shall cost at least the same amount as alcoholic beverages served to the general public at a bar-within the facility.
- (1)(2) A slot machine licensee may not make any loan, provide credit, or advance cash in order to enable a person to play a slot machine. This subsection shall not prohibit automated ticket redemption machines that dispense cash resulting from the redemption of tickets from being located in the designated slot machine gaming area of the slot machine licensee.
- (3) A slot machine licensee may not allow any automated teller machine or similar device designed to provide credit or dispense cash to be located within the designated slot machine gaming areas of a facility of a slot machine licensee.
- $\underline{(2)}$ (4) (a) A slot machine licensee may not accept or cash any check from any person within the designated slot machine gaming areas of a facility of a slot machine licensee.
 - (b) Except as provided in paragraph (c) for employees of

Page 109 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

the facility, a slot machine licensee or operator shall not accept or cash for any person within the property of the facility any government-issued check, third-party check, or payroll check made payable to an individual.

- (c) Outside the designated slot machine gaming areas, a slot machine licensee or operator may accept or cash a check for an employee of the facility who is prohibited from wagering on a slot machine under s. 551.108(5), a check made directly payable to a person licensed by the division, or a check made directly payable to the slot machine licensee or operator from:
 - 1. A pari-mutuel patron; or
- 2. A pari-mutuel facility in this state or in another state.
- (d) Unless accepting or cashing a check is prohibited by this subsection, nothing shall prohibit a slot machine licensee or operator from accepting and depositing in its accounts checks received in the normal course of business.
- (3)(5) A slot machine, or the computer operating system linking the slot machine, may be linked by any means to any other slot machine or computer operating system within the facility of a slot machine licensee. A progressive system may be used in conjunction with slot machines between licensed facilities in Florida or in other jurisdictions.
- $\underline{(4)}$ (6) A slot machine located within a licensed facility shall accept only tickets or paper currency or an electronic payment system for wagering and return or deliver payouts to the

Page 110 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

player in the form of tickets that may be exchanged for cash, merchandise, or other items of value. The use of coins, credit or debit cards, tokens, or similar objects is specifically prohibited. However, an electronic credit system may be used for receiving wagers and making payouts.

Section 47. Subsections (9) through (17) of section 849.086, Florida Statutes, are renumbered as subsections (10) through (18), respectively, and a new subsection (9) is added to that section, and subsection (2), paragraphs (a) and (b) of subsection (5), paragraph (b) of subsection (7), paragraphs (d) and (h) of present subsection (13), and present subsections (16) and (17) of that section are amended, to read:

849.086 Cardrooms authorized.-

- (2) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section:
- (a) "Authorized game" means a game or series of games of poker, including designated player poker games, or dominoes which are played in conformance with this section and in which hands are ranked consistent with the definition of traditional poker hand rankings provided in the 1974 edition of Hoyle's Modern Encyclopedia of Card Games a nonbanking manner.
- (b) "Banking game" means a game in which the house is a participant in the game, taking on players, paying winners, and collecting from losers or in which the cardroom establishes a bank against which participants play. The term does not include a designated player poker game if played in accordance with this chapter and if hands are ranked consistent with the definition

Page 111 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

of traditional poker hand rankings provided in the 1974 edition of Hoyle's Modern Encyclopedia of Card Games.

- (c) "Cardroom" means a facility where authorized games are played for money or anything of value and to which the public is invited to participate in such games and charged a fee for participation by the operator of such facility. Authorized games and cardrooms do not constitute casino gaming operations.
- (d) "Cardroom management company" means any individual not an employee of the cardroom operator, any proprietorship, partnership, corporation, or other entity that enters into an agreement with a cardroom operator to manage, operate, or otherwise control the daily operation of a cardroom.
- (e) "Cardroom distributor" means any business that distributes cardroom paraphernalia such as card tables, betting chips, chip holders, dominoes, dominoes tables, drop boxes, banking supplies, playing cards, card shufflers, and other associated equipment to authorized cardrooms.
- (f) "Cardroom operator" means a licensed pari-mutuel permitholder which holds a valid permit and license issued by the division pursuant to chapter 550 and which also holds a valid cardroom license issued by the division pursuant to this section which authorizes such person to operate a cardroom and to conduct authorized games in such cardroom.
- (g) "Designated player" means the player identified as the player in the dealer position, seated at a traditional player position in a designated player poker game, who pays winning

Page 112 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

2913	players and collects from losing players, but is not required	to
2914	cover all wagers.	
2915	(h) "Designated player poker game" means a game consist:	ing

- (h) "Designated player poker game" means a game consisting of at least three cards in which the players compare their cards only to the cards of the designated player, and in which hands are ranked consistent with the definition of traditional poker hand rankings provided in the 1974 edition of Hoyle's Modern Encyclopedia of Card Games.
- $\underline{\text{(i)}}$ "Division" means the Division of Pari-mutuel Wagering of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation.
- (j)(h) "Dominoes" means a game of dominoes typically played with a set of 28 flat rectangular blocks, called "bones," which are marked on one side and divided into two equal parts, with zero to six dots, called "pips," in each part. The term also includes larger sets of blocks that contain a correspondingly higher number of pips. The term also means the set of blocks used to play the game.
- $\underline{\text{(k)}}$ "Gross receipts" means the total amount of money received by a cardroom from any person for participation in authorized games.
- $\underline{\text{(m)}}$ "Net proceeds" means the total amount of gross receipts received by a cardroom operator from cardroom operations less direct operating expenses related to cardroom

Page 113 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

operations, including labor costs, admission taxes only if a separate admission fee is charged for entry to the cardroom facility, gross receipts taxes imposed on cardroom operators by this section, the annual cardroom license fees imposed by this section on each table operated at a cardroom, and reasonable promotional costs excluding officer and director compensation, interest on capital debt, legal fees, real estate taxes, bad debts, contributions or donations, or overhead and depreciation expenses not directly related to the operation of the cardrooms.

- (n) (1) "Rake" means a set fee or percentage of the pot assessed by a cardroom operator for providing the services of a dealer, table, or location for playing the authorized game.
- $\underline{\text{(o)}}$ "Tournament" means a series of games that have more than one betting round involving one or more tables and where the winners or others receive a prize or cash award.
- (5) LICENSE REQUIRED; APPLICATION; FEES.—No person may operate a cardroom in this state unless such person holds a valid cardroom license issued pursuant to this section.
- (a) Only those persons holding a valid cardroom license issued by the division may operate a cardroom. A cardroom license may only be issued to a licensed pari-mutuel permitholder and an authorized cardroom may only be operated at the same facility at which the permitholder is authorized under its valid pari-mutuel wagering permit to conduct pari-mutuel wagering activities. An initial cardroom license shall be issued to a pari-mutuel permitholder only after its facilities are in

Page 114 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

place and after it conducts its first day of live racing or games, except for a summer jai alai permitholder receiving its initial cardroom license.

(b)1. After the initial cardroom license is granted, the application for the annual license renewal shall be made in conjunction with the applicant's annual application for its pari-mutuel operating license. Except as provided in subparagraph 2., and except for any facility licensed in accordance with s. 551.1041, If a permitholder has operated a cardroom during any of the 3 previous fiscal years and fails to include a renewal request for the operation of the cardroom in its annual application for license renewal, the permitholder may amend its annual application to include operation of the cardroom. in order for a cardroom license to be renewed the applicant must have requested, as part of its pari-mutuel annual operating license application, to conduct at least 90 percent of the total number of live performances conducted by such permitholder during either the state fiscal year in which its initial cardroom license was issued or the state fiscal year immediately prior thereto if the permitholder ran at least a full schedule of live racing or games in the prior year. Except as provided in subparagraphs 2., 3., and 4. and except for any facility licensed in accordance with s. 551.1041, If the application is for a harness permitholder cardroom, the applicant must have requested authorization to conduct a minimum of 140 live performances during the state fiscal year

Page 115 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

2965

2966

2967

2968

2969

2970

29712972

2973

2974

2975

2976

2977

2978

2979

29802981

2982

2983

2984

2985

2986

2987

2988

2989

2990

immediately prior thereto. if more than one permitholder is
operating at a facility, each permitholder must have applied for
a license to conduct a full schedule of live racing.

- 2. A greyhound racing permitholder is exempt from the live racing requirements of this subsection if it conducted a full schedule of live racing for a period of at least 10 consecutive state fiscal years after the 1996-1997 state fiscal year, or if it converted its permit to a permit to conduct greyhound racing after that fiscal year. However, as a condition of cardroom licensure, greyhound racing permitholders who are not conducting a full schedule of live racing must conduct intertrack wagering on thoroughbred signals, to the extent available, on each day of cardroom operation.
- 3. Harness racing and quarter horse racing permitholders that have held an operating license for at least 5 years and a cardroom license for at least 2 years are exempt from the live racing requirements of this subsection.
- 4. Thoroughbred racing permitholders that have had an operating license for at least 25 years, and that operated a slot machine facility and held a slot machine license for at least 5 years are exempt from the live racing requirements of this subsection.
 - (7) CONDITIONS FOR OPERATING A CARDROOM.-
- (b) Any cardroom operator may operate a cardroom at the pari-mutuel facility daily throughout the year, if the permitholder meets the requirements under paragraph (5)(b). The

Page 116 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

cardroom may be open a cumulative amount of 18 hours per day on Monday through Friday and 24 hours per day on Saturday and Sunday and on the holidays specified in s. 110.117(1).

- (9) DESIGNATED PLAYER POKER GAMES AUTHORIZED.-
- (a) The division may authorize a cardroom operator to offer designated player poker games as defined in this section.
- (b) The designated player must occupy a playing position at the table and may not be required to cover all wagers for players seated during a single game.
- (c) The cardroom operator may not serve as a designated player in any game. The cardroom operator may not have any direct or indirect financial or pecuniary interest in a designated player in any game.
- (d) Designated player poker games offered by a cardroom operator may not make up more than 50 percent of the total authorized game tables at the cardroom.
- (e) The division may only authorize cardroom operators to conduct designated player poker games if such games would not trigger a reduction in revenue-sharing payments under the Gaming Compact between the Seminole Tribe of Florida and the State of Florida.
 - (14) (13) TAXES AND OTHER PAYMENTS.-
- (d)1. Each greyhound and jai alai permitholder that operates a cardroom facility and is licensed to conduct at least a full schedule of live racing or games shall use at least 4 percent of such permitholder's cardroom monthly gross receipts

Page 117 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

to supplement greyhound purses or jai alai prize money, respectively, during the permitholder's <u>current or</u> next ensuing pari-mutuel meet.

- 2. Each thoroughbred and harness horse racing permitholder that operates a cardroom facility and is not licensed to conduct at least a full schedule of live racing or games shall pay 4 percent of such permitholder's cardroom monthly gross receipts to the division for use in the thoroughbred purse supplement program established by s. 550.1752 shall use at least 50 percent of such permitholder's cardroom monthly net proceeds as follows: 47 percent to supplement purses and 3 percent to supplement breeders' awards during the permitholder's next ensuing racing meet.
- 3. No cardroom license or renewal thereof shall be issued to an applicant holding a permit under chapter 550 to conduct pari-mutual wagering meets of quarter horse racing unless the applicant has on file with the division a binding written agreement between the applicant and the Florida Quarter Horse Racing Association or the association representing a majority of the horse owners and trainers at the applicant's eligible facility, governing the payment of purses on live quarter horse races conducted at the licensee's pari-mutual facility. The agreement governing purses may direct the payment of such purses from revenues generated by any wagering or gaming the applicant is authorized to conduct under Florida law. All purses shall be subject to the terms of chapter 550.

Page 118 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

(h) One-quarter of the moneys deposited into the Parimutuel Wagering Trust Fund pursuant to paragraph (g) shall, by October 1 of each year, be distributed to the local government that approved the cardroom under subsection (17) $\frac{(16)}{(16)}$; however, if two or more pari-mutuel racetracks are located within the same incorporated municipality, the cardroom funds shall be distributed to the municipality. If a pari-mutuel facility is situated in such a manner that it is located in more than one county, the site of the cardroom facility shall determine the location for purposes of disbursement of tax revenues under this paragraph. The division shall, by September 1 of each year, determine: the amount of taxes deposited into the Pari-mutuel Wagering Trust Fund pursuant to this section from each cardroom licensee; the location by county of each cardroom; whether the cardroom is located in the unincorporated area of the county or within an incorporated municipality; and, the total amount to be distributed to each eligible county and municipality.

(17) (16) LOCAL GOVERNMENT APPROVAL.—The Division of Parimutuel Wagering may shall not issue any initial license under this section except upon proof in such form as the division may prescribe that the local government where the applicant for such license desires to conduct cardroom gaming has voted to approve such activity by a majority vote of the governing body of the municipality or the governing body of the county if the facility is not located in a municipality.

(18) (17) CHANGE OF LOCATION; REFERENDUM.

Page 119 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

3069

3071

3072

3073

3074

3075

3076

3077

3078 3079

3080

3081 3082

3083

3084

3085

3086

3087 3088

3089

3090

3091

3092

3093

3094

3095 (a) Notwithstanding any provisions of this section, a no 3096 cardroom gaming license issued under this section may not shall 3097 be transferred, or reissued when such reissuance is in the 3098 nature of a transfer, so as to permit or authorize a licensee to 3099 change the location of the cardroom, except that a permitholder 3100 that relocated pursuant to ss. 550.0555(2)(a), 550.0555(2)(b), 3101 or 550.3345 is entitled to a cardroom license at the new 3102 location. except upon proof in such form as the division may 3103 prescribe that a referendum election has been held: 3104 1. If the proposed new location is within the same county 3105 as the already licensed location, in the county where the 3106 licensee desires to conduct cardroom gaming and that a majority 3107 of the electors voting on the question in such election voted in 3108 favor of the transfer of such license. However, the division 3109 shall transfer, without requirement of a referendum election, 3110 the cardroom license of any permitholder that relocated its permit pursuant to s. 550.0555. 3111 3112 2. If the proposed new location is not within the same county as the already licensed location, in the county where the 3113 3114 licensee desires to conduct cardroom gaming and that a majority of the electors voting on that question in each such election 3115 3116 voted in favor of the transfer of such license. 3117 (b) The expense of each referendum held under the 3118 provisions of this subsection shall be borne by the licensee

Section 48. The Division of Pari-mutuel Wagering of the

Page 120 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

3119

3120

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

requesting the transfer.

Department of Business and Professional Regulation shall revoke any permit to conduct pari-mutuel wagering if a permitholder has not conducted live events within the 24 months immediately preceding the effective date of this act, unless the permit was issued under s. 551.1041, Florida Statutes, or unless the permit was issued on or after July 1, 2015. A permit revoked under this section may not be reissued.

Section 49. If any provision of this act or its

Section 49. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of this act which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this act are severable.

Section 50. For the 2016-2017 fiscal year, the sum of \$150,000 in recurring funds from the Pari-Mutuel Wagering Trust Fund is appropriated to the Department of Business and Professional Regulation, and the associated salary rate of 45,000 is authorized, for the purpose of implementing the state oversight responsibilities of this act.

Section 51. Except for the amendments to ss. 285.710(1) and 285.710(3), Florida Statutes, the amendments made to chapters 285, 546, 550, 551, and 849, Florida Statutes, by this act are contingent upon the December 7, 2015, Gaming Compact becoming effective pursuant to s. 285.710(3)(c), Florida Statutes, as amended by this act, and shall not take effect if such Gaming Compact does not become effective. The amendments to

Page 121 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

FLORIDA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

PCS for HB 7109 ORIGINAL 2016

ss. 285.710(1) and 285.710(3), Florida Statutes, shall take effect upon this act becoming a law.

Section 52. Except as otherwise expressly provided in this act, this act shall take effect July 1, 2016, or upon approval by the United States Department of the Interior of the December 7, 2015, Gaming Compact ratified pursuant to s. 285.710, Florida Statutes, as amended by this act, whichever occurs later.

Page 122 of 122

PCS for HB 7109

3147

3148

3149

3150 3151

3152

3153