

# **Judiciary Committee**

# Tuesday, November 7, 2017 9:30 AM 404 HOB

# **Meeting Packet**

Richard Corcoran Speaker Chris Sprowls Chair



#### **Judiciary Committee**

Start Date and Time:	Tuesday, November 07, 2017 09:30 am
End Date and Time:	Tuesday, November 07, 2017 11:30 am
Location:	Sumner Hall (404 HOB)
Duration:	2.00 hrs

#### Consideration of the following bill(s):

HB 9 Federal Immigration Enforcement by Metz

Pursuant to rule 7.11, the deadline for amendments to bills on the agenda by non-appointed members shall be 6:00 p.m., Monday, November, 6, 2017.

By request of the Chair, all committee members are asked to have amendments to bills on the agenda submitted to staff by 6:00 p.m., Monday, November 6, 2017.

#### NOTICE FINALIZED on 10/31/2017 4:03PM by Ellerkamp.Donna

------

HB 9

#### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

#### BILL #: HB 9 Federal Immigration Enforcement SPONSOR(S): Metz TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 308

REFERENCE	ACTION ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Judiciary Committee	MacNamara	Poche

#### SUMMARY ANALYSIS

HB 9 creates the "Rule of Law Adherence Act" (Act) to require state and local governments and law enforcement agencies (covered bodies), including their officials, agents, and employees, to support and cooperate with federal immigration enforcement. Specifically, the bill:

- Prohibits a covered body from having a law, policy, practice, procedure, or custom which impedes a law enforcement agency from communicating or cooperating with a federal immigration agency on immigration enforcement;
- Prohibits any restriction on a covered body's ability to use, maintain, or exchange immigration information for certain purposes;
- Requires a covered body to comply with and support the enforcement of federal immigration law;
- Provides procedures for a law enforcement agency and court to follow when an arrested person cannot
  provide proof of lawful presence in the United States or is subject to an immigration detainer;
- Requires any sanctuary policies currently in effect be repealed within 90 days of the effective date of the Act;
- Authorizes a board of county commissioners to enact an ordinance to recover costs for complying with an immigration detainer;
- Requires an official or employee of a covered body to report a violation of the Act to the Attorney General or state attorney; failure to report a violation may result in suspension or removal from office;
- Authorizes the Attorney General or a state attorney to seek an injunction against a covered body that violates the Act;
- Imposes a civil penalty of at least \$1,000 but no more than \$5,000 for each day a policy that violates the Act was in effect;
- Creates a civil cause of action for a person injured by the conduct of an alien unlawfully present in the United States against a covered body whose violation of the Act contributed to the person's injury;
- Prohibits the expenditure of public funds to reimburse or defend a public official or employee who violates the Act; and
- Suspends state grant funding eligibility for 5 years for a covered body that violates the Act.

The bill may have an indeterminate impact on local government expenditures. The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state government.

Provisions of the Act creating penalties are effective October 1, 2018. All other provisions of the bill are effective July 1, 2018.

#### FULL ANALYSIS

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

#### **Background**

The federal government has broad power over immigration and alien status, and has established an extensive set of rules governing alien admission, removal, and conditions for continued presence within the United States.<sup>1</sup> While the federal government's authority over immigration is well established, the Supreme Court has recognized that not "every state enactment which in any way deals with aliens is a regulation of immigration and thus per se preempted" by the federal government.<sup>2</sup>

The Tenth Amendment's reservation of powers to the states includes traditional "police powers" concerning the promotion and regulation of safety, health, and welfare within the state.<sup>3</sup> Moreover, the federal government's power to preempt activity in the area of immigration is further limited by the constitutional bar against directly "commandeering" state or local governments into the service of federal immigration agencies.<sup>4</sup> States and municipalities have frequently enacted measures, as an exercise of police powers, addressing aliens residing in their communities.<sup>5</sup>

#### Information Sharing

United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) relies on local law enforcement sharing information on arrestees or inmates to identify and apprehend aliens who are unlawfully present. Over the years, some states and localities have restricted government agencies or employees from sharing information with federal immigration agencies.<sup>6</sup>

In 1996, Congress sought to end these restrictions on information-sharing through the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (PRWORA)<sup>7</sup> and Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act (IIRIRA).<sup>8</sup> Neither PRWORA nor IIRIRA require state or local government entities to share immigration-related information with federal authorities. Rather, they bar any restrictions that prevent state or local government entities or officials from voluntarily communicating with federal immigration agencies regarding a person's immigration status.<sup>9</sup>

#### **Immigration Detainers**

An immigration detainer is a document by which ICE advises state and local law enforcement agencies of its interest in individual aliens whom those agencies are currently holding.<sup>10</sup> ICE issues a detainer:

- To notify a law enforcement agency that ICE intends to assume custody of an alien in the agency's custody once the alien is no longer detained;
- To request information from a law enforcement agency about an alien's impending release so ICE may assume custody before the alien is released; or

<sup>6</sup> ld. at pg. 9.

- <sup>8</sup> 8 U.S.C. s. 1373.
- <sup>9</sup> 8 U.S.C. ss. 1373, 1644.

DATE: 10/31/2017

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Arizona v. United States, 132 S. Ct. 2492, 2497 (2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> De Canas v. Bica, 424 U.S. 351, 355 (1976); see Arizona, 132 S. Ct. 2492.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Western Turf Ass'n v. Greenberg, 204 U.S. 359, 363 (1907).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Printz v. United States, 521 U.S. 898 (1997); New York v. United States, 505 U.S. 144 (1992).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Congressional Research Service, R43457, State and Local "Sanctuary" Policies Limiting Participation in Immigration Enforcement, pg. 3 (July 20, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> 8 U.S.C. s. 1644.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See 8 U.S.C. ss. 1226, 1357; Congressional Research Service, supra FN 5, at pg. 13. **STORAGE NAME**: h0009.JDC.DOCX

 To request that a law enforcement agency maintain custody of an otherwise releasable alien for no longer than 48 hours to allow ICE to assume custody.<sup>11</sup>

The federal courts and the federal government have characterized an ICE detainer as a request that does not require a local law enforcement agency to comply.<sup>12</sup> The federal courts have held any purported requirement that states hold aliens for ICE may run afoul of the anti-commandeering principles of the Tenth Amendment. For example, in *Galarza v. Szalczyk*, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit noted that if states and localities were required to detain aliens for ICE pursuant to a detainer, they would have to "expend funds and resources to effectuate a federal regulatory scheme," something found to be impermissible in prior Supreme Court commandeering decisions.<sup>13</sup>

Additionally, a number of recent federal court decisions have held that ICE detainers requesting local law enforcement detain (as opposed to notify) an otherwise releasable individual must specify that there is sufficient probable cause to detain that individual.<sup>14</sup>

#### Local Sanctuary City Policies

A number of states and municipalities have adopted formal or informal policies which prohibit or limit police cooperation with federal immigration enforcement efforts.<sup>15</sup> Municipalities that have adopted such policies are sometimes referred to as "sanctuary cities." The term "sanctuary jurisdiction" is not defined by federal law, though it has been used by the Office of the Inspector General at the U.S. Department of Justice to reference "jurisdictions that may have [laws, ordinances, or policies] limiting the role of local law enforcement agencies and officers in the enforcement of immigration laws."<sup>16</sup> Examples of such polices include:

- Not asking an arrested or incarcerated person for his or her immigration status;
- Failing to inform ICE about an alien in custody;
- Not alerting ICE before releasing an alien from custody;
- Failing to transport an undocumented criminal alien to the nearest ICE location; and
- Declining to honor an immigration detainer.<sup>17</sup>

A bulletin issued by the Florida Sheriffs Association highlighted recent federal court decisions<sup>18</sup> relating to ICE detainers and explained that "sheriffs should be aware that any detention of an ICE detainee without probable cause may subject the sheriff's office to liability for an unlawful seizure."<sup>19</sup> The bulletin

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Law Enforcement Systems and Analysis, Department of Homeland Security, *Declined Detainer Outcome Report*, October 8, 2014 (redacted public version), at pg. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See, e.g., Garza v. Szalczyk, 745 F. 3d 634, 640-44 (3d Cir. 2014) (noting that all Courts of Appeals that have commented on the character of ICE detainers refer to them as "requests" or as part of an "informal procedure."); Ortega v. U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement, 737 F. 3d 435, 438 (6th Cir. 2013); Morales v. Chadbourne, 793 F.3d 208 (1st Cir. 2015) ("The language of both the regulations and case law persuade the Court that detainers are not mandatory[.]") <sup>13</sup> Garza, 745 F. 3d at 644.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> *Morales,* 793 F. 3d at 214-217 ("Because Morales was kept in custody for a new purpose after she was entitled to release, she was subjected to a new seizure for Fourth Amendment purposes—one that must be supported by a new probable cause justification."); *Miranda-Olivares v. Clackamas Co.,* No. 3:12-cv-02317-ST at \*17 (D.Or. April 11, 2014) (holding county liable for unlawful seizure without probable cause, based on an immigration detainer); *Galarza v. Szalczyk,* 2012 WL 1080020 (E.D.Pa. Mar.30, 2012) *rev'd on other grounds,* 745 F.3d 634 (3d Cir.2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See Congressional Research Service, supra FN 5, at pg. 7-20 (providing examples of various types of "sanctuary" policies used across the country).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> U.S. Dep't of Justice, Office of the Inspector General, Audit Division, *Cooperation of SCAAP Recipients in the Removal of Criminal Aliens from the United States*, January 2007 (redacted public version), at pg. vii, n.44 (defining "sanctuary" policies for purposes of study).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Id. at 11-17.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Galarza, 745 F. 3d at 634; Miranda-Olivares, 2014 WL 1414305. Neither of these cases are binding authority in Florida.
 <sup>19</sup> Florida Sheriffs Association, Legal Alert: ICE Detainers (on file with the Civil Justice Subcommittee).
 STORAGE NAME: h0009.JDC.DOCX
 PAGE: 3
 DATE: 10/31/2017

advised sheriff departments to "request a copy of the warrant or the order of deportation to determine that probable cause in fact exists for the continued detention."<sup>20</sup>

There is no requirement under federal law to show probable cause for the issuance of an ICE detainer.<sup>21</sup> Under the Priority Enforcement Program, in effect from 2015 to 2017, ICE included a determination of probable cause as part of the immigration detainer form.<sup>22</sup> The Priority Enforcement Program was terminated effective February 20, 2017; however, the immigration detainer form developed for the program is still in use on an interim basis, pending the development of a new form.<sup>23</sup>

In a recent report issued by ICE, Alachua County and Clay County were cited as "non-cooperative jurisdictions" due to their failure to honor detainers and their enactment of policies which limit cooperation with ICE.<sup>24</sup>

#### Texas, SB 4

The Texas Legislature recently passed a law prohibiting sanctuary cities. The law, enacted through SB 4, prohibits cities and counties from adopting policies that limit immigration enforcement, allows police officers to question the immigration status of anyone they detain or arrest, and threatens officials who violate the law with fines, jail time and removal from office.<sup>25</sup> It also directs local officials to cooperate with immigration detainer requests.

A number of Texas cities, including Houston, Austin, San Antonio, and Dallas, joined a lawsuit against the state seeking to strike down the law. On August 30, 2017, a federal district court granted a preliminary injunction preventing portions of the law from taking effect.<sup>26</sup> The following provisions of SB 4 were ruled unconstitutional by the court:

- Local entities may not prohibit, through policy or practice, providing enforcement assistance to federal immigration officers;
- Local entities may not endorse, adopt, or enforce a policy which limits the enforcement of immigration laws;
- Local entities may not prohibit or materially limit the enforcement of immigration laws through a pattern or practice; and
- Law enforcement agencies that have custody of a person subject to a detainer request must comply with, honor, and fulfill all actions in the detainer request.

Provisions were held unconstitutional, violating the First, Fourth, and Fourteenth Amendments. Specifically, punishing speakers based on their viewpoint on local immigration enforcement policy violated the First Amendment. Other portions of the law banning policies that "materially limit" enforcement of immigration laws, were unconstitutionally vague under the Fourteenth Amendment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> ld.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> See 8 U.S.C. s. 1357(a). See generally Congressional Research Service, R42690, *Immigration Detainers: Legal Issues* (May 7, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, *Priority Enforcement Program*, <u>https://www.ice.gov/pep</u> (last accessed October 25, 2017). See also Dept. of Homeland Sec., *Form I-247D: Immigration Detainer – Request for Voluntary Action*, May 2015, <u>https://www.ice.gov/sites/default/files/documents/Document/2016/I-247D.PDF</u> (last accessed October 25, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Memorandum from John Kelly, Sec'y of Homeland Sec., to Kevin McAleenan, Acting Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection, et al., *Enforcement of the Immigration Laws to Serve the National Interest* (Feb. 20, 2017), available at <u>https://www.dhs.gov/sites/default/files/publications/17\_0220\_S1\_Enforcement-of-the-Immigration-Laws-to-Serve-the-National-Interest.pdf</u> (last accessed October 25, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, *Enforcement and Removal Operations Weekly Declined Detainer Outcome Report*, Jan. 28 - Feb. 3, 2017, Section III pg.23-24. (https://www.ice.gov/doclib/ddor/ddor2017\_01-28to02-03.pdf) (last accessed October 25, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> TX S.B. 4, <u>https://legiscan.com/TX/text/SB4/2017</u> (last accessed October 25, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> City of El Cenizo, et al. v. State of Texas, et. al., SA-17-CV-404-OLG (August 30, 2017). STORAGE NAME: h0009.JDC.DOCX

Lastly, the court found that prohibiting local officers to act upon information that they may obtain violated the Fourth Amendment in that disregarding such information could lead to unreasonable searches and seizures. The court also prevented the implementation of all provisions of SB 4 related to corrective, disciplinary, or other action against entities or officials violating those parts of the law found to be unconstitutional.<sup>27</sup>

On September 25, 2017, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit issued a ruling following an appeal by the state for a stay of the injunction issued by the district court.<sup>28</sup> The Fifth Circuit denied the state's request for a stay with respect to the provisions of SB 4 that address actions or policies "materially limiting" enforcement, and provisions related to the "endorsement" of policies. The court, however, did stay the injunction with respect to requiring law enforcement agencies to "comply with, honor, and fulfill" any immigration detainer request.<sup>29</sup>

#### Effect of Proposed Changes

HB 9 creates ch. 908, F.S., entitled the "Rule of Law Adherence Act" (the Act), requiring state and local governments and law enforcement agencies to support and cooperate with federal immigration enforcement. The Act prohibits these entities from adopting policies or engaging in practices that limit or prevent them from providing such support or cooperation.

#### Legislative Findings and Intent

The bill creates s. 908.101, F.S., providing legislative findings regarding immigration enforcement. The bill states it is an important state interest that state entities, local government entities, and their officials owe an affirmative duty to assist the federal government with enforcement of federal immigration laws within the state, including complying with federal immigration detainers. The bill also finds an important state interest in ensuring that efforts to enforce immigration laws are not impeded or thwarted by state or local laws, policies, practices, procedures, or customs as necessary in the interest of public safety and adherence to federal law. Accordingly, state agencies, local governments, and their officials who encourage persons unlawfully present in the United States to locate within this state or who shield such persons from responsibility for their actions breach this duty and should be held accountable.

#### Prohibition of Sanctuary Policies

The bill creates s. 908.201, F.S., prohibiting a state or local governmental entity, or a law enforcement agency<sup>30</sup> from adopting or having in effect a sanctuary policy, defined as a law, policy, practice, procedure, or custom adopted or permitted by a state entity, law enforcement agency, or local governmental entity which contravenes 8 U.S.C. s. 1373(a) or (b)<sup>31</sup>, or which knowingly prohibits or impedes a law enforcement agency from communicating or cooperating with a federal immigration agency with respect to immigration enforcement. Examples of prohibited sanctuary polices include limiting or preventing a state or local governmental entity or law enforcement agency from:

• Complying with an immigration detainer;<sup>32</sup>

<sup>28</sup> City of El Cenzino, et al. v. State of Texas, et al., No. 17-50762 (5th Cir., September 25, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Id. at 6 ("Further, the 'comply with, honor, and fulfill' requirement does not require detention pursuant to every ICE detainer request; rather the...provision mandates that local agencies cooperate according to existing ICE detainer practice and law.")

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> The definitions of "state entity," "local governmental entity," and "law enforcement agency" include officials, persons holding public office, and representatives, agents, and employees of those entities or agencies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> 8 U.S.C. s. 1373(a) and (b) generally bar any restrictions that prevent state or local government entities or officials from voluntarily communicating with federal immigration agencies regarding a person's immigration status. See also *Congressional Research Service*, supra FN 5, at pg. 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> "Immigration detainer" is defined in the bill as a facially sufficient written or electronic request issued by a federal immigration agency using that agency's official form to request another law enforcement agency detain a person based on an inquiry into the person's immigration status or an alleged violation of a civil immigration law, including detainers issued **STORAGE NAME**: h0009.JDC.DOCX **PAGE: 5 DATE**: 10/31/2017

- Complying with a request from a federal immigration agency (FIA) to notify the agency prior to the release of an inmate in the state or local governmental entity or law enforcement agency's custody;
- Providing a FIA access to an inmate to interview;
- Initiating an immigration status investigation; or
- Providing a FIA with the incarceration status or release date of an inmate.

#### Cooperation with a Federal Immigration Agency

The bill requires a state or local governmental entity or a law enforcement agency to fully comply with and support immigration law enforcement. This requirement only applies to an official, representative, agent, or employee of such entity or agency when he or she is acting within the scope of their official duties or employment.

The bill creates s. 908.202, F.S., prohibiting any restriction on a state or local governmental entity or law enforcement agency's ability to:

- Send information regarding a person's immigration status to, or requesting or receiving such information from, a FIA;
- Record and maintain immigration information for purposes of the Act;
- Exchange immigration information with a FIA, state or local governmental entity, or law enforcement agency;
- Use immigration information to determine eligibility for a public benefit, service, or license;
- Use immigration information to verify a claim of residence or domicile if such a determination of is required under federal or state law, local government ordinance or regulation, or pursuant to a court order;
- Use immigration information to comply with an immigration detainer; or
- Use immigration information to confirm the identity of an individual who is detained by a law enforcement agency.

Additionally, the bill permits a law enforcement agency that has received verification from a federal immigration official that an alien in the agency's custody is unlawfully present in the United States to transport the alien to a federal facility in this state or to a point of transfer to federal custody outside the jurisdiction of the agency. However, the law enforcement agency must obtain judicial authorization before transporting the alien outside of the state.

The bill requires a judge in a criminal case to order a secure correctional facility<sup>33</sup> to reduce a defendant's sentence by not more than 7 days to facilitate transfer to federal custody if the defendant is subject to an immigration detainer. The judge must indicate on the record that the defendant is subject to an immigration detainer or otherwise indicate that the defendant is subject to transfer into federal custody when making the order. If a judge does not have this information at the time of sentencing, he or she must issue the order to the secure correctional facility as soon as such information becomes available.

The cooperation and support requirements in newly-created s. 908.202, F.S., do not require a state or local governmental entity or law enforcement agency to provide a FIA with information related to a victim or witness to a criminal offense, if the victim or witness cooperates in the investigation or prosecution of the crime. A victim or witness's cooperation must be documented in the entity's or

<sup>33</sup> The term "secure correctional facility" is defined as a state correctional institution in s. 944.02, F.S., or a county detention facility or municipal detention facility in s. 951.23, F.S. **STORAGE NAME:** h0009.JDC.DOCX

pursuant to 8 U.S.C. ss. 1226 and 1357. A detainer is considered facially sufficient when it is complete and indicates on its face, or is supported by an accompanying affidavit or order that indicates, the federal immigration official has reason to believe that the person to be detained may not have been lawfully admitted to the United States or is otherwise not lawfully present.

agency's investigative records, and the entity or agency must retain the records for at least 10 years for the purposes of audit, verification, or inspection by the state Auditor General.

#### Arrested Persons and Immigration Detainers

The bill creates s. 908.203, F.S., detailing procedures for a law enforcement agency when a person is arrested and cannot provide proof of lawful presence in the United States. Within 48 hours of the arrest, the agency must review any information available from a FIA. If such information reveals that the person is unlawfully present, the agency must:

- Provide immediate notice of the person's arrest and charges to a FIA;
- Inform the judge authorized to grant or deny the person's release on bail of that fact; and
- Record that fact in the person's case file.

An agency is not required to perform this duty when a person is transferred to them from another agency if the previous agency performed the duty before the transfer. A judge who receives notice of a person's immigration status pursuant to this duty must record the status in the court record.

The bill also creates s. 908.204, F.S., providing duties of a law enforcement agency related to an immigration detainer. If an agency has custody of a person subject to a detainer, the agency must inform the judge authorized to grant or deny bail of that fact. The judge must record the fact in the court record, regardless of whether the notice is received before or after judgment in the case. The agency must also record that fact in the person's case file and must comply with, honor, and fulfill the requests made in the detainer. An agency is not required to fulfill this duty for a person who is transferred to them from another agency if the previous agency performed the duty before transferring custody.

#### Reimbursement of Costs for Complying with an Immigration Detainer

The bill creates s. 908.205, F.S., authorizing a board of county commissioners to adopt an ordinance requiring any individual detained pursuant to a lawful and valid immigration detainer to reimburse the county for any expenses incurred in detaining that individual. However, an individual is not liable for reimbursement if a FIA determines that the immigration detainer was improperly issued.

The bill also authorizes local government or a law enforcement agency to petition the federal government for the reimbursement of costs. The petition may be made for detention costs and the costs of compliance with federal requests when such costs are incurred in support of federal immigration law.

#### Duty to Report

The bill creates s. 908.206, F.S., requiring an official or employee of a state or local governmental entity or law enforcement agency to promptly report a known or probable violation of the Act to the Attorney General or a state attorney. An official or employee's willful and knowing failure to report a violation may result in his or her suspension or removal from office.<sup>34</sup>

The bill protects, pursuant to the state's Whistleblower Act,<sup>35</sup> to any official or employee of a state or local governmental entity or law enforcement agency who is retaliated against by the entity or agency or denied employment because he or she complied with the duty to report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Art. IV, s. 7 of the Florida Constitution provides that the Governor may suspend "any state officer not subject to impeachment . . . or any county officer for malfeasance, misfeasance, neglect of duty, drunkenness, incompetence, permanent inability to perform official duties, or commission of a felony, and may fill the office by appointment for the period of suspension. The suspended officer may at any time before removal be reinstated by the governor." The Senate then "may. . . remove from office or reinstate the suspended official . . ."

#### Enforcement and Penalties for Violations of the Act

The bill creates s. 908.301, F.S., requiring the Attorney General to provide a form on the Department of Legal Affairs' website for a person to submit a complaint alleging a violation of the Act. The bill does not prohibit a person from filing an anonymous complaint or a complaint in a different format than the one prescribed. Any person has standing to submit a complaint.

The bill creates s. 908.302, F.S., establishing penalties for violations of the Act. The state attorney for the county in which a state entity is headquartered, or a local governmental entity or law enforcement agency is located, has primary responsibility for investigating complaints of violations of the Act. The results of any investigation must be provided to the Attorney General in a timely manner.

A state or local government entity or law enforcement agency for which the state attorney has received a complaint must comply with any document request by the state attorney. If the state attorney determines that the complaint is valid, within 10 days of the determination, the state attorney must provide written notification to the entity that the complaint has been filed and found valid, and that the state attorney is authorized to file an action to enjoin the violation if the entity does not comply with ch. 908, F.S., on or before the 60th day after notification is provided.

Within 30 days of receiving written notification of a valid complaint, a state or local government entity or law enforcement agency must provide the state attorney with a copy of:

- The entity's written policies and procedures with respect to FIA enforcement action, including policies with respect to immigration detainers;
- Each immigration detainer received by the entity from a FIA in the current calendar year-to-date and the two prior calendar years; and
- Each response sent by the entity for an immigration detainer for the current year and two prior calendar years.

The Attorney General, the state attorney who conducted the investigation, or a state attorney under an order by the Governor pursuant to s. 27.14, F.S.,<sup>36</sup> may institute proceedings in circuit court to enjoin a state or local governmental entity or law enforcement agency that violates the Act. The court must expedite the action, including setting a hearing at the earliest practicable date.

Upon adjudication or as provided in a consent decree, the court must enjoin the unlawful policy or practice and order that the entity or agency pay a civil penalty of at least \$1,000 but not more than \$5,000 for each day the policy or practice was in effect, commencing on October 1, 2018 or the date the sanctuary policy was first enacted, whichever is later. Payment must be remitted to the Chief Financial Officer (CFO), and deposited into the General Revenue Fund.

A "sanctuary policymaker" is defined in the bill as a state or local elected official, or an appointed official of a local governmental entity governing body, who has voted for, allowed to be implemented, or voted against repeal or prohibition of a sanctuary policy. The bill requires a consent decree, injunction, or order granting civil penalties to identify each sanctuary policymaker. The court must provide a copy of the final order to the Governor within 30 days. A sanctuary policymaker identified in a final order is subject to suspension or removal from office.<sup>37</sup>

The bill also prohibits using public funds to defend or reimburse any sanctuary policymaker or any official, representative, agent, or employee of a state entity, local governmental entity, or law enforcement agency who knowingly and willfully violates the Act.

<sup>36</sup> S. 27.14, F.S., authorizes the Governor to issue an executive order requiring a state attorney from another circuit to replace another state attorney in an investigation or case in which the latter state attorney is disqualified or "for any other good and sufficient reason [when] the Governor determines that the ends of justice would be best served."
 <sup>37</sup> See FN 34, supra.
 STORAGE NAME: h0009.JDC.DOCX
 PAGE: 8

#### Cause of Action against State or Local Government Entity, or Law Enforcement Agency

The bill creates s. 908.303, F.S., providing a civil cause of action by a person injured by the tortious conduct of an alien unlawfully present in the United States against any state or local governmental entity or law enforcement agency that violates newly-created ss. 908.201, 908.202, and 908.204, F.S. To prevail, the plaintiff must prove by the greater weight of the evidence:

- The existence of a sanctuary policy; and
- Failure to comply with any provision of newly-created s. 908.202, F.S., resulting in the alien having access to the person injured or killed when the tortious conduct occurred.

The bill requires a final judgment in favor of a plaintiff to identify each sanctuary policymaker. The court must provide a copy of the final judgment to the Governor within 30 days. A sanctuary policymaker identified in a final judgment is subject to suspension or removal from office.<sup>38</sup>

A cause of action pursuant to this section may not be brought against a public official or employee of a state or local government or law enforcement agency, including a sanctuary policymaker. There is no civil cause of action against a state entity, local governmental entity, or law enforcement agency that complies with the Act.

#### Ineligibility for State Grant Funding

The bill creates s. 908.304, F.S., making ineligible a state or local government entity or law enforcement agency that had a sanctuary policy in violation of ch. 908, F.S., for non-federal grant programs administered by state agencies for 5 years from the date of adjudication that the entity had a sanctuary policy in violation of the Act.

The state attorney must notify the CFO of an adjudicated violation by an entity and provide a copy of the final court injunction, order, or judgment. Upon receiving the notice, the CFO must timely inform all state agencies that administer non-federal grant funding of such violation and direct such agencies to cancel all pending grant applications and enforce the ineligibility of the entity. The prohibition on grant funding does not apply to:

- Funding that is received as a result of an appropriation to a specifically named state entity, local government entity, or law enforcement agency in the General Appropriations Act or other law; and
- Grants awarded prior to the date of an adjudication of violation of the Act.

#### Additional Provisions

The bill creates s. 908.401, F.S., providing that ch. 908, F.S., does not apply to the release of information contained in education records of an educational agency or institution, except in conformity with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974, 20 U.S.C. s. 1232g. Education records under 20 U.S.C. s. 1232g, include any record, file, or document which is maintained by an educational agency or institution and contains information directly related to the student. Education records do not include records of instructional or administrative personnel, records created and maintained by a law enforcement unit, or records maintained by certain mental health professionals created in connection with treating the student.<sup>39</sup>

The bill creates s. 908.402, F.S., prohibiting a state or local government entity or law enforcement agency, or a person employed by or otherwise under the direction of such an entity, from basing its

actions pursuant to ch. 908, F.S., on the gender, race, religion, national origin, or physical disability of a person, except to the extent allowed by the United States Constitution or the state constitution.

The bill requires any sanctuary policy in effect on the effective date of the Act be repealed within 90 days.

The bill provides that ss. 908.302 and 908.303, F.S., relating to enforcement and penalties for violations of the act and creating a civil cause of action for personal injury or wrongful death attributed to a sanctuary policy, respectively, will take effect on October 1, 2018. All other provisions of the bill are effective on July 1, 2018.

#### **B. SECTION DIRECTORY:**

Section 1: Creates a short title.

**Section 2**: Creates Chapter 908, F.S., consisting of ss. 908.101-908.402, F.S., entitled "Federal Immigration Enforcement."

**Section 3**: Creates an unnumbered section that requires any sanctuary policy in effect on the effective date of the Act must be repealed within 90 days after that effective date.

Section 4: Provides an effective date October 1, 2018, for ss. 908.302 and 908.303, F.S.; otherwise provides effective date of July 1, 2018.

#### **II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

#### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

#### B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

See "Expenditures," below.

2. Expenditures:

The bill requires a local government entity or law enforcement agency to honor an ICE immigration detainer. Any costs associated with holding an individual pursuant to an immigration detainer are not reimbursed by ICE. However, the bill authorizes a board of county commissioners to enact an ordinance to recover costs for complying with an immigration detainer.<sup>40</sup> The bill also authorizes a local government entity or law enforcement agency to petition the federal government to recover costs of detention and complying with a federal request.<sup>41</sup> Accordingly, the bill may have an indeterminate negative impact on local expenditures

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

<sup>40</sup> See "Reimbursement of Costs for Complying with an Immigration Detainer" section above.

<sup>41</sup> Id.

#### D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

It is unknown how much it costs local governments to comply with immigration detainers. According to the Board of County Commissioners in Miami-Dade County, compliance with immigration detainers in 2011 and 2012 cost the county \$1,002,700 and \$667,076, respectively.<sup>42</sup>

As noted above, a recent federal court decision found that a local law enforcement agency is not required to honor an ICE detainer because such detainers are requests to detain.<sup>43</sup> Federal courts have also held that an ICE detainer must be supported by probable cause.<sup>44</sup> Based on these two lines of federal cases, it appears that a law enforcement agency that voluntarily complies with an ICE detainer that is not supported by probable cause may be subject to legal action.<sup>45</sup>

Lastly, an entity or agency in violation of Act may be subject to a fine of at least \$1,000 but not more than \$5,000 for each day a policy or practice was in effect. These fines are remitted to the CFO and deposited in the General Revenue Fund.

#### **III. COMMENTS**

#### A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill appears to require a county or municipality to spend funds or take an action requiring the expenditure of funds as described in article VII, section 18 of the Florida Constitution, specifically by requiring the county or municipality to comply with an immigration detainer. However, the bill contains legislative findings that state and local government assistance and cooperation with federal immigration enforcement fulfills an important state interest, and it authorizes a board of county commissioners to enact an ordinance to recover costs for complying with an immigration detainer.<sup>46</sup> Moreover, it appears that any expenditure that may be required by the bill applies to "all persons similarly situated" because the bill applies to all state and local governmental entities and all law enforcement agencies.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The bill requires the Attorney General to proscribe a form for a person to submit a complaint alleging a violation of the Act, and provide the form through the Department of Legal Affairs' website.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

#### IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

<sup>46</sup> See "Legislative Findings and Intent" and "Reimbursement of Costs for Complying with an Immigration Detainer," above.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Resolution No. R-1008-13, Board of County Commissioners, Miami-Dade County, Florida (Dec. 3, 2010).
 <sup>43</sup> See "Immigration Detainers," above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> ld.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> See *Legal Alert*, supra FN 19.

2018

1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to federal immigration enforcement;
3	providing a short title; creating chapter 908, F.S.,
4	relating to federal immigration enforcement; providing
5	legislative findings and intent; providing
6	definitions; prohibiting sanctuary policies; requiring
7	state entities, local governmental entities, and law
8	enforcement agencies to comply with and support the
9	enforcement of federal immigration law; specifying
10	duties concerning certain arrested persons; specifying
11	duties concerning immigration detainers; prohibiting
12	restrictions by such entities and agencies on taking
13	certain actions with respect to information regarding
14	a person's immigration status; providing requirements
15	concerning certain criminal defendants subject to
16	immigration detainers or otherwise subject to transfer
17	to federal custody; authorizing a law enforcement
18	agency to transport an unauthorized alien under
19	certain circumstances; providing an exception to
20	reporting requirements for crime victims or witnesses;
21	requiring recordkeeping relating to crime victim and
22	witness cooperation in certain investigations;
23	authorizing a board of county commissioners to adopt
24	an ordinance to recover costs for complying with an
25	immigration detainer; authorizing local governmental
	Dave 4 of 04

### Page 1 of 21

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

2018

26	entities and law enforcement agencies to petition the
27	Federal Government for reimbursement of certain costs;
28	requiring report of violations; providing penalties
29	for failure to report a violation; providing whistle-
30	blower protections for persons who report violations;
31	requiring the Attorney General to prescribe the format
32	for submitting complaints; providing requirements for
33	entities to comply with document requests from state
34	attorneys concerning violations; providing for
35	investigation of possible violations; providing for
36	injunctive relief and civil penalties; requiring
37	written findings; prohibiting the expenditure of
38	public funds for specified purposes; providing a cause
39	of action for personal injury or wrongful death
40	attributed to a sanctuary policy; providing that a
41	trial by jury is a matter of right; requiring written
42	findings; providing for applicability to certain
43	education records; prohibiting discrimination on
44	specified grounds; providing for implementation;
45	requiring repeal of existing sanctuary policies within
46	a specified period; providing effective dates.
47	
48	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
49	
50	Section 1. Short titleThis act may be cited as the "Rule
	Page 2 of 21

FLORI	IDA H	OUS	E O F	REPRE	SENT	ATIVES
-------	-------	-----	-------	-------	------	--------

-----

HB 9

\_\_\_\_\_

2018

51	of Law Adherence Act."
52	Section 2. Chapter 908, Florida Statutes, consisting of
53	sections 908.101-908.402, is created to read:
54	CHAPTER 908
55	FEDERAL IMMIGRATION ENFORCEMENT
56	PART I
57	FINDINGS AND DEFINITIONS
58	908.101 Legislative findings and intentThe Legislature
59	finds that it is an important state interest that state
60	entities, local governmental entities, and their officials owe
61	an affirmative duty to all citizens and other persons lawfully
62	present in the United States to assist the Federal Government
63	with enforcement of federal immigration laws within this state,
64	including complying with federal immigration detainers. The
65	Legislature further finds that it is an important state interest
66	that, in the interest of public safety and adherence to federal
67	law, this state support federal immigration enforcement efforts
68	and ensure that such efforts are not impeded or thwarted by
69	state or local laws, policies, practices, procedures, or
70	customs. State entities, local governmental entities, and their
71	officials who encourage persons unlawfully present in the United
72	States to locate within this state or who shield such persons
73	from personal responsibility for their unlawful actions breach
74	this duty and should be held accountable.
75	908.102 DefinitionsAs used in this chapter, the term:
	Page 3 of 21

2018

76	(1) "Federal immigration agency" means the United States
77	Department of Justice, the United States Department of Homeland
78	Security, or any successor agency and any division of such
79	agency, including United States Immigration and Customs
80	Enforcement, United States Customs and Border Protection, or any
81	other federal agency charged with the enforcement of immigration
82	law. The term includes an official or employee of such agency.
83	(2) "Immigration detainer" means a facially sufficient
84	written or electronic request issued by a federal immigration
85	agency using that agency's official form to request that another
86	law enforcement agency detain a person based on probable cause
87	to believe that the person to be detained is a removable alien
88	under federal immigration law, including detainers issued
89	pursuant to 8 U.S.C. ss. 1226 and 1357. For purposes of this
90	subsection, an immigration detainer is deemed facially
91	sufficient if:
92	(a) The federal immigration agency's official form is
93	complete and indicates on its face that the federal immigration
94	official has probable cause to believe that the person to be
95	detained is a removable alien under federal immigration law; or
96	(b) The federal immigration agency's official form is
97	incomplete and fails to indicate on its face that the federal
98	immigration official has probable cause to believe that the
99	person to be detained is a removable alien under federal
100	immigration law, but is supported by an affidavit, order, or
	Page 4 of 24

# Page 4 of 21

other official documentation that indicates that the federal 101 102 immigration agency has probable cause to believe that the person 103 to be detained is a removable alien under federal immigration 104 law. 105 (3) "Inmate" means a person in the custody of a law 106 enforcement agency. "Law enforcement agency" means an agency in this state 107 (4) 108 charged with enforcement of state, county, municipal, or federal 109 laws or with managing custody of detained persons in the state 110 and includes municipal police departments, sheriff's offices, state police departments, state university and college police 111 112 departments, and the Department of Corrections. The term 113 includes an official or employee of such agency. 114 "Local governmental entity" means any county, (5) 115 municipality, or other political subdivision of this state. The 116 term includes a person holding public office or having official duties as a representative, agent, or employee of such entity. 117 118 "Sanctuary policy" means a law, policy, practice, (6) procedure, or custom adopted or permitted by a state entity, 119 120 local governmental entity, or law enforcement agency which 121 contravenes 8 U.S.C. s. 1373(a) or (b), or which knowingly 122 prohibits or impedes a law enforcement agency from communicating 123 or cooperating with a federal immigration agency with respect to 124 federal immigration enforcement, including, but not limited to, 125 limiting or preventing a state entity, local governmental

#### Page 5 of 21

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2018

FLORIDA	HOUSE	OF REP	RESENTA	TIVES
---------	-------	--------	---------	-------

2018

126	entity, or law enforcement agency from:
127	(a) Complying with an immigration detainer;
128	(b) Complying with a request from a federal immigration
129	agency to notify the agency before the release of an inmate or
130	detainee in the custody of the state entity, local governmental
131	entity, or law enforcement agency;
132	(c) Providing a federal immigration agency access to an
133	inmate for interview;
134	(d) Initiating an immigration status investigation; or
135	(e) Providing a federal immigration agency with an
136	inmate's incarceration status or release date.
137	(7) "Sanctuary policymaker" means a state or local elected
138	official, or an appointed official of a local governmental
139	entity governing body, who has voted for, allowed to be
140	implemented, or voted against repeal or prohibition of a
141	sanctuary policy.
142	(8) "State entity" means the state or any office, board,
143	bureau, commission, department, branch, division, or institution
144	thereof, including institutions within the State University
145	System and the Florida College System. The term includes a
146	person holding public office or having official duties as a
147	representative, agent, or employee of such entity.
148	PART II
149	DUTIES
150	908.201 Sanctuary policies prohibited.—A state entity, law
	Page 6 of 21

																										_	_
F	L	0	R	D	Α	н	0	U	S	E	0	I	F	R	Ε	Ρ	R	E	S	Ε	N	Т	Α	Т	V	Е	S

2018

151	enforcement agency, or local governmental entity may not adopt
152	or have in effect a sanctuary policy.
153	908.202 Cooperation with federal immigration authorities
154	(1) A state entity, local governmental entity, or law
155	enforcement agency shall fully comply with and, to the full
156	extent permitted by law, support the enforcement of federal
157	immigration law. This subsection is only applicable to an
158	official, representative, agent, or employee of such entity or
159	agency when he or she is acting within the scope of his or her
160	official duties or within the scope of his or her employment.
161	(2) Except as otherwise expressly prohibited by federal
162	law, a state entity, local governmental entity, or law
163	enforcement agency may not prohibit or in any way restrict
164	another state entity, local governmental entity, or law
165	enforcement agency from taking any of the following actions with
166	respect to information regarding a person's immigration status:
167	(a) Sending such information to or requesting, receiving,
168	or reviewing such information from a federal immigration agency
169	for purposes of this chapter.
170	(b) Recording and maintaining such information for
171	purposes of this chapter.
172	(c) Exchanging such information with a federal immigration
173	agency or another state entity, local governmental entity, or
174	law enforcement agency for purposes of this chapter.
175	(d) Using such information to determine eligibility for a
	Page 7 of 21

# Page 7 of 21

2018

176	public benefit, service, or license pursuant to federal or state
177	law or an ordinance or regulation of a local governmental
178	entity.
179	(e) Using such information to verify a claim of residence
180	or domicile if a determination of residence or domicile is
181	required under federal or state law, an ordinance or regulation
182	of a local governmental entity, or a judicial order issued
183	pursuant to a civil or criminal proceeding in this state.
184	(f) Using such information to comply with an immigration
185	detainer.
186	(g) Using such information to confirm the identity of a
187	person who is detained by a law enforcement agency.
188	(3) (a) This subsection only applies in a criminal case in
189	which:
190	1. The judgment requires the defendant to be confined in a
191	secure correctional facility; and
192	2. The judge:
193	a. Indicates in the record under s. 908.204 that the
194	defendant is subject to an immigration detainer; or
195	b. Otherwise indicates in the record that the defendant is
196	subject to a transfer into federal custody.
197	(b) In a criminal case described by paragraph (a), the
198	judge shall, at the time of pronouncement of a sentence of
199	confinement, issue an order requiring the secure correctional
200	facility in which the defendant is to be confined to reduce the

# Page 8 of 21

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

2018

201	defendant's sentence by a period of not more than 7 days on the
202	facility's determination that the reduction in sentence will
203	facilitate the seamless transfer of the defendant into federal
204	custody. For purposes of this paragraph, the term "secure
205	correctional facility" means a state correctional institution,
206	as defined in s. 944.02, or a county detention facility or a
207	municipal detention facility, as defined in s. 951.23.
208	(c) If the applicable information described by
209	subparagraph (a)2. is not available at the time the sentence is
210	pronounced in the case, the judge shall issue the order
211	described by paragraph (b) as soon as the information becomes
212	available.
213	(4) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, if a law
214	enforcement agency has received verification from a federal
215	immigration agency that an alien in the law enforcement agency's
216	custody is unlawfully present in the United States, the law
217	enforcement agency may securely transport such alien to a
218	federal facility in this state or to another point of transfer
219	to federal custody outside the jurisdiction of the law
220	enforcement agency. A law enforcement agency shall obtain
221	judicial authorization before securely transporting such alien
222	to a point of transfer outside of this state.
223	(5) This section does not require a state entity, local
224	governmental entity, or law enforcement agency to provide a
225	federal immigration agency with information related to a victim
	Dogo 0 of 21

# Page 9 of 21

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

226 of or a witness to a criminal offense if such victim or witness 227 timely and in good faith responds to the entity's or agency's 228 request for information and cooperation in the investigation or 229 prosecution of such offense. (6) A state entity, local governmental entity, or law 230 231 enforcement agency that, pursuant to subsection (5), withholds 232 information regarding the immigration information of a victim of 233 or witness to a criminal offense shall document such victim's or 234 witness's cooperation in the entity's or agency's investigative 235 records related to the offense and shall retain such records for 236 at least 10 years for the purpose of audit, verification, or 237 inspection by the Auditor General. 238 908.203 Duties related to certain arrested persons.-239 (1) If a person is arrested and is unable to provide proof of his or her lawful presence in the United States, not later 240 241 than 48 hours after the person is arrested and before the person 242 is released on bond, a law enforcement agency performing the 243 booking process shall: 244 (a) Review any information available from a federal 245 immigration agency. 246 If information obtained under paragraph (a) reveals (b) 247 that the person is not a citizen of the United States and is 248 unlawfully present in the United States according to the terms 249 of the federal Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. ss. 250 1101 et seq., the law enforcement agency shall:

#### Page 10 of 21

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2018

F	L	0	R	D	Α	н	0	υ	S	Е	0	F	F	: E	P	R	Ε	S	Ε	Ν	Т	Α	Т	V	Е	S

2018

251	1. Provide immediate notice of the person's arrest and
252	charges to a federal immigration agency.
253	2. Provide notice of that fact to the judge authorized to
254	grant or deny the person's release on bail under chapter 903.
255	3. Record that fact in the person's case file.
256	(2) A law enforcement agency is not required to perform a
257	duty imposed by subsection (1) with respect to a person who is
258	transferred to the custody of the agency by another law
259	enforcement agency if the transferring agency performed that
260	duty before transferring custody of the person.
261	(3) A judge who receives notice of a person's immigration
262	status under this section shall ensure that such status is
263	recorded in the court record.
264	908.204 Duties related to immigration detainer
265	(1) A law enforcement agency that has custody of a person
266	subject to an immigration detainer issued by a federal
267	immigration agency shall:
268	(a) Provide to the judge authorized to grant or deny the
269	person's release on bail under chapter 903 notice that the
270	person is subject to an immigration detainer.
271	(b) Record in the person's case file that the person is
272	subject to an immigration detainer.
273	(c) Comply with, honor, and fulfill the requests made in
274	the immigration detainer.
275	(2) A law enforcement agency is not required to perform a

Page 11 of 21

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

2018

276	duty imposed by paragraph (1)(a) or paragraph (1)(b) with
277	respect to a person who is transferred to the custody of the
278	agency by another law enforcement agency if the transferring
279	agency performed that duty before transferring custody of the
280	person.
281	(3) A judge who receives notice that a person is subject
282	to an immigration detainer shall ensure that such fact is
283	recorded in the court record, regardless of whether the notice
284	is received before or after a judgment in the case.
285	908.205 Reimbursement of costs
286	(1) A board of county commissioners may adopt an ordinance
287	requiring a person detained pursuant to an immigration detainer
288	to reimburse the county for any expenses incurred in detaining
289	the person pursuant to the immigration detainer. A person
290	detained pursuant to an immigration detainer is not liable under
291	this section if a federal immigration agency determines that the
292	immigration detainer was improperly issued.
293	(2) A local governmental entity or law enforcement agency
294	may petition the Federal Government for reimbursement of the
295	entity's or agency's detention costs and the costs of compliance
296	with federal requests when such costs are incurred in support of
297	the enforcement of federal immigration law.
298	908.206 Duty to report
299	(1) An official, representative, agent, or employee of a
300	state entity, local governmental entity, or law enforcement

Page 12 of 21

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words  $\underline{underlined}$  are additions.

2018

301	agency shall promptly report a known or probable violation of
302	this chapter to the Attorney General or the state attorney
303	having jurisdiction over the entity or agency.
304	(2) An official, representative, agent, or employee of a
305	state entity, local governmental entity, or law enforcement
306	agency who willfully and knowingly fails to report a known or
307	probable violation of this chapter may be suspended or removed
308	from office pursuant to general law and s. 7, Art. IV of the
309	State Constitution.
310	(3) A state entity, local governmental entity, or law
311	enforcement agency may not dismiss, discipline, take any adverse
312	personnel action as defined in s. 112.3187(3) against, or take
313	any adverse action described in s. 112.3187(4)(b) against, an
314	official, representative, agent, or employee for complying with
315	subsection (1).
316	(4) Section 112.3187 of the Whistle-blower's Act applies
317	to an official, representative, agent, or employee of a state
318	entity, local governmental entity, or law enforcement agency who
319	is dismissed, disciplined, subject to any adverse personnel
320	action as defined in s. 112.3187(3) or any adverse action
321	described in s. 112.3187(4)(b), or denied employment because he
322	or she complied with subsection (1).
323	908.207 ImplementationThis chapter shall be implemented
324	to the fullest extent permitted by federal law regulating
325	immigration and the legislative findings and intent declared in
	Dogo 12 of 21

Page 13 of 21

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

s. 908.101. PART III ENFORCEMENT 908.301 Complaints.-The Attorney General shall prescribe and provide through the Department of Legal Affairs' website the format for a person to submit a complaint alleging a violation of this chapter. This section does not prohibit the filing of an anonymous complaint or a complaint not submitted in the prescribed format. Any person has standing to submit a complaint under this chapter. 908.302 Enforcement; penalties.-The state attorney for the county in which a state (1)entity is headquartered or in which a local governmental entity or law enforcement agency is located has primary responsibility and authority for investigating credible complaints of a violation of this chapter. The results of an investigation by a state attorney shall be provided to the Attorney General in a timely manner. (2) (a) A state entity, local governmental entity, or law enforcement agency for which the state attorney has received a complaint shall comply with a document request from the state attorney related to the complaint. If the state attorney determines that a complaint (b) filed against a state entity, local governmental entity, or law enforcement agency is valid, the state attorney shall, not later

#### Page 14 of 21

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2018

351 than the 10th day after the date of the determination, provide 352 written notification to the entity that: 353 The complaint has been filed. 1. 354 The state attorney has determined that the complaint is 2. 355 valid. 356 The state attorney is authorized to file an action to 3. 357 enjoin the violation if the entity does not come into compliance 358 with the requirements of this chapter on or before the 60th day 359 after the notification is provided. 360 No later than the 30th day after the day a state (C) 361 entity or local governmental entity receives written notification under paragraph (b), the state entity or local 362 363 governmental entity shall provide the state attorney with a copy 364 of: 365 1. The entity's written policies and procedures with 366 respect to federal immigration agency enforcement actions, including the entity's policies and procedures with respect to 367 368 immigration detainers. 369 2. Each immigration detainer received by the entity from a 370 federal immigration agency in the current calendar year-to-date 371 and the two prior calendar years. 372 Each response sent by the entity for an immigration 3. 373 detainer described by subparagraph 2. 374 The Attorney General, the state attorney who conducted (3) 375 the investigation, or a state attorney ordered by the Governor

#### Page 15 of 21

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2018

2018

376	pursuant to s. 27.14 may institute proceedings in circuit court
377	to enjoin a state entity, local governmental entity, or law
378	enforcement agency found to be in violation of this chapter. The
379	court shall expedite an action under this section, including
380	setting a hearing at the earliest practicable date.
381	(4) Upon adjudication by the court or as provided in a
382	consent decree declaring that a state entity, local governmental
383	entity, or law enforcement agency has violated this chapter, the
384	court shall enjoin the unlawful sanctuary policy and order that
385	such entity or agency pay a civil penalty to the state of at
386	least \$1,000 but not more than \$5,000 for each day that the
387	sanctuary policy was in effect commencing on October 1, 2018, or
388	the date the sanctuary policy was first enacted, whichever is
389	later, until the date the injunction was granted. The court
390	shall have continuing jurisdiction over the parties and subject
391	matter and may enforce its orders with imposition of additional
392	civil penalties as provided for in this section and contempt
393	proceedings as provided by law.
394	(5) An order approving a consent decree or granting an
395	injunction or civil penalties pursuant to subsection (4) must
396	include written findings of fact that describe with specificity
397	the existence and nature of the sanctuary policy in violation of
398	s. 908.201 and that identify each sanctuary policymaker who
399	voted for, allowed to be implemented, or voted against repeal or
400	prohibition of the sanctuary policy. The court shall provide a

# Page 16 of 21

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

-----

HB 9

2018

401	copy of the consent decree or order granting an injunction or
402	civil penalties that contains the written findings required by
403	this subsection to the Governor within 30 days after the date of
404	rendition. A sanctuary policymaker identified in an order
405	approving a consent decree or granting an injunction or civil
406	penalties may be suspended or removed from office pursuant to
407	general law and s. 7, Art. IV of the State Constitution.
408	(6) A state entity, local governmental entity, or law
409	enforcement agency ordered to pay a civil penalty pursuant to
410	subsection (4) shall remit payment to the Chief Financial
411	Officer, who shall deposit such payment into the General Revenue
412	Fund.
413	(7) Except as required by law, public funds may not be
414	used to defend or reimburse a sanctuary policymaker or an
415	official, representative, agent, or employee of a state entity,
416	local governmental entity, or law enforcement agency who
417	knowingly and willfully violates this chapter.
418	908.303 Civil cause of action for personal injury or
419	wrongful death attributed to a sanctuary policy; trial by jury;
420	required written findings
421	(1) A person injured in this state by the tortious acts or
422	omissions of an alien unlawfully present in the United States,
423	or the personal representative of a person killed in this state
424	by the tortious acts or omissions of an alien unlawfully present
425	in the United States, has a cause of action for damages against

# Page 17 of 21

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

-----

2018

-----

426	a state entity, local governmental entity, or law enforcement
427	agency in violation of ss. 908.201 and 908.202 upon proof by the
428	greater weight of the evidence of:
429	(a) The existence of a sanctuary policy in violation of s.
430	908.201; and
431	(b)1. A failure to comply with a provision of s. 908.202
432	resulting in such alien's having access to the person injured or
433	killed when the tortious acts or omissions occurred; or
434	2. A failure to comply with a provision of s.
435	908.204(1)(c) resulting in such alien's having access to the
436	person injured or killed when the tortious acts or omissions
437	occurred.
438	(2) A cause of action brought pursuant to subsection (1)
439	may not be brought against a person who holds public office or
440	who has official duties as a representative, agent, or employee
441	of a state entity, local governmental entity, or law enforcement
442	agency, including a sanctuary policymaker.
443	(3) Trial by jury is a matter of right in an action
444	brought under this section.
445	(4) A final judgment entered in favor of a plaintiff in a
446	cause of action brought pursuant to this section must include
447	written findings of fact that describe with specificity the
448	existence and nature of the sanctuary policy in violation of s.
449	908.201 and that identify each sanctuary policymaker who voted
450	for, allowed to be implemented, or voted against repeal or

# Page 18 of 21

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

----

HB 9

-----

2018

451	prohibition of the sanctuary policy. The court shall provide a
452	copy of the final judgment containing the written findings
453	required by this subsection to the Governor within 30 days after
454	the date of rendition. A sanctuary policymaker identified in a
455	final judgment may be suspended or removed from office pursuant
456	to general law and s. 7, Art. IV of the State Constitution.
457	(5) Except as provided in this section, this chapter does
458	not create a private cause of action against a state entity,
459	local governmental entity, or law enforcement agency that
460	complies with this chapter.
461	908.304 Ineligibility for state grant funding
462	(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a state
463	entity, local governmental entity, or law enforcement agency
464	shall be ineligible to receive funding from non-federal grant
465	programs administered by state agencies that receive funding
466	from the General Appropriations Act for a period of 5 years from
467	the date of adjudication that such state entity, local
468	governmental entity, or law enforcement agency had in effect a
469	sanctuary policy in violation of this chapter.
470	(2) The Chief Financial Officer shall be notified by the
471	state attorney of an adjudicated violation of this chapter by a
472	state entity, local governmental entity, or law enforcement
473	agency and be provided with a copy of the final court
474	injunction, order, or judgment. Upon receiving such notice, the
475	Chief Financial Officer shall timely inform all state agencies
	Page 10 of 21

### Page 19 of 21

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

F	LΟ	RΙ	DA	но	U	S	Е	0	F	R	E	Ρ	R	Ε	S	Ε	Ν	Т	Α	Т		V	Ε	S	
---	----	----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--	---	---	---	--

2018

476	that administer non-federal grant funding of the adjudicated
477	violation by the state entity, local governmental entity, or law
478	enforcement agency and direct such agencies to cancel all
479	pending grant applications and enforce the ineligibility of such
480	entity for the prescribed period.
481	(3) This subsection does not apply to:
482	(a) Funding that is received as a result of an
483	appropriation to a specifically named state entity, local
484	governmental entity, or law enforcement agency in the General
485	Appropriations Act or other law.
486	(b) Grants awarded prior to the date of adjudication that
487	such state entity, local governmental entity, or law enforcement
488	agency had in effect a sanctuary policy in violation of this
489	chapter.
490	PART IV
491	MISCELLANEOUS
492	908.401 Education recordsThis chapter does not apply to
493	the release of information contained in education records of an
494	educational agency or institution, except in conformity with the
495	Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974, 20 U.S.C. s.
496	1232g.
407	10009
497	
497 498	908.402 Discrimination prohibitedA state entity, a local
	<u>908.402</u> Discrimination prohibited.—A state entity, a local governmental entity, or a law enforcement agency, or a person
498	<u>908.402</u> Discrimination prohibited.—A state entity, a local governmental entity, or a law enforcement agency, or a person

Page 20 of 21

2018

501	gender, race, religion, national origin, or physical disability
502	of a person except to the extent permitted by the United States
503	Constitution or the state constitution.
504	Section 3. A sanctuary policy, as defined in s. 908.102,
505	Florida Statutes, as created by this act, that is in effect on
506	the effective date of this act must be repealed within 90 days
507	after that date.
508	Section 4. Sections 908.302 and 908.303, Florida Statutes,
509	as created by this act, shall take effect October 1, 2018, and,
510	except as otherwise expressly provided in this act, this act
511	shall take effect July 1, 2018.

Page 21 of 21

# 

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 9 (2018)

Amendment No. 1

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE ACTION (Y/N) ADOPTED (Y/N) ADOPTED AS AMENDED (Y/N) ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION (Y/N) FAILED TO ADOPT (Y/N) WITHDRAWN OTHER Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Judiciary Committee 1 2 Representative Metz offered the following: 3 Amendment (with title amendment) 4 5 Remove line 378 and insert: 6 enforcement agency found to be in violation of this chapter. 7 Venue of an action brought by the Attorney General may be in 8 Leon County. The 9 10 \_\_\_\_\_ TITLE AMENDMENT 11 Remove line 36 and insert: 12 injunctive relief and civil penalties; providing for venue; 13 requiring 14 689883 - h0009-line0378.docx Published On: 11/6/2017 5:29:14 PM

Page 1 of 1