

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: PCB RUC 24-02 Florida Statutes

SPONSOR(S): Rules Committee

TIED BILLS: **IDEN./SIM. BILLS:** SB 74

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
Orig. Comm.: Rules Committee		Cornell	Brink

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Florida law requires the Division of Law Revision of the Florida Legislature to conduct a systematic and continuing study of Florida’s statutes and laws for the purpose of recommending to the Legislature changes that would:

- remove statutory inconsistencies, redundancies, and unnecessary repetitions;
- improve clarity; and
- facilitate correct and proper interpretation.

Such changes include:

- Corrections to grammatical and typographical errors.
- Removal of expired or obsolete statutes and laws.
- Transfer, consolidation, and renumbering of sections, subsections, chapters, and titles.

These recommendations are submitted to the Legislature as technical, non-substantive reviser’s bills.

The bill is a general reviser’s bill of a technical nature that deletes expired or obsolete language; corrects cross references and grammatical errors; removes inconsistencies, redundancies, and unnecessary repetition in the statutes; improves the clarity of the statues and facilitates their correct interpretation; and confirms the restoration of provisions unintentionally omitted from republication in the Legislature’s acts during the amendatory process.

Pursuant to House Rule 12.3(e), a reviser’s bill cannot be amended except to delete a bill section.

This bill has no fiscal impact.

This bill becomes effective on the 60th day after adjournment sine die.

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The general reviser's bill is technical and non-substantive in nature. The bill amends, deletes, and reenacts various statutory provisions. Further, the bill deletes expired or obsolete language; corrects cross-references and grammatical errors; removes inconsistencies, redundancies, and unnecessary repetition in the statutes; improves the clarity of the statutes and facilitates their correct and proper interpretation; and confirms the restoration of provisions unintentionally omitted from republication in the Legislature's acts during the amendatory process.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Sections 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 13, 15, 16, 18, 21, 22, 23, 25, 28, 30, 33, 40, 41, 45, 47, 48, 51, 55, 58, 63, 65, 66, 73, 78, 88, 90, and 91 make editorial and grammatical changes to correct errors, improve clarity, facilitate correct understanding or interpretation, conform to context, conform to Florida statute style, or remove redundant information.

Sections 4, 6, 11, 14, 17, 24, 26, 35, 36, 39, 44, 46, 49, 50, 57, 64, 67, 68, 70, 71, 72, 75, 76, 80, 82, 86, and 87 conform to context or redesignated, amended, reordered, repealed, or added subsections and subunits, or conform language as directed by previous legislation.

Sections 8, 19, 20, 42, 59, 61, 62, 79, and 85 correct cross-references.

Sections 9 and 83 improve clarity, conform to context, and delete obsolete language.

Sections 10, 12, 27, 29, 31, 32, 37, 38, 43, 52, 53, 56, 60, 77, 81, and 93 delete obsolete provisions/language.

Section 34 deletes obsolete language and improves clarity.

Section 54 conforms to context and improves clarity.

Section 69 places definitions in alphabetical order.

Section 74 conforms to context and corrects a cross-reference.

Section 84 conforms to citation style and corrects a cross-reference.

Section 89 conforms to the reordering of definitions and improves clarity.

Section 92 conforms to context, improves clarity and facilitates correct interpretation, eliminates repetition, and corrects a cross-reference.

Section 94 provides for an effective date.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The general reviser's bill is a technical, non-substantive bill. The bill has no fiscal impact on state or local government or on the private sector.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The general reviser's bill does not implicate authority for any agency to adopt rules.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

Not applicable.