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# FLORIDA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Allan G. Bense, Speaker 

Justice Council<br>Criminal Justice Committee

Dick Kravitz Chair

Wilbert "Tee" Holloway
Vice Chair

> Meeting Agenda Wednesday, January 25, 2006 404 House Office Building 9:30 a.m. - 12:00 p.m.
I. Opening remarks by Chair Kravitz
II. Roll call
III. Consideration of the following proposed committee bill:

PCB CRJU 06-02--Stolen Property
IV. Workshop on the following:

PCB CRJU 06-03-Criminal Background Screening for Contractual School Personnel

HB 61 CS--Postsentencing Testing of DNA Evidence by Quinones, Bogdanoff

## V. Consideration of the following bills:

HB 271-Custody of Criminal Defendants by Kreegal
HB 297-Driving and Boating Under the Influence by Harrell
HB 339-Sexual Predators by Brandenburg
HB 399-Criminal Offenses by Davis, D.
VI. Closing comments / Meeting adjourned
house of representatives staff analysis

| BILL \#: | PCB CRJU 06-02 | Stolen Property |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| SPONSOR(S): | Criminal Justice Committee |  |
| TIED BILLS: | IDEN./SIM. BILLS: |  |


| REFERENCE Orig. Comm.: Criminal Justice Committee | ACTION | ANALYST <br> Cunningham | STAFF DIRECTOR <br> Kramer th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1) |  |  |  |
| 2) |  |  |  |
| 3) |  |  |  |
| 4) |  |  |  |
| 5) |  |  |  |

## SUMMARY ANALYSIS

PCB 02 provides that proof that a person was in possession of a stolen motor vehicle and that the ignition mechanism of the motor vehicle had been bypassed or the steering wheel locking mechanism had been broken or bypassed gives rise to an inference that the person in possession of the stolen motor vehicle knew or should have known that the motor vehicle had been stolen.

## FULL ANALYSIS

## I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

## A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Provide Limited Government - The PCB provides that certain evidence creates an inference of proof relating to theft of a motor vehicle.

## B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Theft $\rightarrow$ Section 812.014, F.S., provides that a person commits theft if he or she knowingly obtains or uses, or endeavors to obtain or use, the property of another with intent to, either temporarily or permanently:

1. Deprive the other person of a right to the property or a benefit from the property, or
2. Appropriate the property to his or her own use or to the use of any person not entitled to the use of the property. ${ }^{1}$
Section 812.014, F.S., provides in part that, except as provided for in s. 812.014(2)(a), F.S., ${ }^{2}$ it is grand theft of the third degree and a third degree felony if the property stolen is a motor vehicle.
Dealing in Stolen Property $\rightarrow$ Section 812.019 , F.S., provides that any person who traffics ${ }^{3}$ in, or endeavors to traffic in, property that he or she knows or should know was stolen commits a second degree felony. Any person who initiates, organizes, plans, finances, directs, manages, or supervises the theft of property and traffics in such stolen property commits a first degree felony.

An offender can be charged, when appropriate, with theft and dealing in stolen property in connection with the same property but cannot be convicted of both offenses. ${ }^{4}$

Inferences $\rightarrow$ Section 812.022, F.S. provides several inferences relating to evidence of theft or dealing in stolen property such as:

- Except as provided in s. 812.022(5), F.S., proof of possession of property recently stolen, unless satisfactorily explained, gives rise to an inference that the person in possession of the property knew or should have known that the property had been stolen.
- Proof of the purchase or sale of stolen property at a price substantially below the fair market value, unless satisfactorily explained, gives rise to an inference that the person buying or selling the property knew or should have known that the property had been stolen.
- Proof of the purchase or sale of stolen property by a dealer in property, out of the regular course of business or without the usual indicia of ownership other than mere possession, unless satisfactorily

[^0]explained, gives rise to an inference that the person buying or selling the property knew or should have known that it had been stolen.

In Edwards v. State, 381 So.2d 696 (Fla. 1980), the court considered whether the inference relating to proof of possession of recently stolen property violated a defendant's due process rights. The court held that "[s]ince there is a rational connection between the fact proven (the defendant possessed stolen goods) and the fact presumed (the defendant knew the goods were stolen), the inference created by section 812.022(2) does not violate [a defendant's] due process rights." See also, Walker v. State, 896 So.2d 712 (Fla. 2005).

Effect of PCB $02 \rightarrow$ PCB 02 amends section 812.022, F.S. to provide that proof that a person was in possession of a stolen motor vehicle and that the ignition mechanism of the motor vehicle had been bypassed or the steering wheel locking mechanism had been broken or bypassed gives rise to an inference that the person in possession of the stolen motor vehicle knew or should have known that the motor vehicle had been stolen.

## C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Amends s. 812.022 , F.S., to create an inference relating to stolen vehicles.
Section 2. This acts takes effect October 1, 2006.

## II. FISCAL ANALYSIS \& ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.
2. Expenditures:

None.
B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.
2. Expenditures:

None.
C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.
D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

## III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill appears to be exempt from the requirements of Article VII, Section 18 of the Florida Constitution because it is a criminal law.
2. Other:

None.
B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.
C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:
IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE \& COMBINED BILL CHANGES

A bill to be entitled
An act relating to stolen property; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsection (6) is added to section 812.022, Florida Statutes, to read:
812.022 Evidence of theft or dealing in stolen property.--
(6) Proof that a person was in possession of a stolen motor vehicle and that the ignition mechanism of the motor vehicle had been bypassed or the steering wheel locking mechanism had been broken or bypassed gives rise to an inference that the person in possession of the stolen motor vehicle knew or should have known that the motor vehicle had been stolen.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.
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BILL \#:
PCB CRJU 06-03
Personnel
SPONSOR(S): Criminal Justice Committee
TIED BILLS:

Criminal Background Screeing for Contractual School

IDEN./SIM. BILLS:


## SUMMARY ANALYSIS

During the 2005 session, HB 1877, known as the Jessica Lunsford Act, passed the legislature and was signed by the Governor on May 2, 2005. The bill had an effective date of September 1, 2005. Section 21 of the act amended section 1012.465 , F.S. to require noninstructional contractual personnel who are permitted access on school grounds when students are present to meet level 2 screening requirements. After the legislative session, school districts and businesses contracting with school districts expressed concerns with this provision of the bill. PCB CRJU 06-03 removes the language from section 1012.465, F.S. that was added during the 2005 session and creates a new section of statute relating to criminal history checks for noninstructional personnel of any school district contractor that:

- Requires that a federal and state fingerprint-based criminal history check be performed at least once every three years on the noninstructional personnel of any school district contractor who is permitted access on school grounds when students are present;
- Provides that any fee for this check charged by a district school board may not exceed the sum of the fee charged by FDLE plus the fee charged by the FBI plus 30 percent of the total of the two fees;
- Provides that if a person has been convicted of a criminal offense specified in the bill, he or she will be disqualified from employment as a noninstructional personnel of a school district contractor who is permitted access on school grounds when students are present;
- Requires FDLE to implement a system that allows for criminal history record information provided to a school district to be shared with other school districts through a secure website or other electronic means;
- Requires that for any check required by another school district in the three years subsequent to the initial check, the individual must inform the district school board requiring the check that he or she has already completed a current records check and that district must, without charge to the individual, check the individual's history using the shared system;
- Provides that the section does not apply to law enforcement officers assigned to work on school grounds or to contractual personnel who due to the nature of their occupation or business are otherwise required to submit to a level 2 background screening;
- Provides that contractual personnel employed by a public utility must be checked against the state and national sexual offender registries and are not required to undergo additional screening.

The bill has an effective date of July 1, 2006.

## FULL ANALYSIS

## I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

## A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Provide limited government: To the extent that the list of enumerated offenses set forth in the bill is more restricted than a school district's interpretation of the term "moral turpitude", the bill may limit the number of contractual personnel who are prohibited from working on school grounds while students are present.

## B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

During the 2005 session, HB 1877, known as the Jessica Lunsford Act, passed the legislature and was signed by the Governor on May 2, 2005. [Ch. 2005-28, Laws of Fla.] The bill had an effective date of September 1, 2005.

The bill amended several statutes relating to sexual predators and sexual offenders, required electronic monitoring of certain probationers who had committed a sexual offense and mandated lifetime imprisonment or lifetime supervision with electronic monitoring for persons convicted of lewd and lascivious molestation of a child under the age of 12 . Additionally, section 21 of the act amended section 1012.465 , F.S. Prior to this bill, this section had required noninstructional school district employees or contractual personnel who had direct contract with students or had access to or control of school funds to meet level 2 screening requirements as described in s. 1012.32, F.S. ${ }^{1}$ The bill expanded this requirement to contractual personnel who are permitted access on school grounds when students are present. The bill defined the term "contractual personnel" to include "any vendor, individual or entity under contract with the school board."

A level 2 screening includes a statewide criminal records check through the Florida Department of Law Enforcement (FDLE) and a federal criminal records check through the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI). ${ }^{2}$ Section 1012.32, F.S. provides persons "found through fingerprint processing to have been convicted of a crime involving moral turpitude shall not be employed, engaged to provide services, or serve in any position requiring direct contact with students."

A screening required under the Jessica Lunsford Act is accomplished by the contractor submitting his or her fingerprints to school district personnel who submits the fingerprints to FDLE. FDLE then submits the fingerprints to the FBI for the federal check. FDLE sends the results of the state and federal check back to the school district. The school district then determines whether the results indicate that the contractor has been convicted of a crime involving moral turpitude.

After the legislative session, school districts and businesses that contract with school districts expressed difficulties in implementing the criminal history screening provisions of the bill. The most common complaints can be characterized as follows:

- Many contractors work in multiple school districts throughout the state and have been required to undergo a separate criminal history check for each school district. Although school districts are authorized to share screening results with other school districts, initially there was no central database to facilitate sharing of the results.

[^1]- Contractors claimed that some school districts have charged processing fees for a criminal history screening that are cost prohibitive, particularly if a business has many employees who conduct business in multiple school districts.
- School districts and contractors expressed confusion as to who should be considered contractual personnel and what should be considered school grounds.
- Because there is no statutory definition of the term "moral turpitude", interpretation is left to the school districts. Contractors have claimed that this results in inconsistency - based on different interpretations of the phrase, a contractor could be permitted to work in one school district and barred from working in another. Further, contractors have complained that they have been barred from working in a school district for what they consider minor criminal offenses or offenses that were committed many years ago.
- Contractors who are required to undergo level 2 checks for their other employment have complained that school districts have required them to undergo an additional screening to be permitted on school grounds when students are present.

FDLE was asked by the Speaker of the House of Representatives and the President of the Senate to implement a system to allow for criminal history information provided to a school district to be shared with other school districts. FDLE developed the Florida Shared School Results (FSSR) system which became available to school districts on September 30, 2005. After a school district requests a criminal history check from FDLE, the department posts the results on a secure website that is accessible to the school districts. Other school districts can then access the results and view the same criminal history record that was received by the original school district. The information is searchable by name, social security number or submitting agency.

PCB CRJU 06-03 amends section 1012.465(1), F.S. to remove the language that was added as part of section 21 of the Jessica Lunsford Act. The bill also creates a new section of statute that requires that a fingerprint-based criminal history check be performed on the noninstructional personnel of any school district contractor who are permitted access on school grounds when students are present. The bill provides that contractors whose noninstructional personnel are subject to this requirement include any vendor, individual or entity under contract with the school board. These checks must be performed at least once every three years.

The bill requires that for the initial check, each individual subject to the criminal history check must file a set of fingerprints in a manner required by the Department of Education. Fingerprints will be submitted to FDLE for state processing and to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) for federal processing. The results of each fingerprint-based check must be reported to the requesting district. The cost of the check and any re-check may be borne by the district school board, the contractor or the person fingerprinted. Any fee for the initial check and each re-check charged by a district school board may not exceed the sum of the fee charged by FDLE plus the fee charged by the FBI plus 30 percent of the total of the two fees. Currently, the combined fee for the FDLE and FBI check is \$47-\$23 for the FDLE check and $\$ 24$ for the FBI check.

The bill requires FDLE to implement a system that allows for criminal history record information provided to a school district to be shared with other school districts through a secure website or other electronic means. The bill authorizes FDLE to adopt rules to implement this provision. For any required checks during the 3 year period subsequent to the initial check or recheck, the individual must inform the district school board requiring the check that he or she has already completed a current records check and that district must, without charge to the individual, check the individual's history using the shared system described below.

The bill provides that any person who has been convicted of any of the following offenses, any similar offense in another jurisdiction, or any similar offense committed in this state under a former statute number is disqualified from employment as a noninstructional personnel of a school district contractor who is permitted access on school grounds when students are present:

1. Any offense listed in $\mathrm{s} .943 .0435(1)(a) 1$, (sexual offender qualifying offenses), which includes the following:
a. Section 787.01, F.S., kidnapping where the victim is a minor and the defendant is not the victim's parent,
b. Section 787.02 , false imprisonment where the victim is a minor and the defendant is not the victim's parent.
c. Section 787.025 , F.S., luring or enticing a child where the victim is a minor and the defendant is not the victim's parent;
d. Chapter 794, sexual battery ${ }^{3}$
e. Section 796.03 , procuring a person under the age of 18 for prostitution;
f. Chapter 800, lewd or lascivious offenses;
g. Section 825.1025 , F.S., lewd or lascivious battery on an elderly person;
h. Section 827.071, F.S., promoting sexual performance by a child;;
i. Section 847.0133 , F.S., selling or showing obscenity to a minor;
j. Section 847.0135, F.S., using a computer to solicit sexual conduct of or with a minor;
k. Section 847.0137, F.S., transmitting child pornography;
I. Section 847.0138 , F.S., transmitting material harmful to minors;
m . Section 847.0145 , F.S., selling or buying of minors
2. Section 393.135 , F.S. relating to sexual misconduct with certain developmentally disabled clients and the reporting of such misconduct.
3. Section 394.4593 , F.S. relating to sexual misconduct with certain mental health patients and the reporting of such sexual misconduct.
4. Section 775.30 , F.S. relating to terrorism.
5. Section 782.04, F.S., relating to murder
6. Section 787.01 , F.S. relating to kidnapping.
7. Any offense under chapter 800 , relating to lewdness and indecent exposure.
8. Section 826.04 , F.S. relating to incest.
9. Section 827.03 , F.S. relating to abuse, aggravated abuse and neglect of a child.

The bill requires each person who is employed or under contract as noninstructional personnel of a school district contractor who is permitted access on school grounds when students are present to agree to inform his or her employer or the party with whom he or she is under contract and the school district within 48 hours if charged with any disqualifying offense while he or she is employed or under contract in that capacity. A person who willfully fails to comply with this provision commits a third degree felony.

The bill provides that the section does not apply to law enforcement officers, as defined in s . 943.10, F.S. assigned by their employing agencies to work on school grounds as part of their official duties. The section also does not apply to contractors who due to the nature of their occupation or business are required by law to submit to a level 2 background screening under chapter 435, F.S. for licensing, employment, or other purposes when such criminal history records check was processed within 3 years prior to the date of contract and such license or other certificate is current and in good standing. Such contractual personnel must still undergo a check of the state sexual predator and sexual offender registries and the national registry of such offenders.

Contractual personnel employed by any public utility that files an affidavit with the school district that states that all field employees who have access to school grounds when students are present have been checked against the state sexual predator and sexual offender registries and the national registry are not required to undergo an additional screening. The term "public utility" is defined for this section as including any public or private utility, such as, but not limited to, those providing sanitary sewers,

[^2]water service, electricity, liquefied petroleum gas, natural gas, cable television or telecommunications services.

The bill has an effective date of July 1, 2006.

## C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Amends s. 1012.465, F.S. revising provisions relating to criminal history screening for school district contractual personnel.

Section 2. Creating requirements for criminal history check for noninstructional school district contractual personnel.

Section 3. Provides effective date of July 1, 2006.

## II. FISCAL ANALYSIS \& ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.
2. Expenditures:

None.
B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

The bill limits the amount of fees that a school district is permitted to charge for a federal and state criminal history check of a contractor required by the bill. Currently, the combined fee for the FDLE and FBI check is $\$ 47-\$ 23$ to FDLE and $\$ 24$ to FBI. The bill provides that any fee for a check of state and federal criminal history that is required by the bill may not exceed the fee charged by FDLE plus the fee charged by the FBI plus 30 percent of the total of the two fees.
2. Expenditures:

None.
C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

As discussed above, the bill limits fees that can be charged for a state or federal criminal background check for noninstructional school personnel. The bill also directs school districts to use the Florida Shared School Results System if another school district has already requested a criminal history check of an individual. Also, to the extent that the list of enumerated offenses set forth in the bill is more restricted than a school district's interpretation of the term "moral turpitude", the bill may limit the number of contractual personnel who are prohibited from working on school grounds while students are present.

## D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

## III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable because this bill does not appear to: require the counties or cities to spend funds or take an action requiring the expenditure of funds; reduce the authority that cities or counties have to raise revenues in the aggregate; or reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with cities or counties.
2. Other:

None.
B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The bill authorizes FDLE to adopt rules to implement a system that allows for criminal history record information provided to a school district to be shared with other school districts.
C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.
IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE \& COMBINED BILL CHANGES

An act relating to background screening for school district contractors; amending s. 1012.465, F.S.; revising provisions relating to criminal background screening for certain school district contractual personnel; creating requirements for fingerprint-based background screening for certain noninstructional school district contractors; providing for submission of fingerprints; providing for fees; specifying disqualifying offenses; requiring creation of an electronic system for sharing screening results among school districts; providing for rulemaking; requiring personnel to report disqualifying offenses; providing penalties; providing an exemption for law enforcement officers assigned by their employing agencies to work on school grounds as part of their official duties; providing exemptions for certain contractors subject to background screening under other provisions; providing an exemption for certain utility employees if the utility provides an affidavit stating that employees with access to school grounds when students are present have been screened against certain registries; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsection (1) of section 1012.465, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
1012.465 Background screening requirements for certain noninstructional school district employees and contractors.--
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(1) Noninstructional school district employees or contractual personnel who are permited acess on sehool grounds when students are present, who have direct contact with students or who have access to or control of school funds must meet level 2 screening requirements as described in s. 1012.32. Contactual personnel shall include any vendor, individual, or entity undex eontract with the sehool board.

Section 2. Background screening requirements for certain noninstructional school district contractors.--
(1)(a) A fingerprint-based criminal history check shall be performed on the noninstructional personnel of any school district contractor who are permitted access on school grounds when students are present. Contractors whose noninstructional personnel are subject to this requirement include any vendor, individual, or entity under contract with the school board. Such checks shall be performed at least once every 3 years. For the initial check of each individual subject to the background criminal history check requirement, the individual shall file a complete set of fingerprints taken in a manner required by the Department of Education. Fingerprints shall be submitted to the Department of Law Enforcement for state processing and to the Federal Bureau of Investigation for federal processing. The results of each fingerprint-based check shall be reported to the requesting district and the district shall promptly provide the results to the shared system created in paragraph (d). The cost of the initial check of state and federal criminal history and the recheck every 3 years may be borne by the district school board, the contractor, or the person fingerprinted. Any fee for the initial check of state and federal criminal history and each
recheck every 3 years per person fingerprinted charged by a district school board may not exceed the sum of the fee charged by the Department of Law Enforcement plus the fee charged by Federal Bureau of Investigation plus 30 percent of the total of those two fees. For any required checks during the 3 -year period subsequent to the initial check or a recheck, the individual shall inform the district school board requiring the check that he or she has already completed a current records check and that district shall, without charge to the individual, check the individual's history using the shared system provided in paragraph (d).
(b) Any person who has been convicted of any offense listed below, any similar offense in another jurisdiction, or any similar offense committed in this state which has been redesignated from a former statute number to one of those listed in this paragraph is disqualified for employment in any position described in paragraph (a). As used in this section, the term "convicted" has the same meaning as in s. 943.0435, Florida Statutes. The disqualifying offenses are:

1. Any offense listed in s. $943.0435(1)(a) 1 .$, Florida Statutes, relating to registration of individuals as sexual offenders.
2. Section 393.135, Florida Statutes, relating to sexual misconduct with certain developmentally disabled clients and the reporting of such sexual misconduct.
3. Section 394.4593, Florida Statutes, relating to sexual misconduct with certain mental health patients and the reporting of such sexual misconduct.
4. Section 775.30, Florida Statutes, relating to terrorism.
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5. Section 782.04 , Elorida Statutes, relating to murder. 6. Section 787.01, Florida Statutes, relating to kidnapping.
7. Any offense under chapter 800 , Florida Statutes, relating to lewdness and indecent exposure.
8. Section 826.04, Florida Statutes, relating to incest.
9. Section 827.03, Florida Statutes, relating to abuse, aggravated abuse, and neglect of a child.
(c) Any person who has at any time been convicted for any offense listed in paragraph (b) is disqualified for employment in any position described in paragraph (a), unless the person has received a full pardon or has had his or her civil rights restored.
(d) The Department of Law Enforcement shall implement a system that allows for criminal history record information provided to a school district to be shared with other school districts through a secure website or other electronic means. The Department of Law Enforcement may adopt rules under ss. $120.536(1)$ and 120.54 , Florida Statutes, to implement the provisions of this paragraph.
(2) (a) Each person who is employed or under contract in a capacity described in subsection (1) must agree to inform his or her employer or the party with whom he or she is under contract and the school district within 48 hours if charged with any disqualifying offense while he or she is employed or under contract in that capacity.
(b) A person who willfully fails to comply with paragraph (a) commits a felony of the third degree, punishable as provided in s. $775.082, \mathrm{~s} .775 .083$, or s .775 .084 , Florida Statutes.
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(3) (a) This section does not apply to law enforcement officers, as defined in s. 943.10, Florida Statutes, assigned by their employing agencies to work on school grounds as part of their official duties.
(b) This section does not apply to contractors who due to the nature of their occupation or business are required by law to submit to a level 2 background screening under chapter 435, Florida Statutes, for licensing, employment, or other purposes when such criminal history records check was processed within 3 years prior to the date of contract and such license or other certificate is current and in good standing. Such contractual personnel must still undergo a check of the state sexual predator and sexual offender registries and the national registry of such offenders.
(c) Contractual personnel employed by any public utility that files an affidavit with the school district that states that all field employees who have access to school grounds when students are present have been checked against the state sexual predator and sexual offender registries and the national registry of such offenders are not required to undergo an additional screening under this section. For purposes of this paragraph, "public utility" includes any public or private utility, such as, but not limited to, those providing sanitary sewers, water service, electricity, liquefied petroleum gas, natural gas, cable television, or telecommunications services.

Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.
ll take effect July 1, 2006.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL \#: HB61 CS
SPONSOR(S): Quinones and others TIED BILLS: None

Postsentencing DNA Testing
IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 186

| REFERENCE | ACTION | ANALYST | STAFF DIRECTOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1) Governmental Operations Committee | $6 \mathrm{Y}, 0 \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{CS}$ | Williamson | Everhart |
| 2) Criminal Justice Committee |  | Cunningham - | Kramer 「K |
| 3) Justice Appropriations Committee |  |  |  |
| 4) State Administration Council |  |  |  |
| 5) |  |  |  |

## SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Current law provided a four-year window for a convicted person claiming innocence to file a postconviction motion seeking the testing of DNA evidence. The four-year window expired October 1, 2005.

The bill removes the four-year time limitation and expands those eligible to request DNA testing. Any person convicted of a felony and sentenced, including those who pled guilty, may petition the court for postconviction DNA testing. They may petition for the testing at any time following the date that the judgment and sentence is final. In addition, the bill requires the maintenance of physical evidence until the defendant's sentence is completed.

Application of the bill's provisions is retroactive to October 1, 2005.
The Florida Department of Law Enforcement estimates that the fiscal impact of the bill ranges between $\$ 725,072.88$ and $\$ 2,088,000$ for the first year.

## FULL ANALYSIS

## I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

## A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Provide limited government - The bill requires governmental entities to maintain physical evidence for a longer period.

Safeguard individual liberty - The bill allows any person to file a petition for postconviction DNA testing without any deadline for filing the motion.
B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

## Effect of Bill

The bill deletes the timeframe for filing petitions for postconviction DNA (deoxyribonucleic acid) testing. Current law provides a four-year window for a person maintaining his or her innocence to file a postconviction motion seeking the testing of DNA evidence. The four-year window expired October 1, 2005.

The bill provides that any person convicted ${ }^{1}$ of a felony and sentenced may petition the court for postconviction DNA testing at any time following the date that the judgment and sentence is final. As such, a person who pleads guilty or nolo contendere is eligible to petition the court for DNA testing. Current law only allows a person who was found guilty after a trial to petition the court for postconviction DNA testing.

The bill requires the maintenance of physical evidence until the defendant's sentence is completed. Governmental entities cannot dispose of the evidence prior to the defendant's completion of his or her sentence.

Application of the bill's provisions is retroactive to October 1, 2005.

## BACKGROUND

## General Background

The legislature first addressed the issue of postconviction DNA testing in 2001. It gave a person, convicted at trial and sentenced, a statutory right to petition for postconviction DNA testing of physical evidence collected at the time of the crime. This right is based on the assertion that the DNA test results could exonerate that person or alternatively reduce the sentence. ${ }^{2}$ In order to petition the court, the person must:

- Be convicted at trial and sentenced;
- Show that his or her identity was a genuinely disputed issue in the case and why;
- Claim to be innocent; and
- Meet the reasonable probability standard. ${ }^{3}$

[^3]If the trial court determines that the facts are sufficiently alleged, the state attorney must respond within 30 days pursuant to court order. The trial court must make a determination based on a finding of whether:

- The physical evidence that may contain DNA still exists;
- The results of DNA testing of that evidence would have been admissible at trial;
- There is reliable proof that the evidence has not been materially altered;
- There is reliable proof that the evidence would be admissible at a future hearing; and
- A reasonable probability exists that the defendant would have been acquitted of the crime or received a lesser sentence if DNA test results had been admitted at trial.

If the court denies the petition for DNA testing, there is a 15-day period to file a motion for rehearing. The 30 -day period for filing an appeal is tolled until the court rules on the motion. Otherwise, either party has 30 days to file an appeal of the ruling. The order denying relief must include notice of these time limitations. If the court grants the petition for DNA testing, the defendant is assessed the cost of the DNA testing unless the court finds that the defendant is indigent. The Florida Department of Law Enforcement (FDLE) performs the DNA test pursuant to court order. ${ }^{4}$ FDLE provides the test results to the court, the defendant, and the prosecuting authority.

## Current Time Limitations

Current law imposes a four-year period for filing such petitions. The time limitation is measured from the later of the following dates based on the law's effective date of October 1, 2003:

- Four years from the date the judgment and sentence became final;
- Four years from the date the conviction was affirmed on direct appeal;
- Four years from the date collateral counsel was appointed; ${ }^{5}$ or
- October 1, $2005 .{ }^{6}$

The law provides a catchall exception to the four-year time limitation. A person convicted at trial and sentenced can petition at any time for postconviction DNA testing if the facts upon which the petition is founded were unknown or could not have been known with the exercise of due diligence.

## Preservation of Physical Evidence

Current law requires preservation of physical evidence collected at the time of the crime if postconviction DNA testing is possible. ${ }^{7}$ With the exception of death penalty cases, governmental entities maintain physical evidence for at least four years or until October 1, 2005. ${ }^{8}$ Evidence in death penalty cases is preserved for 60 days after the execution of the sentence. Governmental entities can dispose of physical evidence earlier under certain conditions. ${ }^{9}$

Most recently, the governor issued Executive Order 05-160. ${ }^{10}$ The order requires governmental entities in the possession of any physical evidence to preserve the evidence if DNA testing may be requested.

## Rights to Appeal, Generally

Under current law, a convicted person has certain rights to appeal on direct appeal or on matters that are collateral to the conviction. ${ }^{11}$

[^4]
## Direct Appeals After Trial

Matters raised on direct appeal include evidentiary rulings made by the trial court during the course of the defendant's trial, and other matters objected to during the course of the trial such, as the jury instructions, prosecutorial misconduct, and procedural rulings made by the trial court. The legislature codified the "contemporaneous objection" rule. It is a procedural bar that prevents defendants from raising issues on appeal not objected to at the trial level. The rule allows trial court judges to consider rulings carefully, perhaps correcting potential mistakes at the trial level.

In State $v$. Jefferson, ${ }^{12}$ the Florida Supreme Court found that the provision did not represent a jurisdictional bar to appellate review in criminal cases, but rather that the legislature acted within its power to "place reasonable conditions" upon this right to appeal. ${ }^{13}$

## Appeal or Review After a Plea of Guilty or Nolo Contendere

Appeal rights are limited when a defendant pleads guilty or nolo contendere (no contest). Such a plea means a defendant chooses to waive the right to take his or her case to trial. ${ }^{14}$

In Robinson v. State, ${ }^{15}$ the Florida Supreme Court reviewed the constitutionality of the statutory provision. The court upheld the statute making it clear that once a defendant pleads guilty the only issues for appeal are actions that took place contemporaneous with the plea. The court stated: " $[t]$ here is an exclusive and limited class of issues which occur contemporaneously with the entry of the plea that may be the proper subject of an appeal. To our knowledge, they would include only the following: (1) subject matter jurisdiction, (2) the illegality of the sentence, (3) the failure of the government to abide by the plea agreement, and (4) the voluntary and intelligent character of the plea." These principles continue to control.

## Collateral Review

Postconviction proceedings, also known as collateral review, ${ }^{16}$ usually involve claims that:

- The defendant's trial counsel was ineffective;
- There is newly discovered evidence; and
- The prosecution failed to disclose exculpatory evidence.

The defendant must file a motion in the trial court where he or she was tried and sentenced. ${ }^{17}$ Unless the record in the case conclusively shows that the defendant is entitled to no relief, the trial court must order the state attorney to respond to the motion and may then hold an evidentiary hearing. ${ }^{18}$ If the trial court denies the motion for postconviction relief, with or without holding an evidentiary hearing, the defendant is entitled to appeal this denial to the District Court of Appeal with jurisdiction over the circuit court where the motion was filed. ${ }^{19}$

[^5]Motions for postconviction relief based on newly discovered evidence must be raised within two years of the discovery of such evidence. ${ }^{20}$ The Florida Supreme Court has held that the two-year time limit for filing a motion based on newly discovered evidence begins to run on a defendant's postconviction request for DNA testing when the testing method became available. For example, in Sireci v. State, ${ }^{21}$ the Florida Supreme Court held that the defendant's postconviction claim filed on his 1976 conviction, which was filed in 1993, was time barred because "DNA typing was recognized in this state as a valid test as early as 1988."22

A defendant is entitled to challenge a conviction and death sentence in three stages. First, the public defender or private counsel must file a direct appeal to the Florida Supreme Court. An appeal of that decision is to the U.S. Supreme Court by petition for writ of certiorari. Second, if the U.S. Supreme Court rejects the appeal, the defendant's sentence becomes final and the state collateral postconviction proceeding or collateral review begins. ${ }^{23}$ Third, the defendant seeks a federal writ of habeas corpus. ${ }^{24}$ Appeals of federal habeas petitions from Florida are to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit and then to the U.S. Supreme Court. Finally, once the governor signs a death warrant, a defendant typically files a second or successive collateral postconviction motions and a second federal habeas petition, along with motions to stay the execution.

## C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 amends s. 925.11, F.S., relating to postconviction DNA testing.
Section 2 provides an effective date of "upon becoming a law," applied retroactively to October 1, 2005.

## II. FISCAL ANALYSIS \& ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

## A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state revenues.
2. Expenditures:

Petitions generated by the bill will have an indeterminate impact on trial courts, state attorneys, public defenders, the Department of Corrections, and FDLE. FDLE estimates that costs could range between $\$ 725,073$ and $\$ 2,088,000$ for the first year. ${ }^{25}$ See FISCAL COMMENTS.

The bill may impose an indeterminate increase in costs incurred in storage and preservation of evidence in the custody of state governmental entities including, but not limited to, FDLE, the courts, state attorneys' offices, public and private labs, hospital facilities, public defenders' offices and capital collateral offices.

[^6]${ }^{25}$ FDLE Fiscal Analysis of HB 61 by Representative Quinones, October 26, 2005.

## B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

## 1. Revenues:

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local revenues.
2. Expenditures:

The bill may impose an indeterminate increase in costs incurred in storage and preservation of evidence in the custody of local governmental entities, including, but not limited to, police and sheriff's departments, clerks of the court, ${ }^{26}$ and hospital facilities.

## C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The bill may impose an indeterminate increase in costs incurred in storage and preservation of evidence in the custody of nongovernmental entities, including, but not limited to, private labs, hospital facilities, and private counsels' offices.
D. FISCAL COMMENTS: ${ }^{27}$

FDLE calculates the fiscal impact of the bill based on two different scenarios:

- Scenario 1. FDLE performs the postconviction DNA testing analysis.
- Scenario 2. A private vendor performs the analysis because of outsourcing.


## BACKGROUND

Currently there are 60,479 inmates in correctional facilities under the Department of Correction's jurisdiction for crimes including murder, sexual offenses, robbery, burglary and other crimes against people. Approximately four percent or 2,419 are eligible for postconviction DNA testing under the current statute. FDLE has received between 100 and 150 cases for testing. Six percent of the eligible inmates have sought postconviction DNA testing. If FDLE assumes that six percent of the newly eligible defendants will petition for postconviction DNA testing then the department can anticipate that it will receive approximately 3,483 cases. That is approximately 696 cases per year over a five-year period.

## Scenario 1

## FTE

Six hundred ninety six cases require approximately 4.8 FTEs. The analysts require one FTE for support. Cost for analysts is $\$ 51,952.56$ each (salary plus benefits). Cost for a Forensic Technologist is $\$ 37,975.08$ (salary plus benefits).

FTE (6)
5 Crime Laboratory Analysts $\quad \$ 259,762.80$
1 Forensic Technologist
37,975.08
FTE Total: $\quad \$ 297,737.88$ (recurring)

[^7]
## Cost for Kits and Expendables

Assuming each case has five samples for DNA analysis, the number of samples is 3480 , requiring 35 DNA kits at $\$ 2,981$ per kit. An additional $\$ 50,000$ is required for other expendables used in DNA analysis.

Expense Dollars Total: \$154,335 (recurring)

## Required Equipment

The DNA analysis unit requires the following equipment:

| 2 Thermal Cyclers (\$8000 each) | $\$ 16,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1 Genetic Analyzer ( $\$ 157,000$ ) | 157,000 |
| 1 Real Time PCR Instrument (\$50,000) | 50,000 |
| 5 Microscopes ( $\$ 3,000$ each) | 15,000 |
| 5 Centrifuges ( $\$ 2,000$ each) | 10,000 |
| 2 Biological Hoods ( $\$ 15,000$ each) | 30,000 |
| 2 Incubators ( $\$ 5,000$ each) | 10,000 |
|  |  |
|  | Total: |
|  | $\$ 273,000$ (non-recurring) |

## Total Fiscal Impact

First Year:
\$725,072.88
Each year thereafter: $\quad \$ 452,072.88$

## Scenario 2

The cost for outsourcing cases to a private vendor is between $\$ 1,500$ and $\$ 3,000$ per case depending on the type of analysis and the size of the case. Therefore, the total cost for outsourcing 686 cases is between $\$ 1,044,000$ and $\$ 2,088,000$ each year for at least five years.

## III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill appears to be exempt from the requirements of Article VII, Section 18 of the Florida Constitution, because it is a criminal law.
2. Other:

## Separation of Powers: Substance versus Procedure

The bill could raise concerns regarding separation of powers.

## CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY

Under Article V, Section 2 of the Florida Constitution, the Supreme Court "shall adopt rules of practice and procedure in all courts . . ." The section also authorizes the legislature to repeal court rules of procedure with a two-thirds vote of the membership of both houses.

## Separation of Powers

Article II, Section 3 of the Florida Constitution provides that "[t]he powers of the state government shall be divided into legislative, executive and judicial branches. No person belonging to one branch shall exercise any powers appertaining to either of the other branches unless expressly provided
herein." The legislature has the exclusive power to enact substantive laws ${ }^{28}$ while Article V , section 2 of the Florida Constitution grants the Florida Supreme Court the power to "adopt rules for the practice and procedure in all courts, including the time for seeking appellate review."

Changes to substantive law by court rules of procedure appear to violate the separation of powers provision of the Florida Constitution. ${ }^{29}$

## Distinguishing Substance from Procedure

Generally speaking, "substantive law" involves matters of public policy affecting the authority of government and rights of citizens relating to life, liberty, and property. Court "rules of practice and procedure" govern the administration of courts and the behavior of litigants within a court proceeding. In practice, determining the difference is not simple or clear. In 1973, Justice Adkins described the difference between substance and procedure:

> The entire area of substance and procedure may be described as a "twilight zone" and a statute or rule will be characterized as substantive or procedural according to the nature of the problem for which a characterization must be made. From extensive research, I have gleaned the following general tests as to what may be encompassed by the term "practice and procedure." Practice and procedure encompass the course, form, manner, means, method, mode, order, process or steps by which a party enforces substantive rights or obtains redress for their invasion. "Practice and procedure" may be described as the machinery of the judicial process as opposed to the product thereof. Examination of many authorities leads me to conclude that substantive law includes those rules and principles which fix and declare the primary rights of individuals as respects their persons and their property. As to the term "procedure," I conceive it to include the administration of the remedies available in cases of invasion of primary rights of individuals. The term "rules of practice and procedure" includes all rules governing the parties, their counsel and the Court throughout the progress of the case from the time of its initiation until final judgment and its execution. ${ }^{30}$

This "twilight zone" remains to this day, and causes, in the analysis of many enactments, a difficult determination of whether a matter is procedural or substantive.

## DNA Testing

In 2001, the legislature created a limited statutory right to give defendants in closed criminal cases an additional opportunity to prove their innocence using DNA evidence. ${ }^{31}$ It provided a two-year period for pending and future cases that expired on October 1, 2003. Shortly after enactment, the court passed a rule to implement the statute reflecting the statutory deadlines. ${ }^{32}$ Prior to the October 1 expiration, the court issued an order temporarily suspending the deadline. In addition, the court ordered government entities to store evidence in all closed criminal cases indefinitely. ${ }^{33}$ The opinion of the court suspending the statutory deadline was a four to three decision. Justice Wells said in dissent, ". . . this Court does not have jurisdiction to 'suspend' a provision of a lawfully enacted

[^8]statute or to mandate that evidence . . . be maintained beyond the period the statute specifically states that the evidence is to be maintained. ${ }^{34}$

In 2004, the legislature further amended the law to extend the period from two to four years and provided for expiration October 1, 2005. ${ }^{35}$ In September 2004, the court amended its rule to reflect the statutory changes. ${ }^{36}$ The court amended the rule, once again, to extend the deadline from October 1, 2005, to July 1, 2006. ${ }^{37}$
B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

## C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

The Florida Bar "adopted a legislative position calling for a permanent method for state inmates to seek DNA testing that could exonerate them. ${ }^{338}$ The Bar took no position regarding the availability of postconviction DNA testing for those who plead guilty or no contest. ${ }^{39}$

The Florida Innocence Initiative contends that maintenance of evidence is the most critical aspect of preserving a defendant's right to DNA testing. ${ }^{40}$

FDLE recommends that the department receive notice at the time a motion for postconviction DNA testing is filed rather than when it is signed. FDLE staff could then assist the parties and expedite the testing process. ${ }^{41}$

## IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE \& COMBINED BILL CHANGES

On October 19, 2005, the Governmental Operations Committee adopted a strike-all amendment and reported the bill favorably with Committee Substitute. The strike-all amendment authorizes postconviction DNA testing of any person convicted of a felony and sentenced, at any time, rather than limiting testing to those persons maintaining their innocence. The strike-all amendment removes the authorization for early disposal of physical evidence by governmental entities.

[^9]The Governmental Operations Committee recommends the following:

## Council/Committee Substitute

Remove the entire bill and insert:
A bill to be entitled
An act relating to the postsentencing testing of DNA evidence; amending s. 925.11, F.S.; revising the circumstances under which a person who has been sentenced for committing a felony may petition the court for postsentencing testing of DNA evidence; abolishing certain time limitations imposed upon such testing; authorizing a governmental entity to dispose of physical evidence if the sentence imposed has expired and another law or rule does not require that the evidence be retained; providing for retroactive application; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 925.11, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
925.11 Postsentencing DNA testing.--
(1) PETITION FOR EXAMINATION.--

Page 1 of 6
CODING: Words stricke are deletions; words underlined are additions.
(a) A person who has been convicted of a felony and sentenced for committing that offense tried and found guilty of eommitting a exime and has been sentenced by a court established by the laws of this state may petition that court to order the examination of physical evidence collected at the time of the investigation of the crime for which he or she has been sentenced which may contain DNA (deoxyribonucleic acid) and which would exonerate that person or mitigate the sentence that person received.
(b) A petition for postsentencing DNA testing may be filed or considered at any time following the date that the judgment and sentence in the case becomes final. Exeept as provided in subparagraph 2., a petition for postsentencing DNA testing may be filed or considered:

1. Within 4 years following the date that the judgment and sentence in the case becomes final if no direct appeal is taken, within 4 years following the date that the conviction is affirmed on direct appeal if an appeal is taken, within 4 years following the date that oollateral counsel is appointed of retained oubsequent to the conviction being affirmed on dixect appeal in a capital ease, ox by Oetober 1, 2005, whichever eccurs later; ox
2. At any time if the facts on which the petition is predieated wexe unknow to the petitioner or the petitioner's attorney and could not have been ascertained by the exexcise of due diligence.
(2) METHOD FOR SEEKING POSTSENTENCING DNA TESTING.--
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(a) The petition for postsentencing DNA testing must be made under oath by the sentenced defendant and must include the following:

1. A statement of the facts relied on in support of the petition, including a description of the physical evidence containing DNA to be tested and, if known, the present location or the last known location of the evidence and how it was originally obtained;
2. A statement that the evidence was not previously tested for DNA or a statement that the results of any previous DNA testing were inconclusive and that subsequent scientific developments in DNA testing techniques would likely produce a definitive result;
3. A statement that the sentenced defendant is innocent and how the DNA testing requested by the petition will exonerate the defendant of the crime for which the defendant was sentenced or will mitigate the sentence received by the defendant for that crime;
4. A statement that identification of the defendant is a genuinely disputed issue in the case, and why it is an issue;
5. Any other facts relevant to the petition; and
6. A certificate that a copy of the petition has been served on the prosecuting authority.
(b) Upon receiving the petition, the clerk of the court shall file it and deliver the court file to the assigned judge.
(c) The court shall review the petition and deny it if it is insufficient. If the petition is sufficient, the prosecuting
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authority shall be ordered to respond to the petition within 30 days.
(d) Upon receiving the response of the prosecuting authority, the court shall review the response and enter an order on the merits of the petition or set the petition for hearing.
(e) Counsel may be appointed to assist the sentenced defendant if the petition proceeds to a hearing and if the court determines that the assistance of counsel is necessary and makes the requisite finding of indigency.
(f) The court shall make the following findings when ruling on the petition:

1. Whether the sentenced defendant has shown that the physical evidence that may contain DNA still exists;
2. Whether the results of DNA testing of that physical evidence would be admissible at trial and whether there exists reliable proof to establish that the evidence has not been materially altered and would be admissible at a future hearing; and
3. Whether there is a reasonable probability that the sentenced defendant would have been acquitted or would have received a lesser sentence if the DNA evidence had been admitted at trial.
(g) If the court orders DNA testing of the physical evidence, the cost of such testing may be assessed against the sentenced defendant unless he or she is indigent. If the sentenced defendant is indigent, the state shall bear the cost of the DNA testing ordered by the court.
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(h) Any DNA testing ordered by the court shall be carried out by the Department of Law Enforcement or its designee, as provided in s. 943.3251.
(i) The results of the DNA testing ordered by the court shall be provided to the court, the sentenced defendant, and the prosecuting authority.
(3) RIGHT TO APPEAL; REHEARING.--
(a) An appeal from the court's order on the petition for postsentencing DNA testing may be taken by any adversely affected party.
(b) An order denying relief shall include a statement that the sentenced defendant has the right to appeal within 30 days after the order denying relief is entered.
(c) The sentenced defendant may file a motion for rehearing of any order denying relief within 15 days after service of the order denying relief. The time for filing an appeal shall be tolled until an order on the motion for rehearing has been entered.
(d) The clerk of the court shall serve on all parties a copy of any order rendered with a certificate of service, including the date of service.
(4) PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE.--
(a) Governmental entities that may be in possession of any physical evidence in the case, including, but not limited to, any investigating law enforcement agency, the clerk of the court, the prosecuting authority, or the Department of Law Enforcement shall maintain any physical evidence collected at
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the time of the crime for which a postsentencing testing of DNA may be requested.
(b) Fxcept for a case in which the death penalty is imposed, the evidence shall be maintained for at least the period of time set foxth in subparagraph (1)(b) 1. In a case in which the death penalty is imposed, the evidence shall be maintained for 60 days after execution of the sentence. In all other cases, a governmental entity may dispose of the physical evidence if the term of the sentence imposed in the case has expired and
(c) A governmental entity may dispose of the physical evidenee before the expiration of the period of time set forth in paragraph (1) (b) if all of the conditions set foxth below are met.

1. The govermmental entity notifies all of the following individuals of its intent to dispose of the evidence: the sentenced defendant, any counsel of record, the prosecuting authority, and the Attorney General.
2. The notifying entity does not reeeive, within 90 days after sending the notifieation, either a eopy of a petition for postsentencing DNA testing filed pursuant to this section or a request that the evidence not be destroyed because the sentenced defendant will be filing the petition before the time for filing it has expired.
3. no other provision of law or rule requires that the physical evidence be preserved or retained.
section 2. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law and shall apply retroactively to October 1, 2005.
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## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

| BILL \#: | HB 271 | Custody of Criminal Defendants |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| SPONSOR(S): | Kreegel and others |  |
| TIED BILLS: |  | IDEN.ISIM. BILLS: SB 688 |


| REFERENCE | ACTION | ANALYST | STAFF DIRECTOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1) Criminal Justice Committee |  | Cunningham SK | Kramer $\mathbb{Z}$ |
| 2) Justice Appropriations Committee |  |  |  |
| 3) Justice Council |  |  |  |
| 4) |  |  |  |
| 5) |  |  |  |

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Currently, if a prisoner in a state institution is arrested, an outside law enforcement agency (usually the sheriff of the county where the alleged crime occurred) comes to the state institution, arrests the prisoner, and transports the prisoner to a county facility. Counties generally return such prisoners to the prisoner's state institution when the prisoner is no longer needed in court or when a prisoner does not have impending court dates. If the prisoner's presence is later required in court, the sheriff returns to the state institution, assumes temporary custody of the prisoner, and transports the prisoner to a county facility.

This bill gives courts the authority to order arrested persons who are in the custody of the Department at the time of arrest to remain in the Department's custody pending transportation and transfer to the custody of the sheriff of the county in which the indictment, information, or affidavit is filed. Because the bill appears to codify an act that, in large part, is currently being practiced, it would not appear to have a significant fiscal impact.

[^10]
## FULL ANALYSIS

## I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

## A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Provide Limited Government - The bill authorizes courts to order arrested persons who are in the custody of the Department of Corrections to remain in the department's custody pending transportation and transfer to the sheriff of the county in which the indictment, information, or affidavit is filed.
B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

In 2003, three inmates of Charlotte Correctional Institution were arrested for the murder of Correctional Officer Darla Lathrem and a fellow inmate during an alleged escape attempt. All three inmates were serving life sentences at the time of the murder and have violent criminal histories. Subsequent to the attacks, the Department of Corrections (Department) transferred the three inmates to Florida State Prison (FSP), a maximum security institution. After the defendants were indicted ${ }^{1}$, counsel for one of the defendants moved the court to have the defendants transferred to the Charlotte County jail pending trial, pursuant to s. 907.04 , F.S. ${ }^{2}$ Over the objection of the Sheriff and the State, the trial court interpreted s. 907.04, F.S., as mandating that the defendants be held in the custody of the Charlotte County Sheriff in the county jail pending disposition of the charges. At this time, the defendants are still being housed at the Charlotte County jail.

Currently, if a state prisoner is arrested (either for a crime committed while incarcerated or for a crime committed prior to being incarcerated), an outside law enforcement agency ${ }^{3}$ (usually the sheriff of the county where the alleged crime occurred) comes to the state institution, arrests the prisoner, assumes temporary custody of the prisoner, and transports the prisoner to a county facility. ${ }^{4}$ Currently, counties generally return such prisoners to the prisoner's state institution when the prisoner is no longer needed in court or when a prisoner does not have impending court dates. ${ }^{5}$ If the prisoner's presence is later required in court, the sheriff returns to the state institution, assumes temporary custody of the prisoner, and transports the prisoner to a county facility. ${ }^{6}$

This bill gives courts the authority to order arrested persons who are in the custody of the Department at the time of arrest to remain in the Department's custody pending transportation and transfer to the custody of the sheriff of the county in which the indictment, information, or affidavit is filed.
${ }^{1}$ The defendants were indicted on charges of capital murder and escape.
${ }^{2}$ Section 907.04, F.S., states in part that if a person who is arrested does not have a right to bail for the offense charged, he or she shall be delivered immediately into the custody of the sheriff of the county in which the indictment, information, or affidavit is filed.
${ }^{3}$ Pursuant to s .944 .31 , F.S., Department of Corrections' inspectors who have been designated by the Secretary as law enforcement officers have the authority to arrest state prisoners, but only in certain circumstances. Correctional officers do not have arrest powers. Thus, in most instances, it is not a Department of Corrections' employee who arrests inmates who have committed a crime, but rather an outside law enforcement agency.
${ }^{4}$ Representatives with the Department state that there are occasions where the Department transports a prisoner to a county facility.
${ }^{5}$ Prisoners must be returned to the state institution from which they came. Thus, if a prisoner has numerous court proceedings to attend in a short time-frame, a county that is geographically far away from a prisoner's institution (i.e. the prisoner is incarcerated in north Florida and the new arrest originates from Dade county) may elect to keep the prisoner in a county facility rather than transport the prisoner back and forth across the state numerous times. Counties can also request that DOC transfer a prisoner to a state institution that is closer to the arresting county, though this is not always possible due to lack of bed space, security concerns, etc...
${ }^{6}$ Section $944.17(8)$, F.S., states in part that if a state prisoner's presence is required in court for any reason after the sheriff has relinquished custody to the Department of Corrections, the court shall issue an order for the sheriff to assume temporary custody and transport the prisoner to the county jail pending the court appearance.

## C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Amends s. 907.04 , F.S.; providing that if a person is arrested, and at the time of the arrest is in the custody of the Department under sentence of imprisonment, the court may order that person to remain in the Department's custody pending transportation and transfer to the custody of the sheriff of the county in which the indictment, information, or affidavit is filed.

Section 2. This act takes effect on July 1, 2006.

## II. FISCAL ANALYSIS \& ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.
2. Expenditures:

See fiscal comments.
B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.
2. Expenditures:

See fiscal comments.
C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.
D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

## State Government

The Department states that data to determine the approximate number of inmates this bill would affect is unavailable. However, it appears that counties currently return many state prisoners who have been arrested to state institutions once the prisoner does not have any impending court dates. Thus, because the bill appears to codify an act that, in large part, is currently being practiced, it would not appear to have a significant fiscal impact.

## Local Government

In some instances, a prisoner may be housed in a prison that is hundreds of miles from the county where the charging document was filed (i.e. the prisoner is incarcerated in north Florida and the new arrest originates from Dade county). If a court orders that the Department retain custody of such prisoner, and that prisoner later has a court appearance, the sheriff will be responsible for transporting the prisoner from the state institution to the county where the charging document is filed. ${ }^{7}$

## III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:
${ }^{7}$ s. $944.17(8)$, F.S. StORAGE NAME:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable because this bill does not appear to: require the counties or cities to spend funds or take action requiring the expenditure of funds; reduce the authority that cities or counties have to raise revenues in the aggregate; or reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with cities or counties.
2. Other:

None.
B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

## C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

As drafted, the bill would allow a court to order a state prisoner who has been arrested to remain in the Department's custody pending transportation and transfer to the custody of the sheriff in the county of the county in which the indictment, information, or affidavit is filed, as necessary for further proceedings. However, in most cases where a state prisoner is arrested, the sheriff assumes temporary custody of the prisoner from the Department and transports the prisoner to a county facility within 24 hours. ${ }^{8}$ Thus, the bill's language authorizing a court to order such an inmate to remain in the Department's custody pending transportation and transfer to the custody of the sheriff has little effect. This issue could be resolved by adding language authorizing a court to order the inmate to remain in the Department's custody pending disposition of the inmate's new charge, or until the inmate's underlying sentence of imprisonment expires, whichever is earlier.

As noted above, counties currently return arrested state prisoners to state institutions if the prisoner has no impending court dates. This current practice does not require a court order. However, the bill states that the court may order an arrested state prisoner to remain in the Department's custody pending transportation and transfer to the sheriff. As drafted, this language may be construed to require a court order in order to have an arrested inmate remain in the Department's custody. Amending the bill in the following manner would eliminate this concern: "If the person who is arrested is, at the time of arrest, in the custody of the Department of Corrections under sentence of imprisonment, unless otherwise ordered by the court, such person shall remain in the department's custody pending..."

## IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE \& COMBINED BILL CHANGES

[^11]A bill to be entitled
An act relating to custody of criminal defendants; amending s. 907.04, F.S.; providing for court orders requiring that arrestees in the custody of the Department of Corrections at the time of arrest be retained in the department's custody pending transfer to the custody of a sheriff; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 907.04, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
907.04 Disposition of defendant upon arrest.--
(1) Except as provided in subsection (2), if a person who is arrested does not have a right to bail for the offense charged, he or she shall be delivered immediately into the custody of the sheriff of the county in which the indictment, information, or affidavit is filed. If the person who is arrested has a right to bail, he or she shall be released after giving bond on the amount specified in the warrant.
(2) If the person who is arrested is, at the time of arrest, in the custody of the Department of Corrections under sentence of imprisonment, the court may order that person to remain in the department's custody pending transportation and transfer to the custody of the sheriff of the county in which the indictment, information, or affidavit is filed, as necessary for further proceedings.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.
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BILL \#: HB $297 \quad$ Driving and Boating Under the Influence
SPONSOR(S): Harrell TIED BILLS:

IDEN.ISIM. BILLS:

| REFERENCE |  | ACTION |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1) Criminal Justice Committee   <br> 2) Transportation Committee   <br> 3) Criminal Justice Appropriations Committee   <br> 4) Justice Council   <br> 5)   |  |  |  |

## SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Currently, a court must order imprisonment for not less than 30 days for a fourth or subsequent driving under the influence (DUI) or boating under the influence (BUI) offense that occurs within 10 years of a prior conviction. There is no minimum mandatory term of imprisonment required if the fourth or subsequent DUI or BUI does not occur within 10 years of a prior conviction. HB 297 will require the imposition of a two year minimum mandatory sentence for a fourth or subsequent DUI or BUI conviction, regardless of when the prior conviction occurred. The judge will not be permitted to order residential drug abuse or alcoholism treatment in lieu of incarceration.

Currently for a fourth or subsequent DUI committed within 10 years of a prior conviction, the judge must order, as a condition of probation, the impoundment or immobilization of all vehicles owned by the defendant for 90 days or for the unexpired term of any lease or rental agreement that expires within 90 days. The bill requires that for a fourth or subsequent DUI (regardless of when the prior conviction occurred), the judge must order the impoundment or immobilization of all vehicles owned by the defendant at the time of impoundment or immobilization for a period of 120 days or for the unexpired term of any lease or rental agreement that expires within 120 days.

The Criminal Justice Impact Conference met on January 9, 2006 to determine the prison bed impact of this bill on the Department of Corrections. See fiscal section of this analysis for details.

## FULL ANALYSIS

## I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

## A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Provide limited government: The bill will require the imposition of a two year minimum mandatory sentence for a fourth or subsequent DUI or BUI conviction and the impoundment of the offender's vehicle for a fourth or subsequent DUI.

Promote personal responsibility: The bill increases the sanctions for DUI and BUI.

## B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

DU
The offense of driving under the influence ${ }^{1}$ (DUI) is committed if a person is driving or in the actual physical control of a vehicle within the state and:

- The person is under the influence of alcoholic beverages, any chemical substance or any controlled substance when affected to the extent that the person's normal faculties are impaired;
- The person has a blood-alcohol level of 0.08 or more grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood; or
- The person has a breath-alcohol level of 0.08 or more grams of alcohol per 210 liters of breath.

The offense is punishable as follows ${ }^{2}$ :

- For a first conviction, by a fine of not less than $\$ 250$ or more than $\$ 500$ and by imprisonment for not more than 6 months
- For a second conviction, by a fine of not less than $\$ 500$ or more than $\$ 1000$ and by imprisonment for not more than 9 months. If the second conviction was for an offense committed within 5 years of the date of a prior conviction, the court must order imprisonment for not less than 10 days. ${ }^{3}$
- For a third conviction that is not within 10 years of a prior conviction, by a fine of not less than $\$ 1000$ or more than $\$ 2500$ and by imprisonment for not more than 12 months. ${ }^{4}$

A third conviction for an offense that occurs within 10 years of a prior conviction is a third degree felony, punishable by no less than 30 days in jail ${ }^{5}$ and up to five years in prison and a fine of up to $\$ 1000 .{ }^{6} \mathrm{~A}$ fourth conviction, regardless of when it occurs, is a third degree felony, punishable by up to five years in prison and a fine of not less than $\$ 1000$ or more than $\$ 5000 .^{7}$ If the fourth or subsequent conviction was for an offense that occurred within 10 years after the date of a prior conviction, the court must order imprisonment for not less than 30 days. ${ }^{8}$

[^12]${ }^{2}$ s. 316.193(2), F.S.
${ }^{3}$ s. $316.193(6)(b)$, F.S.
${ }^{4}$ s. $316.193(2)(b) 2$, F.S.
${ }^{5}$ s. $316.193(6)(\mathrm{c})$, F.S.
${ }_{7}^{6}$ s. 316.193(2)(b)1, F.S.
's. $316.193(2)$ (b) 3, F.S. Additionally, a person who has been convicted of DUI may be required to place an ignition interlock device on his or her vehicle. Section 316.193 also increases sanctions for DUI which results in damage to the property or person of another, serious bodily injury or the death of another person. s. 316.193(3)(c), F.S.
${ }^{8}$ s. $316.193(6)(\mathrm{c})$, F.S.
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At the judge's discretion, a defendant may be required to serve all or any portion of a term of imprisonment to which the defendant has been sentenced in a residential alcoholism treatment program or a residential drug abuse treatment program. ${ }^{9}$

Current law also requires a judge to order, as a condition of probation, the impoundment or immobilization of vehicles as follows:

- For a first DUI conviction, the court must order the impoundment or immobilization of the vehicle that was used in the DUI offense or any one vehicle registered in the defendant's name at the time of impoundment or immobilization for a period of 10 days or for the unexpired term of any lease or rental agreement that expires within 10 days. The impoundment or immobilization cannot occur concurrently with the incarceration of the defendant.
- For a second DUI conviction within 5 years of the date of a prior conviction, the court must order the impoundment or immobilization of all vehicles owned by the defendant at the time of impoundment or immobilization for a period of 30 days or for the unexpired term of any lease or rental agreement that expires within 30 days.
- For a third or subsequent DUI that occurs within 10 years of a prior conviction, the court must order the impoundment or immobilization of all vehicles owned by the defendant at the time of impoundment or immobilization for a period of 90 days or for the unexpired term of any lease or rental agreement that expires within 90 days. ${ }^{10}$

The court can dismiss the order of impoundment or immobilization in specified circumstances. ${ }^{11}$ The impoundment or immobilization cannot occur concurrently with the incarceration of the defendant and must occur concurrently with the driver's license revocation imposed under s. $322.28(2)(a)$, F.S.. This section requires the revocation of a person's driver's license for not less than 180 days and no more than 1 year for a first DUI conviction ${ }^{12}$; for not less than 5 years for a second conviction for an offense that occurs within 5 years after the date of a prior conviction ${ }^{13}$ and for not less than 10 years for a third conviction for an offense that occurs within 10 years of a prior conviction. ${ }^{14}$ A fourth DUI conviction results in permanent revocation of a person's driving privilege. ${ }^{15}$

## BUI

Section 327.35 , F.S. prohibits the offense of boating under the influence (BUI) which has the same elements (other than the substitution of the word "vessel" for "vehicle") as the offense of driving under the influence. The fine and imprisonment provisions in the BUI statute are identical to those in the DUI statute.

## Effect of HB 297

The bill amends the DUI and BUI statutes to provide that for a fourth or subsequent conviction, the court must order imprisonment for not less than 2 years, regardless of when a prior conviction occurred. Currently there is no mandatory minimum term of imprisonment for a fourth or subsequent DUI or BUI unless it occurs within 10 years of a prior DUI or BUI in which case, a 30 day minimum mandatory sentence must be imposed.

The bill further provides that there shall be no substitution of this minimum mandatory term of imprisonment with treatment alternatives.

[^13]The bill also amends the DUI statute to provide that as a condition of probation, the court must order the impoundment or immobilization of all vehicles owned by the defendant at the time of impoundment or immobilization for a period of 120 days or for the unexpired term of any lease or rental agreement that expires within 120 days. In conformity with current law, the bill provides that the impoundment or immobilization must not occur concurrently with any incarceration and must occur concurrently with the revocation of the offender's driver's license. The judge will be permitted to dismiss the impoundment or immobilization in accordance with current law.

## C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Amends s. 316.193, F.S. to provide for minimum mandatory term of imprisonment for fourth or subsequent DUI conviction.

Section 2. Amends s. 327.35 , F.S. to provide for minimum mandatory term of imprisonment for fourth or subsequent BUI conviction.

Section 3. Provides effective date of October 1, 2006.

## II. FISCAL ANALYSIS \& ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.
2. Expenditures:

The Criminal Justice Impact Conference met on January 9, 2006 to consider the prison bed impact of this bill on the Department of Corrections. The conference estimated that the impact would be as follows:

| Fiscal <br> Year | Projected <br> Cumulative <br> Prison Beds <br> Required | Projected Additional Annual Prison Beds Required | FUNDS REQUIRED |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Annual Operating Costs | Annual <br> Fixed Capital Outlay Costs | TOTAL <br> Annual Funds | TOTAL Cumulative Funds |
| 2006-2007 | 45 | 45 | \$429,165 | \$8,887,872 | \$9,317,037 | \$9,317,037 |
| 2007-2008 | 224 | 179 | \$2,606,476 | \$5,483,012 | \$8,089,488 | \$17,406,525 |
| 2008-2009 | 358 | 134 | \$5,752,197 | \$0 | \$5,752,197 | \$23,158,722 |
| 2009-2010 | 358 | 0 | \$7,225,156 | \$0 | \$7,225,156 | \$30,383,878 |
| 2010-2011 | 358 | 0 | \$7,391,268 | \$0 | \$7,391,268 | \$37,775,146 |
| Total | 358 | 358 | \$ 23,404,262 | \$ 14,370,884 | \$37,775,146 | \$37,775,146 |

[^14]Additional offenders sentenced to prison were assumed to serve 24 months.
B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.
2. Expenditures: None.
C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.
D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

See above.

## III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill appears to be exempt from the requirements of Article VII, Section 18 of the Florida Constitution because it is a criminal law.
2. Other:

None.
B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.
C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.
IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE \& COMBINED BILL CHANGES

A bill to be entitled
An act relating to driving and boating under the influence; amending s. 316.193 , F.S.; requiring a specified period of imprisonment for a fourth or subsequent conviction of driving under the influence; prohibiting substitution of treatment alternatives; requiring impoundment or immobilization of all vehicles owned by the defendant for a specified period; providing for dismissal of an impoundment order; amending s. 327.35, F.S.; requiring a specified period of imprisonment for a fourth or subsequent conviction of boating under the influence; prohibiting substitution of treatment alternatives; requiring impoundment or immobilization of the vessel operated by or in the actual control of the defendant or any one vehicle registered in the defendant's name at the time of impoundment or immobilization for a specified period; providing for dismissal of an impoundment order; providing applicability; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the state of Florida:

Section 1. Paragraph (c) of subsection (6) of section 316.193, Florida Statutes, is amended, and paragraph (l) is added to that subsection, to read:
316.193 Driving under the influence; penalties.--
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(6) With respect to any person convicted of a violation of subsection (1), regardless of any penalty imposed pursuant to subsection (2), subsection (3), or subsection (4):
(c) For the third or subsequent conviction for an offense that occurs within a period of 10 years after the date of a prior conviction for violation of this section, the court shall order imprisonment for not less than 30 days. The court must also, as a condition of probation, order the impoundment or immobilization of all vehicles owned by the defendant at the time of impoundment or immobilization, for a period of 90 days or for the unexpired term of any lease or rental agreement that expires within 90 days. The impoundment or immobilization shall not occur concurrently with the incarceration of the defendant and shall mut occur concurrently with the driver's license revocation imposed under s. 322.28 (2)(a)3. The impoundment or immobilization order may be dismissed in accordance with paragraph (e), paragraph (f), paragraph (g), or paragraph (h). At least 48 hours of confinement must be consecutive.

## (1) For a fourth or subsequent conviction under

 subparagraph (2) (b) $3 .$. the court shall order imprisonment for not less than 2 years. There shall be no substitution of this minimum mandatory term of imprisonment with treatment alternatives, as allowed under paragraph ( $k$ ). The court must also, as a condition of probation, order the impoundment or immobilization of all vehicles owned by the defendant at the time of impoundment or immobilization for a period of 120 days or for the unexpired term of any lease or rental agreement that Page 2 of 5F L O R I D A H O U S E O F R E P R E S E N T A T I V E S
expires within 120 days. The impoundment or immobilization shall not occur concurrently with the incarceration of the defendant and shall occur concurrently with the driver's license revocation imposed under s. 322.28. The impoundment or immobilization order may be dismissed in accordance with paragraph (e), paragraph (f), paragraph (g), or paragraph (h). At least 48 hours of confinement must be consecutive.

For the purposes of this section, any conviction for a violation of s. 327.35; a previous conviction for the violation of former s. 316.1931 , former s. 860.01 , or former s. 316.028 ; or a previous conviction outside this state for driving under the influence, driving while intoxicated, driving with an unlawful blood-alcohol level, driving with an unlawful breath-alcohol level, or any other similar alcohol-related or drug-related traffic offense, is also considered a previous conviction for violation of this section. However, in satisfaction of the fine imposed pursuant to this section, the court may, upon a finding that the defendant is financially unable to pay either all or part of the fine, order that the defendant participate for a specified additional period of time in public service or a community work project in lieu of payment of that portion of the fine which the court determines the defendant is unable to pay. In determining such additional sentence, the court shall consider the amount of the unpaid portion of the fine and the reasonable value of the services to be ordered; however, the court may not compute the reasonable value of services at a rate less than the federal minimum wage at the time of sentencing.
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Section 2. Paragraph (c) of subsection (6) of section 327.35, Florida Statutes, is amended, and paragraph (j) is added to that subsection, to read:
327.35 Boating under the influence; penalties; "designated drivers".--
(6) With respect to any person convicted of a violation of subsection (1), regardless of any other penalty imposed:
(c) For the third that occurs within a period of 10 years after the date of a prior conviction for violation of this section, the court shall order imprisonment for not less than 30 days. The court must also, as a condition of probation, order the impoundment or immobilization of the vessel that was operated by or in the actual control of the defendant or any one vehicle registered in the defendant's name at the time of impoundment or immobilization- for a period of 90 days or for the unexpired term of any lease or rental agreement that expires within 90 days. The impoundment or immobilization shall not occur concurrently with the incarceration of the defendant. The impoundment or immobilization order may be dismissed in accordance with paragraph (e) or paragraph (f). At least 48 hours of confinement must be consecutive.
(j) For a fourth or subsequent conviction under subparagraph (2) (b) 3., the court shall order imprisonment for not less than 2 years. There shall be no substitution of this minimum mandatory term of imprisonment with treatment alternatives, as allowed under paragraph (i). The court must also, as a condition of probation, order the impoundment or
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immobilization of the vessel that was operated by or in the actual control of the defendant or any one vehicle registered in the defendant's name at the time of impoundment or
immobilization for a period of 120 days or for the unexpired term of any lease or rental agreement that expires within 120 days. The impoundment or immobilization shall not occur concurrently with the incarceration of the defendant. The impoundment or immobilization order may be dismissed in accordance with paragraph (e) or paragraph (f). At least 48 hours of confinement must be consecutive.

For the purposes of this section, any conviction for a violation of s. 316.193, a previous conviction for the violation of former s. 316.1931, former s. 860.01, or former s. 316.028, or a previous conviction outside this state for driving under the influence, driving while intoxicated, driving with an unlawful blood-alcohol level, driving with an unlawful breath-alcohol level, or any other similar alcohol-related or drug-related traffic offense, is also considered a previous conviction for violation of this section.

Section 3. This act shall take effect October 1, 2006, and shall apply to offenses committed on or after that date.
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BILL \#: HB 339
SPONSOR(S): Brandenburg TIED BILLS:

Sexual Predators
IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 508

| REFERENCE | ACTION | ANALYST | STAFF DIRECTOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1) Criminal Justice Committee |  | $\text { Kramer } \forall K$ | $\text { Kramer } \mathbb{Y K}$ |
| 2) Judiciary Committee |  |  |  |
| 3) Criminal Justice Appropriations Committee |  |  |  |
| 4) Justice Council |  |  |  |
| 5) |  |  |  |

## SUMMARY ANALYSIS

HB 349 amends the definition of the terms permanent residence and temporary residence which apply to the sexual predator and sexual offender statutes. A permanent residence will be defined as a place where a person abides, lodges, or resides for 5 or more consecutive days, rather than 14 or more consecutive days. A temporary residence will be defined as a place where a person abides, lodges, or resides for a period of 5 or more days, rather than 14, in the aggregate during any calendar year and which is not the person's permanent residence. This will have the affect of reducing the amount of time that a sexual predator or sexual offender is allowed to reside at a location before he or she must report the residence to law enforcement.

## FULL ANALYSIS

## I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

## A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Promote limited government: The bill will require a sexual predator or sexual offender to report a new residence to law enforcement when he or she has been residing at a location for 5 days, rather than 14 days.

## B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

## Background:

Sexual Predator Definition: As of November 17, 2005, there were 5,492 sexual predators in the state registry. Section 775.21, F.S., provides that a person convicted of an enumerated sexual offense must be designated a "sexual predator." Specifically, a person must be designated a sexual predator if he or she has been convicted of:

1. A capital, life, or first-degree felony violation, or any attempt thereof, of one of the following offenses:
a. kidnapping or false imprisonment ${ }^{1}$ where the victim is a minor and the defendant is not the victim's parent;
b. sexual battery; ${ }^{2}$
c. lewd or lascivious offenses; ${ }^{3}$
d. selling or buying a minors for child pornography; ${ }^{4}$ or
e. a violation of a similar law of another jurisdiction.
2. Any felony violation of one of the following offenses where the offender has previously been convicted of or found to have committed, or has pled nolo contendere or guilty to, regardless of adjudication one of the following offenses:
a. kidnapping, false imprisonment or luring or enticing a child ${ }^{5}$ where the victim is a minor and the defendant is not the victim's parent,
b. sexual battery; ${ }^{6}$
c. procuring a person under the age of 18 for prostitution; ${ }^{7}$
d. lewd or lascivious offenses;
e. lewd or lascivious battery on an elderly person; ${ }^{8}$
f. promoting sexual performance by a child; ${ }^{9}$
g. selling or buying a minors for child pornography; or
h. a violation of a similar law of another jurisdiction. ${ }^{10}$

Registration of Residence: If the sexual predator is not in the custody or control of, or under the supervision of, the DOC, or is not in the custody of a private correctional facility, and the predator establishes or maintains a residence in this state, the predator must initially register in person at an Florida Department of Law Enforcement (FDLE) office, or at the sheriff's office in the county of residence within 48 hours after establishing permanent or temporary residence.

[^15]The term "permanent residence" is defined as a place where the person abides, lodges, or resides for 14 or more consecutive days. The term "temporary residence" is defined as a place where the person abides, lodges or resides for a period of 15 or more days in the aggregate during any calendar year and which is not the person's permanent residence. For a person whose permanent residence is not in the state, the term includes a place where the person is employed, practices a vocation or is enrolled as a student for any period of time. The term also includes a place where the person routinely abides, lodges, or resides for a period of 4 or more consecutive or nonconsecutive days in any month and which is not the person's permanent residence, including any out-of-state address.

Within 48 hours of initial registration, a sexual predator who is not incarcerated and who resides in the community must register at a driver's license office of the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles (DHSMV) and present proof of registration, provide specified information, and secure a driver's license, if qualified, or an identification card. Each time a sexual predator's driver's license or identification card is subject to renewal, and within 48 hours after any change in the predator's residence or name, he or she must report in person to a driver's license facility of the DHSMV and is subject to specified registration requirements. This information is provided to FDLE which maintains the statewide registry of all sexual predators and sexual offenders (discussed further below). The department maintains a searchable web-site containing the names and addresses of all sexual predators and offenders as well as a toll-free telephone number.

A sexual predator must report in person every six months to the sheriff's office in the county in which he or she resides to reregister. ${ }^{11}$ A sexual predator's failure to comply with registration requirements is a third degree felony. ${ }^{12}$

Sexual offender registration: As of November 17, 2005, there were 30,583 sexual offenders in the state registry. In very general terms, the distinction between a sexual predator and a sexual offender is based on what offense the person has been convicted of, whether the person has previously been convicted of a sexual offense and the date the offense occurred. ${ }^{13}$

A sexual offender is required to report and register in a manner similar to a sexual predator. The definition of the terms temporary residence and permanent residence are the same as those under the sexual predator statute. ${ }^{14}$ Failure of a sexual offender to comply with the registration requirements is a third degree felony.

## Effect of HB 349

HB 349 amends the definition of the terms permanent residence and temporary residence which apply to the sexual predator and sexual offender statutes. A permanent residence will be defined as a place where a person abides, lodges, or resides for 5 or more consecutive days, rather than 14 or more consecutive days. A temporary residence will be defined as a place where a person abides, lodges, or resides for a period of 5 (rather than 14) or more days in the aggregate during any calendar year and which is not the person's permanent residence. This will reduce the amount of time that a sexual predator or sexual offender is allowed to reside at a location before he or she must report the residence to law enforcement. As a result, law enforcement will be able to more quickly identify where sexual predators and sexual offenders are living.

[^16]${ }^{12}$ s. 775.21(10), F.S.
${ }^{13}$ Specifically, a sexual offender is a person who has been convicted of one of the following offenses and has been released on or after October 1, 1997 from the sanction imposed for the offense: kidnapping, false imprisonment or luring or enticing a child where the victim is a minor and the defendant is not the victim's parent; sexual battery; procuring a person under the age of 18 for prostitution; lewd or lascivious offenses; lewd or lascivious battery on an elderly person; promoting sexual performance by a child; selling or buying a minors for child pornography; selling or showing obscenity to a minor; using a computer to solicit sexual conduct of or with a minor; transmitting child pornography; transmitting material harmful to minors; violating a similar law of another jurisdiction.
${ }^{14}$ s. $943.0435(1)(\mathrm{c})$, F.S.
PAGE: 3

## C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Amends s. 775.21, F.S.; amending definitions.
Section 2. Provides effective date of July 1, 2006.

## II. FISCAL ANALYSIS \& ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.
2. Expenditures:

The Florida Department of Law Enforcement estimates that the bill will have a non-recurring impact of $\$ 70,600$ on the department. According to the department's fiscal analysis:

Cost estimates reflect documented notification to all registrants within the Florida sexual offender database (currently over 35,000); reprinting and distribution of all related registration, notice of responsibility forms and related documents and system adaptations and reporting requirements.

Notification and documentation to registrants: $\$ 35,500$
Update and distribute forms: $\$ 22,700$
Criminal Justice Training $\$ 3,400$
System Programming: \$9,000
B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.
2. Expenditures:

None.
C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

To the extent that this bill requires a person to register address changes more frequently, it may have a fiscal impact on a sexual predator or sexual offender.
D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

See above.

## III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable because this bill does not appear to: require the counties or cities to spend funds or take an action requiring the expenditure of funds; reduce the authority that cities or counties have to raise revenues in the aggregate; or reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with cities or counties.
2. Other:

None.
B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

## C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

The bill amends the definition of the term temporary residence to mean a place where a person abides, lodges, or resides for a period of 5 (rather than 14) or more days in the aggregate during any calendar year and which is not the person's permanent residence. The bill removes part of the current definition relating to a person whose permanent residence in not in the state but who works or is enrolled as a student in the state. While likely not intended, this appears to remove the requirement that some sexual predators and sexual offenders who live out of state but who work or are enrolled as a student in this state register their temporary address with law enforcement.

## IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE \& COMBINED BILL CHANGES

## A bill to be entitled

An act relating to sexual predators; amending s. 775.21, F.S.; redefining the terms "permanent residence" and "temporary residence" in order to reduce the number of consecutive days and days in the aggregate which constitute the residence of a sexual predator for purposes of requirements that the predator register with the Department of Law Enforcement, the sheriff's office, or the Department of Corrections; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the state of Florida:

Section 1. Paragraphs (f) and ( $g$ ) of subsection (2) of section 775.21 , Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
775.21 The Florida Sexual Predators Act.--
(2) DEFINITIONS.--As used in this section, the term:
(f) "Permanent residence" means a place where the person abides, lodges, or resides for $\underline{5} 14$ or more consecutive days.
(g) "Temporary residence" means a place where the person abides, lodges, or resides for a period of 514 or more days in the aggregate during any calendar year and which is not the person's permanent address, for a pexson whose permanent residenee is not in thig state, a place where the person is employed, practices a vocation, or is enrolled as a gtudent for any period of time in this state, ox a place where the persen routinely abides, lodges, or resides for a period of 4 or moxe eonseutive or noneonsecutive days in any month and which is not Page 1 of 2
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        Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.
```2006

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS
\begin{tabular}{llc} 
BILL \#: & HB 399 & Criminal Offenses \\
SPONSOR(S): & Davis & \\
TIED BILLS: & & IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 140
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline REFERENCE & ACTION & ANALYST & STAFF DIRECTOR \\
\hline 1) Criminal Justice Committee & & Kramer th & Kramer Hk \\
\hline 2) Elder \& Long-Term Care Committee & & & \\
\hline 3) Criminal Justice Appropriations Committee & & & \\
\hline 4) Justice Council & & & \\
\hline 5) & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{SUMMARY ANALYSIS}

HB 399 provides for increased penalties for offenses committed against an elderly or disabled victim as follows:
- The bill provides for the reclassification of all felony offenses committed against an elderly person or disabled adult regardless of whether the offender was aware of the age or infirmity of the victim. Under this provision, a felony committed against an elderly or disabled victim will be reclassified as follows: a third degree felony will be reclassified to a second degree felony; a second degree felony will be reclassified to a first degree felony and a first degree felony will be reclassified to a first degree felony punishable by a life sentence. This will have the affect of increasing the maximum sentence which can be imposed for the offense.
- The bill also removes language that was adopted during the 2002 session which specifically applied to theft offenses committed against a victim age 65 or older. The bill replaces this language by providing that if the funds, assets, or property involved in a theft from a person 65 years of age or older is valued at \(\$ 10,000\) or more, the court must impose a mandatory minimum sentence of three years imprisonment.
- The bill also amends the section of statute relating to exploitation of an elderly person or disabled adult to provide that if the funds, assets, or property involved in the exploitation of the elderly person or disabled adult is valued at \(\$ 10,000\) or more, the court must sentence the offender to a mandatory minimum sentence of three years imprisonment.

The Criminal Justice Impact Conference met on January 9, 2006 to consider the prison bed impact of this bill on the Department of Corrections and determined that the bill could potentially have a significant impact on the prison bed population at the Department of Corrections. See fiscal comments section.

\section*{FULL ANALYSIS}

\section*{I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS}

\section*{A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:}

Provide limited government: The bill increases the sanctions for offenses committed against elderly persons and disabled adults and will require the imposition of minimum mandatory sentences in certain circumstances.

Promote personal responsibility: The bill reclassifies the degree of felony offenses committed against elderly persons and disabled adults.

\section*{B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:}

Reclassification of all felony offenses committed against elderly or disabled: Currently, section 775.085, F.S. provides that the penalty for any felony or misdemeanor must be reclassified \({ }^{1}\) if the commission of the offense evidences prejudice based on the race, color, ancestry, ethnicity, religion, sexual orientation, national origin, mental or physical disability or advanced age of the victim. The term advanced age is defined to mean that the victim is older than 65 years of age.

HB 399 creates section 775.0847 which provides for the reclassification of felony offenses committed upon:
1. a person 65 years of age or older;
2. a person 60 years of age or older \({ }^{2}\) who is suffering from the infirmities of aging as manifested by advanced age or organic brain damage, or other physical, mental or emotional dysfunction, to the extent that the ability of the person to provide adequately for the person's own care or protection is impaired; or
3. a disabled adult \({ }^{3}\) which is defined as a person 18 years of age or older who suffers from a condition of physical or mental incapacitation due to a developmental disability, organic brain damage, mental illness, or who has one or more physical or mental limitations that restrict the person's ability to perform the normal activities of daily living

HB 399 provides that a felony offense committed against a victim listed above will be reclassified regardless of whether the offender knew or had reason to know the age, infirmity or disability of the victim as follows:
- A third degree felony will be reclassified to a second degree felony.
- A second degree felony will be reclassified to a first degree felony.
- A first degree felony will be reclassified to a felony of the first degree, punishable by life imprisonment.

The reclassification of these offenses will have the effect of increasing the maximum sentence that a judge can impose for the offense. The maximum sentence for a third degree felony is five years imprisonment; for a second degree felony is fifteen years imprisonment and for a first degree felony is thirty years imprisonment. \({ }^{4}\)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Under the section, a second degree misdemeanor is reclassified as a first degree misdemeanor; a first degree misdemeanor is reclassified as a third degree felony; a third degree felony will be reclassified to a second degree felony; a second degree felony will be reclassified to a first degree felony and a first degree felony will be reclassified to a felony of the first degree, punishable by life imprisonment.
\({ }^{2}\) This definition is contained in s. 825.101(5), F.S.
\({ }^{3}\) This definition is contained in s. 825.101(4), F.S.
\({ }^{4}\) s. 775.082 , F.S.
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Assault or Battery on Victim Age 65 or Older: Currently, section 784.08 provides that when a person is charged with committing assault \({ }^{5}\), aggravated assault \({ }^{6}\), battery \({ }^{7}\) or aggravated battery \({ }^{8}\) against a victim age 65 or older, the assault of battery offense is reclassified as follows:
- In the case of assault, from a misdemeanor of the second degree to a misdemeanor of the first degree.
- In the case of battery, from a misdemeanor of the first degree to a felony of the third degree.
- In the case of aggravated assault, from a felony of the third degree to a felony of the second degree.
- In the case of aggravated battery, from a felony of the second degree to a felony of the first degree.

The section also applies a three year minimum mandatory sentence \({ }^{9}\) against an offender who has been convicted of aggravated assault or aggravated battery against an elderly person.

HB 399 amends this section to remove the reclassification for aggravated assault and aggravated battery offenses committed against an elderly person, presumably because the newly created s. 775.0847 , F.S. (discussed above) will cover those felony reclassifications.

Theft: The theft statute, section 812.014 , F.S. provides the following:
A person commits theft if he or she knowingly obtains or uses or endeavors to obtain or to use, the property of another with intent to either temporarily or permanently:
1. Deprive the other person of a right to the property or a benefit from the property or
2. Appropriate the property to his or her own use or to the use of any person not entitled to the use of the property.

Theft of property valued between \(\$ 100\) and \(\$ 300\) is considered "petit theft" and is a first degree misdemeanor. Theft of property worth between \(\$ 300\) and \(\$ 20,000\) is a third degree felony. \({ }^{10}\) Theft of property worth more than \(\$ 20,000\), but less than \(\$ 100,000\), is a second degree felony. Theft of property worth more than \(\$ 100,000\) is a first degree felony.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{5}\) An assault is an intentional, unlawful threat by word or act to do violence to the person of another, coupled with an apparent ability to do so, and doing some act which creates a well-founded fear in such other person that such violence is imminent. § 784.011, F.S.
\({ }^{6}\) An aggravated assault is an assault with a deadly weapon without intent to kill or with an intent to commit a felony. \(\S 784.021\), F.S.
\({ }^{7}\) A battery occurs when a person actually and intentionally touches or strikes another person against the will of the other or intentionally causes bodily harm to another person. § 784.03, F.S
\({ }^{8}\) An aggravated battery occurs when a person in committing battery intentionally or knowingly causes great bodily harm, permanent disability, or permanent disfigurement; or uses a deadly weapon. Aggravated battery also occurs if the victim of the battery was pregnant at the time of the offense and the offender knew or should have known that the victim was pregnant. § 784.045, F.S. \({ }^{9}\) This provision in contained in s. 784.08(1), F.S.
\({ }^{10}\) Within the third degree felony theft category, the value of the stolen property is further divided and are assigned different rankings in the offense severity ranking chart of the Criminal Punishment Code. Theft of property valued at more than \(\$ 300\) and less than \(\$ 5,000\) is a Level 2 offense. Theft of property valued at \(\$ 5,000\) but less than \(\$ 10,000\) is a Level 3 offense. Theft of property worth more than \(\$ 10,000\) but less than \(\$ 20,000\) is a Level 4 offense. Thus, while the maximum penalty for a theft of any amount of property between \(\$ 300\) and \(\$ 20,000\) would have the same maximum sentence of five years in prison, the lowest permissible sentence would depend on the value of the property.
}

During the 2002 session, legislation passed \({ }^{11}\) which specifically applied to theft offenses committed against a victim age 65 or older when the offender knows or has reason to believe that the victim is over the age of 65 , as follows:
1. If the "funds, assets, or property involved in the theft" from a person over 65 are valued at \(\$ 50,000\) or more, the offense is a first degree felony.
2. If the funds, assets or property involved in the theft from a person over 65 is valued at \(\$ 10,000\) or more but less than \(\$ 50,000\), the offense is a second degree felony.
3. If the funds, assets or property involved in the theft from a person over 65 is valued at \(\$ 300\) or more but less than \(\$ 10,000\), the offense is a third degree felony.

Section 812.0145 also ranked the newly created theft offenses in the offense severity ranking chart of the Criminal Punishment Code. Theft of between \(\$ 300\) and \(\$ 10,000\) was ranked in level 3 , theft of between \(\$ 10,000\) and \(\$ 50,000\) was ranked in level 5 and theft of more than \(\$ 100,000\) was ranked in level \(7 .{ }^{12}\)

HB 399 removes most of the language that was adopted during the 2002 session relating to theft from an elderly person. The bill replaces this language by providing that if the funds, assets, or property involved in a theft from a person 65 years of age or older is valued at \(\$ 10,000\) or more, the court must impose a mandatory minimum sentence of three years imprisonment. The bill further provides that the minimum mandatory sentence does not apply to the theft of one or more motor vehicles, regardless of their value.

Exploitation of Elderly or Disabled Adult: Currently, section 825.103 provides penalties for the exploitation of an elderly person or disabled adult as follows:
(1) "Exploitation of an elderly person or disabled adult" means:
(a) Knowingly, by deception or intimidation, obtaining or using, or endeavoring to obtain or use, an elderly person's or disabled adult's funds, assets, or property with the intent to temporarily or permanently deprive the elderly person or disabled adult of the use, benefit, or possession of the funds, assets, or property, or to benefit someone other than the elderly person or disabled adult, by a person who:
1. Stands in a position of trust and confidence with the elderly person or disabled adult; or
2. Has a business relationship with the elderly person or disabled adult; or
(b) Obtaining or using, endeavoring to obtain or use, or conspiring with another to obtain or use an elderly person's or disabled adult's funds, assets, or property with the intent to temporarily or permanently deprive the elderly person or disabled adult of the use, benefit, or possession of the funds, assets, or property, or to benefit someone other than the elderly person or disabled adult, by a person who knows or reasonably should know that the elderly person or disabled adult lacks the capacity to consent.
(2)(a) If the funds, assets, or property involved in the exploitation of the elderly person or disabled adult is valued at \(\$ 100,000\) or more, the offender commits a felony of the first degree.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{11}\) Chapter 2002-162; Passed as HB 835 by Rep. Gardiner.
\({ }^{12}\) s. 921.0022 , F.S. The lowest permissible sentence for an offense ranked in level 3 or level five of the offense severity ranking chart is any non-state prison sanction. The lowest permissible sentence for an offense ranked in level 7 of the offense severity ranking chart is 21 months in state prison. The maximum sentence for a third degree felony is five years in prison, for a second degree felony is fifteen years in prison and for a first degree felony is thirty years in prison. s. 775.082, F.S.
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(b) If the funds, assets, or property involved in the exploitation of the elderly person or disabled adult is valued at \(\$ 20,000\) or more, but less than \(\$ 100,000\), the offender commits a felony of the second degree.
(c) If the funds, assets, or property involved in the exploitation of an elderly person or disabled adult is valued at less than \(\$ 20,000\), the offender commits a felony of the third degree.

The bill amends this section to provide that if the funds, assets, or property involved in the exploitation of the elderly person or disabled adult is valued at \(\$ 10,000\) or more, the court must sentence the offender to a mandatory minimum sentence of three years imprisonment.

The bill also makes corresponding changes to section 775.0877, F.S. relating to mandatory orders for HIV testing in cases involving certain assault and battery offenses and to section 921.0022 , F.S., relating to the offense severity ranking chart of the Criminal Punishment Code.

\section*{C. SECTION DIRECTORY:}

Section 1. Provides that the act may be cited as "The Seniors' Safety Act".
Section 2. Creates s. 775.0847, F.S.; providing for reclassification of felony offenses committed against elderly or disabled person.

Section 3. Amends s. 784.08 , F.S.; relating to assault or battery on persons 65 years of age or older.
Section 4. Amends s. 812.0145 , F.S.; relating to theft from persons 65 years of age or older.
Section 5. Amends s. 825.103, F.S.; relating to exploitation of an elderly person or disabled adult.
Section 6. Amends s. 775.0877; relating to criminal transmission of HIV; changing cross-reference.
Section 7. Amends s. 921.0022 , F.S.; making corresponding changes to offense severity ranking chart of Criminal Punishment Code.

Section 8. Provides effective date of July 1, 2006.

\section*{II. FISCAL ANALYSIS \& ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT}

\section*{A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:}
1. Revenues:

None.
2. Expenditures:

The Criminal Justice Impact Conference met on January 9, 2006 to consider the prison bed impact of this bill on the Department of Corrections. The conference determined that the bill could potentially have a significant impact on the prison bed population at the Department of Corrections. The bill provides for the reclassification of all felony offenses committed against an elderly or disabled victim, regardless of whether the offender knew the age, infirmity or disability of the victim. It is not possible to determine how many felonies are committed against a victim age 65 or older in Florida each year. However, approximately 17.5 percent of the state population of 16.9 million is age 65 or older. The bill also requires the imposition of a mandatory minimum three year prison
sentence for the offense of theft against an elderly person and the offense of exploitation of an elderly person or disabled adult.
B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:
1. Revenues:

None.
2. Expenditures:

None.
C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.
D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

\section*{III. COMMENTS}
A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:
1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill appears to be exempt from the requirements of Article VII, Section 18 of the Florida Constitution because it is a criminal law.
2. Other:

None.
B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.
C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.
IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE \& COMBINED BILL CHANGES

A bill to be entitled
An act relating to criminal offenses; providing a short title; creating s. 775.0847, F.S.; providing for the reclassification of felonies committed against the elderly or disabled; amending s. 784.08, F.S.; providing for the reclassification of misdemeanors committed against persons 65 years of age or older; amending s. 812.0145, F.S.; providing for a mandatory term of imprisonment for certain thefts against persons 65 years of age or older; amending s. 825.103, F.S.; providing for a mandatory term of imprisonment for certain acts of exploitation against an elderly person or disabled adult; amending ss. 775.0877 and 921.0022, F.S., relating to orders for HIV testing for certain offenses and the sentencing guidelines; revising an offense description and conforming cross-references to changes made by the act; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. This act may be cited as "The Seniors' Safety Act."

Section 2. Section 775.0847, Florida Statutes, is created to read:
775.0847 Felonies committed against the elderly or disabled; reclassification of offenses.--Whenever a person is charged with committing a felony offense upon a person 65 years of age or older or an elderly person or disabled adult as defined in chapter 825 , regardless of whether the person charged Page 1 of 51
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knew or had reason to know the age, infirmity, or disability of the victim, the offense for which the person is charged shall be reclassified as follows:
(1) In the case of a felony of the third degree, the offense is reclassified to a felony of the second degree.
(2) In the case of a felony of the second degree, the offense is reclassified to a felony of the first degree.
(3) In the case of a felony of the first degree, the offense is reclassified to a felony of the first degree, punishable by life imprisonment.

Section 3. Subsection (2) of section 784.08, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
784.08 Assault or battery on persons 65 years of age or older; reclassification of offenses; minimum sentence.--
(2) Whenever a person is charged with committing an assault or aggravated assault or a battery or aggravated battexy upon a person 65 years of age or older, regardless of whether the person charged knew he or the or had has reason to know the age of the victim, the offense for which the person is charged shall be reclassified as follows:
(a) In the case of aggravated battery, from a felony of the second degree to a felony of the first degree.
(b) In the case of aggravated assault, from a felony of the third degree to a felony of the second degree.
(a) (e) In the case of battery, from a misdemeanor of the first degree to a felony of the third degree.
(b) (d) In the case of assault, from a misdemeanor of the second degree to a misdemeanor of the first degree.
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Section 4. Section 812.0145, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
812.0145 Theft from persons 65 years of age or older; penalties reclassification of offenses.--
(1) A person who is convicted of theft of more than \(\$ 1,000\) from a person 65 years of age or older shall be ordered by the sentencing judge to make restitution to the victim of such offense and to perform up to 500 hours of community service work. Restitution and community service work shall be in addition to any fine or sentence which may be imposed and shall not be in lieu thereof.
(2) Notwithstanding any other law, if the funds, assets, or property involved in a theft from a person 65 years of age or older is valued at \(\$ 10,000\) or more, the court shall sentence the offender to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 3 years. However, the mandatory term of imprisonment does not apply to the theft of one or more motor vehicles, regardless of associated value. Whenevex a person is charged with eommitting theft from a person 65 years of age or older, when he or she knows or has reason to believe that the victim was 65 yearg of age or older, the offense for which the person is eharged shall be reclassified as follows:
(a) This subsection does not prevent a court from imposing a greater sentence of incarceration as authorized by law. If the funds, assets, or property involved in the theft from a person 65 years of age or older is valued at \(\$ 50,000\) or more, the offendex commits a felony of the first degree, punishable as provided ins. \(775.082,5.775 .083\), or 5.775 .084.
(b) If the minimum mandatory term of imprisonment imposed under this section exceeds the maximum sentence authorized by s . 775.082, s. 775.084, or the Criminal Punishment Code under chapter 921, the mandatory minimum sentence must be imposed. If the mandatory minimum term of imprisonment under this section is less than the sentence authorized by s. 775.082, s. 775.084, or the Criminal Punishment Code under chapter 921 , the sentence imposed by the court must include the mandatory minimum term of imprisonment required in this subsection. If the funds, assets, or property involved in the theft from a person 65 years of age or older is valued at \(\$ 10,000\) or mere, but less than \(\$ 50,000\), the offender commits a felony of the second degree, punishable as provided in \(5.775 .082,5.775 .083\), or 5.775 .084 .
(c) If the funds, assets, ox property involved in the theft from a persen 65 years of age or older is valued at \(\$ 300\) or more, but less than \(\$ 10,000\), the offender commits a felony of the third degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084.

Section 5. Subsections (3) and (4) are added to section 825.103, Florida Statutes, to read:
825.103 Exploitation of an elderly person or disabled adult; penalties.--
(3) Notwithstanding any other law, if the funds, assets, or property involved in the exploitation of an elderly person or a disabled adult is valued at \(\$ 10,000\) or more, the court shall sentence the offender to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 3 years.
(4) If the minimum mandatory term of imprisonment imposed Page 4 of 51
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under subsection (3) exceeds the maximum sentence authorized by s. 775.082 , s. 775.084 , or the Criminal Punishment Code under chapter 921, the mandatory minimum sentence must be imposed. If the mandatory minimum term of imprisonment under this section is less than the sentence authorized by s. 775.082, s. 775.084, or the Criminal Punishment Code under chapter 921 , the sentence imposed by the court must include the mandatory minimum term of imprisonment required in subsection (3). This subsection does not prevent a court from imposing a greater sentence of incarceration as authorized by law.

Section 6. Subsection (1) of section 775.0877, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
775.0877 Criminal transmission of HIV; procedures; penalties.--
(1) In any case in which a person has been convicted of or has pled nolo contendere or guilty to, regardless of whether adjudication is withheld, any of the following offenses, or the attempt thereof, which offense or attempted offense involves the transmission of body fluids from one person to another:
(a) Section 794.011, relating to sexual battery,
(b) Section 826.04 , relating to incest,
(c) Section \(800.04(1)\), (2), and (3), relating to lewd, lascivious, or indecent assault or act upon any person less than 16 years of age,
(d) Sections 784.011, 784.07(2)(a), and 784.08(2)(b) 784.08(2)(d), relating to assault,
(e) Sections 784.021 and, \(784.07(2)(c)\), and \(784.08(2)(b)\), relating to aggravated assault,
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(f) Sections 784.03, 784.07(2)(b), and 784.08(2)(a) 784.08(2)(c), relating to battery,
(g) Sections 784.045 and \(784.07(2)(d)\), and \(784.08(2)(a)\), relating to aggravated battery,
(h) Section 827.03(1), relating to child abuse,
(i) Section \(827.03(2)\), relating to aggravated child abuse,
(j) Section 825.102(1), relating to abuse of an elderly person or disabled adult,
(k) Section 825.102(2), relating to aggravated abuse of an elderly person or disabled adult,
(1) Section 827.071, relating to sexual performance by person less than 18 years of age,
(m) Sections 796.03, 796.07, and 796.08, relating to prostitution, or
(n) Section 381.0041(11)(b), relating to donation of blood, plasma, organs, skin, or other human tissue,
the court shall order the offender to undergo HIV testing, to be performed under the direction of the Department of Health in accordance with s. 381.004, unless the offender has undergone HIV testing voluntarily or under pursuant to procedures established in s. \(381.004(3)(h) 6\). or s. 951.27 , or any other applicable law or rule providing for HIV testing of criminal offenders or inmates, subsequent to her or his arrest for an offense enumerated in paragraphs (a)-(n) for which she or he was convicted or to which she or he pled nolo contendere or guilty.
The results of an HIV test performed on an offender under pursuant to this subsection are not admissible in any criminal
\[
\text { Page } 6 \text { of } 51
\]
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proceeding arising out of the alleged offense.
Section 7. Paragraphs (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), and (g) of subsection (3) of section 921.0022, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
921.0022 Criminal Punishment Code; offense severity ranking chart.--
(3) OFFENSE SEVERITY RANKING CHART
Florida Felony
Statute Degree Description
(b) LEVEL 2
370.12(1)(e)3. 3rd Possession of 11 or fewer marine turtle eggs in violation of the Marine Turtle Protection Act.
\(370.12(1)(e) 4 . \quad 3 r d\)
\(403.413(5)(c) \quad 3 r d\)
\(517.07 \quad 3 \mathrm{rd}\)
Possession of more than 11 marine turtle eggs in violation of the Marine Turtle Protection Act.

Dumps waste litter exceeding 500 lbs. in weight or 100 cubic feet in volume or any quantity for commercial purposes, or hazardous waste.

Registration of securities and furnishing of prospectus
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{HB 399} & 2006 \\
\hline & & & required. \\
\hline 182 & 590.28(1) & 3rd & Intentional or reckless burning \\
\hline & & & of lands Willful, malicious, or intentional burning. \\
\hline 183 & 784.05 (3) & 3rd & Storing or leaving a loaded \\
\hline & & & firearm within reach of minor who uses it to inflict injury or death. \\
\hline 184 & 787.04 (1) & 3rd & In violation of court order, take, entice, etc., minor beyond state limits. \\
\hline 185 & 806.13 (1) (b) 3. & 3rd & Criminal mischief; damage \(\$ 1,000\) or more to public communication or any other public service. \\
\hline 186 & 810.061(2) & 3rd & Impairing or impeding telephone or power to a dwelling; facilitating or furthering burglary. \\
\hline 187 & 810.09(2)(e) & 3rd & Trespassing on posted commercial horticulture property. \\
\hline 188 & 812.014 (2)(c) 1 & 3rd & Grand theft, 3rd degree; \$300 or more but less than \(\$ 5,000\). \\
\hline 189 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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HB 399
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 812.014 (2) (d) & 3 rd & Grand theft, 3rd degree; \(\$ 100\) or more but less than \(\$ 300\), taken from unenclosed curtilage of dwelling. \\
\hline 812.015 (7) & 3 rd & Possession, use, or attempted use of an antishoplifting or inventory control device countermeasure. \\
\hline 817.234(1)(a)2 & 3rd & False statement in support of insurance claim. \\
\hline 817.481 (3) (a) & 3rd & Obtain credit or purchase with false, expired, counterfeit, etc., credit card, value over \(\$ 300\). \\
\hline 817.52(3) & 3rd & Failure to redeliver hired vehicle. \\
\hline 817.54 & 3rd & With intent to defraud, obtain mortgage note, etc., by false representation. \\
\hline 817.60(5) & 3rd & Dealing in credit cards of another. \\
\hline 817.60(6) (a) & 3rd & Forgery; purchase goods, services with false card. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

False statement in support of insurance claim.

Obtain credit or purchase with false, expired, counterfeit, etc., credit card, value over \(\$ 300\).

Failure to redeliver hired vehicle.

With intent to defraud, obtain mortgage note, etc., by false representation.
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831.07
\(3 r d\)
831.08

3 rd

203
832.05(3)(a) 3rd

Fraudulent use of credit cards over \(\$ 100\) or more within 6 months.

Knowingly marries or has sexual intercourse with person to whom related.

Forgery.

Uttering forged instrument; utters or publishes alteration with intent to defraud.

Forging bank bills, checks, drafts, or promissory notes.

Possessing 10 or more forged notes, bills, checks, or drafts.

Uttering forged notes, bills, checks, drafts, or promissory notes.

Bringing into the state forged bank bills, checks, drafts, or notes.

Cashing or depositing item with
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HB 399 206 211
893.147(2) 3rd
119.10(2) (b) 3 rd
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(316.066(3)\) & \(3 r d\) \\
\((d)-(f)\) & \\
\(316.193(2)(b)\) & \(3 r d\) \\
\(316.1935(2)\) & \(3 r d\)
\end{tabular}
\(319.30(4) \quad 3 r d\)
intent to defraud.

Falsely impersonating an officer. Purchase of any s. 893.03(1)(c), (2) (c) \(1 .\), (2) (c) \(2 .,(2)(c) 3 .\), (2) (c) \(5 .\),
(2) (c) \(6 .\),
(2) (c) \(7 .\),
(2) (c) \(8 .\),
(2) (c) \(9 .\),
(3), or (4)
drugs other than cannabis.

Manufacture or delivery of drug paraphernalia.
(c) LEVEL 3

Unlawful use of confidential information from police reports.

Unlawfully obtaining or using confidential crash reports.

Felony DUI, 3rd conviction.
Fleeing or attempting to elude law enforcement officer in patrol vehicle with siren and lights activated.

Possession by junkyard of motor vehicle with identification
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & HB 399 & & 2006 \\
\hline & & & number plate removed. \\
\hline 215 & 319.33 (1) (a) & 3 rd & Alter or forge any certificate of title to a motor vehicle or mobile home. \\
\hline 216 & 319.33 (1) (c) & 3 rd & Procure or pass title on stolen vehicle. \\
\hline 217 & 319.33 (4) & 3 rd & With intent to defraud, possess, sell, etc., a blank, forged, or unlawfully obtained title or registration. \\
\hline 218 & 327.35 (2) (b) & 3 rd & Felony BUI. \\
\hline 219 & 328.05 (2) & 3 rd & Possess, sell, or counterfeit fictitious, stolen, or fraudulent titles or bills of sale of vessels. \\
\hline 220 & \(328.07(4)\) & 3 rd & Manufacture, exchange, or possess vessel with counterfeit or wrong ID number. \\
\hline 221 & 370.12 (1) (e) 5. & 3 rd & Taking, disturbing, mutilating, destroying, causing to be destroyed, transferring, selling, offering to sell, molesting, or harassing marine turtles, marine e 12 of 51 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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HB 399
turtle eggs, or marine turtle nests in violation of the Marine Turtle Protection Act.

Soliciting to commit or conspiring to commit a violation of the Marine Turtle Protection Act.

Fraud related to reimbursement for cleanup expenses under the Inland Protection Trust Fund.

Operating a clinic without a license or filing false license application or other required information.

Receipt of fee or consideration without approval by judge of compensation claims.

False report of workers' compensation fraud or retaliation for making such a report.

Tampers with a consumer product or the container using materially false/misleading information.
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less than \(\$ 10,000\).

Theft from pexson 65 years of age or older; \(\$ 300\) or more but less than \$10,000.

Computer offense devised to defraud or obtain property.

Engages in scheme to defraud (Florida Communications Fraud Act), property valued at less than \(\$ 20,000\).

Burning to defraud insurer.

Unlawful solicitation of persons involved in motor vehicle accidents.

Insurance fraud; property value less than \(\$ 20,000\).

Filing a false motor vehicle insurance application.

Creating, marketing, or presenting a false or fraudulent motor vehicle insurance card.
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HB 399 2006
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 817.413 (2) & 3 rd & Sale of used goods as new. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{247} & & & \\
\hline & 817.505 (4) & 3 rd & Patient brokering. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{248} & & & \\
\hline & 828.12 (2) & 3 rd & Tortures any animal with intent \\
\hline & & & to inflict intense pain, serious physical injury, or death. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{249} & & & \\
\hline & \(831.28(2)(a)\) & 3 rd & Counterfeiting a payment \\
\hline & & & instrument with intent to defraud \\
\hline & & & or possessing a counterfeit \\
\hline & & & payment instrument. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{250} & & & \\
\hline & 831.29 & 2nd & Possession of instruments for \\
\hline & & & counterfeiting drivers' licenses \\
\hline & & & or identification cards. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{251} & & & \\
\hline & 838.021 (3) (b) & 3 rd & Threatens unlawful harm to public \\
\hline & & & servant. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{252} & & & \\
\hline & 843.19 & 3 rd & Injure, disable, or kill police \\
\hline & & & dog or horse. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{253} & & & \\
\hline & 860.15 (3) & 3 rd & Overcharging for repairs and \\
\hline & & & parts. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{254} & & & \\
\hline & \(870.01(2)\) & 3 rd & Riot; inciting or encouraging. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{255} & & & \\
\hline & 893.13 (1) (a) 2. & 3 rd & Sell, manufacture, or deliver \\
\hline & & & cannabis (or other s. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\(893.03(1)(c),(2)(c) 1 .,(2)(c) 2 .\),
(2) (c) \(3 .,(2)(c) 5 .,(2)(c) 6 .\),
(2) (c) \(7 .,(2)(c) 8 .,(2)(c) 9 .\),
(3), or (4) drugs).
893.13(1)(d)2. 2nd
\(893.13(1)(f) 2 . \quad 2 n d\)
893.13(7)(a)8. 3rd
893.13(7)(a)9. 3rd

Sell, manufacture, or deliver \(s\). 893.03 (1) (c), (2) (c)1., (2) (c)2., (2) (c) \(3 .\),
(2) (c) \(5 .\),
(2) (c) \(6 .\),
(2) (c) 7. ,
(2) (c) \(8 .\),
(2) (c) \(9 .\),
(3), or (4) drugs within 1,000 feet of university.

Sell, manufacture, or deliver s. 893.03 (1) (c), (2) (c)1., (2) (c) 2.,
(2) (c) \(3 .,(2)(c) 5 .,(2)(c) 6 .\),
(2) (c) \(7 .\),
(2) (c) \(8 .\),
(2) (c) \(9 .\),
(3), or (4) drugs within 1,000 feet of public housing facility.

Possession of any controlled substance other than felony possession of cannabis.

Withhold information from practitioner regarding previous receipt of or prescription for a controlled substance.

Obtain or attempt to obtain
Page 17 of 51
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HB 399
controlled substance by fraud, forgery, misrepresentation, etc.

Affix false or forged label to package of controlled substance.

Furnish false or fraudulent material information on any document or record required by chapter 893.

Knowingly assist a patient, other person, or owner of an animal in obtaining a controlled substance through deceptive, untrue, or fraudulent representations in or related to the practitioner's practice.

Employ a trick or scheme in the practitioner's practice to assist a patient, other person, or owner of an animal in obtaining a controlled substance.

Knowingly write a prescription for a controlled substance for a fictitious person.

\section*{Page 18 of 51}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 893.13(8)(a) 4 . & 3 rd & Write a prescription for a controlled substance for a patient, other person, or an animal if the sole purpose of writing the prescription is a monetary benefit for the practitioner. \\
\hline 267 & 918.13(1)(a) & 3rd & Alter, destroy, or conceal investigation evidence. \\
\hline 268 & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
944.47
\] \\
(1) (a) 1.-2.
\end{tabular} & 3 rd & Introduce contraband to correctional facility. \\
\hline 269 & 944.47(1)(c) & 2nd & Possess contraband while upon the grounds of a correctional institution. \\
\hline 270 & 985.3141 & 3 rd & ```
Escapes from a juvenile facility
    (secure detention or residential
commitment facility).
``` \\
\hline 271 & & & (d) LEVEL 4 \\
\hline 272 & 316.1935 (3) (a) & 2nd & Driving at high speed or with wanton disregard for safety while fleeing or attempting to elude law enforcement officer who is in a patrol vehicle with siren and \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & HB 399 & & 2006 \\
\hline & & & lights activated. \\
\hline 273 & 499.0051 (1) & 3 rd & Failure to maintain or deliver pedigree papers. \\
\hline 274 & 499.0051(2) & 3 rd & Failure to authenticate pedigree papers. \\
\hline 275 & 499.0051 (6) & 2nd & Sale or delivery, or possession with intent to sell, contraband legend drugs. \\
\hline 276 & 784.07(2) (b) & 3 rd & Battery of law enforcement officer, firefighter, intake officer, etc. \\
\hline 277 & 784.074 (1) (c) & 3rd & Battery of sexually violent predators facility staff. \\
\hline 278 & 784.075 & 3 rd & Battery on detention or commitment facility staff. \\
\hline 279 & 784.078 & 3rd & Battery of facility employee by throwing, tossing, or expelling certain fluids or materials. \\
\hline 280 & 784.08 (2) (a) (c) & 3 rd & Battery on a person 65 years of age or older. \\
\hline 281 & 784.081(3) & 3rd & Battery on specified official or \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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employee.
Battery by detained person on visitor or other detainee.

Battery on code inspector.

Battery of child by throwing, tossing, projecting, or expelling certain fluids or materials.

Interference with custody; wrongly takes child from appointed guardian.

Take, entice, or remove child beyond state limits with criminal intent pending custody proceedings.

Carrying child beyond state lines with criminal intent to avoid producing child at custody hearing or delivering to designated person.

Exhibiting firearm or weapon within 1,000 feet of a school.

Possessing electric weapon or

Page 21 of 51
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HB 399
device, destructive device, or other weapon on school property.

Possessing firearm on school property.

Lewd or lascivious exhibition; offender less than 18 years.

Burglary, or attempted burglary, of an unoccupied structure; unarmed; no assault or battery.

Burglary, or attempted burglary, of an unoccupied conveyance; unarmed; no assault or battery.

Burglary; possession of tools.

Trespass on property, armed with firearm or dangerous weapon.

Grand theft, 3rd degree \(\$ 10,000\) or more but less than \(\$ 20,000\).

Grand theft, \(3 r d\) degree, a will, firearm, motor vehicle, livestock, etc.

Dealing in stolen property by use
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of the Internet; property stolen \(\$ 300\) or more.

Sell or deliver substance other than controlled substance agreed upon, excluding s. 893.03(5) drugs.

Fraudulent use of personal identification information.

Fraudulent use of scanning device or reencoder.

Kill, maim, or cause great bodily harm or permanent breeding disability to any registered horse or cattle.

Perjury in official proceedings.
Make contradictory statements in official proceedings.

Official misconduct.

Falsifying records of an individual in the care and custody of a state agency.
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 839.13 (2) (c) & 3 rd & \begin{tabular}{l}
Falsifying records of the \\
Department of Children and Family \\
Services.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 308 & 843.021 & 3rd & Possession of a concealed handcuff key by a person in custody. \\
\hline 309 & 843.025 & 3rd & Deprive law enforcement, correctional, or correctional probation officer of means of protection or communication. \\
\hline 310 & 843.15 (1) (a) & 3rd & Failure to appear while on bail for felony (bond estreature or bond jumping). \\
\hline 311 & 874.05 (1) & 3rd & Encouraging or recruiting another to join a criminal street gang. \\
\hline 312 & 893.13 (2) (a) 1. & 2nd & \begin{tabular}{l}
Purchase of cocaine (or other s. 893.03(1) (a), (b), or (d), \\
(2) (a), (2) (b), or (2) (c) 4. drugs).
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 313 & 914.14(2) & 3rd & Witnesses accepting bribes. \\
\hline 314
315 & 914.22(1) & 3rd & Force, threaten, etc., witness, victim, or informant.
\[
\text { e } 24 \text { of } 51
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline & HB 399 & & 2006 \\
\hline & 914.23(2) & 3 rd & Retaliation against a witness, victim, or informant, no bodily injury. \\
\hline 316 & 918.12 & 3 rd & Tampering with jurors. \\
\hline 317 & 934.215 & 3 rd & Use of two-way communications device to facilitate commission of a crime. \\
\hline 318 & & & (e) LEVEL 5 \\
\hline 319 & 316.027 (1) (a) & 3 rd & Accidents involving personal injuries, failure to stop; leaving scene. \\
\hline 320 & 316.1935 (4) (a) & 2nd & Aggravated fleeing or eluding. \\
\hline 321 & 322.34 (6) & 3 rd & Careless operation of motor vehicle with suspended license, resulting in death or serious bodily injury. \\
\hline 322 & \(327.30(5)\) & 3 rd & \begin{tabular}{l}
Vessel accidents involving \\
personal injury; leaving scene.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 323 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 381.0041 \\
& (11)(\mathrm{b})
\end{aligned}
\] & 3 rd & Donate blood, plasma, or organs knowing HIV positive. \\
\hline 324 & 440.10 (1) (g) & 2nd & Failure to obtain workers' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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F O R I D A H O U
325
624.401(4)(b)2. 2nd
626.902(1)(c) 2nd
\(790.01(2)\)
790.162
\(790.163(1)\)
\(790.221(1)\)
\(624.401(4)\) (b) 2.
-


7
\(790.221(1)\)
2nd
440.105 (5)

2nd

2nd
440.381(2)
compensation coverage.

Unlawful solicitation for the purpose of making workers' compensation claims.

Submission of false, misleading, or incomplete information with the purpose of avoiding or reducing workers' compensation premiums.

Transacting insurance without a certificate or authority; premium collected \(\$ 20,000\) or more but less than \(\$ 100,000\).

Representing an unauthorized insurer; repeat offender.

Carrying a concealed firearm.
Threat to throw or discharge destructive device.

False report of deadly explosive or weapon of mass destruction.

Possession of short-barreled shotgun or machine gun.
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 3331 & 790.23 & 2nd & Felons in possession of firearms, ammunition, or electronic weapons or devices. \\
\hline 334 & 800.04 (6) (c) & 3rd & Lewd or lascivious conduct; offender less than 18 years. \\
\hline 335 & 800.04 (7) (c) & 2nd & Lewd or lascivious exhibition; offender 18 years or older. \\
\hline 336 & 806.111(1) & 3rd & Possess, manufacture, or dispense fire bomb with intent to damage any structure or property. \\
\hline 337 & \(812.0145(2)(\mathrm{b})\) & znd & Theft from person 65 years of age ex oldex; \(\$ 10,000\) or mere but less than \(\$ 50,000\). \\
\hline 338 & 812.015 (8) & 3rd & Retail theft; property stolen is valued at \(\$ 300\) or more and one or more specified acts. \\
\hline 339 & 812.019 (1) & 2nd & Stolen property; dealing in or trafficking in. \\
\hline 340 & 812.131(2)(b) & 3 rd & Robbery by sudden snatching. \\
\hline 341 & 812.16(2) & 3 rd & Owning, operating, or conducting a chop shop. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & HB 399 & & 2006 \\
\hline & & & or disabled adult. \\
\hline 348 & 827.071(4) & 2nd & Possess with intent to promote any photographic material, motion picture, etc., which includes sexual conduct by a child. \\
\hline 349 & 827.071 (5) & 3rd & Possess any photographic material, motion picture, etc., which includes sexual conduct by a child. \\
\hline 350 & 839.13 (2) (b) & 2nd & Falsifying records of an individual in the care and custody of a state agency involving great bodily harm or death. \\
\hline 351 & 843.01 & 3rd & Resist officer with violence to person; resist arrest with violence. \\
\hline 352 & 847.0137(2) \& (3) & 3rd & Transmission of pornography by electronic device or equipment. \\
\hline 353 & 847.0138(2) \& (3) & 3rd & ```
Transmission of material harmful
to minors to a minor by
electronic device or equipment.
``` \\
\hline 354 & 874.05 (2) & 2nd & Encouraging or recruiting another \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & HB 399 & & 2006 \\
\hline & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
cannabis or other drug prohibited under s. 893.03(1)(c), (2)(c)1., \\
(2) (c) \(2 .,(2)(c) 3 .,(2)(c) 5 .\), \\
(2) (c) 6., (2) (c)7., (2) (c)8., \\
(2) (c) 9., (3), or (4) within \\
1,000 feet of property used for religious services or a specified business site.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 359 & 893.13 (1) (f) 1. & 1st & \begin{tabular}{l}
Sell, manufacture, or deliver cocaine (or other s. \\
893.03 (1) (a), (1) (b), (1) (d), or \\
(2) (a), (2) (b), or (2) (c) 4. \\
drugs) within 1,000 feet of \\
public housing facility.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 360 & 893.13 (4)(b) & 2nd & \begin{tabular}{l}
Deliver to minor cannabis (or other s. 893.03(1)(c), (2)(c)1., \\
(2) (c) \(2 .,(2)(c) 3 .,(2)(c) 5 .\), \\
(2) (c) \(6 .,(2)(c) 7 .,(2)(c) 8 .\), \\
(2) (c) 9., (3), or (4) drugs).
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 361 & & & (f) LEVEL 6 \\
\hline 362 & 316.193 (2) (b) & 3 rd & Felony DUI, 4th or subsequent conviction. \\
\hline 363 & 499.0051 (3) & 2nd & Forgery of pedigree papers. \\
\hline 364 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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HB 399 2006
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 499.0051(4) & 2nd & Purchase or receipt of legend drug from unauthorized person. \\
\hline 365 & 499.0051 (5) & 2nd & Sale of legend drug to unauthorized person. \\
\hline 366 & 775.0875 (1) & 3rd & Taking firearm from law enforcement officer. \\
\hline 367 & 784.021(1)(a) & 3rd & Aggravated assault; deadly weapon without intent to kill. \\
\hline 368 & 784.021 (1) (b) & 3rd & Aggravated assault; intent to commit felony. \\
\hline 369 & 784.041 & 3rd & Felony battery. \\
\hline 370 & 784.048(3) & 3 rd & Aggravated stalking; credible threat. \\
\hline 371 & 784.048 (5) & 3rd & Aggravated stalking of person under 16. \\
\hline 372 & 784.07 (2) (c) & 2nd & Aggravated assault on law enforcement officer. \\
\hline 373 & 784.074 (1) (b) & 2nd & Aggravated assault on sexually violent predators facility staff. \\
\hline 374 & \(784.08(2)(b)\) & znd & Aggravated assault on a pexson 65 years of age ox oldex. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline & HB 399 & & 2006 \\
\hline & & & \(\$ 300\) or more; second or subsequent conviction. \\
\hline & 812.13 (2) (c) & 2nd & Robbery, no firearm or other weapon (strong-arm robbery). \\
\hline 392 & 817.034 (4) (a) 1. & 1st & Communications fraud, value greater than \(\$ 50,000\). \\
\hline 393 & 817.4821(5) & 2nd & Possess cloning paraphernalia with intent to create cloned cellular telephones. \\
\hline 394 & 825.102(1) & 3rd & Abuse of an elderly person or disabled adult. \\
\hline 395 & 825.102(3)(c) & 3rd & Neglect of an elderly person or disabled adult. \\
\hline 396 & 825.1025 (3) & 3rd & Lewd or lascivious molestation of an elderly person or disabled adult. \\
\hline 397 & \(825.103(2)(c)\) & 3 rd & Exploiting an elderly person or disabled adult and property is valued at less than \(\$ 20,000\). \\
\hline 398 & 827.03 (1) & 3 rd & Abuse of a child. \\
\hline 399 & 827.03(3)(c) & 3 rd & Neglect of a child. \\
\hline 400 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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401
\[
402
\]
405
914.23 2nd
827.071(2)\&(3) 2nd
836.05
836.1
843.1
847.0135(2) 3rd
914.2
944.35(3)(a)2. 3 rd

\subsection*{944.40}
944.46
\(3 r d\)

Use or induce a child in a sexual performance, or promote or direct such performance.

Threats; extortion.
\[
\begin{gathered}
402 \\
403
\end{gathered}
\]

Written threats to kill or do bodily injury.
\[
404
\]

Aids or assists person to escape.
Facilitates sexual conduct of or with a minor or the visual depiction of such conduct.

Retaliation against a witness, victim, or informant, with bodily injury.

Committing malicious battery upon or inflicting cruel or inhuman treatment on an inmate or offender on community supervision, resulting in great bodily harm.

Escapes.
Harboring, concealing, aiding escaped prisoners.
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & or intentional act resulting in great bodily harm, permanent disfiguration, permanent disability, or death. \\
\hline 417 & 409.920 (2) & 3rd & Medicaid provider fraud. \\
\hline 418 & 456.065 (2) & 3rd & Practicing a health care profession without a license. \\
\hline 419 & 456.065 (2) & 2nd & Practicing a health care profession without a license which results in serious bodily injury. \\
\hline 420 & 458.327(1) & 3rd & Practicing medicine without a license. \\
\hline 421 & 459.013 (1) & 3 rd & Practicing osteopathic medicine without a license. \\
\hline 422 & 460.411 (1) & 3 rd & Practicing chiropractic medicine without a license. \\
\hline 423 & 461.012 (1) & 3rd & Practicing podiatric medicine without a license. \\
\hline 424 & 462.17 & 3 rd & Practicing naturopathy without a license. \\
\hline 425 & & & 38 of 51 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CODING: Words stric are deletions; words underlined are additions.

F L O R I D A H O U S E O F R E P R E S E N T A T I V E S
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & HB 399 & & 2006 \\
\hline & 463.015 (1) & 3rd & Practicing optometry without a license. \\
\hline 426 & 464.016 (1) & 3rd & Practicing nursing without a license. \\
\hline 427 & 465.015 (2) & 3 rd & Practicing pharmacy without a license. \\
\hline 428 & 466.026 (1) & 3rd & Practicing dentistry or dental hygiene without a license. \\
\hline 429 & 467.201 & 3 rd & Practicing midwifery without a license. \\
\hline 430 & 468.366 & 3rd & Delivering respiratory care services without a license. \\
\hline 431 & 483.828(1) & 3 rd & Practicing as clinical laboratory personnel without a license. \\
\hline 432 & 483.901 (9) & 3rd & Practicing medical physics without a license. \\
\hline 433 & 484.013 (1) (c) & 3rd & Preparing or dispensing optical devices without a prescription. \\
\hline 434 & 484.053 & 3rd & Dispensing hearing aids without a license. \\
\hline 435 & 494.0018 (2) & 1st & Conviction of any violation of \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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655.50(10)(b)1. 3rd
\(775.21(10)(\mathrm{a}) \quad 3 \mathrm{rd}\)
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red
ss. 494.001-494.0077 in which the total money and property unlawfully obtained exceeded \(\$ 50,000\) and there were five or more victims.

Failure to report currency or payment instruments exceeding \(\$ 300\) but less than \(\$ 20,000\) by money transmitter.

Money transmitter business by unauthorized person, currency or payment instruments exceeding \(\$ 300\) but less than \(\$ 20,000\).

Failure to report financial transactions exceeding \(\$ 300\) but less than \(\$ 20,000\) by financial institution.

Sexual predator; failure to register; failure to renew driver's license or identification card; other registration violations.

Sexual predator working where children regularly congregate.
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 441 & 775.21(10) (g) & 3 rd & Failure to report or providing false information about a sexual predator; harbor or conceal a sexual predator. \\
\hline 442 & 782.051 (3) & 2nd & Attempted felony murder of a person by a person other than the perpetrator or the perpetrator of an attempted felony. \\
\hline 443 & 782.07(1) & 2nd & Killing of a human being by the act, procurement, or culpable negligence of another (manslaughter). \\
\hline 444 & 782.071 & 2nd & Killing of human being or viable fetus by the operation of a motor vehicle in a reckless manner (vehicular homicide). \\
\hline 445 & 782.072 & 2nd & \begin{tabular}{l}
Killing of a human being by the operation of a vessel in a \\
reckless manner (vessel homicide).
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 446 & 784.045 (1) (a) 1. & 2nd & Aggravated battery; intentionally causing great bodily harm or disfigurement. \\
\hline 447 & & & e 41 of 51 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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784.045(1) (a) 2. 2nd
784.045(1) (b) 2nd
784.048(4) 3rd
784.048(7) 3rd
784.07(2) (d) 1st
784.074(1)(a) 1st
784.08(2)(a) 1st
784.081(1) 1st
784.082(1) 1st
784.083(1) 1st

Aggravated battery; using deadly weapon.

Aggravated battery; perpetrator aware victim pregnant.

Aggravated stalking; violation of injunction or court order.

Aggravated stalking; violation of court order.

Aggravated battery on law enforcement officer.

Aggravated battery on sexually violent predators facility staff.

Aggravated battery on a persen 65 years of age or older.

Aggravated battery on specified official or employee.

Aggravated battery by detained person on visitor or other detainee.

Aggravated battery on code inspector.
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\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(790.07(4)\) & 1st \\
\(790.16(1)\) & 1 st \\
\(790.165(2)\) & 2 nd \\
\(790.165(3)\) & 2 nd \\
\(790.166(3)\) & 2nd \\
\(790.166(4)\) & 2nd
\end{tabular}

463

464
\begin{tabular}{ll}
796.03 & 2nd \\
\(800.04(5)(c) 1.2 n d\)
\end{tabular}

Specified weapons violation subsequent to previous conviction of s. 790.07(1) or (2).

Discharge of a machine gun under specified circumstances.

Manufacture, sell, possess, or deliver hoax bomb.

Possessing, displaying, or threatening to use any hoax bomb while committing or attempting to commit a felony.

Possessing, selling, using, or attempting to use a hoax weapon of mass destruction.

Possessing, displaying, or threatening to use a hoax weapon of mass destruction while committing or attempting to commit a felony.

Procuring any person under 16 years for prostitution.

Lewd or lascivious molestation; victim less than 12 years of age;
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offender less than 18 years.
Lewd or lascivious molestation; victim 12 years of age or older but less than 16 years; offender 18 years or older.

Maliciously damage structure by fire or explosive.

Burglary of occupied dwelling; unarmed; no assault or battery.

Burglary of unoccupied dwelling; unarmed; no assault or battery.

Burglary of occupied conveyance; unarmed; no assault or battery.

Property stolen, valued at \(\$ 100,000\) or more; property stolen while causing other property damage; 1st degree grand theft.

Property stolen, cargo valued at less than \(\$ 50,000\), grand theft in 2nd degree.

Property stolen, emergency medical equipment; 2nd degree
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grand theft.

Theft from person 65 years of age ex older: \(\$ 50,000\) or mere.

Stolen property; initiates, organizes, plans, etc., the theft of property and traffics in stolen property.

Robbery by sudden snatching.

Carjacking; no firearm, deadly weapon, or other weapon.

Solicitation of motor vehicle accident victims with intent to defraud.

Organizing, planning, or participating in an intentional motor vehicle collision.

Insurance fraud; property value \(\$ 100,000\) or more.

Making false entries of material fact or false statements regarding property values relating to the solvency of an
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insuring entity which are a
significant cause of the
insolvency of that entity.

Neglecting an elderly person or disabled adult causing great bodily harm, disability, or disfigurement.

Exploiting an elderly person or disabled adult and property is valued at \(\$ 20,000\) or more, but less than \(\$ 100,000\).

Neglect of a child causing great bodily harm, disability, or disfigurement.

Impregnation of a child under 16 years of age by person 21 years of age or older.
485 \begin{tabular}{ll}
485 & \\
\(487.05(2)\) & 3 rd \\
838.015 & 2nd \\
838.016 & 2nd
\end{tabular}

Giving false information about alleged capital felony to a law enforcement officer.

Bribery.
Unlawful compensation or reward for official behavior.
Page 46 of 51
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\hline 488 & 838.021 (3) (a) & 2nd & Unlawful harm to a public servant. \\
\hline 489 & 838.22 & 2nd & Bid tampering. \\
\hline 490 & 847.0135 (3) & 3rd & Solicitation of a child, via a computer service, to commit an unlawful sex act. \\
\hline 491 & 872.06 & 2nd & Abuse of a dead human body. \\
\hline 492 & 893.13(1)(c) 1. & 1st & \begin{tabular}{l}
Sell, manufacture, or deliver cocaine (or other drug prohibited under s. 893.03(1)(a), (1) (b), \\
(1) (d), (2) (a), (2) (b), or \\
(2) (c) 4.) within 1,000 feet of a child care facility, school, or state, county, or municipal park or publicly owned recreational facility or community center.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 493 & 893.13 (1) (e) 1. & 1st & \begin{tabular}{l}
Sell, manufacture, or deliver cocaine or other drug prohibited under s. 893.03(1)(a), (1) (b), \\
(1) (d), (2) (a), (2) (b), or (2) (c)4., within 1,000 feet of property used for religious services or a specified business
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
893.135
\] \\
(1) (g) 1.a.
\end{tabular} & 1st & Trafficking in flunitrazepam, 4 grams or more, less than 14 grams. \\
\hline 502 & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
893.135
\] \\
(1) (h) 1.a.
\end{tabular} & 1st & Trafficking in gammahydroxybutyric acid (GHB), 1 kilogram or more, less than 5 kilograms. \\
\hline 503 & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
893.135
\] \\
(1) (j)1.a.
\end{tabular} & 1st & Trafficking in 1,4-Butanediol, 1 kilogram or more, less than 5 kilograms. \\
\hline 504 & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
893.135
\] \\
(1) (k) 2.a.
\end{tabular} & 1st & Trafficking in Phenethylamines, 10 grams or more, less than 200 grams. \\
\hline 505 & \(896.101(5)(a)\) & 3 rd & Money laundering, financial transactions exceeding \(\$ 300\) but less than \(\$ 20,000\). \\
\hline 506 & 896.104 (4) (a) 1. & 3 rd & \begin{tabular}{l}
Structuring transactions to evade reporting or registration \\
requirements, financial \\
transactions exceeding \(\$ 300\) but less than \(\$ 20,000\).
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 507 & 943.0435 (4) (c) & 2nd & \begin{tabular}{l}
Sexual offender vacating \\
permanent residence; failure to
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline & HB 399 & & 2006 \\
\hline & & & comply with reporting requirements. \\
\hline 508 & 943.0435 (8) & 2nd & Sexual offender; remains in state after indicating intent to leave; failure to comply with reporting requirements. \\
\hline 509 & 943.0435 (9)(a) & 3 rd & Sexual offender; failure to comply with reporting requirements. \\
\hline 510 & 943.0435 (13) & 3 rd & Failure to report or providing false information about a sexual offender; harbor or conceal a sexual offender. \\
\hline 511 & 943.0435 (14) & 3 rd & Sexual offender; failure to report and reregister; failure to respond to address verification. \\
\hline 512 & 944.607(9) & 3 rd & Sexual offender; failure to comply with reporting requirements. \\
\hline 513 & 944.607(10)(a) & 3 rd & Sexual offender; failure to submit to the taking of a digitized photograph. \\
\hline 514 & 944.607(12) & 3 rd & Failure to report or providing 50 of 51 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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HB 399
false information about a sexual offender; harbor or conceal a sexual offender.

Sexual offender; failure to report and reregister; failure to respond to address verification.
                                    respond to address verification
        Section 8. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.

\section*{Page 51 of 51}


\section*{CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMITTEE MEETING}

Wednesday, January 25, 2006 9:30 a.m. - 12:00 p.m. ( 404 HOB )

\section*{AMENDMENT AND ADDENDUM PACKET}

Allan G. Bense
Speaker
Charr


Florida Department or Law Enforcement
Civil Workflow Control System (CWCS)
Fiorida Shared School Results (FSSR) System


DOE - FSU SCHOOLS


\section*{I
N
U}

HOUSE AMENDMENT EOR COUNCII/COMMITTEE PURPOSES
Amendment No. I
BIII No. 271
COUNCIL/COMMITTEE ACTION
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
ADOPTED & \(-(Y / N)\) \\
ADOPTED AS AMENDED & \(-(Y / N)\) \\
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION & \(-(Y / N)\) \\
EAILED TO ADOPT & \(-(Y / N)\) \\
WITHDRAWN & \(-(Y / N)\) \\
OTHER & -
\end{tabular}

Council/Commıttee hearıng bill: Crıminal Justıce Commiťee Represertative Kreegel offered the following:

\section*{Amendment (with title amendment)}

Remove lines 23-27 and insert. sentence of imprisonment, unless otherwise ordered by the court, such person shall remain in the department's custody pending disposition of the charge, or until the person's underlying sentence of imprisonment expires, whichever occurs earlier. If the arrested state prisoner's presence is required in court for any reason, the provisions of \(s .94417(8)\) shall appiy.
\(==============\mathrm{T}\) I T L E A M E N D M E N T \(==============\)
Remove Innes \(3-7\) and Insert.
amending s. 907.04, E.S., providing that arrestees in the custody of the Department of Corrections at the time of arrest be retained in the department's custody pending disposition of the charge or until the expiration of the arrestee's original sentence of imprisonment, providing for the provisions of \(s\). 944.17(8) to apply If an arrested state prisoner's presence is required in court; providing an effective date.
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Bill No
0297

\section*{COUNCIL/COMMITTEE ACTION}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
ADOPTED & \(-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})\) \\
ADOPTED AS AMENDED & \(-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})\) \\
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION & \(-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})\) \\
FAILED TO ADOPT & \(-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})\) \\
WITHDRAWN & \(-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})\) \\
OTHER & -
\end{tabular}

Councıl/Commıttee hearıng bıll Crımınal Justıce Commıttee Representatıve Harrell offered the following

\section*{Amendment (with title amendment)}

Remove everything after the enactıng clause and insert Section 1 Subsection (6) of sectıon 316 193, Florida Statutes, 1 s amended, paragraph (l) is added to that subsection, and subsection (13) is added to that section, to read

316193 Driving under the influence, penalties --
(6) With respect to any person convicted of a violation of this section subsecton (1), regareless of any penalty imposed pursuant to subsecton (2), subsection (3), or subsection (4)
(a) For the first conviction, the court shall place the defendant on probation for period not to exced 1 year and, as a condition of such probation, shall order the defendant to partıcıpate in public service or a communıty work project for a minimum of 50 hours, or the court may order instead, that any defendant pay an addıtıonal fine of \(\$ 10\) for each hour of public service or community work otherwise required, lf, after consideration of the residence or location of the defendant at the time public service or community work is required, payment 000000

Amendment No 1 (for drafter's use only) of the fine is in the best interests of the state Howeve, the total period of probation and incarceration may not exceed 1 yeax The court must also, as a condition of probation, order the impoundment or immobilızation of the vehıcle that was operated by or \(1 n\) the actual control of the defendant or any one vehıcle registered in the defendant's name at the time of ımpoundment or \(1 m m o b ı l ı z a t ı n\), for a perıod of 10 days or for the unexpired term of any lease or rental agreement that expires wıthın 10 days The 1 mpoundment or \(1 m m o b i l ı z a t i o n ~ m u s t ~ n o t ~ o c c u r ~\) concurrently with the incarceration of the defendant The
 accordance wıth paragraph (e), paragraph (f), paragraph (g), or paragraph (h)
(b) For the second conviction for an offense that occurs within a period of 5 years after the date of a prior conviction for violation of this section, the court shall order ımprisonment for not less than 10 days The court must also, as a condition of probation, order the impoundment or ımmobilızatıon of all vehicles owned by the defendant at the time of impoundment or immobilızation, for a period of 30 days or for the unexplred term of any lease or rental agreement that expires within 30 days The ımpoundment or immobılızation must not occur concurrently with the incarceration of the defendant and must occur concurrently with the driver's license revocation lmposed under s 322 28(2)(a)2 The \(1 m p o u n d m e n t\) or immobilızation order may be dismissed in accordance with paragraph (e), paragraph (f), paragraph (g), or paragraph (h) At least 48 hours of confinement must be consecutive
(c) For the third conviction for an offense that occurs within a period of 10 years after the date of a 000000

Amendment No 1 (for drafter's use only) prior conviction for violation of this section, the court shall order imprisonment for not less than 30 days The court must also, as a condition of probation, order the impoundment or immobilızation of all vehicles owned by the defendant at the tıme of impoundment or ımmobilızation, for a period of 90 days or for the unexplred term of any lease or rental agreement that explres within 90 days The impoundment or immobilızation shall not occur concurrently with the incarceration of the defendant and shall occur concurrently with the driver's lıcense revocation mposed under s 322 28(2)(a)3 The ımpoundment or immobilization order may be dismissed in accordance with paragraph (e), paragraph (f), paragraph (g), or paragraph (h) At least 48 hours of confinement must be consecutıve
(d) The court must at the time of sentencing the defendant issue an order for the impoundment or \(\quad\) mmobilızation of \(a\) vehicle Wıthin 7 business days after the date that the court
 the court must send notice by certified mall, return receipt requested, to the registered owner of each vehicle, if the registered owner is a person other than the defendant, and to each person of record claıming a lien against the vehıcle
(e) A person who owns but was not operating the vehicle when the offense occurred may submit to the court a polıce report indicating that the vehicle was stolen at the time of the offense or documentation of having purchased the vehicle after the offense was commtted from an entity other than the defendant or the defendant's agent If the court finds that the vehicle was stolen or that the sale was not made to circumvent the order and allow the defendant contınued access to the 000000

HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES
Amendment No 1 (for drafter's use only) vehicle, the order must be dismissed and the owner of the vehicle will incur no costs If the court denies the request to dismiss the order of impoundment or immobilızation, the petitioner may request an evidentiary hearing
(f) A person who owns but was not operating the vehicle when the offense occurred, and whose vehicle was stolen or who purchased the vehicle after the offense was committed directly from the defendant or the defendant's agent, may request an evidentiary hearing to determine whether the impoundment or immobilızation should occur If the court finds that either the vehıcle was stolen or the purchase was made without knowledge of the offense, that the purchaser had no relationship to the defendant other than through the transaction, and that such purchase would not carcumvent the order and allow the defendant continued access to the vehicle, the order must be dismissed and the owner of the vehicle will incur no costs
(g) The court shall also dismiss the order of impoundment or immobilızation of the vehicle if the court finds that the family of the owner of the vehicle has no other private or public means of transportation
(h) The court may also dismiss the order of ampoundment or immobilization of any vehicles that are owned by the defendant but that are operated solely by the employees of the defendant or any business owned by the defendant
(1) All costs and fees for the \(1 m p o u n d m e n t\) or ımmobilızation, including the cost of notification, must be paid by the owner of the vehicle or, lf the vehicle is leased or rented, by the person leasing or renting the vehicle, unless the impoundment or immobilızation order is dismissed All provisions of s 71378 shall apply

000000

Amendment No 1 (for drafter's use only)
(J) The person who owns a vehicle that is ımpounded or ımmobilızed under this paragraph, or a person who has a lıen of record against such a vehicle and who has not requested a review of the impoundment pursuant to paragraph (e), paragraph (f), or paragraph (g), may, within 10 days after the date that person has knowledge of the location of the vehicle, file a complaint in the county in which the owner resides to determine whether the vehicle was wrongfully taken or withheld from the owner or lienholder Upon the filing of a complaint, the owner or lıenholder may have the vehicle released by posting with the court a bond or other adequate security equal to the amount of the costs and fees for 1 mpoundment or immobilızation, including towing or storage, to ensure the payment of such costs and fees If the owner or lienholder does not prevall When the bond is posted and the fee is paid as set forth in s 2824 , the clerk of the court shall issue a certıficate releasing the vehicle At the time of release, after reasonable inspection, the owner or llenholder must glve a recelpt to the towng or storage company indicatıng any loss or damage to the vehicle or to the contents of the vehicle
(k) A defendant, in the court's discretion, may be required to serve all or any portion of a term of imprisonment to which the defendant has been sentenced pursuant to this section in a residential alcoholism treatment program or a residential drug abuse treatment program Any time spent in such a program must be credited by the court toward the term of imprisonment
(1) For the fourth or subsequent conviction under subparagraph (2)(b) 3 , the court shall order imprisonment for not less than 2 years There shall be no substitution of this 000000
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mınımum mandatory term of imprisonment with treatment
alternatuves However, the court may, with the consent of the state, order the defendant to serve a minımum mandatory sentence of 1 year of incarceration followed by a period of probation during which the defendant must attend and successfully complete a residential alcohol treatment program, a residential drug abuse treatment program, or be placed on community control The court must also, as a condition of probation, order the impoundment or immobilization of all vehicles owned by the defendant at the time of impoundment or immobilization for a period of 120 days or for the unexpired term of any lease or rental agreement that expires within 120 days The impoundment or immobilization shall not occur concurrently with the incarceration of the defendant and shall occur concurrently with the driver's license revocation imposed under s 32228 The impoundment or immobilization order may be dismissed in accordance with paragraph (e), paragraph (f), paragraph (g), or paragraph (h) At least 48 hours of confinement must be consecutive

For the purposes of this section, any conviction for a violation of s 327 35, a previous conviction for the violation of former s 316 1931, former s 860 01, or former \(s 316028\), or a previous conviction outside this state for driving under the influence, driving while intoxicated, driving with an unlawful blood-alcohol level, drıving with an unlawful breath-alcohol level, or any other similar alcohol-related or drug-related traffic offense, ls also consldered a previous conviction for violation of this section However, in satisfaction of the fine amposed pursuant to this section, the court may, upon a finding 000000
```
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that the defendant is financlally unable to pay either all or part of the fine, order that the defendant participate for a specified additional period of time in public service or a community work project in lleu of payment of that portion of the fine which the court determines the defendant is unable to pay In determınıng such additional sentence, the court shall consider the amount of the unpaid portion of the fine and the reasonable value of the services to be ordered, however, the court may not compute the reasonable value of services at a rate less than the federal mınımum wage at the tıme of sentencing (13) (a) Notwithstanding \(s 921\) 241, every judgment of gullty with respect to any offense governed by this section shall comply with this subsection Each fudgment shall be in writing, signed by the Judge, and recorded by the clerk of the circuit court The Judge shall cause to be affixed to every such written judgment of guilty, in open court and in the presence of such Judge, the fingerprints of the defendant against whom such Judgment is rendered Such fingerprints shall be affixed beneath the Judge's signature to any such Judgment Beneath such fingerprints shall be appended a certificate in substantially the following form
"I hereby certify that the above and foregoing fingerprints are of the defendant, (name), and that they were placed thereon by said defendant in my presence, in open court, this the day of (month)___ (year) "

Such certificate shall be signed by the judge, whose signature thereto shall be followed by the word "Judge "

000000
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(b) Any such written Judgment of guilty, or a certified copy thereof, shall be admissible in evidence in the courts of this state as prima facıe evidence that the fingerprints appearing thereon and certified by the Judge are the fingerprints of the defendant against whom such judgment of guilty was rendered
(c) At the tame the defendant's fingerprints are taken, the judge shall also cause the defendant's social security number to be taken The defendant's social security number shall be affixed to every written judgment of guilty, in open court, In the presence of such judge, and at the time the judgment is rendered If the defendant is unable or unwilling to provide his or her social security number, the reason for its absence shall be indicated on the written judgment

Section 2 Paragraphs (a) and (c) of subsection (6) of section 327 35, Florida Statutes, is amended, paragraphs (J) and (k) are added to that subsection, and subsection (11) is added to that section, to read

32735 Boatıng under the influence, penaltıes, "designated drıvers" --
(6) With respect to any person convicted of a violation of subsection (1), regardless of any other penalty imposed
(a) For the first conviction, the court shall place the defendant on probation for a period not to exceed 1 year and, as a condition of such probation, shall order the defendant to partıcıpate \(1 n\) publıc service or a community work project for a minimum of 50 hours The court must also, as a condition of
 that was operated by or in the actual control of the defendant or any one vehicle registered in the defendant's name at the 000000
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time of impoundment or immobilization, for a period of 10 days or for the unexpired term of any lease or rental agreement that expıres withın 10 days The ımpoundment or ammobilızation must not occur concurrently with the incarceration of the defendant The impoundment or immobilızation order may be dismıssed in accordance with paragraph (e) or paragraph (f) the potal period ef probation and incareexation nay not-exeec 1 year-
(c) For the third conviction for an offense that occurs within a period of 10 years after the date of a prior conviction for violation of this section, the court shall order imprisonment for not less than 30 days The court must also, as a condition of probation, order the impoundment or immobilızation of the vessel that was operated by or in the actual control of the defendant or any one vehicle registered in the defendant's name at the time of impoundment or ımmobilızatıon- for a perıod of 90 days or for the unexpired term of any lease or rental agreement that expires within 90 days The impoundment or immobilization shall must not occur concurrently with the incarceration of the defendant The ımpoundment or ımmobılızatıon order may be dismıssed in accordance with paragraph (e) or paragraph (f) At least 48 hours of confinement must be consecutive
(J) For the fourth or subsequent conviction under subparagraph (2) (b) 3 , the court shall order imprisonment for not less than 2 years There shall be no substitution of this minımum mandatory term of imprisonment with treatment alternatives However, the court may, with the consent of the state, order the defendant to serve a mınımum mandatory sentence of 1 year of incarceration followed by a perıod of probation during which the defendant must attend and successfully complete
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a residential alcohol treatment program, a residential drug abuse treatment program or be placed on community control The court must also, as a condition of probation, order the impoundment or immobilization of the vessel that was operated by or in the actual control of the defendant or any one vehicle registered in the defendant's name at the time of impoundment or immobilization for a period of 120 days or for the unexpired term of any lease or rental agreement that expires within 120 days The impoundment or immobilization shall not occur concurrently with the incarceration of the defendant The impoundment or immobilization order may be dismissed in accordance with paragraph (e) or paragraph (f) At least 48 hours of confinement must be consecutive
(k) A person who owns but was not operating the vessel when an offense under this section occurred may request an evidentlary hearing to determine whether the impoundment or immobilization should occur If the court finds that the owner was unaware of the defendant's prior conviction and sentence under paragraph (a), paragraph (b), paragraph (c), or paragraph (I) or if the court finds that there are other mitigating circumstances that should allow the owner of the vessel to secure the release of the vessel to the owner's possession, the court may do so by dismissing the order of impoundment or immobilization with or without cost to the vessel owner

For the purposes of this section, any conviction for a violation of \(s 316\) 193, a previous conviction for the violation of former s 316 1931, former s 860 01, or former s 316028 , or a previous conviction outside this state for driving under the influence, drıving while intoxicated, drıving with an unlawful
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blood-alcohol level, drıving with an unlawful breath-alcohol level, or any other similar alcohol-related or drug-related traffic offense, is also consıdered a previous conviction for violation of this section
(11)(a) Notwithstandings 921 241, every Judgment of guilty with respect to any offense governed by this section shall comply with this subsection Each Judgment shall be in writing, signed by the judge, and recorded by the clerk of the circuit court The judge shall cause to be affixed to every such written judgment of guilty, in open court and in the presence of such Judge, the fingerprints of the defendant against whom such Judgment is rendered Such fingerprints shall be affixed beneath the Judge's signature to any such judgment Beneath such fingerprints shall be appended a certificate in substantially the following form
"I hereby certify that the above and foregoing fingerprints are of the defendant, (name), and that they were placed thereon by said defendant in my presence, in open court, this the day of _(month) (year) "

Such certificate shall be signed by the judge, whose signature thereto shall be followed by the word "Judge "
(b) Any such written Judgment of guilty, or a certified copy thereof, shall be admissible in evidence in the courts of this state as prima facie evidence that the fingerprints appearing thereon and certified by the Judge are the fingerprints of the defendant against whom such Judgment of guilty was rendered
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(c) At the time the defendant's fingerprints are taken, the Judge shall also cause the defendant's social security number to be taken The defendant's social security number shall be affixed to every written judgment of guilty, in open court, in the presence of such judge, and at the time the Judgment is rendered. If the defendant is unable or unwilling to provide his or her social security number, the reason for its absence shall be indicated on the written judgment

Section 3 This act shall take effect October 1, 2006, and shall apply to offenses commıtted on or after that date
 Remove the entıre tıtle and insert

An act relating to driving and boating under the influence, amending s 316 193, E S , providing for applıcabılıty of sanctions, requirıng a specified perıod of imprisonment for a fourth or subsequent conviction of driving under the influence, prohibiting substitution of treatment alternatıves in certain clrcumstances, requiring ımpoundment or 1 mmobılızatıon of all vehıcles owned by the defendant for a specified perlod, providing for dismissal of an impoundment order, amending s 327 35, F S , requiring a specified period of imprisonment for a fourth or subsequent conviction of boating under the influence, prohibiting substitution of treatment alternatives in certain circumstances, requiring impoundment or immobilization of the vessel operated by or in the actual control of the defendant or any one vehıcle registered in the defendant's name at the time of impoundment or

HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES
Amendment No 1 (for drafter's use only) immobilızation for a specified period, providing for dismissal of an order of impoundment or immobilızation under certain circumstances upon request of an owner who was not operating the vessel, providing for dismissal of an impoundment order, providing applicability, providing an effective date

HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES
Amendment NO. 1 (for drafter's use only)
BIII NO 0339
COUNCIL/COMMITTEE ACTION
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
ADOPTED & \(-(Y / N)\) \\
ADOPTED AS AMENDED & \(-(Y / N)\) \\
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION & \(-(Y / N)\) \\
EATLED TO ADOPT & \(-(Y / N)\) \\
WITHDRAWN & \(-(Y / N)\) \\
OTHER & -
\end{tabular}

Councıl/Committee hearing bıll: Crimınal Justice Committee Representative Brandenburg offered the following

\section*{Amendment}

Remove lines 23-26 and insert
person's permanent addresst or for a person whose permanent residence is not in this state, a place where the person is employed, practices a vocation, or is enrolled as a student for any period of time in this state, or a place where the pexson```


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Section 812.012 , F.S. contains definitions of the terms "obtains or uses", "property". The section also defines the term "property of another" to mean "property in which a person has an interest upon which another person is not priviledged to infringe without consent, whether or not the other person also has an interest in the property."
    ${ }^{2}$ Section $812.014(2)(a)$, F.S., provides that an offender commits grand theft of the first degree and a first degree felony if:

    1. the property stolen is valued at $\$ 100,000$ or more; or
    2. the property stolen is cargo valued at $\$ 50,000$ or more that has entered the stream of interstate or intrastate commerce from the shipper's loading platform to the consignee's receiving dock; or
    3. the offender commits any grand theft and:
    a. In the course of committing the offense the offender uses a motor vehicle as an instrumentality, other than merely as a getaway vehicle, to assist in committing the offense and thereby damages the real property of another; or
    b. In the course of committing the offense the offender causes damage to the real or personal property of another in excess of $\$ 1,000$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Section $812.012(8)$, F.S. contains a definition of the term "traffic".
    ${ }^{4}$ s. 812.025 , F.S.
[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Additionally, section 943.04351 , F.S requires that "a state agency or governmental subdivision, prior to making any decision to appoint or employ a person to work, whether for compensation or as a volunteer, at any park, playground, day care center, or other place where children regularly congregate, must conduct a search of that person's name or other identifying information against the registration information regarding sexual predators and sexual offenders maintained by the Department of Law Enforcement".
    ${ }^{2}$ See ss. $1012.465(2)$ and 435.04, F.S.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Excluded are offenses contained in ss. $794.011(10)$ and 794.0235 , F.S. STORAGE NAME: pcb03.CRJU.doc

    1/18/2006

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ The term "conviction" means a determination of guilt that is the result of a plea or a trial, regardless of whether adjudication is withheld. See, e.g. s. 960.0011 , F.S.
    ${ }^{2}$ See ch. 2001-97, L.O.F.; ss. 925.11 and 943.3251 , F.S.
    ${ }^{3}$ The reasonable probability standard provides that the person would have been acquitted or received a lesser sentence if DNA testing was performed at the time of trial or at the time of the petition under the evolving forensic DNA testing technologies.

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ See s. 943.3251 , F.S.
    ${ }^{5}$ This is applicable solely in death penalty cases.
    ${ }_{7}^{6}$ Section 925.11(1)(b), F.S.
    ${ }^{7}$ Section 925.11 (4), F.S.
    ${ }^{8}$ See s. 925.11(4), F.S.
    ${ }^{9}$ Section 925.11 (4)(c), F.S., provides the conditions for early disposal of physical evidence. Any counsel of record, the prosecuting authority, and the Attorney General must receive notice prior to the disposition of evidence. Within 90 days after notification, if the notifying governmental entity does not receive either a copy of a petition for postconviction DNA testing or a request not to dispose of the evidence because of the filing of a petition, the evidence may be disposed of, unless some other provision of law or rule requires its preservation or retention.
    ${ }^{10}$ The order was issued August 5, 2005.
    STORAGE NAME: h0061b.CRJU.doc
    DATE:

[^5]:    ${ }^{11}$ Article V, section 4(b) of the Florida Constitution conveys a constitutional protection of this right. See Amendments to the Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, 696 So.2d 1103 (Fla. 1996).
    ${ }^{12} 758$ So.2d 661 (Fla. 2000).
    ${ }^{13} \mathrm{ld}$. at 664 (citing Amendments to the Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, supra, at 1104-1105).
    ${ }^{14}$ Section $924.06(3)$, F.S.
    ${ }^{15} 373$ So.2d 898 (Fla. 1979).
    ${ }_{17}^{16}$ Procedurally, collateral review is generally governed by FLA. R. CRIM. P. 3.850.
    ${ }^{17}$ The motion must be filed within two years of the finalization of the defendant's judgment and sentence unless the motion alleges that the facts on which the claim is based were unknown to the defendant and could not have been ascertained by the exercise of due diligence. See FLA. R. CRIM. P. 3.850(b).
    ${ }^{18}$ See FLA. R. CRIM. P. 3.850(d).
    ${ }^{19}$ In order to grant a new trial based on newly discovered evidence, the trial court must first find that the evidence was unknown and could not have been known at the time of trial through due diligence. In addition, the trial court must find that the evidence is of such a nature that it would probably produce an acquittal on retrial. See Jones v. State, 709 So.2d 512 (Fla. 1998); Torres-Arboleda v. Dugger, 636 So.2d 1321 (Fla. 1994).

[^6]:    ${ }^{20}$ See Adams v. State, 543 So.2d 1244 (Fla. 1989).
    ${ }^{21} 773$ So.2d 34 (Fla. 2000).
    ${ }^{22}$ Id. at 43. See also Ziegler v. State, 654 So.2d 1162 (Fla. 1995).
    ${ }^{23}$ Rules $3.850,3.851$ and 3.852 , FLA. R. CRIM. P., control state collateral postconviction proceedings. Unlike a direct appeal, a collateral postconviction proceeding raises claims that are "collateral" to what transpired in the trial court. Consequently, such postconviction proceedings usually involve three categories of claims: ineffective assistance of trial counsel; denial of due process by the prosecution's suppression of material, exculpatory evidence; and newly discovered evidence, for example, post-trial recantation by a principal witness. Since the consideration of these claims often require new fact-finding, collateral postconviction motions are filed in the trial court that sentenced the defendant to death. Appeals from the grant or denial of postconviction relief are to the Florida Supreme Court.
    ${ }^{24}$ This is a proceeding controlled by Title 28 U.S.C. § $2254(a)$. Federal habeas allows a defendant to petition a U.S. district court to review whether the conviction or sentence violates or was obtained in violation of federal law. Federal habeas is almost exclusively limited to consideration of claims previously asserted on direct appeal or in state postconviction proceedings.

[^7]:    ${ }^{26}$ Per the Florida Association of Court Clerks and Comptrollers (FACC), the clerk is required to preserve evidence in a criminal case "virtually forever-law requires clerks to hold evidence in a criminal case in the event there could potentially be an appeal....there are appeals even on death row." The clerks are fine with the suggested extended timeframes in the bill. Email from the FACC, October 11, 2005.
    ${ }^{27}$ FDLE Fiscal Analysis of HB 61 by Representative Quinones, October 26, 2005.
    STORAGE NAME: h0061b.CRJU.doc DATE: 1/9/2006

[^8]:    ${ }^{28}$ See Art. III, s. 1, Fla. Const.; Allen v. Buttenworth, 756 So.2d 52 (Fla. 2000); Johnson v. State, 336 So.2d 93 (Fla. 1976).
    ${ }^{29} / d$.
    ${ }^{30}$ In re Florida Rules of Criminal Procedure, 272 So.2d 65, 66 (Fla. 1973).
    ${ }^{31}$ See s. 925.11, F.S.; ch. 2001-197, L.O.F.
    ${ }^{32}$ See Amendment to Florida Rules of Criminal Procedure Creating Rule 3.853, 807 So.2d 633 (Fla. 2001).
    ${ }^{33}$ See Amendments to Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.853(d)(1)(A) (Postconviction DNA Testing), 857 So.2d 190 (Fla. 2003).

[^9]:    ${ }^{34}$ Justice Wells was joined by Justices Cantero and Bell. Comments of the Criminal Court Steering Committee, October 13, 2003, at 8 and 9 n.33, (citing Wells, J., dissenting in Amendments to Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.853(d)(1)(A)).
    ${ }^{35}$ See ch. 2004-67, L.O.F.
    ${ }^{36}$ See 884 So.2d 934.
    ${ }^{37}$ See Amendments to Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.853(D), SC05-1702 (September 29, 2005).
    ${ }^{38}$ Blankenship, G. "Bar supports permanent DNA reforms," The Florida Bar News, September 15, 2005.
    ${ }^{39} \mathrm{ld}$.
    ${ }^{40}$ Pudlow, J. "Momentum builds for extending DNA testing," The Florida Bar News, September 1, 2005.
    ${ }^{41}$ FDLE Analysis of HB 61, "Issues Related to FDLE," October 26, 2005.

[^10]:    This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.
    STORAGE NAME: h0271.CRJU.doc
    DATE:

[^11]:    ${ }^{8}$ Fla. R. Crim. Proc. 3.130, states that every arrested person must be taken before a judicial officer within 24 hours of arrest. Section $944.17(8)$, F.S., requires the sheriff to assume temporary custody of state prisoners whose presence is required in court and transport them to a county facility.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ s. 316.193(1), F.S.

[^13]:    ${ }^{9}$ s. 316.193(6)(k), F.S.
    ${ }^{10}$ See s. $316.193(6)(a)$, (b) and (c), F.S.
    ${ }^{11}$ See s. 316.193(6)(e),(f), (g) and (h), F.S.
    ${ }^{12}$ s. $322.28(2)($ a) 1, F.S.
    ${ }^{13}$ s. 322.28 (2)(a)2, F.S.
    ${ }^{14}$ s. $322.28(2)(a) 3$, F.S.
    ${ }^{15}$ s. $322.28(2)(\mathrm{e})$, F.S.

[^14]:    Notes: Analysis assumes that there will be a $50 \%$ increase in the incarceration rate for felony DUI ( 4 th or subsequent conviction), increasing from $41.4 \%$ to $62.1 \%$.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ s. 787.01, F.S. or s. 787.02, F.S.,
    ${ }^{2}$ See chapter 794. F.S.
    ${ }^{3}$ s. 800.04 , F.S.
    ${ }^{4}$ s. 847.0145 , F.S.
    ${ }^{5}$ s. 787.025 , F.S
    ${ }^{6}$ Excluded are offenses contained in ss. $794.011(10)$ and 794.0235 , F.S.
    ${ }^{7}$ s. 796.03 , F.S.
    ${ }^{8}$ s. $825.1025(2)$ (b), F.S.
    ${ }^{9}$ s. 827.071 , F.S
    ${ }^{10}$ Additionally, a person must be designated as a sexual predator if he or she committed one of the offenses listed in a. through $h$. above and has previously been convicted of the offense of selling or showing obscenity to a minor or using a computer to solicit sexual conduct of or with a minor [ss. 847.0133 or 847.0135 , F.S.]

[^16]:    ${ }^{11}$ s. 775.21(8), F.S.

