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I. Opening Remarks by Chair Flores
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V. Closing Comments / Meeting Adjourned


## Portal to Exceptional Education Resources

Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Sevices * Florida Department of Education

Bambi J. Lockman, Chief
Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services
House of Representatives Committee on K-12
Tuesday, February 5, 2008

## May - October 2005

- Bureau Activities
- Established the IEP Paperwork Reduction Taskforce
- Surveyed Florida districts regarding statewide IEP
- Identified components needed to streamline the IEP process and support full compliance
- Reviewed electronic IEP systems implemented in selected districts



## Related Legislation

- HB 281 (2005) School Districts/Paperwork Reduction
- HB 7087 (2006) created S. 1003.576, F.S., Individual Education Plans for Exceptional Students



## Related Legislation

- IDEA 2004 and its implementing regulations at 34 CFR 300.704(b)(4) - allow that "...Funds reserved under paragraph (b)(1) of this section also may be used...(iii) To support paperwork reduction activities, including expanding the use of technology in the IEP process..."


## May 2006

- Contract with Ohio State University Center for Special Needs Populations
- Professional support for national, regional, state, and local projects
- Expertise in information communications technology and computer networks
- Develop statewide Web-based ESE system
- Collaboration with a multi-district design team


## Project Goal

- Ensure management of a secure system for 67+ school districts, including
- Charter schools
- Juvenile Justice facilities
- Developmental research lab schools
- Florida School for the Deaf and the Blind
- Department of Corrections


## Project Goal

- Reduce paperwork and increase instructional time
- Support district efforts to ensure compliance with all federal and state requirements related to exceptional student education



## Portal to Exceptional Education Resources

## Project Goal

- Ensure timely transfer of data and the provision of services for transferring students
- Allow for more timely and efficient compliance monitoring and dispute resolution by DOE


## Portal to Exceptional Education Resources

## Bureau of Excepfional Education and Student Services - Fonda Deparment of Education

## System Design

- Uniform, consistent, reliable Web-based application for all districts
- Integrates with districts' existing student information systems to share student, teacher, and classroom data



## System Design

- Complies with the requirements of Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973
- Access to and use of electronic and information technology (EIT) by individuals with disabilities
- Administrative ability to update content in response to changes in rules and/or regulations


## Portal to Exceptional Education Resources

## System Design

- Allows districts to access transfer students' records at the time of enrollment
- Seamless provision of services
- Minimize paperwork burden


## Portal to Exceptional Education Resources

## System Design

- Implements a process approach designed to focus on individual student needs when developing IEPs, SPs, and EPs
- Discourages simply "filling out the forms"


## Portal to Exceptional Education Resources

System Design - Initial

- Parent Notification
- Eligibility/placement
- Individual Educational Plan (IEP) for students with disabilities
- IEP amendment / review
- Transition IEP (TIEP)
- Summary of Performance

System Design - Initial

- Services Plan (SP) for parentally-placed private school students
- Educational Plan (EP) for gifted students
- Prior Written Notice for Proposal or Refusal of Services
- Parent-Teacher input form
- Pre-populated progress reports


## Porthi to Excermonal Emuchion Resodrets


phrwe:


## External Links

- Intormational Sie.
- Sunshine Connections


## General Links

- Student Roster
- Student Dashborrd
- Documents and Forms


## IEP Links

- Parent Nolification
- Plan Information
- Special Considerations
m Gerieral Factors and Assessment Data
- Desired Post-School Outcomes
- Transfer or Rights
- Present Levels, Goals, and Objectives
- Special Education
m Related Services
- Supplemental Aids and Services
- Exterided School Year Services
- Classroom mocommedations
- Staff Supports
- Least Restrictive Enviorment
- Assessmente
- Metina Participants
- Prior Written Notice
- Access and inplenentation
- Ep Compliance Check


## Parent Notification



This is a Transition IEP.
Meeting Purpose:
$\square$ Initial IEP
图Annual Review
OIEP Amendment

- Part C to Part B Transition

目FBAPBIP
Reevaluation
Eligibility
$\square$ Manifestation Determination

## System Design - Next Steps

- Intervention/Prevention activities
- Response to Intervention
- Progress monitoring plans
- Section 504 Plans
- Referral
- Comprehensive evaluation
- Manifestation determination
- E-mail notification


## System Design - Next Steps

- Reevaluation
- Dismissal / Age out / Graduation
- Part C to B Transition
- Matrix of services
- Functional behavioral assessment
- Positive behavioral intervention plan


## System Design

- Accessible to DOE staff conducting compliance reviews and dispute investigations required under IDEA
- Enables the Bureau to provide targeted technical assistance and professional development


## Portaito Excertionai Enuchuton Resources



## 

## External Links

- Informational Site
- Sunshine Connections


## General Links

- Student Roster
- Student Dashboard
- Documents and Forms


## IEP Links

- Parent Notification
- Plan Information
- Special Considerations
- General Factors and Assessment Data
- Desired Post-School Outcomes
- Present Levels, Goals, and Objectives
- Special Education
- Related Services
- Supplemental Aids and Services
- Extended School Year Semices
- Classroom Accommodations
- Staff Supports
a Least Restrictive Emironment
- Assessments

Related Services

| Related Services 3 | Initiation Date $\text { ( } 3$ | Duration Date | Frequency | Location 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Audiology |  |  |  |  |
| If Other is selected, please describe: |  |  |  |  |
| If Transportation is selected, please describe: Transportation Form to forward to your transportation provider |  |  |  |  |
| Save Data |  |  |  |  |
| Related Services | Initiation Date | Duration Date | Frequency | Location |
| Audiology <br> edit I delete | 2112008 | $12114 / 2008$ | weekly | location |
| Other: other related service edit I delete | 5i5i2005 | 5152006 | montrily | gym |
| Transportation: transportatiọn service edit I delate | 1112005 | 1/1/2006 | twice | librany |

: PREVIOUS [Special Educatior] / NEXT [Supplemental Aids and Services]

- Meetina Particinants


## What related service does the student need?

## Definition

Transportation and such developmental, corrective, and other supportive services as are required to assist a student with a disability to benefit from special education.

## Profossional Development

OT and PT: The Considerations for Educationally Relewant therapy (CERT) for Occupational and Physical Therapy is a summary of educational considerations based upon a review of student records, evaluations, and other data by the occupational and/or physical therapist. It assists with recommendations for educationally relevant school-based therapy. It is not an evaluation or assessment instrument. The UT/PT along with the IEP team, determine the need for educationally relevant therapy based upon the student's IEP goals and objectives.

Medical Services refers to medical diagnostic processes that provide information needed to plan for the educational needs of the student.

## Compliance

Fla. Admin. Code Ann. R. 6A.6.03411(2): Provision on Specially Designed Instruction and Related Sevices. Specially designed instruction is required for each exceptional student...

## Profassional Development

## Portal to Exceptional Education Resources

## System Design

- Coordinates with Sunshine Connections to share existing student demographic data and assessment results
- Generates administrative management reports


## Portal to Exceptional Education Resources

## System Design

- Flexible Authorization System (FAS)
- "Builds" IEP/EP/SP teams
- Active Directory Administration Tool (ADAT)
- Controls access to the PEER application
- Allows districts to customize user access at the district and school levels



## External Links

- Informational Site
- Sunshine Connections


## General Links

- Sudent Roster
- Student Dashboard
- Documents and Forms


## 02007 Stait af Floida| Pixacy Accessibility $1 \times 2000$

Student Dashboard
There isiare 2 progress report(s) overdue.
There isiare 1 progress report(s) due in the next seven (7) days.
Student Dashboard John J. Rutledge Il 101441995 WEMAHTCHKAELEMENTARY SCHOOL 4

- Edit Student Information
- Create New IEP
- Progress Reports

Existing/Working Plans

| Plan Tye | Date CreatedUpdated | Plan Start Date | Created By | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IEP | 123020007 8:11:01 PM | 12912007 | SunshineLivel23RHARPER | Open |
| IEP | 12122007 10:59:31 AM | 12162007 | Testuser | Open |

## System Design

- Functions independently of computer platform, operating system, or browser type
- Small "footprint" ensures efficient operation of application, even during peak use or with lower bandwidth


## Portal to Exceptional Education Resources

## System Design

- Ownership of the software code will reside with FDOE and product will be owned by the state
- Servers for the system are housed at FDOE
- Provided to districts at no cost
- No data management fees
- No services fees
- No design costs assessed to districts


## Current Status

- Third-party security assessment was conducted in November 2007 to ensure the integrity and confidentiality of data
- 37 districts are preparing to launch the PEER application


## Portal to Exceptional Education Resources

## Current Status

- Data transfers are being coordinated with districts
- Professional development is tailored to individual districts
- Suite of training materials available to facilitate train-the-trainer or self-paced learning models



## Printed Manuals

Navigation Guide


## Portal to Exceptional Education Resources

- For additional information, please contact:

Bambi J. Lockman, Chief<br>Bambi.Lockman@fidoe.org

Kim Komisar, Program Director Kim.Komisar@fldoe.org

850-245-0476


## Introductions

Jim Marshall, Chief Executive Officer
Gerry Stefhon, VP Educational Solutions
Jodie Butler, VP Government Relations

## Spectrum K12 At A Glance

> A company founded in 1994
> Exclusive focus on exceptional education and at-risk student solutions
> 160+ employees
» Educators, education directors, administrators
» Parents of special needs children
> Serving more than 5 million students in 29 states
> Over 950 years combined education experience
> Simple, comprehensive, integrated, affordable...
» ...and so powerful US Patent Office granted patent
> Strong partnership with MGT here in town
» JoAnn Cox
» Kathy Brooks


## What could a Proven IEP System mean for Florida?

> IEP meeting duration reduced by 57\%
> IEP meeting frequency reduced by $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$
> Compliance assurance exceeds 95\%
> IEP form preparation reduced from 1.5 hours to $\mathbf{3 0}$ minutes
> Improving Medicaid reimbursement rates
> Increasing Medicaid verification accuracy

## Actual Customer Data


Answers!

HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES
Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)
Bill No. HB 185
COUNCIL/COMMITTEE ACTION

| ADOPTED | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| ADOPTED AS AMENDED | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| FAILED TO ADOPT | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| WITHDRAWN | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| OTHER | - |

Council/Committee hearing bill: K-12
Representative(s) Heller offered the following:

## Amendment

Remove line(s) 22 and insert:
than 5 days after the first day of each school year or 5 days
h0185_Heller_Amendment 01.doc

Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)
Bill No. HB 185
COUNCIL/COMMITTEE ACTION

| ADOPTED | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| ADOPTED AS AMENDED | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| FAILED TO ADOPT | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| WITHDRAWN | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| OTHER | - |

Council/Committee hearing bill: K-12
Representative(s) Heller offered the following:

## Amendment

Remove line(s) 39-40 and insert: school district to add an additional class to the multiple birth siblings' school.

Page 1 of 1
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Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)
Bill No. HB 185
COUNCIL/COMMITTEE ACTION

| ADOPTED | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| ADOPTED AS AMENDED | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| FAILED TO ADOPT | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| WITHDRAWN | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| OTHER | - |

Council/Committee hearing bill: K-12
Representative(s) Heller offered the following:

## Amendment

Remove line(s) 49 and insert:
placement of multiple birth siblings under paragraph (a) in the manner provided by

CODING: Words striek are deletions; words underlined are additions.
professional educational advice to assist the parent with the decision regarding appropriate classroom placement.
(3) Except as provided in subsection (4) or subsection (5), a school shall provide the multiple birth siblings with the classroom placement requested by the parent.
(4) (a) A school is not required to place multiple birth siblings in the same classroom if factual evidence of performance shows proof that the multiple birth siblings should be separated.
(b) A school is not required to place multiple birth siblings in separate classrooms if the request would require the school district to add an additional class to the grade level of the multiple birth siblings.
(5) (a) At the end of the first grading period following the multiple birth siblings' enrollment in the school, if the principal of the school, in consultation with the teacher of each classroom in which the multiple birth siblings are placed, determines that the requested classroom placement is disruptive to the school, the principal may determine the appropriate classroom placement for the siblings.
(b) A parent may appeal the principal's classroom placement of multiple birth siblings in the manner provided by school district policy. During an appeal, the multiple birth siblings shall remain in the classroom chosen by the parent.
(6) This section does not affect:
(a) A right or obligation under s. 1003.57 or under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 20 U.S.C. ss. 1400

Page 2 of 3
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55 et seq., regarding the individual placement decisions of the school district; or
(b) The right of a school district, principal, or teacher to remove a student from a classroom pursuant to school district student discipline policies.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2008.

IDEN.ISIM. BILLS: SB 642

| REFERENCE | ACTION | ANALYST STAFF DIRECTOR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1) Committee on K-12 |  | Gillespie | - Ahearn sait |
| 2) Schools \& Learning Council |  |  | V |
| 3) |  |  |  |
| 4) |  |  |  |
| 5) |  | $\sim$ |  |

## SUMMARY ANALYSIS

House Bill 185 allows the parents of multiple birth siblings assigned to the same grade level and school to request that the school place the siblings in the same classroom or in separate classrooms. The bill applies to monzygotic (identical) twins, dizygotic (fraternal) twins, and higher order multiplies (triplets, quadruplets, quintuplets, etc.). The bill requires the school to place the multiple siblings as requested by the parents, except if:

- Factual evidence of performance shows that the siblings should be separated;
- The request would require the school district to add an additional class to the siblings' grade level; or
- After the first grading period following multiple birth siblings' enrollment, the principal, in consultation with the teacher of each affected classroom, determines that the requested placement is disruptive to the school. (The bill also allows a parent to appeal the principal's determination according to school district policy).

A parent's requested classroom placement must be submitted in writing and made at least 5 days before the first day of each school year (or 5 days after the first day of attendance for multiple birth siblings enrolling after the first day of school).

The twin birth rate rose steadily for a total increase of 42 percent since 1990, and 70 percent since 1980 . The rate of triplet and other higher order multiple births climbed by more than 400 percent during the 1980 s and 1990s, peaking at 193.5 multiple births per 100,000 births in 1998. Today, one child in 33 is now a twin or higher order multiple.

The bill does not affect the classroom placement of students with disabilities or the disciplinary authority of schools to remove a student from a classroom for misbehavior.

The Florida Department of Education (DOE) has identified several challenges to the bill's implementation, which also create the potential for an indeterminate fiscal impact (see II. FISCAL IMPACT \& ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT). DOE has also identified a drafting issue (see III. COMMENTS).

[^0]
## FULL ANALYSIS

## I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

## A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Safeguard Individual Liberty: The bill requires a school district to grant a parent's request, except under certain circumstances, that their multiple birth siblings be placed in the same classroom or in separate classrooms.

Empower Families: The bill requires a school district to grant a parent's request, except under certain circumstances, that their multiple birth siblings be placed in the same classroom or in separate classrooms.
B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

## Present Situation:

## Twins and Higher Order Multiple Births

There are two types of twins: monozygotic (identical), one-egg, twins; and dizygotic (fraternal), two-egg twins. Identical twins are genetically alike, having the same chromosomes and remarkable physical similarities. ${ }^{1}$ Identical twins have the same gender, blood type, and hair and eye colors. ${ }^{2}$

Fraternal twins are not necessarily more alike than two siblings born to the same mother and father. The difference between fraternal twins and non-twin siblings is that twins share the same intrauterine environment and are the same age. Fraternal twins may be of the same or opposite genders. ${ }^{3}$

Three or more births to the same mother during the same pregnancy are known as higher order multiples or "supertwins." ${ }^{4}$ Higher order multiples include, for example, triplets (three multiple births), quadruplets (four multiple births), and quintuplets (five multiple births). Higher order multiples can be all identical, all fraternal, or a mix of identical and fraternal twins. ${ }^{5}$

## Research on Classroom Placement of Multiple Births

A 1999 survey by the National Organization for Mothers of Twins Clubs (NOMOTC) found that, nationally, approximately 43 percent of teachers and school principals believed in a policy of separating all multiples in school, ${ }^{6}$ although NOMOTC asserted that, in 2000, there was no substantial evidence to support a policy that multiples must be placed in separate classrooms in order for them to grow and develop as individuals. ${ }^{7}$

In January 2004, the National Association of Elementary School Principals reported that the National Association of School Psychologists:

- Found that research was ambivalent as to whether twins should be separated or kept together in school; and

[^1]- Urged that schools maintain a flexible perspective and consult with parents to determine when and if the separation of twins is desirable or unfavorable. ${ }^{8}$

In April 2004, researcher Lucy A. Tully (London, U.K.) and colleagues (London, U.K., and Madison, Wisconsin), found that twins separated in the first year of school (age 5) had more internalizing problems (e.g., depression, anxiety, and social withdrawal) than twins not separated. ${ }^{9}$ For identical twins, these problems increased following the first year of separation and persisted through age 7. Tully's team also found that later-separated (age 7) identical twins had poorer reading abilities after separation than non-separated twins. Tully's team found, however, that there were no significant differences among separated and non-separated twins in externalizing behavior (e.g., defying teachers, being aggressive toward others, failing to comply with teacher directions, and arguing). Finally, Tully's team also found that fraternal twins separated after the first year of school were rated as working harder compared to fraternal twins not separated. In sum, Tully and colleagues concluded that their findings demonstrate that school-wide practices, especially those encouraging the separation of twins, have the potential to lead to adjustment problems for at least some children. Their findings did not, however, support the implementation of policies that keep all twins together in school. They concluded that schools should adopt a more flexible, family-focused approach that takes into account the characteristics and experiences of each twin as well as the views of the parents.

By comparison, in August 2005, researcher Marieke van Leeuwen and colleagues (Amsterdam, the Netherlands) found that, in the long term, there are no significant differences in academic achievement or problemmatic behavior between separated and non-separated twins. ${ }^{10}$ Accordingly, van Leeuwen's team concluded that it makes no difference whether twins are separated or not. They also concluded that it still seems sensible for the decision about classroom separation to be based on what parents think is best for their twins and for themselves.

In 2006, researchers David A. Hay (Perth, Australia) and Pat Preedy (Hampshire, U.K.) identified several reasons for separating or not separating multiples: ${ }^{11}$

## General reasons for putting multiples in separate $\mid$ General reasons for keeping multiples together in classes: the same class:

- The children are able to operate as individuals within the class situation;
- The teacher is more likely to compare the multiple child against the peer group instead of his or her co-multiple(s);
- The multiple birth child is able to operate without his or her co-multiple telling, particularly if he or she is in trouble;
- The multiple birth child has an opportunity to make friends and socialize as an individual.
- Multiple birth children may need the support of each other particularly if they have not experienced separation prior to school; even if multiple birth children are comfortable when separated, they may need to be able to check up on what the other is doing;
- If one child is dominant, the dominant child may lose confidence as he or she no longer has his or her co-multiple(s) to organize;
- The children may be compared more at home particularly if the teachers are very different and one child appears to be making more progress;
- The teachers are less likely to understand how the children operate as multiples, e.g., being upset if one is ill or in trouble.

[^2]Hay and Preedy also identified certain circumstances when multiple birth children are likely to benefit from separation: ${ }^{12}$

## Multiple birth children are likely to benefit from separation when:

- One child is markedly more able than the other;
- One child perceives himself or herself as failing;
- There is markedly similar progress with one child leveling up or down so that they can keep together;
- There is disruptive behavior where multiples form a "fatal combination";
- One or both children are dependent, unable to mix or relate with other children;
- There is intense competitiveness so that the child's main goal is to keep up with or beat their co-multiple(s);
- One or both children polarize (go to opposite extremes);
- There is lack of privacy where one multiple birth child constantly reports to parents about the activities and progress of the other.

Hay and Preedy expressed concern that the Minnesota legislature passed a 2005 law allowing parents to be the ones to ultimately decide if twins or higher multiples should be in the same class because the law "fail[ed] to take into account the many issues in deciding whether or not to separate." ${ }^{13}$ They warn that putting multiple birth children into separate classes requires careful consideration and consultation with parents because most multiple birth children have had little or no experience of separation before starting school. ${ }^{14}$

Pat Preedy has established a checklist and questionnaire that provides a framework for parents and teachers when discussing the separation of multiple birth children in school. ${ }^{15}$ The checklist considers the following:

- Dependence/independence of the multiples;
- Social skills and dependence upon adults;
- Previous experience of being apart;
- Language and abilities relative to each other and to their peer group;
- Behavior at preschool or at school;
- Whether one multiple dominates (e.g., when reading or telling about events), takes turns dominating, or none of the multiples dominate;
- In social situations, whether one multiple always hangs back;
- Interests at home, in sports, and at school;
- Needs for intervention (speech and language therapy, physiotherapy, etc.);
- Same or different friends;
- Whether twins are identical or fraternal and their genders. Generally girls are closer than boys and identical twins are closer than fraternal twins, but there are many exceptions;
- Physical development, i.e., whether the multiples are much smaller (or larger) than most other children;
- Age of the multiples, e.g., whether it is time for multiples to try a new experience;
- Feelings of teachers and parents;
- Views of any other professionals observing the multiples; and

[^3]STORAGE NAME: h0185a.KT.doc
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- Wishes of the multiples, especially when they differ from each other or from the wishes of adults. ${ }^{16}$


## National Birth Statistics on Multiple Births

Hay and Preedy observe that there has been a significant increase in the number of twins and higher multiples so that one child in 33 is now a multiple. Accordingly, it is not unusual for schools to have several sets of twins, as well as triplets, and even higher multiples. ${ }^{17}$

According to the federal Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the twin birth rate in 2005 (most recent available data) was 32.2 twins per 1,000 total births. ${ }^{18}$ The twin birth rate rose steadily (approximately 3 percent per year between 1990 and 2004) for a total increase of 42 percent since 1990, and 70 percent since 1980. The 2005 rate of triplet and other higher order multiple births was 161.8 multiple births per 100,000 total births. The rate of triplet and other higher order multiple births climbed by more than 400 percent during the 1980s and 1990s, peaking at 193.5 multiple births per 100,000 births in 1998. According to the CDC, two related trends have been closely associated with the rise in multiple births over the last two decades; the older age at childbearing (women in their thirties are more likely than younger women to conceive multiples spontaneously) and the widening use of fertility therapies, including assisted reproductive technologies (eggs and sperm are handled in the laboratory-e.g., in vitro fertilization) and other therapies (e.g., ovulation-inducing drugs and artificial insemination). ${ }^{19}$

## Numbers of Twin, Triplet, Quadruplet, and Other Higher Order Multiple Births: United States, 1990, 1995-2005



SOURCE: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2007). ${ }^{20}$

## Florida Policies on Multiple Birth Classroom Placements and Classroom Management

According to the Florida Department of Education (DOE), "[n]o evidence was found that any of Florida's local school boards had policies in place that would prohibit twins and other multiple births from being placed in the same classroom."21 DOE explained that "[s]ome school districts, such as Miami-Dade County Public Schools, allow all children who are part of a multiple birth to enroll in a school choice program when one of them is selected through the random selection process." ${ }^{122}$

[^4]Florida law allows a teacher to remove disobedient, violent, abusive, uncontrollable, or disruptive students from the classroom. ${ }^{23}$ If a teacher removes a student from the classroom, the principal may place the student in another appropriate classroom, in in-school suspension, or in a dropout prevention and academic intervention program. ${ }^{24}$ The principal may also recommend the student for out-of-school suspension or expulsion, as appropriate.

## Class-Size Reduction

In 2002, the voters of Florida approved an amendment to the State Constitution requiring the reduction of class sizes by the 2010 school year so that the maximum number of students per public school classroom assigned to a teacher is: ${ }^{25}$

- Eighteen students for prekindergarten through third grade;
- $\quad$ Twenty-two students for grades 4 through 8; and
- $\quad$ Twenty-five students for grades 9 through 12.

The constitutional amendment requires the Legislature, beginning with the 2003-2004 fiscal year, to provide funds for reducing the average number of students in each classroom by at least two students per year until reaching the maximum class sizes. ${ }^{26}$

To implement the constitutional amendment, the Legislature required a school district that did not comply with the maximum class sizes to reduce its average number of students per classroom ${ }^{27}$ by at least two students per year. The Legislature also specified how the averages are calculated:

- For fiscal years 2003-2004 through 2005-2006, the average number of students per classroom was calculated at the district level.
- For fiscal years 2006-2007 through 2007-2008, the average is calculated at the school level.
- For fiscal year 2008-2009 and thereafter, the average is calculated at the individual classroom level. ${ }^{28}$

Thus, for fiscal years 2003-2004 through 2005-2006, a school district that did not meet the maximum class sizes for its classrooms was required to reduce the district's average number of students per classroom by two students. A school district was permitted to have a school whose average class size was not reduced as long as the district's average showed an overall reduction by two students.

For fiscal years 2006-2007 and 2007-2008, a public school (including a charter school) that does not meet the maximum class sizes for its classrooms is required to reduce the school's average number of students per classroom by two students. A school is permitted to have individual classrooms that are not reduced as long as the school's average shows an overall reduction by two students.

Beginning in fiscal year 2008-2009, an individual classroom that does not meet the maximum class size must be reduced by two students to meet the maximum class size.

[^5]
## Proposed Changes:

The bill allows the parents of multiple birth siblings assigned to the same grade level and school to request that the school place the siblings in the same classroom or in separate classrooms. The bill applies to monzygotic (identical) twins, dizygotic (fraternal) twins, and higher order multiplies (triplets, quadruplets, quintuplets, etc.). The bill requires the school to place multiple siblings as requested by the parents, except if:

- Factual evidence of performance shows that the siblings should be separated;
- The request would require the school district to add an additional class to the siblings' grade level; or
- After the first grading period following the siblings' enrollment, the principal, in consultation with the teacher of each affected classroom, determines that the requested placement is disruptive to the school.

The bill allows a parent to appeal the principal's classroom placement of multiple birth siblings. Appeals are conducted in the manner provided by school district policy. The bill directs that, during an appeal, the multiple birth siblings remain in the classroom chosen by the parent. As drafted, the bill appears to limit a parent's opportunity to appeal the principal's classroom placement to instances in which the principal determines that the requested placement is disruptive to the school. The bill does not appear to allow a parent to appeal a classroom placement based on the siblings' performance or the need to add an additional class.

A parent's requested classroom placement must be submitted in writing and made at least 5 days before the first day of each school year (or 5 days after the first day of attendance for multiple birth siblings enrolling after the first day of school). The bill authorizes a school to recommend to parents the appropriate classroom placement for multiple birth siblings. The school may provide professional educational advice to assist parents in deciding the appropriate classroom placement.

The bill specifies that it does not affect a right or obligation under Florida law or the federal Individuals with Disabilities Act regarding the individual placement of exceptional students (i.e., students with disabilities). ${ }^{29}$ The bill also declares that it does not affect the authority of a school district, principal, or teacher to remove a student from a classroom in accordance with the district's discipline policies.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2008.

## C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Creates s. 1003.61, F.S., which allows the parents of multiple birth siblings assigned to the same grade level and school to request that the school place the siblings in the same classroom or in separate classrooms.

Section 2. Provides an effective date of July 1, 2008.

## II. FISCAL ANALYSIS \& ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

## A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.
2. Expenditures:

None.

[^6]B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.
2. Expenditures:

None.
C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.
D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

According to DOE, "compliance with the class size amendment, scheduling for limited student enrollment courses, and scheduling of small schools will create a fiscal impact of an amount that cannot be calculated at this time. ${ }^{n 30}$ DOE explains:

At small elementary schools with large numbers of multiple birth siblings, it may be difficult to accommodate parents' requests to place siblings in separate classrooms, particularly when there may only be one classroom teacher per grade level at the school. Even at larger schools, a principal may not know which students are twins or multiple births and may need to change student schedules the week before school starts to accommodate parents' requests. ${ }^{31}$

The bill poses two challenges for the schools, particularly at the secondary level, where students attend multiple classes during the school year. First, Florida's implementation of the class size amendment (CSA) will be based on the number of students in individual classrooms offering core-curricula courses beginning in the 2008-2009 school year. Second, for highly rigorous courses with limited student enrollment, such as AP Calculus or IB History of the Americas, it may be difficult for a school to accommodate both a student's scheduling preferences and a parent's request that siblings be placed in separate classrooms. ${ }^{32}$

## III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable. The bill does not appear to require a county or municipality to spend funds or take an action requiring expenditures; reduce the authority that counties and municipalities had as of February 1, 1989, to raise revenues in the aggregate; or reduce the percentage of a state tax shared in the aggregate with counties and municipalities as of February 1, 1989.
2. Other:

None.

[^7]B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.
C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

DOE recommends that the bill be clarified to address the difference in schedules at elementary schools (grades) and secondary schools (subjects). ${ }^{33}$ For example, a high school class may enroll students in both grades 11 and 12. The bill currently specifies that a school is not required to place multiple birth siblings in separate classrooms if the request requires the school district to add an additional class to the "grade level." The department recommends that the bill specify that a school is not required to place multiple birth siblings in separate classrooms if the request requires the school district to add an additional class to the "school. ${ }^{34}$
D. STATEMENT OF THE SPONSOR

Representative Heller submitted the following sponsor statement:
The Florida DOE is focusing on the parents "separating" their multiple birth children (MBC) in the school and its undetermined impact. When in reality, this bill receives countless communications from parents who are being denied by the local schools their request to have their MBC placed together. Most parents of MBC are thinking about their children's placement upon entering preschool. Parents are told by the preschools that the elementary school will separate their children; so they should prepare for this inevitability.

We agree with the assertion that there is no policy mandating separation of MBC entering school. However, our experiences are different. We are told by administrators that separating our MBC is what is best for them. Many parents have tried sharing the research mentioned in the bill analysis with these administrators but to no avail. They then turn to the school superintendent for an intervention on their behalf. Often, superintendents will not override decisions made by the principal in charge.

The quote on page 4 of the analysis (see [text accompanying] footnote 14) was incomplete regarding the Hay and Preedy's concerns over the Minnesota Law. Listed below is the unabridged text from Hay and Preedy's "Meeting the Educational Needs of Multiple Birth Children":

The fact that the Minnesota State legislature found it necessary in 2005 to pass a law that parents should be the ones to ultimately decide if twins or higher multiples should be in the same class is both progressive but a concern, both because of the need for such a ruling and also because it fails to take into account the many issues in deciding whether or not to separate.

There is a framework school policy available at www.twinsandmultiples.org, which guides schools to have a flexible approach, assessing and meeting the needs of the children as individuals, while also taking into account the special multiple relationship. The key message is that with understanding and where

[^8]necessary support, multiple birth children can make good progress in school enjoying and celebrating the fact that they are twins or higher multiples. ${ }^{35}$

As the research quoted in the analysis states, a flexible policy with parental input is best. Since parents are not feeling their input is valued and heard at the local school level, this bill is advocating this bill so that they will be heard and their choice granted. One size does not fit all. That is why the bill language includes both options. Parents know what is best for their children's emotional, psychological, social and educational needs. This bill will safeguard individual liberty and most importantly empower families to do what is best for their children.

## IV. AMENDMENTS/COUNCIL SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

[^9]HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES
Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)
Bill No. HB 251
COUNCIL/COMMITTEE ACTION

| ADOPTED | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| ADOPTED AS AMENDED | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| FAILED TO ADOPT | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| WITHDRAWN | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| OTHER | - |

Council/Committee hearing bill: K-12
Representative(s) Jordan offered the following:

## Amendment (with title amendment)

Remove everything after the enacting clause and insert:

Section 1. Section 1003.451, Florida Statutes, is created to read:
1003.451 Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps; military recruiters; access to public school campuses.--
(1) A school district may not ban any branch of the United States Armed Forces or the United States Department of Homeland Security from establishing, maintaining, or operating a unit of the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps at a public high school in the district.
(2) (a) A school district shall allow a student attending a public high school in the district to enroll in the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps at another public high school in the district unless:

1. The student's school offers the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps for any branch of the United States Armed Forces or United States Department of Homeland Security;
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Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)
2. The student does not meet the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps' minimum enrollment qualifications; or
3. Scheduling of the student's courses of study do not allow the student to attend the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps at another public high school in the district.
(b) This subsection does not require a school district to provide transportation for a student to attend the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps at another public high school in the district.
(3) (a) A school district shall, as required in 20 U.S.C. s. $7908(a)(3)$, grant military recruiters of the United States Armed Forces and United States Department of Homeland Security the same access to secondary school students, and to school facilities and grounds, that the district grants to postsecondary educational institutions or prospective employers of students.
(b) A school district shall, as required in 20 U.S.C. s. $7908(a)(1)$, grant military recruiters access to the names, addresses, and telephone listings of secondary school students, except, the district shall comply with a student's or parent's request under 20 U.S.C. s. $7908(\mathrm{a})(2)$ or s. $1022.22(3)(\mathrm{d})$ not to release the student's information without prior written parental consent.
(4) The State Board of Education shall enforce this section under s. 1008.32.
(5) The State Board of Education may adopt rules under ss. 120.536(1) and 120.54 to administer this section.

Section 2. Section 1004.09, Florida Statutes, is created to read:
h0251_Jordan_Amendment 01.doc

HOUSE AMENDMENT FOR COUNCIL/COMMITTEE PURPOSES
Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)
1004.09 Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps; military recruiters; access to community college and state university campuses.--
(1) A community college or state university may not ban, to the extent prohibited in 10 U.S.C. s. $983(\mathrm{a})(1)$, any branch of the United States Armed Forces from establishing, maintaining, or operating a unit of the Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps at the college or university.
(2) (a) A community college or state university shall, to the extent required in 10 U.S.C. s. $983(\mathrm{~b})(1)$, grant military recruiters of the United States Armed Forces and United States Department of Homeland Security the same access to the college's or university's students, and to campus facilities and grounds, that the college or university grants to other employers.
(b) A community college or state university shall, to the extent required in 10 U.S.C. s. $983(\mathrm{~b})(2)$, grant military recruiters access to the names, addresses, telephone listings, dates and places of birth, levels of education, academic majors, degrees received, and most recent educational institutions enrolled in by the college's or university's students.

Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2008.

TITLEAMENDMNT
Remove the entire title and insert:

A bill to be entitled
An act relating to Reserve Officers' Training Corps
programs; creating s. 1003.451, F.S.; prohibiting a school district from banning a Junior Reserve Officers' Training
h0251_Jordan_Amendment 01.doc

Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

Corps unit in certain schools; requiring a school district to allow a student, under certain circumstances, to enroll in the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps at another school; specifying that a school district is not required to provide transportation for a student enrolling in the Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps at another school; requiring a school district to grant military recruiters certain access to students, school facilities and grounds, and certain student information; providing for enforcement; providing for the adoption of rules by the State Board of Education; creating s. 1004.009, F.S.; prohibiting a community college or state university from banning, to the extent prohibited by federal law, a Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps unit; requiring a community college or state university, to the extent required by federal law, grant military recruiters certain access to students, campus facilities and grounds, and certain student information; providing an effective date.

> A bill to be entitled
> An act relating to public secondary schools; creating s. 1003.451, F.S.; prohibiting any school district or public secondary school from prohibiting ROTC units on campus, preventing a student from enrolling in an ROTC unit at another educational institution, or preventing military recruiters from having certain access to students; providing for enforcement; providing for the adoption of rules by the State Board of Education; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 1003.451, Florida Statutes, is created to read:
1003.451 Prohibiting school districts or schools from preventing ROTC access to campus; providing for enforcement by the State Board of Education.--
(1) A school district or public secondary school may not have a policy or practice that prohibits or in effect prevents:
(a) The maintaining, establishing, or operation of a unit of the Reserve Officer Training Corps of any branch of the United States Armed Forces at the school;
(b) A student at the school from enrolling in a unit of the Reserve Officer Training Corps at another educational institution; or
(c) Military recruiters from having the same access to the school's students as is provided by the school to postsecondary
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educational institutions or to prospective employers of those students.
(2) The State Board of Education shall enforce this section pursuant to s. 1008.32.
(3) The State Board of Education shall adopt rules to administer this section.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2008.

BILL \#:
HB 251
Public Secondary Schools
SPONSOR(S): Jordan
TIED BILLS:
IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 574

REFERENCE


## SUMMARY ANALYSIS

House Bill 251 prohibits a school district or public secondary (grades 6-12) school from preventing a Reserve Officer Training Corps (ROTC) unit from operating at the school, preventing students from enrolling in a ROTC unit at another educational institution, or denying military recruiters the same access to students which is given to postsecondary educational institutions and prospective employers.

The bill directs the State Board of Education to adopt rules and enforce the bill's provisions.
The bill raises several drafting issues (see III. COMMENTS).

## FULL ANALYSIS

## I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

## A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Safeguard Individual Liberty: The bill prohibits school districts and public secondary schools from preventing the operation of ROTC units or from preventing students from enrolling in a ROTC unit at another educational institution.

Empower Families: The bill prohibits school districts and public secondary schools from preventing students from enrolling in a ROTC unit at another educational institution.
B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Present Situation:

## Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps

The U.S. Congress authorizes each military department to establish Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) units at public and private secondary educational institutions that apply to the respective military department and meet federal standards and criteria. ${ }^{1}$ The purpose of JROTC is "to instill in students ... the values of citizenship, service to the United States, and personal responsibility and a sense of accomplishment. ${ }^{22}$ The U.S. Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force have each established JROTC programs. In addition, the first U.S. Coast Guard JROTC was established in 1991 at the Maritime and Science Technology Academy (MAST Academy) in Miami, Florida.


The U.S. Department of Defense has issued instructions for JROTC units. ${ }^{3}$ The instructions specify that, to enroll in a JROTC unit, students shall:

- Be selected by the JROTC instructor with the approval of the school principal or his or her representative;
- Maintain acceptable standards of academic achievement and an academic standing that warrants at least normal progression leading to graduation;
- Maintain acceptable standards of conduct as defined by the military department;
- Be in grade 8 or above, with certain exceptions; and

[^10]- Meet other qualifying participation criteria prescribed by the military department (for example, the U.S. Army JROTC requires participating students to be physically able to participate in the JROTC's physical education program. ${ }^{4}$ )

The JROTC instructions also specify that students with disabilities who have an Individualized Education Plan (IEP) or Section 504 Accommodation Plan may enroll in a JROTC unit, even if the students are otherwise ineligible under these enrollment requirements. ${ }^{5}$

According to the Florida Department of Education (DOE), there are currently six ROTC Major Areas of Interest and 28 ROTC courses in the state's Course Code Directory for high schools to offer (9 for Air Force, 4 for Army, 4 for Navy, 4 for Marine Corps, and 7 for Coast Guard). Based on attendance data from the 2006-2007 school year, DOE reports that there were 31,590 students enrolled in ROTC classes in 286 schools in almost all districts throughout the state. The school districts not offering ROTC courses included Calhoun, Franklin, Glades, Hendry, Jackson, Lafayette, and Madison counties. In the 2006-2007 school year, there were no high school students who were dual enrolled in a community college ROTC course. ${ }^{6}$

Florida law allows students who complete 2 years in a ROTC class to simultaneously satisfy the onecredit graduation requirement in physical education and the one-credit graduation requirement in performing arts (total of two credits). ${ }^{7}$

In addition to JROTC programs, the military departments have also established ROTC units on college and university campuses. These programs are known as Senior ROTC. ${ }^{8}$

## Military Recruitment on School Campuses

On January 8, 2002, President George W. Bush signed into law the federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. ${ }^{9}$ Among its provisions, the NCLB act established several provisions regarding the access of military recruiters to students and student recruiting information:

- Access to student recruiting information.-Each school district receiving certain federal funds under the NCLB act must provide, on a request made by military recruiters, access to secondary school students' names, addresses, and telephone listings.
- Consent.-A secondary school student, or the parent of the student, may request that the student's name, address, and telephone listing not be released without prior written parental consent, and the school district shall notify parents of the option to make a request and shall comply with any request.
- Same access to students. -The school district must provide military recruiters with the same access to secondary school students as is provided generally to postsecondary educational institutions or to prospective employers of the students. ${ }^{10}$

[^11]Implementing provisions of the federal Family Educational and Privacy Rights Act (FERPA), ${ }^{11}$ Florida law allows a public school to publicly release "directory information" ${ }^{12}$ about its students, but requires the school to give public notice of the categories of information it designates as directory information and allow a reasonable period of time for a parent or student to inform the school in writing that the information should not be released. ${ }^{13}$

According to DOE, the level of access to public schools for military recruiters is determined at the local level. ${ }^{14}$ Some public schools restrict recruiters on campus to certain events, while other schools do not allow recruiters of any kind on campus. Many high schools require recruiters to have pre-scheduled appointments. ${ }^{15}$

## Proposed Changes:

The bill prohibits a school district or public secondary (grades 6-12) ${ }^{16}$ school from preventing an ROTC unit from operating at the school, preventing students from enrolling in an ROTC unit at another educational institution, or denying military recruiters the same access to students which is given to postsecondary educational institutions and prospective employers.

The bill includes several drafting issues (see III. COMMENTS).
The bill directs the State Board of Education to enforce the bill's provisions under section 1008.32, F.S., which allows the state board to take the following actions if the state board determines that a district school board is unwilling or unable to comply with law or state board rule:

- Report to the Legislature that the school district is unwilling or unable to comply with law or state board rule and recommend action to be taken by the Legislature;
- Reduce the discretionary lottery appropriation until the school district complies with the law or state board rule;
- Withhold the transfer of state funds, discretionary grant funds, or any other funds specified as eligible for this purpose by the Legislature until the school district complies with the law or state board rule;
- Declare the school district ineligible for competitive grants; or
- Require monthly or periodic reporting on the situation related to noncompliance until it is remedied.

The bill requires the State Board of Education to adopt rules to administer the bill's provisions.
The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2008.
C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Creates section 1003.451, F.S., which prohibits school districts and schools from preventing an ROTC unit from operating at the school, preventing students from enrolling in an ROTC unit at

[^12]another educational institution, or denying military recruiters the same access to students which is given to postsecondary educational institutions and prospective employers.

Section 2. Provides an effective date of July 1, 2008.

## II. FISCAL ANALYSIS \& ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.
2. Expenditures:

The Florida Department of Education (DOE) estimates that the bill would require "increased workload expenses [for DOE] by an unknown amount to survey and identify districts that were in compliance and to enforce compliance for others. ${ }^{n 17}$ Accordingly, the fiscal impact is indeterminate but appears to be insignificant.
B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.
2. Expenditures:

None.
C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.
D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

According to DOE, the bill could create a negative fiscal impact on school districts if the bill requires the districts to incur the expense of transportation and additional planning to assure that students could complete required coursework by their scheduled graduation. ${ }^{18}$ If the bill is amended to clarify that school districts are not required to provide transportation for students enrolling in JROTC units at other schools (see III. COMMENTS), the bill's fiscal impact appears to be insignificant.

## III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable. The bill does not appear to require a county or municipality to spend funds or take an action requiring expenditures; reduce the authority that counties and municipalities had as of February 1,1989 , to raise revenues in the aggregate; or reduce the percentage of a state tax shared in the aggregate with counties and municipalities as of February 1, 1989.

[^13]2. Other:

None.
B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The bill directs the State Board of Education to adopt rules to administer the bill's provisions. The state board's general grant of rulemaking authority is found in section 1001.02(1), F.S.:

The State Board of Education is the chief implementing and coordinating body of public education in Florida except for the State University System, and it shall focus on high-level policy decisions. It has authority to adopt rules pursuant to ss. 120.536(1) and 120.54 to implement the provisions of law conferring duties upon it for the improvement of the state system of K-20 public education except for the State University System.

## C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

The bill prohibits a school district or public secondary school from preventing an ROTC unit from operating at the school. The bill does not distinguish whether it applies to Junior ROTC (secondary schools), Senior ROTC (postsecondary educational institutions), or both programs.

The bill also prohibits a school district or public secondary school from preventing students from enrolling in a ROTC unit at "another educational institution." This provision is unclear as to whether a school district or public secondary school would be required to:

- Permit a student to enroll in a Junior ROTC unit at a private school;
- Permit a student to enroll in a Senior ROTC unit at a community college or state university (through, for example, a dual-enrollment program);
- Permit a student to enroll in another school's Junior ROTC unit, even though the student's assigned school operates a Junior ROTC unit;
- Admit a student in a public school's Junior ROTC unit, even though the student does not otherwise meet the JROTC unit's enrollment criteria;
- Permit a student to transfer enrollment to another public school that operates a Junior ROTC; and
- Provide transportation between schools for students enrolling in a Junior ROTC unit in another school.
D. STATEMENT OF THE SPONSOR

Waived by sponsor due to time constraints.

## IV. AMENDMENTS/COUNCIL SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

Amendment No. 1
Bill No. 335
COUNCIL/COMMITTEE ACTION

| ADOPTED | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| ADOPTED AS AMENDED | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| FAILED TO ADOPT | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| WITHDRAWN | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| OTHER | - |

Council/Committee hearing bill: Committee on $\mathrm{K}-12$ Representative(s) Bullard offered the following:

## Amendment (with title amendment)

Remove everything after the enacting clause and insert:
Section 1. Paragraph (m) is added to subsection (2) of section 1006.07, Florida Statutes, to read:
1006.07 District school board duties relating to student discipline and school safety.-The district school board shall provide for the proper accounting for all students, for the attendance and control of students at school, and for proper attention to health, safety, and other matters relating to the welfare of students, including:
(2) CODE OF STUDENT CONDUCT.-Adopt a code of student conduct for elementary schools and a code of student conduct for middle and high schools and distribute the appropriate code to all teachers, school personnel, students, and parents, at the beginning of every school year. Each code shall be organized and written in language that is understandable to students and parents and shall be discussed at the beginning of every school year in student classes, school advisory council meetings, and parent and teacher association or organization meetings. Each

Amendment No. 1
code shall be based on the rules governing student conduct and discipline adopted by the district school board and shall be made available in the student handbook or similar publication. Each code shall include, but is not limited to:
(m) A prohibition against a student wearing and exposing below-waist underwear while on the grounds of a public school in a manner that exposes or exhibits the student's covered or uncovered sexual organs in a vulgar and indecent manner. A student found in violation of this prohibition is subject to the following penalties: for a first offense, a student shall be given a verbal warning, and the school principal, or principal's designee, shall call the student's parents; for a second offense, a student shall be suspended from school pursuant to s. $1003.01(5)(b)$, for 3 days, and the school principal, or principal's designee, shall call the student's parents and send them a written letter regarding the student's suspension; for a third offense, the student shall be suspended from school pursuant to s. $1003.01(5)(b)$, for 10 days, and the school principal, or principal's designee, shall meet with the student's parents; and for a fourth or subsequent violation, the student shall be suspended from school pursuant to s. $1003.01(5)(a)$.

Section 2. This act shall take effect on July 1, 2008.
h0335_Bullard_Amendment 01.xml

Amendment No. 1

## TITLEAMENDMENT

Remove the entire title and insert:
An act relating to the indecent wearing of below-waist underwear; amending s. 1006.07, F.S.; prohibiting a student from exposing below-waist underwear in a specified manner while on the grounds of a public school; providing penalties for a student who violates the prohibition; providing an effective date.
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## A bill to be entitled

An act relating to the indecent wearing of below-waist underwear; prohibiting a student from exposing below-waist underwear in a specified manner while on the grounds of a public school; providing penalties; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the state of Florida:

Section 1. Exposure of undergarments.--
(1) A student may not wear and expose below-waist underwear while on the grounds of a public school in a manner that exposes or exhibits one's covered or uncovered sexual organs in a vulgar and indecent manner.
(2) For a first offense, a student who violates this section shall be given a verbal warning, and the school principal shall call the student's parents. For a second offense, a student shall be suspended from school pursuant to s. 1003.01(5)(b), Florida Statutes, for 3 days, and the school principal shall call the student's parents and send them a written letter regarding the student's suspension. For a third offense, the student shall be suspended from school pursuant to s. $1003.01(5)(\mathrm{b})$, Florida Statutes, for 10 days, and the school principal shall meet with the student's parents. For a fourth or subsequent violation, the student shall be suspended from school pursuant to s. $1003.01(5)(a)$, Florida Statutes.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2008.

Page 1 of 1
CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL \#: HB 335 Indecent Wearing of Below-Waist Underwear

SPONSOR(S): Bullard and others
IDEN.ISIM. BILLS: SB 302


## SUMMARY ANALYSIS

HB 335 prohibits students from "wear[ing] and expos[ing] below-waist underwear while on the grounds of a public school in a manner that exposes or exhibits one's covered or uncovered sexual organs." The bill provides disciplinary measures to be imposed upon a student for violating the bill's provisions.

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local governments.

## I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

## A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

The bill does not appear to implicate any of the House Principles.

## B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

## Background

The origin of wearing pants below the waist, otherwise known as "sagging," is often believed to result from ill-fitting prison clothing. ${ }^{1}$ In prison, belts are forbidden for safety reasons and clothing is not tailored to fit individual inmates, resulting in saggy pants. ${ }^{2}$

## Present Situation

Florida law requires, among other things, that a district school board
[i]n accordance with the provisions of chapters 1003 and 1006, provide for the proper accounting for all students of school age, for the attendance and control of students at school, and for proper attention to health, safety, and other matters relating to the welfare of students. ${ }^{3}$

Furthermore, Florida law requires a district school board to

## (1) CONTROL OF STUDENTS.-

(a) Adopt rules for the control, discipline, in-school suspension, suspension, and expulsion of students and decide all cases recommended for expulsion ....
(2) CODE OF STUDENT CONDUCT.-Adopt a code of student conduct for elementary schools and a code of student conduct for middle and high schools and distribute the appropriate code to all teachers, school personnel, students, and parents, at the beginning of every school year. ${ }^{4}$

Finally, a district school board may exercise supplemental powers and duties, such as
adopt programs and policies to ensure the safety and welfare of individuals, the student body, and school personnel, which programs and policies may:
(b) Require uniforms to be worn by the student body, or impose other dressrelated requirements, if the district school board finds that those requirements are necessary for the safety or welfare of the student body or school personnel. ${ }^{5}$

[^14]Other school boards have addressed this issue. For example, on December 10, 2007, the Atlanta School Board voted unanimously to ban students from wearing sagging pants that expose underwear. ${ }^{6}$

Similarly, Opa-locka, Florida, along with cities in Louisiana and Georgia, have enacted ordinances prohibiting sagging pants on all city property. ${ }^{7}$ More particularly, Opa-locka prohibits sagging in "city parks, the library and other municipal buildings." ${ }^{8}$

Florida law does not specifically prohibit the exposure of undergarments by students at public schools.

## Effects of Proposed Changes

The bill creates an unnumbered section of law that prohibits students from "wear[ing] and expos[ing] below-waist underwear while on the grounds of a public school in a manner that exposes or exhibits one's covered or uncovered sexual organs in a vulgar and indecent manner;" for example:


A student found in violation of the bill's provisions is subject to the following disciplinary actions:

- For a first offense, a verbal warning and telephone call by the school principal to the student's parents;
- For a second offense, a three-day in-school suspension ${ }^{9}$, telephone call by the school principal to the student's parents, and a written letter regarding the student's suspension;
- For a third offense, a ten-day in-school suspension and the school principal must meet with the student's parents; and
- For any subsequent violations, an out-of-school suspension ${ }^{10}$ for a period not to exceed ten school days.

[^15]C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

This bill creates an unnumbered section of law that effects student dress code requirements.

## II. FISCAL ANALYSIS \& ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.
2. Expenditures:

None.
B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.
2. Expenditures:

None.
C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.
D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

A school district may experience an increase in in-school suspensions and thus costs associated therewith. These costs, although indeterminate, should not be significant.
III. COMMENTS
A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

This bill does not appear to require a city or county to expend funds or to take any action requiring the expenditure of funds.

This bill does not appear to reduce the authority that municipalities or counties have to raise revenues in the aggregate.

This bill does not appear to reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.
2. Other:

Public school students maintain a certain degree of freedom of speech within the classroom context that is balanced against the need to foster an appropriate educational atmosphere free from undue disruptions. ${ }^{11}$ The wearing of a particular type of style of clothing is not usually considered expressive conduct and not labeled as "speech." ${ }^{12}$ Florida courts have not specifically addressed the constitutionality of school dress codes prohibiting "sagging." However, a U.S. District Court in New Mexico found wearing sagging pants was not "speech" and not subject to First Amendment protection. ${ }^{13}$
B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.
C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

In order to provide adequate notice to students and parents of the implementation of the provisions of this bill, school districts will need to include the bill's provisions in its Code of Student Conduct.
Therefore, it appears that an appropriate placement of the bill's provisions may be in s.1006.07(2), F.S., pertaining to the Code of Student Conduct.
D. STATEMENT OF THE SPONSOR

Waived by sponsor due to time constraints.

## IV. AMENDMENTS/COUNCIL SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

[^16]
[^0]:    This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h0185a.KT.doc DATE: 2/4/2008

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ National Organization for Mothers of Twins Clubs, Placement of Multiple Birth Children in School: A Guide for Educators 2 (2000).
    ${ }^{2} I d$. at 3 .
    ${ }^{3} I d$.
    ${ }^{4} I d$. at 4.
    ${ }^{5}$ Id.
    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{Id}$. at 5 .
    ${ }^{7}$ Id at 14.

[^2]:    ${ }^{8}$ National Association of Elementary School Principals, "The Trouble with Twins," The Communicator: The Monthly Newsletter of NAESP, Vol. 27, No. 5, 1, 7 (Jan. 2004), available at http://www.naesp.org/ContentLoad.do?contentld=1144 (last visited Jan. 28, 2008).
    ${ }^{9}$ Lucy A. Tully, et al., "What Effect Does Classroom Separation Have on Twins' Behavior, Progress at School, and Reading Abilities?," Twin Research, Vol. 7, No. 2, (International Society for Twin Studies, April 2004).
    ${ }^{10}$ Marieke van Leeuwen et al., "Effects of Twin Separation in Primary School," Twin Research and Human Genetics, Vol. 8, No. 4, 384, 389-90 (International Society for Twin Studies, Aug. 2005), available at http://www.twinslaw.com/Research_files/Leeuwen_TRHG_2005.pdf (last visited Jan. 28, 2008).
    ${ }^{11}$ David A. Hay \& Pat Preedy, "Meeting the Educational Needs of Multiple Birth Children," Early Human Development, No. 82, 401 (2006), available at http://www.twinsandmultiples.org/downloads/pubs/haypreedy2006.pdf (last visited Jan. 28, 2008).

[^3]:    ${ }^{12}$ Id.
    ${ }^{13}$ Id.
    ${ }^{14}$ Id.
    ${ }^{15}$ Pay Preedy, "Together or Apart": A Checklist for Parents and Teachers of Multiples 1 (2004), available at http://www.twinsandmultiples.org/downloads/school_checklist.doc (last visited Jan. 28, 2008).

[^4]:    ${ }^{16}$ Id.
    ${ }^{17}$ Hay \& Preedy, supra note 11, at 397.
    ${ }^{18}$ U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, National Vital Statistics Report, Vol. 56, No. 6, 24 (Dec. 5, 2007), available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr56/nvsr56_06.pdf (last visited Jan. 28, 2008).
    ${ }^{19}$ Id. at 25.
    ${ }^{20}$ Id. at 24.
    ${ }^{21}$ Florida Department of Education, 2008 Agency Bill Analysis of HB 185, 2 (Dec. 17, 2007).
    ${ }^{22}$ Id.

[^5]:    ${ }^{23}$ Section 1003.32(4), F.S.
    ${ }^{24}$ Section 1003.32(5), F.S.
    ${ }^{25}$ Section 1(a), Art. IX of the State Constitution.
    ${ }^{26}$ Id.
    ${ }^{27}$ The State Constitution specifies that the class-size requirements do not apply to "extracurricular classes." Id. Section 1003.03(1), F.S., specifies that the maximum class sizes apply to "core-curricula courses," which section 1003.01 (14), F.S., defines as "courses defined by the Department of Education as mathematics, language arts/reading, science, social studies, foreign language, English for Speakers of Other Languages, exceptional student education, and courses taught in traditional self-contained elementary school classrooms."
    ${ }^{28}$ Section 1003.03(2)(b), F.S.

[^6]:    ${ }^{29}$ Special education and related services for students with disabilities are determined in an individualized education plan (IEP) prepared for the individual student by the student's IEP team.

[^7]:    ${ }^{30}$ Florida Department of Education, supra note 21, at 3.
    ${ }^{31} I d$. at 2.
    ${ }^{32}$ Id.

[^8]:    ${ }^{33} I d$. at 3.
    ${ }^{34} \mathrm{Id}$.

[^9]:    ${ }^{35}$ Hay \& Preedy, supra note 11, at 401.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1} 10$ U.S.C. § 2031(a)(1).
    ${ }^{2} 10$ U.S.C. § 2031(a)(2).
    ${ }^{3}$ U.S. Department of Defense, Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps (JROTC) Program, Enclosure E2 (Procedures for the Establishment of JROTC at Schools), section E2.3 (Eligibility of Students), Instruction No. 1205.13, 9 (Feb. 6, 2006), available at http://www.dtic.mil/whs/directives/corres/pdf/120513p.pdf (last visited Jan. 28, 2008) [hereinafter JROTC Instruction].

[^11]:    ${ }^{4}$ U.S. Army Cadet Command, Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps Program: Organization, Administration, Operation, Training, and Support, Cadet Command Regulation 145-2, section 3-11 (Enrollment Requirements) 17-18 (July 1, 2007), available at https://www.usarmyjrotc.com/jrotcRes/downloads/CCR145-2.pdf (last visited Jan. 28, 2008).
    ${ }^{5}$ JROTC Instruction, supra note 3, at 9 (paragraph E2.3.3).
    ${ }^{6}$ Florida Department of Education, 2008 Agency Bill Analysis of HB 251, 2 (Dec. 14, 2007).
    ${ }^{7}$ Section 1003.428(2)(a)6., F.S.,
    ${ }^{8}$ See, e.g., 10 U.S.C. §§ 2101-2111b.
    ${ }^{9}$ Public Law 107-110 (2002).
    ${ }^{10}$ Id. at § 9528 (codified at 20 U.S.C. § 7908).

[^12]:    ${ }^{11} 20$ U.S.C. § $1232 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{a})(5)$.
    ${ }^{12}$ Section $1002.22(2)($ d $)$, F.S., defines "directory information" as a student's "name, address, telephone number if it is a listed number, date and place of birth, major field of study, participation in officially recognized activities and sports, weight and height of members of athletic teams, dates of attendance, degrees and awards received, and the most recent previous educational agency or institution attended by the student."
    ${ }^{13}$ Section 1002.22(3), F.S. (flush-left provisions following § 1002.22(3)(d)14., F.S.).
    ${ }^{14}$ Florida Department of Education, supra note 6, at 2.
    ${ }^{15}$ Id.
    ${ }^{16}$ The Florida Secondary School Redesign Act (s. 1003.413(1), F.S.) specifies that "[s]econdary schools are schools that primarily serve students in grades 6 through 12."

[^13]:    ${ }^{17}$ Florida Department of Education, supra note 6, at 3.
    ${ }^{18}$ Id.
    STORAGE NAME: h0251.KT.doc
    DATE:

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Katie Menzer, Underwear trend may be bottoming out, The Dallas Morning News, Aug. 30, 2006, available at www.khou.com/topstories/stories/khou060830 ac saggypants. $4 \mathrm{~d} 67 \mathrm{fcd} 2 . \mathrm{html}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Id.
    ${ }^{3}$ Fla. Stat. §1001.42(6)(a)
    ${ }^{4}$ Fla Stat. §1006.07
    ${ }^{5}$ Fla. Stat. §1001.43(1)(b)

[^15]:    ${ }^{6}$ Atlanta School Board Unanimously Bans Saggy Pants, The Associated Press, Dec. 10, 2007, available at http://www.foxnews.com
    ${ }^{7}$ City Unlikely to Pass Saggy Pants Ban, The South Florida Sun Sentinel, Nov. 19, 2007, available at http://tallahassee.com/legacy/special/blogs/2007/11/city-unlikely-to-pass-saggy-pants-ban.html; see also Niko Koppel, Are Your Jeans Sagging? Go Directly to Jail., N.Y. Times, Aug. 30, 2007, available at http://www.nytimes.com
    ${ }^{8}$ Laura Parker, Several U.S. Cities Snapping Over Baggy Pants, USA Today, Oct. 14, 2007, available at http://www.usatoday.com/news/nation/2007-10-14-Baggy N.htm.
    ${ }^{9}$ Fla. Stat. $\S 1003.01(5)(b)$ defines "in-school suspension" as, "the temporary removal of a student from the student's regular school program and placement in an alternative program, such as that provided in s.1003.53, under the supervision of district school board personnel, for a period not to exceed 10 school days."
    ${ }^{10}$ Fla. Stat. §1003.01(5)(a) defines "suspension," also referred to as "out-of-school suspension" as, "the temporary removal of a student from all classes of instruction on public school grounds and all other school-sponsored activities, except as authorized by the principal or the principal's designee, for a period not to exceed 10 school days and remanding of the student to the custody of the student's parent with specific homework assignments for the student to complete."

[^16]:    ${ }^{11}$ Tinker v. Des Moines Indep. Cmty. Sch. Dist., 393 U.S. 503 (1969).
    ${ }^{12}$ Id. at 507-08.
    ${ }^{13}$ Bivens v. Albuquerque Pub. Sch., 899 F. Supp. 556 (USDC NM 1995).

