

Civil Justice Subcommittee

Friday, April 1, 2011 8:45 AM 404 HOB

Committee Meeting Notice HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Civil Justice Subcommittee

Start Date and Time:

Friday, April 01, 2011 08:45 am

End Date and Time:

Friday, April 01, 2011 11:30 am

Location:

404 HOB

Duration:

2.75 hrs

Consideration of the following bill(s):

HJR 47 Judicial Qualifications by Porth

HB 59 Service of Process by Julien

HB 387 Child Visitation by Steube

HB 621 Child Custody by Renuart

HB 661 Nursing Home Litigation Reform by Gaetz, Harrison

HB 1111 Uniform Interstate Family Support Act by Mayfield

HB 1393 Sovereign Immunity by Artiles, Nuñez

HB 1475 Alimony by Stargel

Leagis ®

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HJR 47

Judicial Qualifications

SPONSOR(S): Porth

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: CS/SJR 140

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF		
1) Civil Justice Subcommittee		Woodburn	J Bond MB		
2) Judiciary Committee					

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The Florida Constitution requires that a person must have been a member in good standing of the Florida Bar for the previous 5 years to be eligible for election or appointment to the office of circuit court judge or county judge. In a county of a population of 40,000 or less, however, a candidate for county judge simply must be a member of the Florida Bar.

The joint resolution proposes an amendment to the Florida Constitution that would change the requirement from 5 years to 10 years of membership in the Florida Bar to be eligible for the office of circuit court or county court judge. The amendment would also delete the exception for a county with 40,000 people or less.

If this joint resolution is passed by a three-fifths vote of both houses of the legislature, it will be submitted to the voters in the general election in November of 2012.

This proposed committee bill appears to require a nonrecurring expense payable from General Revenue in FY 2012-13 for required advertising estimated at \$50,000. This proposed committee bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local governments.

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Judicial Qualifications Generally

Most state constitutions and general laws prescribe qualifications to serve as a judicial officer, including residence, age, and legal experience. In some states, the judicial qualifications may vary depending on the court on which the judge serves, and a judge may be required to meet more stringent qualifications if he or she is serving on an appellate court.¹ For example, in New Mexico, a trial court judge must have six years of active legal practice in New Mexico, while an appellate judge must have 10 years of legal practice in New Mexico or be a current state judge.² In other states, the same legal experience is required for both trial and appellate judges.³ A few states only require that the judge be a member of or licensed with the state bar.⁴

Florida Qualifications for Judicial Office

Florida has no minimal age requirement for circuit judges, but does preclude a judge from serving after attaining 70 years of age. The Florida Constitution requires that a judge must be an elector of the state and reside in the territorial jurisdiction of the court. With regard to legal experience, a person is eligible for the office of circuit court judge only if he or she is a member of The Florida Bar for the preceding five years. The constitutional requirement for eligibility relating to bar membership refers to eligibility at the time of assuming office and not at the time of qualification or election to office.

Identical to circuit court judges, there is no minimal age requirement for county court judges, and county court judges are precluded from serving after attaining 70 years of age. The county court judge must also be an elector of the state and reside in the territorial jurisdiction of the court. The Florida Constitution provides that, unless otherwise provided by general law, a person is eligible for the office of county court judge only if he or she is a member of The Florida Bar and has been for the preceding five years. The Florida Constitution also provides that, unless otherwise provided by general law, in counties having populations of 40,000 or fewer, a person is eligible for election or appointment to the office of county court judge if he or she is a member in good standing of The Florida Bar. The Florida Bar.

Article V Task Force

A legislatively created task force – the Article V Task Force – examined judicial qualifications in preparation for the 1997-98 Constitution Revision Commission. ¹³ The task force recommended an increase in the experience level for circuit and county judges, to 10 years from 5 years.

¹ G. Alan Tarr, Symposium on Rethinking Judicial Selection: A Critical Appraisal of Appointive Selection for State Court Judges, 34 FORDHAM URB. L.J. 291, 308 (Jan. 2007).

² N. M. CONST. art. VI, ss. 8 and 14.

³ California, Hawaii, Idaho, and New York, among other states, all require 10 years of membership in the state bar or active practice for both trial and appellate judges. CAL. CONST. art. VI, s. 15; HAW. CONST. art. VI, s. 3; IDAHO CODE s. 1-2404 (2); N.Y. CONST. art. VI, s. 20.

⁴ Alabama requires that a judge be a "licensed" attorney. ALA. CONST. art. VI, amend. 328, s. 6.07. Missouri and Pennsylvania require that the judge be a member of the state bar. Mo. CONST. art. V, s. 21; PA. CONST. art. V, s. 12.

⁵ FLA. CONST. art. V, s. 8.

⁶ *Id*.

⁷ *Id*.

⁸ In re Advisory Opinion to the Governor, 192 So. 2d 757 (Fla. 1966).

⁹ FLA. CONST. art. V, s. 8.

¹⁰ *Id*.

¹¹ *Id*.

¹² *Id*.

¹³ The task force was created by the Florida Legislature in ch. 94-138, Laws of Fla., to review the judicial article of the Constitution. STORAGE NAME: h0047.CVJS.DOCX

PAGE: 2

Effect of the Bill

House Joint Resolution 47 proposes an amendment to art. V. s. 8, of the State Constitution to increase the period of time that a person must be a member of The Florida Bar before becoming eligible for the offices of circuit court or county court judge. The resolution, if adopted by the voters, would increase the number of years a person must be a bar member before serving as a circuit court or county court judge to 10 years from 5 years. This change would make the circuit and county court judicial requirements the same as the requirements for District Court of Appeal judges and Supreme Court justices.

The resolution also deletes the provision allowing a member of The Florida Bar to serve as a county court judge regardless of the number of years of membership in a county having a population of 40,000 or fewer. As a result, the 10-year requisite experience would apply to all county court judges.

The joint resolution is silent regarding an effective date for the constitutional amendment. Therefore, in accordance with art. XI, s. 5, of the state Constitution, it would take effect on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in January following the election at which it was approved by the electorate.

B.	9	F	^	T	\cap	N	\Box			\cap T	$\overline{}$		V	
□ .	u	_	u		\sim	w	$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}$	111	_	v	\sim	1		

n/a

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

The State Constitution requires the proposed amendment to be published, once in the tenth week and once in the sixth week immediately preceding the week of the election, in one newspaper of general circulation in each county where a newspaper is published.¹⁴ The Department of State executes the publication of the Joint Resolution if placed on the ballot. The Florida Department of State estimates that required publication of a proposed constitutional amendment costs \$106.14 per word. At approximately 467 words, the amendment would require an estimated expenditure of \$49,567,38. These funds must be spent regardless of whether the amendment passes, and would be payable in FY 2012-2013 from the General Revenue Fund.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1	Re	٧e	nı	10	S	•

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

PAGE: 3

¹⁴ Article XI, s. 5(d), FLA. CONST. STORAGE NAME: h0047.CVJS.DOCX DATE: 3/31/2011

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable. A mandate analysis is inapplicable as this bill is a proposed constitutional amendment.

2. Other:

Article XI of the Florida Constitution sets forth various methods for proposing amendments to the constitution, along with the methods for approval or rejection of proposals. One method by which constitutional amendments may be proposed is by joint resolution agreed to by three-fifths of the membership of each house of the Legislature. 15 Any such proposal must be submitted to the electors, either at the next general election held more than 90 days after the joint resolution is filed with the Secretary of State, or, if pursuant to law enacted by the affirmative vote of three-fourths of the membership of each house of the Legislature and limited to a single amendment or revision, at an earlier special election held more than 90 days after such filing. 16 If the proposed amendment is approved by a vote of at least 60 percent of the electors voting on the measure, it becomes effective as an amendment to the Florida Constitution on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in January following the election, or on such other date as may be specified in the amendment. 17

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

Although constitutional amendments are generally applied prospectively, unless expressly stated otherwise, 18 the eligibility of circuit and county court judges satisfying the present qualifications may be questioned. Furthermore, it is unclear whether a current circuit or county court judge satisfying the current qualifications could seek re-election if he or she does not satisfy the new requirements at the time of the election. The amendment may also prohibit a person elected in the same election as the ballot amendment from taking office if he or she has not been a member of the bar for the last ten years. The Legislature could consider providing a definitive effective date at a future time after the election and expressly stating that the amendment may not be construed to affect any circuit court or county court judge in office on the effective date of the amendment, or the judge's ability to seek reelection in the future.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

n/a

¹⁵ FLA. CONST. art. XI, s. 1.

¹⁶ FLA. CONST. art. XI, s. 5(a).

¹⁷ FLA. CONST. art. XI, s. 5(e).

¹⁸ In re Advisory Opinion to the Governor-Terms of County Court Judges, 750 So. 2d 610 (Fla.1999) (advising that constitutional amendments are given prospective effect only, unless the text of the amendment or the ballot statement clearly indicates otherwise). STORAGE NAME: h0047.CVJS.DOCX

HJR 47 2011

House Joint Resolution

A joint resolution proposing an amendment to Section 8 of Article V of the State Constitution to increase the period of time that a person must be a member of The Florida Bar before becoming eligible for the office of circuit court or county court judge.

Be It Resolved by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

That the following amendment to Section 8 of Article V of the State Constitution is agreed to and shall be submitted to the electors of this state for approval or rejection at the next general election or at an earlier special election specifically authorized by law for that purpose:

ARTICLE V

JUDICIARY

SECTION 8. Eligibility.—No person shall be eligible for office of justice or judge of any court unless the person is an elector of the state and resides in the territorial jurisdiction of the court. No justice or judge shall serve after attaining the age of seventy years except upon temporary assignment or to complete a term, one-half of which has been served. No person is eligible for the office of justice of the supreme court, or judge of a district court of appeal, circuit court judge, or county court judge unless the person is, and has been for the preceding ten years, a member of the bar of Florida. No person is eligible for the office of circuit judge unless the person is, and has been for the preceding five years, a member of the

Page 1 of 2

HJR 47 2011

bar of Florida. Unless otherwise provided by general law, no person is eligible for the office of county court judge unless the person is, and has been for the preceding five years, a member of the bar of Florida. Unless otherwise provided by general law, a person shall be eligible for election or appointment to the office of county court judge in a county having a population of 40,000 or less if the person is a member in good standing of the bar of Florida.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following statement be placed on the ballot:

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

ARTICLE V, SECTION 8

INCREASING THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR THE OFFICES OF CIRCUIT COURT AND COUNTY COURT JUDGES.—The State Constitution currently prohibits a person from serving as a circuit court judge unless the person is, and has been for the proceeding 5 years, a member of The Florida Bar. This same prohibition applies to county court judges, except in counties having a population of 40,000 or fewer, where a person need only be a member in good standing of The Florida Bar. This proposed amendment increases to 10 years the period of time that a person must be a member of The Florida Bar before serving as a circuit court judge or a county court judge in any county.

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE	ACTION
ADOPTED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
OTHER	

Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Civil Justice Subcommittee Representative(s) Porth offered the following:

Amendment (with schedule, ballot and title amendments)

Remove lines 23-36 and insert:
eligible for the office of justice of the supreme court or judge
of a district court of appeal unless the person is, and has been
for the preceding ten years, a member of the bar of Florida. No
person is eligible for the office of circuit judge unless the
person is, and has been for the preceding eight five years, a
member of the bar of Florida. Unless otherwise provided by
general law, no person is eligible for the office of county
court judge unless the person is, and has been for the preceding
eight five years, a member of the bar of Florida. Unless
otherwise provided by general law, a person shall be eligible
for election or appointment to the office of county court judge
in a county having a population of 40,000 or less if the person
is a member in good standing of the bar of Florida.

SECTION 21. Qualifications of Circuit and County Court
Judges.—The amendment to Section 8 changing the qualifications
of circuit judges and county court judges shall take effect
January 9, 2013. The amendment does not affect any judge in
office on the effective date of the amendment. Any judge
qualified to hold office and in office on January 8, 2013, shall
remain in office and shall be eligible to seek reelection to
such judicial office in the future regardless of whether such
judge has been a member of bar of Florida for the previous eight
years.

7 -

3 1

SCHEDULE AMENDMENT

Remove line 10 and insert:

That the following amendment to Section 8 of Article V, and the creation of Section 21 of Article V, of

BALLOT AMENDMENT

Remove lines 48-51 and insert:

of the Florida Bar. This proposed amendment increases to 8 years the period of time that a person must be a member of The Florida Bar before serving as a circuit court judge or a county court judge in any county. The increased qualifications do not apply to county court or circuit court judges in office on January 8,

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HJR 47 (2011)

Amendment No. 1

2013, or to persons seeking to be elected to the office of county court or circuit court judge during this election.

A joint resolution proposing an amendment to Section 8 of

Article V, and the creation of Section 21 of Article V, of the

State Constitution to increase the period of time that a person

must be a member of The Florida Bar before becoming eligible for

the office of circuit court or county court judge; providing

that judges in office are not affected by the increase.

Remove lines 2-6 and insert:

TITLE AMENDMENT

48

46

47

49

50

51

52

53

54

57

55

56

58

hjr 47 am 01.docx

Page 3 of 3

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL#: HB 59 S

HB 59 Service of Process

SPONSOR(S): Julien

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: CS/SB 328

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF		
1) Civil Justice Subcommittee		Billmeier LMg	Bond MB		
2) Judiciary Committee					

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Service of process is the formal delivery of a writ, summons, or other legal process or notice to a person affected by that document. This bill also requires that a process server must be granted access to the common areas of condominiums, gated communities, or any secured area where a defendant or witness resides. Current law requires a process server to document on the copy served the date and time of service, the process server's identification number, and the process server's initials. This bill requires that a process server place this information on the front page of the copy served.

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local governments.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h0059.CVJS.DOCX

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Service of Process

Under Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.070(b), any person who is authorized by law to complete service of process may do so in accordance with applicable Florida law for the execution of legal process. Chapter 48, F.S., identifies three classes that may serve process in civil cases. Process may be served by the sheriff in the county where the defendant is located. The sheriff may appoint special process servers who meet specified statutory minimum requirements. The chief judge of the circuit court may establish an approved list of certified process servers. Additionally, each trial judge has the authority to appoint a special process server in any particular case.

Authorized process servers serve the complaint or petition a defendant or a respondent in a civil case so that the court may acquire personal jurisdiction over the person who receives service. Strict compliance with the statutory provisions of service of process is required in order for the court to obtain jurisdiction over a party and to assure that a defendant or respondent receives notice of the proceedings filed. Because strict compliance with all of the statutory requirements for service is required, the failure to comply with the statutory terms renders that service defective, resulting in a failure to acquire jurisdiction over the defendant or respondent.

The law specifies the manner and methods that service of process must be executed by process servers. Service of original process and most witness subpoenas is made by delivering a copy of it to the person to be served with a copy of the complaint, petition, or other initial pleading or paper or by leaving the copies at his or her usual place of abode with any person residing therein who is 15 years of age or older and informing the person of their contents. Each process server must document all service of process by placing the date and time of service and the process server's identification number and initials on the copy served.

Service of Process in Gated Residential Communities

The growth in the number of gated residential communities (communities composed of multifamily residences and single-family residences that have entrances locked or otherwise restrict physical access to their dwellings) have presented a challenge to litigants' efforts to provide service of process to party defendants living in these residences.⁸ In *Luckey v. Thompson*, the court noted the difficulty in serving a defendant who "secreted himself from the world and lived in isolation in a high security apartment refusing to answer the telephone or even to open the mail." In *Boatfloat LLC v. Golia*, the court noted the challenge of successfully serving a company's registered agent when the only address is a gated residential community and the company does not have regular business hours open to the public. In *Delancy v. Tobias*, 26 So.3d 77, 80-81 (Fla. 3d DCA 2010), the court allowed substituted service in part because the process server was barred from accessing the defendant due to his gated residence.

¹ Section 48.021, F.S.

² Section 48.021, F.S.

³ Section 48.27, F.S.

⁴ Vidal v. SunTrust Bank, 41 So 3d 401, 402-03 (Fla. 4th DCA 2010).

⁵ Section 48.031, F.S.; *Vidal*, 41 So.3d at 402-04 (holding that the process server's failure to note the time of service of the bank's complaint on the copy of the complaint that was served on the debtor rendered the service of the complaint defective).

⁶ Sections 48.031(1), (3), F.S.

⁷ Sections 48.29 and 48.031(5), F.S.

⁸ See Luckey v. Thompson, 343 So.2d 53 (Fla. 3d DCA 1977); Boatfloat LLC v. Golia, 915 So.2d 288 (Fla. 4th DCA 2005).

⁹ Luckey, 343 So.2d at 54.

¹⁰ Boatfloat, 915 So.2d at 289-90.

Condominiums

Condominiums are regulated under chapter 718, F.S. Condominium property that is not located within the boundaries of individual condominium units and is jointly owned by all condominium unit owners in a condominium is defined as common elements.¹¹

Effect of Proposed Changes:

This bill requires that a process service must be granted unannounced access to the common areas, both general and limited, of condominiums, gated communities, or any secured residential areas where a defendant resides or is known to be.

This bill also requires a process server to document the date and time of service and the process server's identification number and initials on the front page of the copy served.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2011.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 amends s. 48.031, F.S., related to service of process.

Section 2 amends s. 48.29, F.S., related to certification of process servers.

Section 3 provides an effective date of July 1, 2011.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

_	_	
1	Revenues:	
	Nevellues.	

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

¹¹ Section 718.103(8), F.S. STORAGE NAME: h0059.CVJS.DOCX

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable. This bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

When changes are made to laws related to condominiums, a similar change is often made to laws relating to cooperatives. This bill does not address cooperatives although cooperatives could be covered pursuant to the provision requiring access to "any secured residential areas."

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

n/a

STORAGE NAME: h0059.CVJS.DOCX DATE: 3/30/2011

PAGE: 4

HB 59 2011

A bill to be entitled

.

1 2

3 4

5

6 7 An act relating to service of process; amending s. 48.031, F.S.; specifying where a process server must record certain information concerning service; granting authorized process servers unannounced access to specified residential areas where a defendant or witness resides or is known to be; amending s. 48.29, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; providing an

9 10 effective date.

8

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

12 13

1415

11

Section 1. Subsection (5) of section 48.031, Florida Statutes, is amended, and subsection (7) is added to that section, to read:

16 17

48.031 Service of process generally; service of witness subpoenas.—

18 19

(5) A person serving process shall place, on the front page of the copy served, the date and time of service and his or her identification number and initials for all service of process.

2122

23

2425

20

(7) A person authorized to serve process shall be granted unannounced access to the common areas, both general and limited, of condominiums, gated communities, or any secured residential areas where a defendant or witness resides or is known to be.

26

27

28

Section 2. Subsection (6) of section 48.29, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

Page 1 of 2

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

HB 59 2011

48.29 Certification of process servers.

29

30

31

32 33

34

(6) A certified process server shall place the information provided in s. 48.031(5) on the <u>front page of the</u> copy served. Return of service shall be made by a certified process server on a form which has been reviewed and approved by the court.

Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2011.

Page 2 of 2

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMI ADOPTED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
OTHER	

Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Civil Justice Subcommittee Representative Julien offered the following:

Amendment (with title amendment)

Remove everything after the enacting clause and insert:

Section 1. Paragraph (d) of subsection (1) and subsection

(3) of section 30.231, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

30.231 Sheriffs' fees for service of summons, subpoenas,
and executions.—

- (1) The sheriffs of all counties of the state in civil cases shall charge fixed, nonrefundable fees for docketing and service of process, according to the following schedule:
 - (d) Executions:
- 1. Forty dollars for <u>processing</u> docketing and indexing each writ of execution, regardless of the number of persons involved.
 - 2. Fifty dollars for each levy.
- a. A levy is considered made when any property or any portion of the property listed or unlisted in the instructions

Bill No. HB 59 (2011)

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

- b. When the instructions are for levy upon real property, a levy fee is required for each parcel described in the instructions.
- c. When the instructions are for levy based upon personal property, one fee is allowed, unless the property is seized at different locations, conditional upon all of the items being advertised collectively and the sale being held at a single location. However, if the property seized cannot be sold at one location during the same sale as advertised, but requires separate sales at different locations, the sheriff is then authorized to impose a levy fee for the property and sale at each location.
 - 3. Forty dollars for advertisement of sale under process.
 - 4. Forty dollars for each sale under process.
- 5. Forty dollars for each deed, bill of sale, or satisfaction of judgment.
- (3) It shall be the responsibility of The party requesting service of process <u>must</u> to furnish to the sheriff the original process, or a certified copy of the process, or an electronic copy of the process, which was signed and certified by the clerk of court, and sufficient copies to be served on the parties receiving the service of process. The party requesting service of process shall provide the sheriff with the best known address

where the person may be served. Failure to perfect service at the address provided does not excuse the sheriff from his or her duty to exercise due diligence in locating the person to be served.

- Section 2. Subsection (5) of section 48.031, Florida Statutes, is amended, and subsection (7) is added to that section, to read:
- 48.031 Service of process generally; service of witness subpoenas.—
- page of at least one of the processes copy served, the date and time of service and his or her identification number and initials for all service of process. The person serving process shall list on the return-of-service form all initial pleadings delivered and served along with the process. The person issuing the process shall file the return-of-service form with the court.
- (7) A gated residential community, including a condominium association or a cooperative, shall grant unannounced entry into the community, including its common areas and common elements, to a person who is attempting to serve process on a defendant or witness who resides within or is known to be within the community.
- Section 3. Paragraph (a) of subsection (3) of section 48.081, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
 - 48.081 Service on corporation.
- (3) (a) As an alternative to all of the foregoing, process may be served on the agent designated by the corporation under

76l

s. 48.091. However, if service cannot be made on a registered agent because of failure to comply with s. 48.091, service of process shall be permitted on any employee at the corporation's principal place of business or on any employee of the registered agent. A person attempting to serve process pursuant to this paragraph may serve the process on any employee of the registered agent during the first attempt at service even if the registered agent is temporarily absent from his or her office.

Section 4. Section 48.21, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 48.21 Return of execution of process.
- (1) Each person who effects service of process shall note on a return-of-service form attached thereto, the date and time when it comes to hand, the date and time when it is served, the manner of service, the name of the person on whom it was served and, if the person is served in a representative capacity, the position occupied by the person. The return-of-service form must be signed by the person who effects the service of process.

 However, a person employed by a sheriff who effects the service of process may sign the return-of-service form using an electronic signature certified by the sheriff.
- (2) A failure to state the foregoing facts or to include the signature required by subsection (1) invalidates the service, but the return is amendable to state the facts or to include the signature truth at any time on application to the court from which the process issued. On amendment, service is as effective as if the return had originally stated the omitted facts or included the signature. A failure to state all the

facts in <u>or to include the signature on</u> the return shall subject the person effecting service to a fine not exceeding \$10, in the court's discretion.

Section 5. Subsection (6) of section 48.29, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

48.29 Certification of process servers.-

(6) A certified process server shall place the information required provided in s. 48.031(5) on the first page of at least one of the processes copy served. Return of service shall be made by a certified process server on a form which has been reviewed and approved by the court.

Section 6. This act shall take effect July 1, 2011.

TITLE AMENDMENT

Remove the entire title and insert:

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to service of process; amending s. 30.231, F.S.; authorizing a sheriff to charge a fee for processing a writ of execution; authorizing a person to provide the sheriff with an electronic copy of a process for service; amending s. 48.031, F.S.; directing a process server to place required information on the first page of at least one of the processes served; requiring a process server to list all initial pleadings delivered and served along with the process on the return-of-service form; requiring the person issuing the process to file the return-of-

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

service form with the court; granting authorized process servers unannounced access to specified residential areas where a defendant or witness resides or is known to be; amending s. 48.081, F.S.; authorizing a person attempting to serve process on the registered agent of a corporation to serve the process, in specified circumstances, on any employee of the registered agent during the first attempt at service even if the registered agent is temporarily absent from his or her office; amending s. 48.21, F.S.; requiring a process server to sign the returnof-service form; authorizing an employee of a sheriff to sign a return-of-service form electronically; providing that the failure to sign a return-of-service form invalidates the service and subjects the process server to a fine; amending s. 48.29, F.S.; directing a process server to place required information on the first page of at least one of the processes served; providing an effective date.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 387 Child Visitation

SPONSOR(S): Steube

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: CS/SB 504

REFERENCE	ACTION	ACTION ANALYST	
1) Civil Justice Subcommittee		Woodburn	Bond MP
Health & Human Services Access Subcommittee			
3) Judiciary Committee			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

In 2007, the Legislature created the Keeping Children Safe Act (Act) to keep children in the custody of the Department of Children and Family Services (DCF or department) or its contractors safe during visitation or other contact with an individual who is alleged to have committed sexual abuse or some related criminal conduct. The bill amends the Keeping Children Safe Act to provide that:

- A finding of probable cause of sexual abuse by a parent or caregiver is needed in order to create a
 presumption of detriment to a child.
- Persons meeting specified criteria may not visit or have contact with a child without a hearing and order by the court, and in order to begin or resume contact with the child, there must be an evidentiary hearing to determine whether contact is appropriate.
- The court must conduct a hearing within seven business days of finding out that a person is
 attempting to influence the testimony of the child. The purpose of the hearing is to determine
 whether visitation with the person who is alleged to have influenced the testimony of the child is in
 the best interest of the child.

This bill also amends the legislative intent of the Act to provide that it is the intent to protect children who have been sexually abused or exploited by a parent or caregiver by placing additional requirements on judicial determinations related to contact between a parent or caregiver who meets certain criteria and a child victim in any proceeding under the laws of this state.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local governments.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h0387.CVJS.DOCX

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Keeping Children Safe Act

In 2007, the Legislature created the Keeping Children Safe Act (Act)¹ to keep children in the custody of the Department of Children and Family Services (DCF or department) or its contractors safe during visitation or other contact with an individual who is alleged to have committed sexual abuse or some related criminal conduct. The declared purpose of the act is:

To protect children and reduce the risk of further harm to children who have been sexually abused or exploited by a parent or other caregiver...²

The statute places additional requirements on judicial determinations related to visitation and other contact. One of the additional requirements is a "presumption of detriment." The presumption of detriment provides that a rebuttable presumption of detriment to a child is created when a parent or caregiver:

- 1. Has been the subject of a report to the child abuse hotline³ alleging sexual abuse of any child.⁴
- 2. Has been found guilty of, regardless of adjudication, or has entered a plea of guilty or nolo contendere to certain specified crimes;⁵ or
- 3. Has been determined by the court to be a sexual predator.⁶

If a person meets any of these criteria then he or she may visit or have contact with the child only after a hearing and an order by the court that allows the visitation or other contact. The presumption that is established in s. 39.0139(3)(a), F.S., may be rebutted if the court finds the person proved by clear and convincing evidence that the safety, well-being, and physical, mental and emotional health of the child is not endangered by such visitation or other contact. The statute also provides that:

If a party or participant, based on communication with the child or other firsthand knowledge, informs the court that a person is attempting to influence the testimony of a child, the court shall immediately suspend visitation of other contact.⁹

The court must then hold a hearing to determine whether it is in the best interests of the child to prohibit or restrict visitation or other contact. The statute also provides that if a child is in therapy and the child's therapist reports that the visitation or other contact is impeding the child's therapeutic progress, the court must convene a hearing within seven days to review the terms of the visitation.¹⁰

STORAGE NAME: h0387.CVJS.DOCX

¹ Ch. 2007-109, s. 1, Laws of Fla.

² Section 39.0139(2)(b), F.S.

³ The Florida Department of Children and Families maintains a child abuse hotline. The hotline allows a concerned party to submit a report of abuse via the telephone, fax or on-line. For more information see (last visited March 7, 2011).

⁴ Section 39.0139(3)(a)1., F.S.

⁵ Section 39.0139(3)(a)2., F.S.

⁶ Section 39.0139(3)(a)3., F.S.

⁷ Section 39.0139(4), F.S.

⁸ Section 39.0139(4)(c), F.S.

⁹ Section 39.0139(6)(a), F.S.

¹⁰ Section 39.0139(6)(b), F.S.

Applicability of the Keeping Children Safe Act

Since the passage of the Keeping Children Safe Act in 2007, there have been questions as to the applicability of s. 39.0139, F.S. In *Protecting Children from Sexual Abuse by Those Entrusted with Their Care*, the authors argue that the statute is applicable to situations other then dependency proceedings. ¹¹ The authors argue that the statute should apply to other proceedings involving child victims including ch. 61, F.S. (Dissolution of Marriage) and ch. 742, F.S. (Determination of Parentage), proceedings since the statute itself does not specify that it only applies to ch. 39, F.S., proceedings.

The Florida First District Court of Appeal ruled that s. 39.0139, F.S. does not apply in dissolution of marriage proceedings. ¹² In the case, husband and wife were in the midst of a divorce. The wife denied the husband visitation of the children because of suspected sexual abuse of their children and reported the husband to a child abuse hotline. The wife then filed within the dissolution proceedings a motion for a hearing pursuant to s. 39.0139, F.S. The wife's reporting of the husband to the abuse hotline created the circumstance where the presumption of detriment would apply. ¹³

The court held that s. 39.0139, F.S., did not apply to dissolution of marriage proceedings. The court reasoned that since the focus of s. 39.0139, F.S., is "to protect children 'who are abused, abandoned, or neglected" and those terms have specific meaning pursuant to ch. 39. F.S., the section should only apply to proceedings pursuant to ch. 39, F.S. ¹⁴ The court further reasoned that since "court," pursuant to s. 39.01(20), F.S., means "the circuit court assigned to exercise jurisdiction *under this chapter*,...unless otherwise expressly stated," a court assigned for a dissolution of marriage proceedings would not qualify to hear a s. 39.0139, F.S., complaint. ¹⁵ The court also ruled:

Given these broad powers to protect children under Chapter 61 and the Family Law Rules, section 39.0139 should not be read to supplant a due process oriented, comprehensive, balanced approach with provisions that change case dynamics based on a phone call to an abuse hotline.¹⁶

Section 39.0139, F.S., and Sexual Abuse Hotlines

Since the passage of the Keeping Children Safe Act, several articles have been published expressing concerns regarding the creation of a presumption of detriment if a parent or caregiver is reported to a sexual abuse hotline. ¹⁷ In *Florida Statute §39.0139: Limiting the Risk of Serious Harm to Children*, Judge Robbins notes that:

All the KCSA requires is that the parent or caregiver have 'been the subject of a report to the child abuse hotline alleging sexual abuse of any child as defined in s. 39.01.' A report to the abuse hotline requires only that a person 'knows, or has reasonable cause to suspect, that a child is abused...' There are no limitations as to date, and there is no requirement that the parent or caregiver have been an adult when the report was made. There is no requirement that the report be found to have been true, or even that it be subject to a finding of probable cause before the rebuttable presumption arises. A report that was made in the distant past and closed following an investigation with no indicators of abuse nevertheless triggers the application of the KCSA¹⁸

Thus, there is a possibility that a fraudulent or unfounded report, or a case that has been closed in the past, will trigger the presumption under s. 39.0139(3)(a), F.S., and the person reported to the hotline

¹¹ Caballero and Anderson, Protecting Children from Sexual Abuse by Those Entrusted with Their Care, Fla. B.J. Vol. 83 No. 2 (March 2008).

¹² Mahmood v. Mahmood, 15 So.3d 1 (Fla. 1st DCA 2009).

¹³ *Id.* at 2.

¹⁴ Id. at 4. (The court refers to the definitions in s. 39.01, F.S.).

¹⁵ *Id*.

¹⁶ *Id.* at 5.

¹⁷ See s. 39.0139(3)(a)1., F.S.

¹⁸ Robbins, Florida Statute §39.0139: Limiting the Risk of Serious Harm to Children, Fla. B.J. Vol. 82 No. 5, pg 46 (May 2008). STORAGE NAME: h0387.CVJS.DOCX

would then have to rebut the presumption by proving, by clear and convincing evidence, that he or she is not a danger to the well-being of the child.¹⁹

Effect of the Bill

The bill amends s. 39.0139, F.S., the Keeping Children Safe Act, to provide that a rebuttable presumption of detriment is created when a court finds probable cause that a person sexually abused a child. The bill provides that if a person meets certain criteria as set out in law, that person may not visit or have contact with a child without a hearing and order by the court. If visitation or contact is denied and the person wishes to begin or resume contact with the child victim, there must be an evidentiary hearing to determine whether contact is appropriate. The bill provides that, the court must appoint a guardian ad litem or attorney ad litem for the child prior to the hearing.

The bill also provides that at the hearing, the court may receive evidence, to the extent of its probative value, such as recommendations from the child protective team, the child's therapist, or the child's guardian ad litem or attorney ad litem, even if the evidence may not be admissible under the rules of evidence. Regardless of whether the court finds that the person did or did not rebut the presumption of detriment, the court must enter a written order setting forth findings of fact.

The bill provides that once a rebuttable presumption of detriment has arisen or if visitation has already been ordered and a party or participant informs the court that a person is attempting to influence the testimony of the child, the court must hold a hearing within seven business days to determine whether it is in the best interests of the child to prohibit or restrict visitation with the person who is alleged to have influenced the testimony of the child.

The bill also amends the legislative intent of the Act to provide that it is the intent of the Act to protect children who have been sexually abused or exploited by a parent or caregiver by placing additional requirements on judicial determinations related to contact between a parent or caregiver who meets certain criteria and a child victim in any proceeding under the laws of this state.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2011

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 amends s. 39.0139, F.S., regarding the "Keeping Children Safe Act."

Section 2 provides an effective date of July 1, 2011.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

 Revenues 	
------------------------------	--

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

¹⁹ See s. 39.0139(4)(c), F.S. STORAGE NAME: h0387.CVJS.DOCX DATE: 3/31/2011

	2. Expenditures:
	None.
C.	DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:
	None.
D.	FISCAL COMMENTS:
	None.
	III. COMMENTS
A.	CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:
	1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:
	Not Applicable. This bill does not appear to affect county or municipal governments.
	2. Other:
	None.
В.	RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:
	None.
C.	DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:
	None.
	IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES
n/a	

STORAGE NAME: h0387.CVJS.DOCX DATE: 3/31/2011

A bill to be entitled

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

1112

An act relating to child visitation; amending s. 39.0139, F.S.; revising legislative intent; requiring probable cause of sexual abuse in order to create a presumption of detriment; providing that persons meeting specified

criteria may not visit or have contact with a child
without a hearing and court order; revising requirements
for hearing seeking to rebut a presumption of detriment;

revising provisions relating to hearings on whether to prohibit or restrict visitation or other contact with the

person who is alleged to have influenced a child's

testimony; providing an effective date.

1314

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

Section 1. Paragraph (b) of subsection (2) and subsections (3), (4), and (6) of section 39.0139, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

39.0139 Visitation or other contact; restrictions.-

- (2) LEGISLATIVE FINDINGS AND INTENT.-
- (b) It is the intent of the Legislature to protect children and reduce the risk of further harm to children who have been sexually abused or exploited by a parent or other caregiver by placing additional requirements on judicial determinations related to contact between a parent or caregiver who meets the criteria under paragraph (3)(a) and a child victim in any proceeding under the laws of this state visitation and other contact.

Page 1 of 5

(3) PRESUMPTION OF DETRIMENT.

29

30

31

32

33 34

35 36

37

38 39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

5354

55

56

- (a) A rebuttable presumption of detriment to a child is created when a parent or caregiver:
- 1. A court of competent jurisdiction has found probable cause exists that a parent or caregiver has sexually abused a child Has been the subject of a report to the child abuse hotline alleging sexual abuse of any child as defined in s. 39.01;
- 2. A parent or caregiver has been found guilty of, regardless of adjudication, or has entered a plea of guilty or nolo contendere to, charges under the following statutes or substantially similar statutes of other jurisdictions:
- a. Section 787.04, relating to removing minors from the state or concealing minors contrary to court order;
 - b. Section 794.011, relating to sexual battery;
- c. Section 798.02, relating to lewd and lascivious behavior;
- d. Chapter 800, relating to lewdness and indecent exposure;
 - e. Section 826.04, relating to incest; or
 - f. Chapter 827, relating to the abuse of children; or
- 3. A court of competent jurisdiction has been determined a parent or caregiver by a court to be a sexual predator as defined in s. 775.21 or a parent or caregiver has received a substantially similar designation under laws of another jurisdiction.
- (b) For purposes of this subsection, "substantially similar" has the same meaning as in s. 39.806(1)(d)2.

Page 2 of 5

(c) A person who meets any of the criteria set forth in paragraph (a) may not visit or have contact with a child without a hearing and order by the court.

- (4) HEARINGS.—A person who meets any of the criteria set forth in paragraph (3)(a) who seeks to begin or resume contact with the child victim shall have the right to an evidentiary hearing to determine whether contact is appropriate may visit or have other contact with a child only after a hearing and an order by the court that allows the visitation or other contact. At such a hearing:
- (a) Prior to the hearing, the court shall The court must appoint an attorney ad litem or a guardian ad litem for the child if one has not already been appointed. Any attorney ad litem or guardian ad litem appointed shall have special training in the dynamics of child sexual abuse.
- any relevant and material evidence submitted to the extent of its probative value, including written and oral reports or recommendations from the child protective team, the child's therapist, the child's guardian ad litem, or the child's attorney ad litem, to the extent of its probative value in its effort to determine the action to be taken with regard to the child, even if these reports, recommendations, and evidence may not be admissible under the rules of evidence competent in an adjudicatory hearing.
- (c) If the court finds the person proves by clear and convincing evidence that the safety, well-being, and physical, mental, and emotional health of the child is not endangered by

Page 3 of 5

such visitation or other contact, the presumption in subsection (3) is rebutted and the court may allow visitation or other contact. The court shall enter a written order setting forth findings of fact and specifying any conditions it finds necessary to protect the child.

- (d) If the court finds the person did not rebut the presumption established in subsection (3), the court shall enter a written order setting forth findings of fact and prohibiting or restricting visitation or other contact with the child.
 - (6) ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS.-

85 l

- under subsection (3) or if visitation is ordered under subsection (4) and If a party or participant, based on communication with the child or other firsthand knowledge, informs the court that a person is attempting to influence the testimony of the child, the court shall hold a hearing within 7 business days to immediately suspend visitation or other contact. The court shall then hold a hearing and determine whether it is in the best interests of the child to prohibit or restrict visitation or other contact with the person who is alleged to have influenced the testimony of the child.
- (b) If a child is in therapy as a result of any <u>finding</u> of the allegations or <u>conviction</u> convictions contained in paragraph (3) (a) and the child's therapist reports that the visitation or other contact is impeding the child's therapeutic progress, the court shall convene a hearing within 7 business days to review the terms, conditions, or appropriateness of continued visitation or other contact.

113 Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2011.

Page 5 of 5

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Amendment No. 1

	COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMI	TTTEE ACTION
	ADOPTED	(Y/N)
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
	FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
	WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
	OTHER	
1	Committee/Subcommittee	hearing bill: Civil Justice Subcommittee
2	Representative(s) Steuk	oe offered the following:
3		
4	Amendment	
5	Remove line 27 and	d insert:
6	in any proceeding pursu	ant to this chapter visitation and

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 621 Child Custody

SPONSOR(S): Renuart

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1650

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Civil Justice Subcommittee		Woodburn	Bond N
2) Community & Military Affairs Subcommittee			
3) Judiciary Committee			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Where the parents of a minor child are living apart, the parents must develop a parenting plan to be approved by the court. The plan outlines the responsibilities and time-sharing arrangements of the parents. In general, a change in the parenting plan requires a parent to show a substantial, material, and unanticipated change in circumstances and that the modification is in the best interests of the child. However, there is special exception for a parent who is deployed pursuant to military service commitments.

When a parent is unable to comply with a time-sharing schedule because of military service, courts are precluded from modifying the judgment or order as it existed on the date the parent left for service. The court may, however, enter a temporary modification order only if there is clear and convincing evidence that such modification is in the best interests of the child. If the deployment will be over 90 days the parent has the option of designating a family member to exercise the time-sharing with the child on the parent's behalf. The court is required to reinstate the order previously in effect upon the military parent's return from service.

The bill provides that the activation, deployment, or temporary assignment to military service cannot be the sole factor in the court's decision to grant a temporary modification in the parenting plan. The bill also provides that within 10 days of notification from the parent of his or her return from duty, the court must reinstate the time-sharing order in effect prior to the deployment unless the court finds the original order is no longer in the best interest of the child.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on the state or on local governments.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h0621.CVJS.DOCX

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Time-Sharing After Dissolution of Marriage

Chapter 61, F.S., is titled "Dissolution of Marriage; Support; Time-Sharing." The purposes of the chapter are described as follows:

- To preserve the integrity of marriage and to safeguard meaningful family relationships; 1
- To promote the amicable settlement of disputes that arise between parties to a marriage;² and
- To mitigate the potential harm to the spouses and their children caused by the process of legal dissolution of marriage.³

Where the parents of a minor child are living apart, the parents must develop a parenting plan to be approved by the court.⁴ The parenting plan must, at a minimum, describe in adequate detail:

- How the parents will share and be responsible for the daily tasks associated with the upbringing
 of the child;
- The time-sharing schedule arrangements that specify the time that the minor child will spend with each parent;
- A designation of who will be responsible for any and all forms of health care, school-related
 matters, including the address to be used for school-boundary determination and registration,
 and other activities; and
- The methods and technologies that the parents will use to communicate with the child.⁵

Once the parenting plan and time-sharing schedule are approved by the court, modification requires a parent to show a substantial, material, and unanticipated change in circumstances and that the modification is in the best interests of the child.⁶

The Legislature has stated that it is the public policy of this state that each minor child have frequent and continuing contact with both parents after the parents separate or the marriage of the parents is dissolved. It is also articulated public policy to encourage parents to share the rights and responsibilities, and joys, of childrearing. There is no presumption in Florida for or against the father or mother of the child or for or against any specific time-sharing schedule when creating or modifying the parenting plan of the child. Florida courts determine all matters relating to parenting and time-sharing of each minor child of the parties in accordance with the best interests of the child. To determine the best interests of the child, the court will consider a list of factors that is enumerated in statute, but is not exhaustive. Some of the factors include: 1) capacity of each parent to have a close parent-child relationship; 2) length of time the child has lived in a stable environment; 3) moral fitness of the parents; 4) reasonable preference of the child; 5) evidence of violence, abuse, or neglect; and 6) developmental stages and needs of the child. The parents is the parents of the child.

⁵ Id.

¹ Section 61.001(2)(a), F.S.

² Section 61.001(2)(b), F.S.

³ Section 61.001(2)(c), F.S.

⁴ Section 61.13(2)(b), F.S. There are a variety of circumstances that initiate a court proceeding to establish a parenting plan, including: divorce, separation, establishment of paternity, and establishment of child support.

⁶ Section 61.13(3), F.S.

⁷ Section 61.13(2)(c)1., F.S.

⁸ *Id*.

⁹ *Id*.

¹⁰ Section 61.13(3), F.S.

¹¹ See s. 61.13(3)(a)-(t), F.S.

Time-Sharing and Military Parents

In addition to the numerous factors that Florida courts take into account in every time-sharing determination, the Legislature has recognized the need to consider the unique circumstances of parents serving in the military regarding modification of time-sharing. 12 When a parent is unable to comply with a time-sharing schedule because of military service, courts are precluded from modifying the judgment or order as it existed on the date the parent left for service. 13 The court may, however. enter a temporary modification order only if there is clear and convincing evidence that such modification is in the best interests of the child. 4 Before entering a temporary order for modification. courts are required to consider and provide for as much contact between the military parent and his or her child and to permit liberal time-sharing periods during leave from military service. 15 Additionally, if a parent cannot comply with time-sharing because he or she is away for military service in excess of 90 days, the parent has the option to designate a family member to exercise time-sharing with the child on the parent's behalf. 16

In the event that a temporary order to modify the time-sharing agreement is issued, the court is required to reinstate the order previously in effect upon the military parent's return from service. If good cause is shown, the court will hold an expedited hearing in custody and visitation matters and allow the military parent to appear remotely if military duties preclude him or her from appearing in person. 17

Effect of the Bill

This bill provides that a parent's activation, deployment, or temporary assignment to military service and the resulting temporary disruption to the child may not be the sole factor in a court's decision to grant a petition for or modification of time-sharing and parental responsibility.

The bill further provides that if such a temporary order is issued, the court must reinstate the timesharing order previously in effect before the military parent's activation, deployment, or temporary assignment to military service within 10 days after notification by that parent of his or her return from service. However, if the court finds that resumption of the original order is no longer in the child's best interest, the court does not have to restore the previous order. In such case, the nonmilitary parent has the burden of proving that the original order is no longer in the child's best interest.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 amends s. 61.13002, F.S., regarding child visitation

Section 2 provides an effective date of July 1, 2011.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1.	Revenues:	

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

STORAGE NAME: h0621.CVJS.DOCX

¹² Section 61.13002, F.S.

¹³ Section 61.13002(1), F.S.

¹⁴ *Id*.

¹⁵ *Id.*

¹⁶ Section 61.13002(2), F.S. ¹⁷ Section 61.13002(5), F.S.

B.	FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:
	1. Revenues: None.
	2. Expenditures: None.
C.	DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR: None.
D.	FISCAL COMMENTS: None.
	III. COMMENTS
A.	CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:
	Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision: Not Applicable. This bill does not appear to affect county or municipal governments.
	2. Other: None.
B.	RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY: None.
C.	DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS: None.
	IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES
n/a	

HB 621 2011

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to child custody; amending s. 61.13002, F.S.; providing that a parent's activation, deployment, or temporary assignment to military service and the resultant temporary disruption to the child may not be the sole factor in granting a petition for or modification of time-sharing and parental responsibility; providing that a time-sharing and parental responsibility order in effect before a temporary change due to a parent's military service shall automatically be reinstated after a specified period after return and notice by the returning parent; providing an exception; specifying burden of proof for the exception; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsections (1) and (4) of section 61.13002, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

19 61.13002 Temporary time-sharing modification and child 20 support modification due to military service.—

(1) If a supplemental petition or a motion for modification of time-sharing and parental responsibility is filed because a parent is activated, deployed, or temporarily assigned to military service and the parent's ability to comply with time-sharing is materially affected as a result, the court may not issue an order or modify or amend a previous judgment or order that changes time-sharing as it existed on the date the parent was activated, deployed, or temporarily assigned to

Page 1 of 2

HB 621 2011

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47 48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55 56 military service, except that a court may enter a temporary order to modify or amend time-sharing if there is clear and convincing evidence that the temporary modification or amendment is in the best interests of the child. However, a parent's activation, deployment, or temporary assignment to military service and the resultant temporary disruption to the child may not be the sole factor in a court's decision to grant a petition for or modification of time-sharing and parental responsibility. When entering a temporary order under this section, the court shall consider and provide for, if feasible, contact between the military servicemember and his or her child, including, but not limited to, electronic communication by webcam, telephone, or other available means. The court shall also permit liberal timesharing during periods of leave from military service, as it is in the child's best interests to maintain the parent-child bond during the parent's military service.

(4) If a temporary order is issued under this section, the court shall reinstate the time-sharing order previously in effect before the military parent's activation, deployment, or temporary assignment to military service, within 10 days after notification by that parent of his or her upon the servicemember parent's return from active military service, deployment, or temporary assignment, unless the court finds that resumption of the original order is no longer in the child's best interest. The nonmilitary parent bears the burden of proving that resumption of the original order is no longer in the child's best interest.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2011.

Amendment No. 1

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMI	TTEE ACTION
ADOPTED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	<u> </u>
OTHER	
Committee/Subcommittee	hearing bill: Civil Justice Subcommittee
Representative(s) Renua	rt offered the following:

Amendment (with directory and title amendments)

Remove lines 36-55 and insert:

for or modification of permanent time-sharing and parental responsibility. When entering a temporary order under this section, the court shall consider and provide for, if feasible, contact between the military servicemember and his or her child, including, but not limited to, electronic communication by webcam, telephone, or other available means. The court shall also permit liberal time-sharing during periods of leave from military service, as it is in the child's best interests to maintain the parent-child bond during the parent's military service.

Amendment No. 1

DIRECTORY AMENDMENT

Remove lines 17-18 and insert:

Remove lines 6-13 and insert:

Section 1. Subsection (1) of section 61.13002, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

24

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

TITLE AMENDMENT

factor in granting a petition for or modification of permanent time-sharing and parental responsibility; providing an effective date.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 661

Nursing Home Litigation Reform

SPONSOR(S): Gaetz, Harrison and others

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1396

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Civil Justice Subcommittee		Billmeier ムから	Bond W
2) Health & Human Services Committee			
3) Judiciary Committee			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

This bill affects nursing home litigation. Specifically, this bill:

- Provides a cap of \$250,000 on noneconomic damages in any claim for wrongful death in nursing home lawsuits, regardless of the number of claimants or defendants;
- Requires the court to hold an evidentiary hearing to determine if there is a reasonable basis to find that an officer, director or owner of a nursing home acted outside the scope of duties in order for a lawsuit to proceed against an officer, director, or owner of a nursing home;
- Requires a claimant to bring a lawsuit pursuant to either the statute relating to nursing home civil enforcement or the statute relating to abuse of vulnerable adults:
- Requires a claimant to elect survival damages or wrongful death damages not later than 60 days before trial;
- Changes statutes defining the elements in nursing home litigation cases and punitive damage cases against nursing homes;
- Requires the court to hold an evidentiary hearing before allowing a claim for punitive damages to proceed:
- Changes the method for calculating attorney fees in punitive damage cases and provides more situations where the punitive damages claim will be split between the claimant and the state; and
- Limits the use of federal and state survey reports in nursing home litigation.

This bill could have a positive fiscal impact on the state's Quality of Long-term Care Facility Improvement Trust Fund. This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local governments. This bill could might limit recovery amounts for claimants and their attorneys for noneconomic damages and for punitive damages, and correspondingly may lower insurance costs paid by nursing homes.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h0661.CVJS.DOCX

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background on Nursing Home Litigation

This bill revises numerous provisions of law related to litigation against nursing homes. Section 400.022, F.S., enumerates various rights of residents of nursing homes. Section 400.023, F.S., provides for civil enforcement of the rights of nursing home residents. Section 400.023, F.S., was substantially amended in 2001 as an attempt to deal with the perception of a lack of affordable insurance for nursing homes.1

Section 400.023, F.S., provides that any resident whose rights are violated by a nursing home has a cause of action against the nursing home.² If the action alleges a claim for resident's rights or for negligence that caused the death of the resident, the claimant³ is required to elect either survival damages pursuant to s. 46.021, F.S., or wrongful death damages pursuant to s. 768.21, F.S. If the action alleges a claim for resident's rights or for negligence that did not cause the death of the resident, the resident or personal representative of the estate may recover damages for the negligence that caused injury or death to the resident. To prevail in an action pursuant to s. 400.023, a claimant must show negligence by the defendant or a violation of resident's rights.⁵ A claimant may also recover punitive damages in some situations and the claimant's attorney may recover attorney fees in some situations.

Prior to bringing an action, a claimant must provide a notice of intent to initiate litigation. The notice of intent tolls the statute of limitations and allows the claimant and prospective defendants to engage in presuit discovery and mediation. If the case is not settled in this presuit stage, a claimant may file a lawsuit.6

The Agency for Health Care Administration provided information on the number of notices of intent filed:

FY 2009-2010 - 403 notices filed FY 2008-2009 - 320 notices filed FY 2007-2008 - 357 notices filed FY 2006-2007 - 337 notices filed FY 2005-2006 - 440 notices filed FY 2004-2005 - 471 notices filed FY 2003-2004 - 737 notices filed FY 2002-2003 - 927 notices filed FY 2001-2002 - 1153 notices filed.

This bill makes changes to various provisions of ch. 400, F.S., relating to nursing home litigation. Generally, this bill changes the requirements for suits against officers and directors, changes the distribution of punitive damage awards, provides restrictions on the use of certain evidence in nursing home cases, provides a cap on noneconomic damages in wrongful death actions and requires a more

¹ See ch. 2001-62, L.O.F. See also Senate Staff Analysis and Economic Impact Statement for CS/CS/SB 1202, April 12, 2001, at pp. 1-5.

² The action may be brought by the resident or his or her guardian, by a person or organization acting on behalf of a resident with the consent of the resident or his or her guardian, or by the personal representative of the estate of a deceased resident regardless of the cause of death. See s. 400.023(1), F.S.

³ Depending on the circumstances, a claimant can be the resident, the estate of the resident, or a family member of the resident.

⁴ Section 46.021, F.S., provides that no cause of action dies with a person. If a plaintiff dies during the litigation, the action can continue and the estate can collect damages.

⁵ See s. 400.023(2), F.S.

⁶ See s. 400.0233, F.S.

involved evidentiary hearing before the court can allow a claim for punitive damages to proceed. The specific changes are discussed below.

Named Defendants and Causes of Action in Nursing Home Cases

Background and Effect of the Bill

Section 400.023, F.S., provides that "any resident whose rights as specified in this part are violated shall have a cause of action." It does not limit who can be named as a defendant. This bill provides that any resident who alleges negligence or a violation of rights has a cause of action against the "licensee or its management company, as specifically identified in the application for nursing home licensure" and its direct caregiver employees.

Current law provides that ss. 400.023 - 400.0238, F.S., provide the exclusive remedy for a cause of action for personal injury or death of a nursing home resident or a violation of the resident's rights. It further provides that s. 400.023, F.S., "does not preclude theories of recovery not arising out of negligence or s. 400.022 which are available to the resident or to the agency." This bill removes that provision. This bill would provide that ss. 400.023 - 400.0238, F.S., provide the exclusive remedy in resident rights cases and cases involving the personal injury or wrongful death of resident. Any other claims would have to be brought outside of ss. 400.023 - 400.0238, F.S.

Liability of Employees, Officers, Directors, or Owners

Background

In *Estate of Canavan v. National Healthcare Corp.*, 889 So.2d 825 (Fla. 2d DCA 2004), the court considered whether the managing member of a limited liability company could be held personally liable for damages suffered by a resident in a nursing home. The claimant argued the managing member, Friedbauer, could be held liable:

[Claimant] argues that the concept of piercing the corporate veil does not apply in the case of a tort and that it presented sufficient evidence of Friedbauer negligence, by act or omission, for the jury to reasonably conclude that Friedbauer caused harm to Canavan. [Claimant] argues that Friedbauer had the responsibility of approving the budget for the nursing home. He also functioned as the sole member of the "governing body" of the nursing home, and pursuant to federal regulation, the governing body is legally responsible for establishing and implementing policies regarding the management and operation of the facility and for appointing the administrator who is responsible for the management of the facility. Friedbauer was thus required by federal mandate to create, approve, and implement the facility's policies and procedures. Because he ignored complaints of inadequate staffing while cutting the operating expenses, and because the problems Canavan suffered, pressure sores, infections, poor hygiene, malnutrition and dehydration, were the direct result of understaffing, [claimant] argues that a reasonable jury could have found that Friedbauer's elevation of profit over patient care was negligent.⁷

The trial court granted a directed verdict in favor of Freidbauer, finding that there was no basis upon which a corporate officer could be held liable. On appeal, the court reversed:

We conclude that the trial court erred in granting the directed verdict because there was evidence by which the jury could have found that Friedbauer's negligence in ignoring the documented problems at the facility contributed to the harm suffered by Canavan. This was not a case in which the plaintiffs were required to pierce the corporate veil in order to establish individual liability because Friedbauer's alleged negligence constituted

⁷ Estate of Canavan v. National Healthcare Corp., 889 So.2d 825, 826 (Fla. 2d DCA 1994). STORAGE NAME: h0661.CVJS.DOCX

tortious conduct, which is not shielded from individual liability. We, therefore, reverse the order granting the directed verdict and remand for a new trial against Friedbauer.⁸

Effect of this Bill

This bill provides that a cause of action cannot be asserted against an "employee,⁹ officer, director, owner, including any designated as having a 'controlling interesting'¹⁰ on the application for nursing home licensure, or agent of licensee or management company" unless the court determines there is a reasonable basis that:

- (1) The officer, director, owner, or agent breached, failed to perform, or acted outside the scope of duties as an officer, director, owner, or agent; and
- (2) The breach, failure to perform, or conduct outside the scope of duties is a legal cause of the damage.

The court must make this finding at an evidentiary hearing after considering evidence in the record and evidence proffered by the claimant.

"Scope of duties as an officer, director, owner, or agent" is not defined by this bill. The parties would have to present evidence on what the "scope of duties" as an officer, director, owner, or agent are in each case and the trial judge would have to determine whether there is a reasonable basis for the jury to conclude that there was a breach of duty and damage to the claimant.

Limitations on Causes of Action for Violations of Criminal Statutes

Background

Section 415.111, F.S., provides criminal penalties for failing to report abuse of a vulnerable adult, for making certain confidential information public, for refusing to grant access to certain records, and for filing false reports relating to abuse of a vulnerable adult. Section 415.111, F.S., does not specifically provide for a civil cause of action while s. 415.1111, F.S., provides for a civil cause of action in some situations.

Section 415.1111, F.S., provides a cause of action where a vulnerable adult¹¹ who has been abused, neglected, or exploited has a cause of action and can recover damages, punitive damages, and attorney fees. However, any action brought against a licensee or entity that establishes, controls, manages, or operates a nursing home must be brought under s. 400.023, F.S.

One court has specifically held that no civil cause of action exists for failing to report abuse of vulnerable adult pursuant to s. 415.111. The court explained:

It is evident that the legislature considered both civil and criminal penalties under this statute, but subjected only actual perpetrators of abuse to civil penalties. This is strong

¹² See Mora v. South Broward Hosp. Dist., 710 So.2d 633 (Fla. 4th DCA 1998).

⁸ Estate of Canavan v. National Healthcare Corp., 889 So.2d 825, 826-827 (Fla. 2d DCA 1994)(citations omitted).

⁹ See Section III.C. "Drafting Issues and Other Comments" of this analysis.

¹⁰ Section 400.071, F.S., governs applications for licensure for nursing homes. It references s. 408.803, F.S., where "controlling interest" is defined. "Controlling interest" means: "(a) The applicant or licensee; (b) A person or entity that serves as an officer of, is on the board of directors of, or has a 5-percent or greater ownership interest in the applicant or licensee; or (c) A person or entity that serves as an officer of, is on the board of directors of, or has a 5-percent or greater ownership interest in the management company or other entity, related or unrelated, with which the applicant or licensee contracts to manage the provider. The term does not include a voluntary board member." s. 408.803(7), F.S.

[&]quot;Vulnerable adult" means "means a person 18 years of age or older whose ability to perform the normal activities of daily living or to provide for his or her own care or protection is impaired due to a mental, emotional, sensory, long-term physical, or developmental disability or dysfunction, or brain damage, or the infirmities of aging." Section 415.102(27), F.S.

evidence of a legislative intent not to provide a civil cause of action for victims against those who fail to report the abuse as required by this act.¹³

Effect of this Bill

This bill provides that if a cause of action is brought by or on behalf of a resident under Part II of ch. 400, F.S., then a cause of action may not be asserted under s. 415.111, F.S., against an employee, officer, director, owner, or agent of the licensee or management company.¹⁴

Election of Damages

Background

Section 400.023, F.S., requires that in cases where the action alleges a claim for resident's rights or for negligence that caused the death of the resident, a claimant must elect either survival damages¹⁵ or wrongful death damages.¹⁶ The statute does not provide a time certain for a claimant to make an election. In *In re Estate of Trollinger*, 9 So.3d 667 (Fla. 2d DCA 2009), the trial court forced a claimant to make an election at the time of the initial complaint and the appellate court held that certiorari review was not available because any error could be corrected by a subsequent appeal. The court noted that s. 400.023(1), F.S., is "silent as to whether the election of remedies must be made at the pleading stage or at the end of trial."¹⁷

Judge Altenbernd argued that the claimant should not have to make an election with the initial pleading:

[The statute] requires the personal representative to elect to receive only one of the two different measures of damages that are available in such a case. The statute does not require the personal representative to choose to pursue only one of the two different causes of action available to the personal representative. It certainly does not state that the election must be made in the complaint...

Even if one assumes that section 400.023(1) requires a plaintiff to elect one cause of action, this election of a claim would not logically occur at the pleading stage. If the plaintiff is required to elect one measure of damages, there is little reason why this election cannot take place after the jury returns its verdict. Election of remedies is a somewhat complex theory, but it is generally designed to prevent a double recovery, which can be avoided in this case even if the jury is presented with a verdict form containing both theories.

The personal representative's two theories are factually and legally distinct. One theory requires proof that negligence caused only injury and the other theory requires proof that negligence caused death. In Florida, a standard verdict form asks the jury to decide whether there was negligence on the part of the defendant which was a legal cause of damage to the plaintiff. If the jury is instructed on only one of the causes of action and the damages appropriate under that theory, there is nothing in the verdict form to demonstrate that the verdict forecloses an action on the other theory for the damages available under the other theory. In other words, if a jury were to find that an act of negligence did not cause wrongful death damages, that verdict would not prevent another jury from finding that an act of negligence caused survivorship damages. Thus,

¹³ Mora v. South Broward Hosp. Dist., 710 So.2d 633, 634 (Fla. 4th DCA 1998).

¹⁴ See Section III.C. "Drafting Issues and Other Comments" of this analysis.

¹⁵ Section 46.021, F.S., provides that no cause of action dies with the person. Accordingly, if a resident brings a claim for a violation of resident's rights or negligence and dies during the pendency of the claim, the action may continue and the resident's estate may recover the damages that the resident could have recovered if the resident had lived until the end of the litigation.

¹⁶ Section 768.21, F.S., provides for damages that may be recovered by the estate of a resident and the resident's family in a wrongful death action.

¹⁷ In re Estate of Trollinger, 9 So.3d 667, 668 (Fla. 2d DCA 2009).

whichever theory is tried first, the trial court is likely to be called upon to try the second theory later. 18 (internal citations omitted).

Effect of this Bill

This bill amends s. 400.023(1), F.S., to require the claimant to choose between survival damages under s. 46.021, F.S., or wrongful death damages under s. 768.21, F.S., at the end of discovery but not later than 60 days before trial. 19 As Trollinger indicates, current law is unclear. It might allow such an election to be made at the end of trial or might allow the trial court to require an election be made with the complaint.²⁰ This bill requires that the election be made at a time certain before trial.

Cap on Noneconomic Damages

Background

Current law provides no cap on the recovery of noneconomic damages in wrongful death actions brought under s. 400.023, F.S. "Economic" damages are damages such as loss of earnings, loss of net accumulations, medical expenses, and funeral expenses.²¹ "Noneconomic damages" are damages for which there is no exact standard for fixing compensation such as mental pain and suffering and loss of companionship or protection.²²

Effect of this Bill

This bill provides a cap of \$250,000 on noneconomic damages in any claim for wrongful death brought under s. 400.023, F.S., regardless of the number of claimants or defendants.²³ This bill does not cap noneconomic damages in negligence cases that do not involve a wrongful death brought under s. 400.023, F.S.

Attorney Fees in Actions for Injunctive Relief

Background and Effect of this Bill

A resident may bring an action seeking injunctive relief in court or bring an administrative action to force a licensee to take an action or cease taking some action. Current law provides that a resident is entitled to attorney fees not to exceed \$25,000, and costs if the resident prevails when seeking injunctive relief. This bill provides that a resident "may" recover attorney fees and costs if the resident prevails.

Elements in a Civil Actions Under s. 400.023, F.S.

Background

Section 400.023(2), F.S., provides that in any claim alleging a violation of resident's rights or alleging that negligence caused injury to or the death of a resident, the claimant must prove, by a preponderance of the evidence:

- (a) The defendant owed a duty to the resident;
- (b) The defendant breached the duty to the resident:

¹⁸ In re Estate of Trollinger, 9 So.3d 667, 669 (Fla. 2d DCA 2009)(Altenbernd, J., concurring).

¹⁹ See Section III.A.2., Constitutional Issues, of this analysis.

²⁰ The Trollinger court did not hold that the election must be made at the pleading stage. It held that certiorari review, a high standard, was not available. There is no subsequent appellate court decision resolving the issue left open in Trollinger.

²¹ See generally Florida Standard Jury Instructions in Civil Cases, s. 502.2. (accessed at http://www.floridasupremecourt.org/civ jury instructions/instructions.shtml#500).

²² See generally Florida Standard Jury Instructions in Civil Cases, s. 502.2. (accessed at http://www.floridasupremecourt.org/civ jury instructions/instructions.shtml#500).

²³ See Section III.A.2., Constitutional Issues, of this analysis.

- (c) The breach of the duty is a legal cause of loss, injury, death, or damage to the resident; and
- (d) The resident sustained loss, injury, death, or damage as a result of the breach.

The Florida Supreme Court has set forth the elements of a negligence action:

- 1. A duty, or obligation, recognized by the law, requiring the [defendant] to conform to a certain standard of conduct, for the protection of others against unreasonable risks.
- 2. A failure on the [defendant's] part to conform to the standard required: a breach of the duty....
- 3. A reasonably close causal connection between the conduct and the resulting injury. This is what is commonly known as "legal cause," or "proximate cause," and which includes the notion of cause in fact.
- 4. Actual loss or damage...²⁴ (emphasis added).

Current law provides in any claim brought pursuant to s. 400.023, F.S., a licensee, person, or entity has the duty to exercise "reasonable care" and nurses have the duty to exercise care "consistent with the prevailing professional standard of care." Standards of care are set forth in current law. Section 400.023(3), F.S., provides that a licensee, person, or entity shall have a duty to exercise reasonable care. Nurses have the duty to "exercise care consistent with the prevailing professional standard of care for a nurse."

Effect of this Bill

This bill provides:

In any claim brought pursuant to this part alleging a violation of resident's rights or negligence causing injury to or the death of a resident, the claimant shall have the burden of proving, by a preponderance of the evidence, that:

- (a) The defendant breached the applicable standard of care; and
- (b) The breach is a legal cause of **actual** loss, injury, death, or damage to the resident. (emphasis added).

This bill provides that a claimant bringing a claim pursuant to ch. 400, F.S., must show the defendant breached the applicable standard of care and that the breach is the legal cause of actual loss, injury, death, or damage. The "actual" loss addition to the statute is from Florida Supreme Court case law.

Punitive Damages

Current law provides for recovery of punitive damages by a claimant. Punitive damages "are not compensation for injury. Instead, they are private fines levied by civil juries to punish reprehensible conduct and to deter its future occurrence." Punitive damages are generally limited to three times the amount of compensatory damages or \$1 million, whichever is greater. Damages can exceed \$1 million if the jury finds that the wrongful conduct was motivated primarily by unreasonable financial gain and determines that the unreasonably dangerous nature of the conduct, together with the high

STORAGE NAME: h0661.CVJS.DOCX

²⁴ United States v. Stevens, 994 So.2d 1062, 1066 (Fla. 2008).

²⁵ See s. 400.023(1), F.S.

²⁶ "Reasonable care" is defined as "that degree of care which a reasonably careful licensee, person, or entity would use under like circumstances." Section 400.023(3), F.S.

²⁷ "The prevailing professional standard of care for a nurse shall be that level of care, skill, and treatment which, in light of all relevant surrounding circumstances, is recognized as acceptable and appropriate by reasonably prudent similar nurses." s. 400.023(4), F.S. ²⁸ Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc., 418 U.S. 323, 350 (1974).

²⁹ See s. 400.0238(1)(a), F.S.

likelihood of injury resulting from the conduct, was actually known by the managing agent, director, officer, or other person responsible for making policy decisions on behalf of the defendant.³⁰ If the jury finds that the defendant had a specific intent to harm the claimant and determines that the defendant's conduct did in fact harm the claimant, there is be no cap on punitive damages.³¹

Background - Evidentiary Requirements to Bring a Punitive Damages Claims

Section 400.0237(1), F.S., provides:

In any action for damages brought under this part, no claim for punitive damages shall be permitted unless there is a reasonable showing by evidence in the record or proffered by the claimant which would provide a reasonable basis for recovery of such damages. The claimant may move to amend her or his complaint to assert a claim for punitive damages as allowed by the rules of civil procedure. The rules of civil procedure shall be liberally construed so as to allow the claimant discovery of evidence which appears reasonably calculated to lead to admissible evidence on the issue of punitive damages. No discovery of financial worth shall proceed until after the pleading concerning punitive damages is permitted.

A court discussed how a claimant can make a proffer to assert a punitive damage claim:

[A] 'proffer' according to traditional notions of the term, connotes merely an 'offer' of evidence and neither the term standing alone nor the statute itself calls for an adjudication of the underlying veracity of that which is submitted, much less for countervailing evidentiary submissions. Therefore, a proffer is merely a representation of what evidence the defendant proposes to present and is not actual evidence. A reasonable showing by evidence in the record would typically include depositions, interrogatories, and requests for admissions that have been filed with the court. Hence, an evidentiary hearing where witnesses testify and evidence is offered and scrutinized under the pertinent evidentiary rules, as in a trial, is neither contemplated nor mandated by the statute in order to determine whether a reasonable basis has been established to plead punitive damages. ³², ³³

Punitive damages claims are often raised after the initial complaint has been filed. Once a claimant has discovered enough evidence that the claimant believes justifies a punitive damage claim, the claimant files a motion to amend the complaint to add a punitive damage action. The trial judge considers the evidence presented and proffered by the claimant to determine whether the claim should proceed.

Effect of this Bill - Evidentiary Requirements to Bring a Punitive Damages Claims

This bill provides that a claimant may not bring a claim for punitive damages unless there is a showing of admissible evidence proffered by the parties that provides a reasonable basis for recovery of punitive damages. This bill requires the trial judge to conduct an evidentiary hearing. The trial judge must find there is reasonable basis to believe the claimant will be able to demonstrate, by clear and convincing evidence, that the recovery of punitive damages is warranted. The effect of these requirements is (1) to limit the trial judge's consideration to admissible evidence. Current law does not require a showing of admissibility at this stage of the proceedings; and (2) to provide that the claimant and defendant may present evidence and have the trial judge weigh the evidence to make its determination. Current law contemplates that the claimant will proffer evidence and the court, considering the proffer in the light

³⁰ See s. 400.0238(1)(b), F.S.

³¹ See s. 400.0238(1)(c), F.S.

³² Estate of Despain v. Avante Group, Inc., 900 So.2d 637, 642 (Fla. 5th DCA 2005)(internal citations omitted).

³³ The *Despain* court was discussing a prior version of the punitive damages statute relating to nursing home litigation but the language in that statute is the same in that statute and current law.

most favorable to the claimant, will determine whether there is a reasonable basis to allow the claimant's punitive damages case to proceed.³⁴,³⁵

Current law provides that the rules of civil procedure are to be liberally construed to allow the claimant discovery of admissible evidence on the issue of punitive damages. This bill removes that provision from statute. Discovery in civil cases is governed by the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. Since the rules govern discovery, it is not clear what effect, if any, removing this provision from statute would have on current practice.

Background - Individual Liability for Punitive Damages

Section 400.0237(2), F.S., provides:

A defendant may be held liable for punitive damages only if the trier of fact, based on clear and convincing evidence, finds that the defendant was personally guilty of intentional misconduct³⁶ or gross negligence.³⁷

Effect of this Bill - Individual Liability for Punitive Damages

This bill provides that a defendant, including the licensee or management company against whom punitive damages is sought, may be held liable for punitive damages only if the trier of fact, based on clear and convincing evidence, finds that "a specific individual or corporate defendant actively and knowingly participated in intentional misconduct or engaged in conduct that constituted gross negligence and contributed to the loss, damages, or injury" suffered by the claimant.

The current standard jury instructions provide for punitive damages if the defendant was "personally guilty of intentional misconduct." This bill requires that the defendant "actively and knowingly participated in intentional misconduct."

Background - Vicarious Liability for Punitive Damages

Punitive damages claims are sometimes brought under a theory of vicarious liability where an employer is held responsible for the acts of an employee. Section 400.0273(3), F.S., provides:

In the case of an employer, principal, corporation, or other legal entity, punitive damages may be imposed for the conduct of an employee or agent only if the conduct of the employee or agent meets the criteria specified in subsection (2)³⁹ and:

- (a) The employer, principal, corporation, or other legal entity actively and knowingly participated in such conduct;
- (b) The officers, directors, or managers of the employer, principal, corporation, or other legal entity condoned, ratified, or consented to such conduct; or
- (c) The employer, principal, corporation, or other legal entity engaged in conduct that constituted gross negligence and that contributed to the loss, damages, or injury suffered by the claimant.

STORAGE NAME: h0661.CVJS.DOCX

³⁴ See Estate of Despain v. Avante Group, Inc., 900 So.2d 637, 644 (Fla. 5th DCA 2005).

³⁵ See See Section III.A.2., Constitutional Issues, of this analysis.

³⁶ "Intentional misconduct" is actual knowledge of the wrongfulness of the conduct and the high probability that injury or damage to the claimant will result and, despite that knowledge, intentionally pursuing a course of conduct that results in injury or damage. See s. 400.0237(2)(a), F.S.

³⁷ "Gross negligence" is conduct that is reckless or wanting in care such that it constitutes a conscious disregard or indifference to the life, safety, or rights of persons exposed to such conduct. See s. 400.0237(2)(b), F.S.

³⁸ Standard Jury Instructions in Civil Cases, 503.1, Punitive Damages - Bifurcated Procedure.

³⁹ Criteria are whether the defendant was personally guilty of intentional misconduct or gross negligence.

Effect of this Bill - Vicarious Liability for Punitive Damages

This bill provides that in the case of vicarious liability of an employer, principal, corporation, or other legal entity, punitive damages may not imposed for the conduct of an employee or agent unless:

- A specifically identified employee or agent actively and knowingly participated in intentional
 misconduct or engaged in conduct that constituted gross negligence and contributed to the loss,
 damages, or injury suffered by the claimant; and
- An officer, director, or manager of the actual employer, corporation, or legal entity condoned, ratified, or consented to the specific conduct alleged.

Background - Attorney Fees in Punitive Damages Actions

Current law provides that to the extent a claimant's attorney's fees are based on punitive damages, the attorney fees are calculated based on the final judgment for punitive damages. The amount of punitive damages awarded is divided equally between the Quality of Long-Term Care Facility Improvement Trust Fund and the claimant. The statute also provides for a split of any settlement by the parties that is reached after the verdict.

Current law does require that any portion of a punitive damages settlement that is reached before a verdict to be divided with the Quality of Long-Term Care Facility Improvement Trust Fund. According to the Agency for Health Care Administration, no money has been collected for the Fund pursuant to s. 400.0238, F.S.

Effect of this Bill - Attorney Fees in Punitive Damages Actions

This bill changes how attorney fees are calculated in punitive damages actions. It requires that attorney fees be calculated based on the claimant's share of punitive damages rather than the final judgment for punitive damages. This bill provides that if a claimant receives a final judgment for punitive damages or settles a case in which the claimant was granted leave to amend the complaint to add a punitive damages claim, the punitive award is divided equally between the claimant and the Quality of Long-Term Care Facility Improvement Trust Fund. The award is divided before any distribution to the claimant or claimant's counsel.

This bill further provides that if the parties enter into a settlement agreement at any point after the claimant is allowed to amend the agreement⁴⁵ to add a count for punitive damages, 50% of the total settlement amount is considered to be the punitive award. This bill provides that the punitive award is divided equally between the claimant and the Quality of Long-Term Care Facility Improvement Trust Fund before any distribution for attorney fees and costs. This bill prohibits the parties from altering the allocation by agreement.

This bill provides that settlement of a claim after the claimant has been allowed to amend the complaint to add a punitive damages count is not an admission of liability and is not governed by s. 400.0238, F.S. 46

⁴⁰ Section 400.0238(2), F.S.

⁴¹ A final judgment is an order entered by the trial judge after a jury verdict or a trial before the judge.

⁴² Section 400.0239(1), F.S., creates the "Quality of Long-Term Care Facility Improvement Trust Fund." The Fund supports activities and programs directly related to improvement of the care of nursing home and assisted living facility residents.

⁴³ Section 400.0238(4), F.S.

⁴⁴ Section 400.0238(4)(b), F.S.

⁴⁵ See Section III.C. "Drafting Issues and Other Comments" of this analysis.

⁴⁶ See Section III.C. "Drafting Issues and Other Comments" of this analysis.

Background - Nursing Home Surveys

Section 400.23, F.S., requires the Agency for Health Care Administration ("AHCA") to promulgate and enforce rules relating to the safety and care of nursing home residents. AHCA is required to evaluate all facilities at least every 15 months.⁴⁷ AHCA is specifically required to adopt rules relating to minimum staffing requirements.⁴⁸ Such requirements include a minimum weekly average of certified nursing assistant and licensed nursing staffing, a minimum daily staffing of certified nursing assistants, specified staffing ratios, and specific amounts of care per resident per day.⁴⁹

When AHCA does a survey to determine whether a nursing home is violating statutes or rules, it is required to classify the deficiencies according to the nature and scope of the deficiency.⁵⁰ The classifications are as follows:

- A class I deficiency is a deficiency that the agency determines presents a situation in which
 immediate corrective action is necessary because the facility's noncompliance has caused, or is
 likely to cause, serious injury, harm, impairment, or death to a resident receiving care in a
 facility.
- A class II deficiency is a deficiency that the agency determines has compromised the resident's
 ability to maintain or reach his or her highest practicable physical, mental, and psychosocial
 well-being, as defined by an accurate and comprehensive resident assessment, plan of care,
 and provision of services.
- A class III deficiency is a deficiency that the agency determines will result in no more than
 minimal physical, mental, or psychosocial discomfort to a resident or has the potential to
 compromise a resident's ability to maintain or reach his or her highest practical physical, mental,
 or psychosocial well-being, as defined by an accurate and comprehensive resident assessment,
 plan of care, and provision of services.
- A class IV deficiency is a deficiency that the agency determines has the potential for causing no more than a minor negative impact on the resident. If the class IV deficiency is isolated, no plan of correction is required.⁵¹

AHCA can cite violators and impose penalties including fines or revocation of licenses for violations.

Evidence of understaffing is sometimes used to show negligence and show an entitlement to punitive damages.⁵²

Effect of this Bill - Use of Survey Reports in Punitive Damages Actions

This bill provides that state or federal survey reports may not be used to establish an entitlement to punitive damages.

STORAGE NAME: h0661.CVJS.DOCX

⁴⁷ Section 400.23(7), F.S.

⁴⁸ Section 400.23(3), F.S.

⁴⁹ Section 400.23(3), F.S.

⁵⁰ Section 400.023(8), F.S.

⁵¹ Section 400.023(8), F.S.

⁵² See e.g. Estate of Despain v. Avante Group, Inc., 900 So.2d 637, 645 (Fla. 5th DCA 2005) ("As to the vicarious liability of the corporate entities, the record evidence and proffer shows that the facility was not adequately staffed, which contributed to the inability to provide the decedent with proper care, and that numerous records regarding the decedent's care were incomplete, missing, or had been fabricated, which made assessment, treatment, and referrals of the decedent much more difficult. We believe that this showing established a reasonable basis to conclude that the corporate entities were negligent. Accordingly, Despain established a reasonable basis to plead a claim for punitive damages based on the theory of vicarious liability).

Evidence Relating to Compliance with Staffing Requirements

Effect of this Bill

This bill provides that if the licensee demonstrates compliance with the minimum staffing requirements, the licensee is entitled to a presumption that appropriate staffing was provided and the claimant is not permitted to present any testimony or other evidence of understaffing. The testimony or other evidence is only permissible for days which it can be demonstrated that the licensee was not in compliance with the minimum staffing requirements.

This bill further provides that evidence that the licensee was staffed by an insufficient number of nursing assistants or licensed nurses may not be qualified or admitted on behalf of a resident who makes a claim, unless the licensee received a class I, class II, or uncorrected class III deficiency from AHCA for failure to comply with the minimum staffing requirements <u>and</u> the claimant resident was identified by AHCA as having suffered actual harm because of that failure.

Deficiencies Found in Nursing Home Surveys

Effect of this Bill

This bill provides that a deficiency identified by the agency in a nursing home survey is generally not admissible in nursing home negligence litigation. However, this bill also provides an exception and allows the introduction of a survey if the survey cites the resident on whose behalf the action is brought and AHCA determines the resident sustained actual harm as a result of the deficiency.

This bill also provides that a survey may be admitted if a claimant was a member of a survey resident roster or otherwise was the subject of any survey by AHCA and AHCA did not allege or determine that any deficiency occurred with respect to that claimant during that survey. The absence of a deficiency may be used by the licensee to refute an allegation of neglect or noncompliance with regulatory standards.

Effective Date

This bill takes effect on July 1, 2011, and applies to causes of action arising on or after that date.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 amends s. 400.023, F.S., relating to civil enforcement.

Section 2 amends s. 400.0237, F.S., relating to punitive damages; pleading; burden of proof.

Section 3 amends s. 400.0238, F.S., relating to punitive damages; limitation.

Section 4 amends s. 400.23, F.S., relating to rules; evaluation and deficiencies; licensure status.

Section 5 provides that this bill takes effect on July 1, 2011, and applies to causes of action accruing on or after that date.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

This bill provides that the punitive damages portion of settlements in cases involving punitive damages must be divided equally, before any distribution to claimant's counsel for costs and fees, between the claimant and the Quality of Long-Term Care Facility Improvement Trust Fund. Since

STORAGE NAME: h0661.CVJS.DOCX DATE: 3/30/2011

current law does not require such a distribution in all cases, the Quality of Long-Term Care Facility Improvement Trust Fund could see an increase in revenues. The amount of revenues, if any, is not known.

2. Expenditures:

None.

- **B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:**
 - 1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

Claimants could see smaller awards in settlements of punitive damages cases because a greater portion of the settlement will be distributed to the Quality of Long-Term Care Facility Improvement Trust Fund. Attorneys could see lower attorney fees in such punitive damage cases. The fiscal impact is not known.

The Agency for Health Care Administration provided the following comments:

The fiscal impact to the Agency will arise out of the use of survey deficiencies to prove adequate staffing issues (see page 11, lines 281-296 of bill) and the use of survey results to prove or rebute negligence (see page 12, lines 319-331). Currently, the Agency already experiences complaints filed to bolster claims. Under this bill, Agency findings are a prerequisite to staffing claims and evidence for or against other negligence. It can be easily anticipated that complaints requiring surveyor time and expense will be filed for litigation purposes. It is also certain that in the case where such deficiencies might be settled by the Agency without formal hearing, litigating parties will require discovery and testimony in the civil actions from Agency surveyors to substantiate the survey findings. Additionally, virtually all presuit investigation will include a public records request. These will result in expense to the Agency. The fiscal impact cannot be determined at this time as the Agency cannot say with surety how many more complaints, public records requests or requests for surveyors to testify in civil cases will be received as a result of this legislation.⁵³

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:
 Not Applicable. This bill does not appear to affect county or municipal governments.

2. Other:

STORAGE NAME: h0661.CVJS.DOCX

⁵³ See Agency for Health Care Administration 2011 Bill Analysis & Economic Impact Statement at pp. 1-2 (on file with the Civil Justice Subcommittee).

Authority of the Supreme Court to Adopt Court Rules

Article V, s. 2(a), Fla. Const., provides that the Supreme Court shall adopt rules of practice and procedure in the courts.

Lines 66-77 of this bill require the claimant to elect "at the end of discovery but not later than 60 days before trial" whether to claim survival damages or wrongful death damages. It can be argued that requiring a claimant to make an election at a time certain encroaches on the court's authority to make rules. However, one court has addressed the current law on election of remedies and indicated the Legislature might be able to set a time certain for election:

Section 400.023(1) is silent as to whether the election of remedies must be made at the pleading stage or at the end of trial, and this appears to be an issue of first impression.⁵⁴

While the court did not consider the constitutionality of the statute in that case, it did imply that the Legislature could address the issue in statute.

Section 2 of this bill requires the trial court to hold an evidentiary hearing relating to the issues of punitive damages. It can be argued this bill requires the court to adopt a specific procedure. However, requirements that trial judges hold hearings on punitive damage claims have been in law since at least 2001 and to date no court has held those provisions unconstitutional.

Section 4 of this bill contains provisions related the admissibility of evidence such as evidence of understaffing and evidence of survey deficiencies. The Florida Supreme Court has held that portions of the Florida Evidence Code are substantive and portions are procedural. To the extent the exclusion of evidence in this bill is procedural, a court could hold the restriction violates art. V, s. 2(a), Fla. Const.

Access to Courts

Lines 69-71 of this bill provides a cap on noneconomic damages in wrongful death actions brought under section 400.023, F.S. Caps on noneconomic damages are subject to review under art. I, s. 21, Fla. Const. The constitution provides that the courts shall be open to every person for redress of any injury, and justice shall be administered without sale, denial or delay. In *Kluger v. White*, 281 So.2d 1 (Fla. 1973), the Florida Supreme Court held that:

[w]here a right of access to the courts for redress for a particular injury has been provided...the Legislature is without power to abolish such a right without providing a reasonable alternative to protect the rights of the people of the State to redress for injuries, unless the Legislature can show an overpowering public necessity for the abolishment of such right, and no alternative method of meeting such public necessity can be shown.⁵⁵

The Florida Supreme Court in *Kluger* invalidated a statute that required a minimum of \$550 in property damages arising from an automobile accident before a lawsuit could be brought. Based upon the *Kluger* test, the Florida Supreme Court has also invalidated a portion of a tort reform statute that placed a cap on all noneconomic damages because the statute did not provide claimants with a commensurate benefit.⁵⁶ Thus, the Legislature cannot restrict damages by either enacting a minimum damage amount or a monetary cap on damages without meeting the *Kluger* test.

The caps on noneconomic damages in medical malpractice cases, found in ss. 766.207 and 766.209, F.S., have been found by the Florida Supreme Court to meet the *Kluger* test and are not violative of the access to courts provision in the Florida Constitution. In *University of Miami v.*

⁵⁴ Estate of Trollinger, 9 So.3d 667, 668 (Fla. 2d DCA 2009).

⁵⁵ Kluger v. White, 281 So2d 1, 4 (Fla. 1973).

⁵⁶ See Smith v. Dept. of Insurance, 507 So.2d 1080 (Fla. 1987).

Echarte, 618 So.2d 189 (Fla. 1993), the court ruled that the arbitration scheme met both prongs of the *Kluger* test. First, the court held that the arbitration scheme provided claimants with a commensurate benefit for the loss of the right to fully recover noneconomic damages as the claimant has the opportunity to receive prompt recovery without the risk and uncertainty of litigation or having to prove fault in a civil trial. Additionally, the claimant benefits from: reduced costs of attorney and expert witness fees which would be required to prove liability; joint and several liability of multiple defendants; prompt payment of damages after determination by the arbitration panel; interest penalties against the defendant for failure to promptly pay the arbitration award; and limited appellate review of the arbitration award.

Second, the court in *Echarte* ruled that, even if the medical malpractice arbitration statutes did not provide a commensurate benefit, the statutes satisfied the second prong of *Kluger* which requires a legislative finding that an overpowering public necessity exists, and further that no alternative method of meeting such public necessity can be shown. The court found that the Legislature's factual and policy findings of a medical malpractice crisis constituted an overpowering public necessity. The court also ruled that the record supported the conclusion that no alternative or less onerous method existed for meeting the public necessity of ending the medical malpractice crisis. The court explained, "...it is clear that both the arbitration statute, with its conditional limits on recovery of noneconomic damages, and the strengthened regulation of the medical profession are necessary to meet the medical malpractice insurance crisis."⁵⁷

This bill limits the recovery of noneconomic damages. If the cap is challenged, the court would scrutinize this limitation based on the rulings in *Kluger* and its progeny. Accordingly, the court would have to determine whether this bill provided a claimant with a reasonable alternative to the right to recover full noneconomic damages. If not, the courts would look to see whether this bill was a response to an overpowering public necessity and that no alternative method of meeting such public necessity could have been shown.

Right to a Jury Trial

Article I, s. 22, Fla. Const., provides for right to a trial by jury. This bill contains provisions that limit the admissibility of certain evidence unless AHCA has made certain findings. Specifically, lines 295 and 296 provide that evidence of understaffing cannot be admitted unless AHCA makes a finding that the claimant suffered harm due to a deficiency and lines 323-324 provide that certain evidence cannot be admitted unless AHCA finds that the claimant suffered actual harm. In *National Airlines*, *Inc. v. Florida Equipment Co. of Miami*, 71 So.2d 741, 744 (Fla. 1954), the Florida Supreme Court warned that it "peculiarly within the province of the jury" to draw inferences from facts and determine the ultimate facts. It could be argued that these provisions make AHCA, rather than the jury, the ultimate finder of fact if the issue in the case is whether the claimant suffered actual harm.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

Line 42 says that a cause of action may not be asserted against an "employee" unless the "officer, director, owner, or agent breached, failed to perform, or acted outside the scope of duties as an officer, director, owner, or agent." This appears to limit causes of action against employees to situations only to situations where another party is responsible for the harm.

Lines 55-58 of this bill provide that if a cause of action is brought by or on behalf of a resident under Part II of Chapter 400, F.S., then a cause of action may not be asserted under s. 415.111, F.S., against an employee, officer, director, owner, or agent of the licensee or management company. The limitation applies to everyone who might bring a cause of action and not just causes of action by or on behalf of a nursing home resident. Since "cause of action" usually refers to civil cases and not criminal cases, it is

PAGE: 15

⁵⁷ University of Miami v. Echarte, 618 So.2d 189, 195-197 (Fla. 1993). **STORAGE NAME**: h0661.CVJS.DOCX

not clear if this bill would limit criminal prosecutions in situations where a civil action has been filed. Further, as previously discussed, one court has held that no civil cause of action exists under s. 415.111, F.S. It is possible that the reference to s. 415.111, F.S., in this bill is a typographical error. Section 415.1111, F.S., creates civil causes of action under ch. 415, F.S.

On line 250, the use of the word "agreement" appears to be a typographical error. This bill appears to be referring to "complaint" rather than "agreement."

Lines 261-265 provide that the settlement of a claim before a verdict is not an admission of liability and "is not governed by this section." Much of section 3 of this bill is providing for allocation of punitive damages in cases that settle before a verdict. Lines 264-265 could be interpreted to exempt such settlements from this bill.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

n/a

STORAGE NAME: h0661.CVJS.DOCX DATE: 3/30/2011

A bill to be entitled

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

2627

An act relating to nursing home litigation reform; amending s. 400.023, F.S.; specifying conditions under which a nursing home resident has a cause of action against a licensee or management company; requiring the trial judge to conduct an evidentiary hearing before a claimant can assert a claim against certain interested parties; providing a timeframe for a claimant to elect survival damages or wrongful death damages; providing a limitation on recovery; amending s. 400.0237, F.S.; requiring evidence of the basis for punitive damages; requiring the trial judge to conduct an evidentiary hearing before a claimant can assert a claim for punitive damages; permitting a licensee or management company to be held liable for punitive damages under certain circumstances; providing criteria for awarding of punitive damages in a case of vicarious liability of certain entities; amending s. 400.0238, F.S.; providing additional conditions for settlements involving claims for punitive damages; amending s. 400.23, F.S.; providing limitations for admissibility of survey and licensure reports and the presentation of testimony or other evidence of staffing deficiencies; providing applicability; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Page 1 of 12

Section 1. Section 400.023, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

400.023 Civil enforcement.-

- whose rights as specified in this part has are violated shall have a cause of action against the licensee or its management company, as specifically identified in the application for nursing home licensure, and its direct caregiver employees.

 Sections 400.023-400.0238 provide the exclusive remedy against a licensee or management company for a cause of action for recovery of damages for the personal injury or death of a nursing home resident arising out of negligence or a violation of residents' rights specified in s. 400.022.
- (2) A cause of action may not be asserted individually against an employee, officer, director, owner, including any designated as having a "controlling interest" on the application for nursing home licensure, or agent of a licensee or management company under this part unless, following an evidentiary hearing, the court determines there is sufficient evidence in the record or proffered by the claimant that establishes a reasonable basis for a finding that:
- (a) The officer, director, owner, or agent breached, failed to perform, or acted outside the scope of duties as an officer, director, owner, or agent; and
- (b) The breach, failure to perform, or conduct outside the scope of duties is a legal cause of actual loss, injury, death, or damage to the resident.
 - (3) If an action is brought by or on behalf of a resident

Page 2 of 12

under this part, a cause of action under s. 415.111 may not be asserted against an employee, officer, director, owner, or agent of a licensee or management company.

- (4) The action may be brought by the resident or his or her guardian, by a person or organization acting on behalf of a resident with the consent of the resident or his or her guardian, or by the personal representative of the estate of a deceased resident regardless of the cause of death. If the action alleges a claim for the resident's rights or for negligence that caused the death of the resident, the claimant shall be required to elect, at the end of discovery but not later than 60 days before trial, either survival damages pursuant to s. 46.021 or wrongful death damages pursuant to s. 768.21. In any claim for wrongful death brought under this section, noneconomic damages may not exceed a total of \$250,000, regardless of the number of claimants or defendants.
- (5) If the action alleges a claim for the resident's rights or for negligence that did not cause the death of the resident, the personal representative of the estate may recover damages for the negligence that caused injury to the resident. The action may be brought in any court of competent jurisdiction to enforce such rights and to recover actual and punitive damages for any violation of the rights of a resident or for negligence.
- (6) Any resident who prevails in seeking injunctive relief or a claim for an administrative remedy may is entitled to recover the costs of the action, and a reasonable attorney's fee assessed against the defendant not to exceed \$25,000. Fees shall

Page 3 of 12

be awarded solely for the injunctive or administrative relief and not for any claim or action for damages whether such claim or action is brought together with a request for an injunction or administrative relief or as a separate action, except as provided under s. 768.79 or the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. Sections 400.023-400.0238 provide the exclusive remedy for a cause of action for recovery of damages for the personal injury or death of a nursing home resident arising out of negligence or a violation of rights specified in s. 400.022. This section does not preclude theories of recovery not arising out of negligence or s. 400.022 which are available to a resident or to the agency. The provisions of Chapter 766 does do not apply to any cause of action brought under ss. 400.023-400.0238.

- (7)(2) In any claim brought under pursuant to this part alleging a violation of resident's rights or negligence causing injury to or the death of a resident, the claimant has shall have the burden of proving, by a preponderance of the evidence, that:
- (a) The defendant <u>breached the applicable standard of</u> care; and owed a duty to the resident;
 - (b) The defendant breached the duty to the resident;
- (b)(c) The breach of the duty is a legal cause of actual loss, injury, death, or damage to the resident; and
- (d) The resident sustained loss, injury, death, or damage as a result of the breach.
- (8) Nothing in this part shall be interpreted to create strict liability. A violation of the rights set forth in s.

Page 4 of 12

112 l

400.022 or in any other standard or guidelines specified in this part or in any applicable administrative standard or guidelines of this state or a federal regulatory agency shall be evidence of negligence but shall not be considered negligence per se.

- (9)(3) In any claim brought pursuant to this section, a licensee, person, or entity shall have a duty to exercise reasonable care. Reasonable care is that degree of care which a reasonably careful licensee, person, or entity would use under like circumstances.
- (10)-(4) In any claim for resident's rights violation or negligence by a nurse licensed under part I of chapter 464, such nurse shall have the duty to exercise care consistent with the prevailing professional standard of care for a nurse. The prevailing professional standard of care for a nurse shall be that level of care, skill, and treatment which, in light of all relevant surrounding circumstances, is recognized as acceptable and appropriate by reasonably prudent similar nurses.
- (11)(5) A licensee shall not be liable for the medical negligence of any physician rendering care or treatment to the resident except for the administrative services of a medical director as required in this part. Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to protect a licensee, person, or entity from liability for failure to provide a resident with appropriate observation, assessment, nursing diagnosis, planning, intervention, and evaluation of care by nursing staff.
- (12)(6) The resident or the resident's legal representative shall serve a copy of any complaint alleging in whole or in part a violation of any rights specified in this

Page 5 of 12

part to the Agency for Health Care Administration at the time of filing the initial complaint with the clerk of the court for the county in which the action is pursued. The requirement of providing a copy of the complaint to the agency does not impair the resident's legal rights or ability to seek relief for his or her claim.

(13)(7) An action under this part for a violation of rights or negligence recognized herein is not a claim for medical malpractice, and the provisions of s. 768.21(8) does do not apply to a claim alleging death of the resident.

Section 2. Subsections (1), (2), and (3) of section 400.0237, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

400.0237 Punitive damages; pleading; burden of proof.-

ne claim for punitive damages may not be brought shall be permitted unless there is a reasonable showing of admissible by evidence that has been in the record or proffered by the parties and provides claimant which would provide a reasonable basis for recovery of such damages when the criteria set forth in this section are applied. The claimant may move to amend her or his complaint to assert a claim for punitive damages as allowed by the rules of civil procedure. The trial judge shall conduct an evidentiary hearing and weigh the admissible evidence proffered by all parties to ensure that there is a reasonable basis to believe that the claimant, at trial, will be able to demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that the recovery of such damages is warranted. The rules of civil procedure shall be liberally construed so as to allow the claimant discovery of

Page 6 of 12

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

HB 661

168 l

evidence which appears reasonably calculated to lead to admissible evidence on the issue of punitive damages. No A discovery of financial worth may not shall proceed until after the pleading on concerning punitive damages is approved permitted.

- company against whom punitive damages is sought, may be held liable for punitive damages only if the trier of fact, based on clear and convincing evidence, finds that a specific individual or corporate defendant actively and knowingly participated in intentional misconduct or engaged in conduct that constituted gross negligence and contributed to the loss, damages, or injury suffered by the claimant the defendant was personally guilty of intentional misconduct or gross negligence. As used in this section, the term:
- (a) "Intentional misconduct" means that the defendant against whom punitive damages are sought had actual knowledge of the wrongfulness of the conduct and the high probability that injury or damage to the claimant would result and, despite that knowledge, intentionally pursued that course of conduct, resulting in injury or damage.
- (b) "Gross negligence" means that the defendant's conduct was so reckless or wanting in care that it constituted a conscious disregard or indifference to the life, safety, or rights of persons exposed to such conduct.
- (3) In the case of <u>vicarious liability of</u> an employer, principal, corporation, or other legal entity, punitive damages may not be imposed for the conduct of an employee or agent

Page 7 of 12

unless only if the conduct of a specifically identified the employee or agent meets the criteria specified in subsection (2) and an officer, director, or manager of the actual employer, corporation, or legal entity condoned, ratified, or consented to the specific conduct as alleged in subsection (2). A state or federal survey report of nursing facilities may not be used to establish an entitlement to punitive damages under this section.:

- (a) The employer, principal, corporation, or other legal entity actively and knowingly participated in such conduct;
- (b) The officers, directors, or managers of the employer, principal, corporation, or other legal entity condoned, ratified, or consented to such conduct; or
- (c) The employer, principal, corporation, or other legal entity engaged in conduct that constituted gross negligence and that contributed to the loss, damages, or injury suffered by the claimant.
- Section 3. Subsections (2) and (4) of section 400.0238, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
 - 400.0238 Punitive damages; limitation.—
- (2) The claimant's attorney's fees, if payable from the judgment, are, to the extent that the fees are based on the punitive damages, calculated based on the <u>claimant's share of final judgment for</u> punitive damages. This subsection does not limit the payment of attorney's fees based upon an award of damages other than punitive damages.
- (4) Notwithstanding any other law to the contrary, <u>if a</u> claimant has received a final judgment for the amount of

Page 8 of 12

punitive damages or there is a settlement of a case in which the claimant was granted leave to amend his or her complaint to add a claim for punitive damages, the punitive award awarded pursuant to this section shall be equally divided, before any distribution to the claimant's counsel for fees or costs, between the claimant and the Quality of Long-Term Care Facility Improvement Trust Fund, in accordance with the following provisions:

- (a) In the event of a judgment, the clerk of the court shall transmit a copy of the jury verdict to the Chief Financial Officer by certified mail. In the final judgment, the court shall order the percentages of the award, payable as provided herein. In the event of a settlement, the parties shall transmit by certified mail to the Chief Financial Officer a statement of the proportionate share due to the Quality of Long-Term Care Facility Improvement Trust Fund.
- (b) A settlement agreement entered into between the original parties to the action after a verdict has been returned must provide a proportionate share payable to the Quality of Long-Term Care Facility Improvement Trust Fund specified herein. For purposes of this paragraph, a proportionate share is a 50-percent share of that percentage of the settlement amount which the punitive damages portion of the verdict bore to the total of the compensatory and punitive damages in the verdict.
- c) For a settlement agreement entered into between the parties to the action, at any time after a claimant is permitted by the court to amend the agreement to add a count for punitive damages, but before a final judgment on the issue, 50 percent of

Page 9 of 12

HB 661 2011

the total settlement amount shall be the punitive award. The punitive award shall be equally divided, before any distribution to the claimant's counsel for fees or costs, between the claimant and the Quality of Long-Term Care Facility Improvement Trust Fund. The amount of the punitive award and the allocation of that award provided for in this subsection may not be altered in any way by agreement of the parties after the claimant has been granted leave to amend his or her complaint to include a claim for punitive damages.

- in which a claimant was permitted at any time to amend the claim to add a count for punitive damages is not an admission of liability for conduct described in subsection (2) and is not governed by this section.
- (e)(c) The Department of Financial Services shall collect or cause to be collected all payments due the state under this section. Such payments are made to the Chief Financial Officer and deposited in the appropriate fund specified in this subsection.
- (f)(d) If the full amount of punitive damages awarded cannot be collected, the claimant and the other recipient designated pursuant to this subsection are each entitled to a proportionate share of the punitive damages collected.
- Section 4. Paragraph (d) is added to subsection (3) and paragraph (e) is added to subsection (8) of section 400.23, Florida Statutes, to read:
- 400.23 Rules; evaluation and deficiencies; licensure status.—

Page 10 of 12

HB 661 2011

280 (3)

281

282

283 284

285

286 287

288

289

290

291

292

293294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303 304

305

306

307

(d) In any action brought under ss. 400.023-400.0238, if the licensee demonstrates compliance with the minimum staffing requirements under this part, the licensee is entitled to a presumption that appropriate staffing was provided and the claimant may not be permitted to present any testimony or other evidence of understaffing. The testimony or other evidence is only permissible for days on which it can be demonstrated that the licensee was not in compliance with the minimum staffing requirements under this part. Evidence that the licensee was staffed by an insufficient number of nursing assistants or licensed nurses may not be qualified or admitted on behalf of a resident who makes a claim, unless the licensee received a class I, class II, or uncorrected class III deficiency for failure to comply with the minimum staffing requirements under this part and the claimant resident was identified by the agency as having suffered actual harm because of that failure.

(8) The agency shall adopt rules pursuant to this part and part II of chapter 408 to provide that, when the criteria established under subsection (2) are not met, such deficiencies shall be classified according to the nature and the scope of the deficiency. The scope shall be cited as isolated, patterned, or widespread. An isolated deficiency is a deficiency affecting one or a very limited number of residents, or involving one or a very limited number of staff, or a situation that occurred only occasionally or in a very limited number of locations. A patterned deficiency is a deficiency where more than a very limited number of residents are affected, or more than a very

Page 11 of 12

HB 661 2011

 limited number of staff are involved, or the situation has occurred in several locations, or the same resident or residents have been affected by repeated occurrences of the same deficient practice but the effect of the deficient practice is not found to be pervasive throughout the facility. A widespread deficiency is a deficiency in which the problems causing the deficiency are pervasive in the facility or represent systemic failure that has affected or has the potential to affect a large portion of the facility's residents. The agency shall indicate the classification on the face of the notice of deficiencies as follows:

home survey is not admissible for any purpose in an action under ss. 400.023-400.0238. However, a survey deficiency citing a resident on whose behalf the action is brought may be introduced as evidence of negligence if the agency has determined that the resident sustained actual harm as a result thereof. If a claimant in an action under ss. 400.023-400.0238 was a member of a survey resident roster or otherwise was the subject of any survey by the agency and the agency did not allege or determine that any deficiency occurred with respect to that claimant during that survey, the licensee may introduce the absence of a deficiency citation to refute an allegation of neglect or noncompliance with regulatory standards.

Section 5. This act shall take effect July 1, 2011, and shall apply to all causes of action that accrue on or after that date.

Page 12 of 12

	COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMI	TTEE ACTION
	ADOPTED	(Y/N)
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
	FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
	WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
	OTHER	

1	Committee/Subcommittee	hearing bill: Civil Justice Subcommittee
2	Representative(s) Gaetz	offered the following:
3		
4	Amendment	
5	Remove line 42 and	insert:
6	against an officer, dir	ector, owner, including any

Bill No. HB 661 (2011)

Amendment No. 2

	COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITT	CEE ACTION
	ADOPTED	(Y/N)
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
	FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
	WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
	OTHER	
1	Committee/Subcommittee he	earing bill: Civil Justice Subcommittee
2	Representative(s) Gaetz o	offered the following:
3		
4	Amendment	
5	Remove line 56 and i	nsert:
6	under this part, a cause	of action under s. 415.1111 may not be

1	COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMI	TTEE ACTION
	ADOPTED	(Y/N)
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
	FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
	WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
	OTHER	
ĺ	***************************************	
1	Committee/Subcommittee	hearing bill: Civil Justice Subcommittee
2	Representative(s) Gaetz offered the following:	
3		
4	Amendment	
5	Remove line 250 an	d insert:
6	by the court to amend t	he complaint to add a count for punitive

	COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMI	TTEE ACTION
	ADOPTED	(Y/N)
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
	FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
	WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
	OTHER	
1	Committee/Subcommittee	hearing bill: Civil Justice Subcommittee
2	Representative(s) Gaetz	offered the following:
3		
4	Amendment	
5	Remove lines 263-2	65 and insert:
6	to add a count for puni	tive damages is not an admission or
7	finding of liability fo	r conduct described in subsection (2).

- I		
	COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMIT	TEE ACTION
	ADOPTED	(Y/N)
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
	FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
	WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
	OTHER	
1	Committee/Subcommittee h	earing bill: Civil Justice Subcommittee
2	Representative(s) Gaetz	offered the following:
3		
4	Amendment	
5	Remove line 70 and	insert:
6	section, noneconomic dam	ages may not exceed \$250,000 per
7	resident,	

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMI	TTEE ACTION
ADOPTED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
OTHER	<u> </u>

Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Civil Justice Subcommittee Representative(s) Gaetz offered the following:

Amendment

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

Remove lines 281-296 and insert:

(d) In any action brought under ss. 400.023-400.0238, if the license demonstrates compliance with the minimum staffing requirements under this part, the licensee is entitled to a rebuttable presumption that appropriate staffing was provided.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 1111

Uniform Interstate Family Support Act

SPONSOR(S): Mayfield

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: CS/SB 1622

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Civil Justice Subcommittee		Woodburn	Bond N
Health & Human Services Access Subcommittee			
Government Operations Appropriations Subcommittee			
4) Judiciary Committee			A CONTRACTOR CONTRACTO

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

This bill conforms Florida's Uniform Interstate Family Support Act (UIFSA) to the current version of UIFSA, which was amended in 2008 and for which implementing legislation is pending approval by Congress, to be eventually adopted in each state. The 2008 UIFSA amendments were made to fully incorporate the provisions promulgated by the 2007 Hague Convention on the International Recovery of Child Support and Other Forms of Family Maintenance (Hague Convention) that impact existing state laws, including guidelines for the registration, recognition, enforcement, and modification of foreign support orders from other countries that are parties to the Hague Convention. Florida law currently has uniform standards for interstate enforcement of support orders, but not international enforcement.

This bill may have a conditional fiscal impact on state government. See fiscal comments. This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local governments.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h1111.CVJS.DOCX

DATE: 3/31/2011

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Hague Convention¹

With the rise of globalization, many families form and extend across national boundaries. In the United States, family law has traditionally been a subject of local or state concern, generating significant conflict of laws problems between states. Global movement further complicates the regulation of family relationships. The United States has a large and mobile population, with an estimated 6.6 million private citizens living abroad, and many of these Americans will face challenging international family law problems. National and local laws are inadequate to manage transnational family issues, especially in cases of international adoption or parental abduction but also in ordinary custody, child support or child protection matters. As the scale and frequency of global movement has increased, family and children's issues have also taken on a new relevance in foreign relations. The Hague Conference on Private International Law (the Conference) has responded to the new realities of globalized families with a series of treaties that foster international cooperation in cases involving children. The Conference is an intergovernmental organization, funded and governed by its members.² Its traditional purpose has been to work for the progressive unification of the rules of private international law. including family and children's law. The United States signed the 2007 Hague Convention on the International Recovery of Child Support and Other Family Maintenance (Hague Convention), and implementing legislation is proceeding toward adoption.³

Uniform Interstate Family Support Act

The Uniform Interstate Family Support Act (UIFSA) was originally enacted in 1996 (and amended subsequently) to address complications in enforcing child support orders across state lines.⁴ In response to a congressional mandate,⁵ all states enacted the original 1996 version of UIFSA. After the United States signed the Hague Convention in 2007, establishing numerous provisions of uniform procedure for the processing international child support cases, the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws (NCCUSL) amended the 2001 version of UIFSA, which serves as the implementing language for the Hague Convention throughout the states.⁶ The UIFSA provides universal and uniform rules for the enforcement of family support orders by:

- Setting jurisdictional standards for state courts;
- Determining the basis for a state to exercise continuing exclusive jurisdiction over child support proceedings;
- Establishing rules to determine which state will issue the controlling order if there are proceedings in multiple jurisdictions; and
- Providing rules to modify or refuse to modify another state's child support order.

The 2008 UIFSA amendments were made to fully incorporate the provisions of the Hague Convention that impact existing state laws, including guidelines for the registration, recognition, enforcement, and modification of foreign support orders from other countries that are parties to the Hague Convention.⁸

⁷ *Id.*

STORAGE NAME: h1111.CVJS.DOCX DATE: 3/31/2011

¹ Background on the Hague Convention was taken from the article by Ann Laquer Estin, Families Across Borders: The Hague Children's Conventions and the Case for International Family Law in the United States, 62 FLA. L. REV. 47 (2010).

² The Conference was founded as a permanent organization in 1955. Statute of the Hague Conference on Private International Law, July 15, 1955, T.I.A.S. No. 5710, 2997 U.N.T.S. 123.

³ Hague Convention on the International Recovery of Child Support and Other Forms of Family Maintenance, reprinted in 47 I.L.M. (2008).

⁴ Notional Conference of Commissionary of Maintenance, reprinted in 47 I.L.M.

⁴ National Conference of Commissioners of Uniform State Laws, 2008 Amendments to the Uniform Interstate Family Support Act, 2 (2008).

⁵ 42 U.S.C. s. 666.

⁶ National Conference of Commissioners of Uniform State Laws, *Interstate Family Support Act Amendments (2008) Summary*, available at http://www.nccusl.org/ActSummary.aspx?title=Interstate Family Support Act Amendments (2008) (last visited Mar. 16, 2011).

State Adoption of Amended UIFSA

Federal implementing legislation pending approval by Congress will require that the 2008 amended version of UIFSA be enacted in every jurisdiction as a condition for federal funds for state child support programs. To date, Maine, Tennessee, Wisconsin, North Dakota and Nevada are the only states that have enacted the current version of UIFSA. In addition to Florida, several states have introduced UIFSA enacting legislation this year. Those states are: Hawaii, Missouri, New Mexico, Utah, and Washington. 11

Florida's UIFSA Statute

Along with the rest of the states, Florida enacted the original 1996 version of the UIFSA, which was codified in ch. 88, F.S., and remains current law. Its provisions provide the infrastructure to enforce child support laws uniformly among states to prevent parents from crossing state lines to avoid their support obligations. Some of the main concepts of UIFSA, as codified under Florida law, are outlined below.

Jurisdiction

Personal jurisdiction is the power of a court over the person of a defendant in contrast to the jurisdiction of a court over a person's property or property interest. ¹² Under UIFSA, when a Florida tribunal is exercising personal jurisdiction over a nonresident, that tribunal may apply special rules of evidence to receive evidence from another state and assistance with discovery to obtain discovery through a tribunal of another state. ¹³ There are also provisions for Florida courts to exercise jurisdiction to issue a support order during simultaneous proceedings in another state. ¹⁴ If support orders are issued by more than one state, there is a process to determine which one controls. ¹⁵

General Application

Initiating tribunals have the duty to forward copies of the petition to establish a support order and its accompanying documents to the responding tribunal. When acting as a responding tribunal, courts are directed to apply the procedural and substantive law generally applicable to similar proceedings originating in that state. And determine the duty of support and the amount payable in accordance with the law and support guidelines of that state.

Establishment of Support Order

If a support order entitled to recognition under UIFSA has not been issued, a responding tribunal may issue a support order under certain conditions.¹⁹

⁸ *Id*.

⁹ *Id; see also* Hague Convention on the International Recovery of Child Support and Other Forms of Family Maintenance, Treaty Doc. 110-21, Exec. Rept. 111-2, 111th Congress 2d. Session (Jan. 22, 2010).

¹⁰ Uniform Law Commission, Interstate Family Support Act Amendments (2008): Enactment Status Map, available at http://www.nccusl.org/Act.aspx?title=Interstate%20Family%20Support%20Act%20Amendments%20(2008) (last visited Mar. 16, 2011).

¹¹ *Id*.

¹² BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY 1144 (7th ed. 1990).

¹³ Sections 88.2011, 88.2021, 88.3161, and 88.3181, F.S.

¹⁴ Section 88.2041, F.S.

¹⁵ Section 88.2071, F.S.

¹⁶ Section 88.3041, F.S.

¹⁷ Section 88.3031(1), F.S.

¹⁸ Section 88.3031(2), F.S.

¹⁹ Section 88.4011, F.S.

Direct Enforcement of Income Withholding

An obligor is an individual who owes a duty of support and is liable under a support order.²⁰ An obligor may have his or her income withheld in order to make up for unpaid support. Employers are required to treat income-withholding orders from another state as it if had been issued by the state where he or she lives.²¹

Modification

After a child support order has been issued in one state, another state has the ability to modify the order if certain conditions are met.²²

Determination of Parentage

A state court may serve as an initiating or responding tribunal in a proceeding to determine whether a petitioner or a respondent is the parent of a particular child.²³

Grounds for Rendition

The Governor has the ability to demand that the Governor of another state surrender an individual found in the other state who is charged criminally in this state with having failed to pay child support.²⁴

Effect of this Bill

This bill conforms Florida's Uniform Interstate Family Support Act (UIFSA) under ch. 88, F.S., to the current version of UIFSA, which was amended in 2008 and is pending ratification in Congress to be adopted by each state. The 2008 UIFSA amendments were made to fully incorporate the provisions promulgated by the 2007 Hague Convention on the International Recovery of Child Support and Other Forms of Family Maintenance (Hague Convention) that impact existing state laws, including guidelines for the registration, recognition, enforcement, and modification of foreign support orders from other countries that are parties to the Hague Convention. Florida law accounts for interstate enforcement of support orders, but not international enforcement. Following is a section-by-section analysis of the bill.

General Provisions

Section 1 amends s. 88.1011, F.S., containing definitions, to redefine or delete a number of existing terms to conform to the most current version of UIFSA and to include foreign countries in addition to states and also define the following new terms: "Convention"; "Foreign country"; "Foreign support order"; "Foreign tribunal"; "Issuing foreign country"; "Person"; "Record"; and "United States Central Authority."

Section 2 amends s. 88.1021, F.S., to designate the Department of Revenue as the support enforcement agency of the state.

Section 3 amends s. 88.1031, F.S., to specify that the act does not provide the exclusive method of establishing or enforcing a support order or grant authority to render judgment relating to child custody.

Section 4 creates s. 88.1041, F.S., to apply the act to foreign proceedings.

²⁰ Section 88.1011(13)(a)-(c), F.S.

²¹ Section 88.50211, F.S.

²² Section 88.6111, F.S.

²³ Section 88.7011, F.S.

²⁴ Section 88.8011, F.S.

Jurisdiction

Section 5 amends s. 88.2011, F.S., relating to bases for jurisdiction over a nonresident, to provide that personal jurisdiction under the section does not extend to the modification of child support orders unless specified conditions are met. Sections 5 and 6 both assert what is commonly described as longarm jurisdiction over a nonresident respondent for purposes of establishing a support order or determining parentage. To sustain a support order, the tribunal must be able to assert personal jurisdiction over the parties.²⁵

Section 6 amends s. 88.2021, F.S., relating to jurisdiction over a nonresident, to specify that personal iurisdiction under the act continues as long as a tribunal has continuing jurisdiction to enforce its order.

Section 7 amends s. 88.2031, F.S., relating to forwarding proceedings between initiating and responding tribunals, to also refer to proceedings initiated in foreign countries.

Section 8 amends s. 88.2041, F.S., relating to simultaneous proceedings in another state, to include foreign countries.

Section 9 amends s. 88.2051, F.S., relating to continuing exclusive jurisdiction, to specify that except in very narrowly defined circumstances, the issuing tribunal retains continuing, exclusive jurisdiction over a child support order.²⁶

Section 10 amends s. 88.2061, F.S., relating to continuing jurisdiction, to make adjustments that are the correlative of the continuing, exclusive jurisdiction described in the previous section. It makes the distinction between the jurisdiction "to modify a support order" established in the previous section and the "continuing jurisdiction to enforce" established in this section.²⁷

Section 11 amends s. 88.2071, F.S., relating to controlling child support orders, to provide a procedure to identify one order that will be enforced in every state. It declares that if only one child support order exists, it is to be denominated the controlling order, irrespective of when and where it was issued and whether any of the individual parties or the child continues to reside in the issuing state. It also establishes the priority scheme for recognition and prospective enforcement of a single order among existing multiple orders regarding the same obligor, obligee, and child.²⁸

Section 12 amends s. 88,2081, F.S., relating to child support orders for two or more obligees, to specify that it also applies to foreign countries.

Section 13 amends s. 88.2091, F.S., relating to credit for payments, to specify that the issuing tribunal is responsible for the overall control of the enforcement methods employed and for accounting for the payments made on its order from multiple sources.²⁹

Section 14 creates s. 88.2101, F.S., relating to the application to a nonresident subject to personal jurisdiction, to specify that upon obtaining personal jurisdiction the tribunal may receive evidence from outside the state, communicate with a tribunal outside the state, and obtain discovery outside the state. In other respects, the tribunal will apply the law of the forum.

Section 15 creates s. 88.2111, F.S., relating to jurisdiction to modify spousal orders, to specify that the restriction on modification of an out-of-state spousal support order extends to foreign countries. It also

STORAGE NAME: h1111.CVJS.DOCX

DATE: 3/31/2011

²⁵ National Conference of Commissioners of Uniform State Laws, 2008 Amendments to the Uniform Interstate Family Support Act, 20 (2008). ²⁶ *Id.* at 27.

²⁷ *Id.* at 29.

²⁸ *Id.* at 32.

²⁹ *Id.* at 35.

provides that the question of continuing, exclusive jurisdiction is to be resolved under the law of the issuing tribunal.³⁰

Civil Provisions of General Application

Section 16 amends s. 88.3011, F.S., relating to proceedings under this act, to specify that all proceedings under this act also apply to foreign support orders.

Sections 17 and 18 amend ss. 88.3021 and 88.3031, F.S., to make technical changes.

Section 19 amends s. 88.3041, F.S., relating to the duties of the initiating tribunal, to facilitate enforcement even with states that have not implemented the updated version of UIFSA and with foreign countries.³¹

Section 20 amends s. 88.3051, F.S., relating to the duties and powers of the responding tribunal, to establish updated duties relating to responding tribunals.

Section 21 amends s. 88.3061, F.S., relating to inappropriate tribunals, to make a technical change.

Section 22 amends s. 88.3071, F.S., relating to the duties of the support enforcement agency, to specify that the obligee or the obligor may request services, and that request may be in the context of the establishment of an initial child support order, enforcement or review and adjustment of an existing child support order, or a modification of that order. It also directs the Department of Revenue, as the support enforcement agency, to make reasonable efforts to ensure that the order to be registered is the controlling one.³²

Section 23 amends s. 88.3081, F.S., relating to the duty of the Governor and Cabinet, to allow the Governor and Cabinet to make reciprocal child support determinations regarding foreign countries.

Section 24 amends s. 88.3101, F.S., relating to the duties of the state information agency, to make technical changes and add a reference to foreign countries.

Section 25 amends s. 88.3111, F.S., to establish the requirements for drafting and filing interstate pleadings.³³

Section 26 amends s. 88.3121, F.S., relating to pleadings and accompanying documents, to create an exception for providing certain information in the pleadings if its disclosure is likely to harm a party or child.

Sections 27 and 28 amend ss. 88.3131 and 88.3141, F.S., to make technical changes.

Section 29 amends s. 88.3161, F.S., relating to special rules of evidence, to make technical changes and specify that a voluntary acknowledgment of paternity is admissible to establish parentage.

Sections 30 and 31 amend ss. 88.3171 and 88.3181, F.S., to make technical changes.

Section 32 amends s. 88.3191, F.S., relating to receipt and disbursement of payments, to require that when all parties reside in this state, the Department of Revenue or a tribunal must direct support payments in another state if necessary and send an income-withholding order to the obligor's employer.

³⁰ *Id.* at 37.

³¹ *Id.* at 41.

³² *Id.* at 47.

³³ *Id.* at 51.

Establishment of Support Order

Section 33 amends s. 88.4011, F.S., relating to support order establishment, to authorize a responding tribunal of this state to issue temporary and permanent support orders binding on an obligor over whom the tribunal has personal jurisdiction when the person or entity requesting the order is "outside this state" (i.e., anywhere else in the world). It also specifies circumstances relating to parentage that make a support order appropriate.34

Section 34 directs the Division of Statutory Revision to redesignate part V of chapter 88 as "Enforcement of Support Order of Another State without Registration."

Section 36 amends s. 88.50211, F.S., relating to the designation of payment of funds as directed by the withholding order.

Direct Enforcement

Sections 35 and 37 amend ss. 88.5011 and 88.5031, F.S., to add more specific language to provisions regarding income-withholding orders.

Sections 38 and 39 amend ss. 88.5041, and 88.5051 F.S., to make technical changes to apply the sections to foreign countries.

Section 40 amends s. 88,5061, F.S., relating to a contest by the obligor, to provide more specific instructions for a contest by the obligor.

Section 42 directs the Division of Statutory Revision to redesignate part VI of chapter 88, as "Registration, Enforcement, and Modification of Support Order."

Sections 41 and 43 amend ss. 88.5071 and 88.6011, F.S., to make technical changes to apply the sections to foreign countries.

Enforcement and Modification

Section 44 amends s. 88.6021, F.S., relating to procedure to register an order for enforcement, to provide cross references and specify a process to be followed by a person requesting registration when two or more orders are in effect.

Section 45 amends s. 88.6031, F.S., relating to effect of registration for enforcement, to apply the section to foreign countries.

Section 46 amends s. 88.6041, F.S., relating to choice of law, to modify the conditions under which the law of the issuing state governs.

Section 47 amends s. 88.6051, F.S., relating to notice of registration of an order, to make technical changes applying the section to foreign countries and specify notice requirements when two or more orders are in effect.

Section 48 amends s. 88.6061, F.S., relating to the procedure to contest validity or enforcement of a registered order, to provide cross references and make technical changes.

Sections 49 and 50 amend ss. 88.6071 and 88.6081 F.S., to make technical changes.

Section 51 amends s. 88.6091, F.S., to provide a technical change for a statutory cross-reference.

PAGE: 7

Section 52 amends s. 88.6111, F.S., relating to modification of a child support order of another state, to provide cross references and create an exception relating to jurisdiction to modify an order when the parties and the child no longer reside in the issuing state and one party resides outside the United States.

Section 53 amends s. 88.6121, F.S., relating to recognition of an order modified in another state, to make technical changes.

Section 54 creates s. 88.6151, F.S., to provide standards of jurisdiction to modify a child support order of a foreign country.

Section 55 creates s. 88.6161, F.S., to specify a procedure to register a child support order of a foreign country for modification.

Section 56 directs the Division of Statutory Revision to redesignate part VII of chapter 88 as "Support Proceeding under Convention."

Section 57 repeals s. 88.7011, F.S., relating to a proceeding to determine parentage.

Section 58 creates s. 88.70111, F.S., providing definitions for; "Application;" "Central authority;" "Convention support order;" "Direct request;" Foreign central authority;" Foreign support agreement;" and "United States central authority."

Provisions Specific to Foreign Countries

Section 59 creates s. 88.7021, F.S., providing that the section applies only to a support proceeding involving a foreign country in which the Hague Convention is in force with respect to the United States.

Section 60 creates s. 88.7031, F.S., to define the relationship between the Department of Revenue (department) and the United States Central Authority. It recognizes the department as the agency designated by the United States Central Authority to perform specific functions under the Hague Convention.

Section 61 creates s. 88.7041, F.S., relating to the initiation by a governmental entity of support proceedings subject to the Hague Convention, to provide a list of requirements in such proceedings, and to list which support proceedings are available to an obligor under the Hague Convention. It also lists which support proceedings are available to an obligor against whom there is an existing support order.

Section 62 creates s. 88.7051, F.S., to specify provisions for a petitioner to file a direct request in a tribunal in this state seeking the establishment or modification of a support order or determination of parentage. The law of the state will apply in these proceedings. In direct request for enforcement of foreign support orders, an obligee or obligor who has benefitted from free legal assistance is also entitled to any free legal assistance provided under state law.

Section 63 creates s. 88.7061, F.S., relating to the registration of a foreign support order subject to the Hague Convention. It specifies that a party who is seeking recognition of a foreign support order is required to register the order with the state. The request for registration is required to be accompanied by an enumerated list of other documents.

Section 64 creates s. 88.7071, F.S., relating to a contest of the validity of a foreign support order subject to the Hague Convention. It provides that a contest to the recognition of a foreign support order must be filed within 30 days after the notice of the registration. If the contesting party lives outside the United States, he or she will have 60 days after the notice. It also lists possible bases for a contest, such as lack of basis for enforcement, questionable authenticity, etc.

STORAGE NAME: h1111.CVJS.DOCX DATE: 3/31/2011

Section 65 creates s. 88.7081, F.S., relating to the recognition and enforcement of a foreign support order subject to the Hague Convention. It provides that this state is required to recognize a foreign support order if the issuing tribunal had personal jurisdiction and the order is enforceable in the issuing country. This section also provides a process for when a tribunal of this state does not recognize a foreign support order. If the order is not recognized as a whole, any severable portions are to be recognized.

Section 66 creates s. 88.7091, F.S., relating to refusal of recognition and enforcement of a foreign support order subject to the Hague Convention. Grounds for refusal of a foreign support order include a determination that the order is incompatible with public policy, was obtained by fraud, etc.

Section 67 creates s. 88.7101, F.S., relating to foreign support orders subject to the Hague Convention. This section states that a direct request for recognition and enforcement of a foreign support order must be accompanied by the complete text of the foreign order and a record stating that the order is an enforceable decision in the issuing country. Grounds for refusal to recognize foreign orders are also listed.

Section 68 creates s. 88.7111, F.S., relating to modification of a foreign child support order subject to the Hague Convention. It provides that a tribunal in this state may not modify a foreign support order if the obligee remains a resident of the issuing country, except under specified circumstances.

Section 69 creates s. 88.7112, F.S., relating to jurisdiction to modify a spousal support order of a foreign country. This section provides that a tribunal of this state having personal jurisdiction over the parties may modify a spousal support order of a foreign tribunal under specified circumstances.

Section 70 amends s. 88.8091, F.S., relating to a technical change.

Section 71 amends s. 88.9011, F.S., to specify that in applying and construing this uniform act, consideration must be given to the need to promote uniformity of the law among enacting states.

Section 72 amends s. 88.9031, F.S., relating to severability.

Sections 73 and 74 amend ss. 61.13 and 827.06, F.S., relating to support of children, parenting and time-sharing, and nonsupport of dependents to provide cross references.

Section 75 provides an effective date of July 1, 2011.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

See above.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

According to the Department of Revenue (DOR or department), the bill will create an operational workload because DOR will have to prepare and submit a formal request for an exemption from Federal Title IV-D requirements to the Federal Office of Child Support Enforcement. The department will also have to revise its procedures for interstate case processing and retrain staff. The bill may also affect DOR's IV-D automated system.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

STORAGE NAME: h1111.CVJS.DOCX

PAGE: 9

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

This bill may have a positive fiscal impact on Florida residents who are owed child support from foreign obligors who currently face great difficulties in collecting.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The Department of Revenue reports that amendments to UIFSA can result in the state being out of compliance with federal law and losing federal funding. However, it is anticipated that, as some point in the near future, federal law will require passage of this act and at that point the failure to pass this law would result in a loss of federal funding.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:
 Not Applicable. This bill does not appear to affect county or municipal governments.

2. Other:

Pursuant to federal law, Florida adopted the 1996 version of the Uniform Interstate Family Support Act (UIFSA) in order to continue to receive federal funding for state child support programs.³⁵ There is currently similar legislation pending in Congress to require adoption of the 2008 UIFSA revision represented in the bill.³⁶ Congress has the authority to act only pursuant to express or implied legislative authority in the Constitution.³⁷ Under the Tenth Amendment, all other powers are reserved to the states and the people. The authority to make laws relating to family issues is not delegated in the Constitution and is thus something that has traditionally been left to the discretion of the states. However, the Supreme Court has held that under its broad taxing and spending powers, "Congress may attach conditions on the receipt of federal funds, and has repeatedly employed the power 'to further broad policy objectives by conditioning receipt of federal moneys upon compliance by the recipient with federal statutory administrative objectives." Therefore, it seems permissible for Congress to require the states to adopt this uniform act in the furtherance of the policy objective of international child support enforcement.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

Comments from the Florida Department of Revenue

STORAGE NAME: h1111.CVJS.DOCX

DATE: 3/31/2011

³⁵ 42 U.S.C. s. 666.

³⁶ Hague Convention on the International Recovery of Child Support and Other Forms of Family Maintenance, Treaty Doc. 110-21, Exec. Rept. 111-2, 111th Congress 2d. Session (Jan. 22, 2010).

³⁷ U.S. CONST. art. 1, s. 1. states that "All legislative Powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States which shall consist of a Senate and a House of Representatives."

³⁸ South Dakota v. Dole, 483 U.S. 203, 206 (1987) (holding that Congress had the authority to mandate a national minimum drinking age conditioned on federal funding).

Federal law requires that states adopt the 1996 version of the Uniform Interstate Family Support Act (UIFSA) in order to receive federal funding. After the Haque Convention on the International Recovery of Child Support and Other Forms of Family Maintenance (Hague Convention). UIFSA was amended in 2008 to incorporate the treaty created at Hague Convention. Currently, the U.S. Senate has ratified the treaty, but it is not in effect in the United States because full effect requires state law amendments.

This bill makes many changes to ch. 88, F.S., which is the section of law relating to UIFSA. According to the Department of Revenue (DOR or department), if this bill passes. Florida will not be in compliance with federal law. 39 If Florida is not in compliance with federal law, its Title IV-D plan may be disapproved, which will mean Florida will not receive federal IV-D matching funds or incentive payments. Additionally, a federal financial penalty may be imposed on the state's Title IV-A TANF block grant.40

The Federal Office of Child Support Enforcement (OCSE or office) has stated that if a state adopts UIFSA, as amended in 2008, "verbatim" and with a provision that the effective date is delayed until the Hague Convention is ratified, then OCSE will approve the state's IV-D state plan. 41

A state may formally request an exemption or waiver from the OCSE justifying why the state's Title IV-D plan should be approved, but the OCSE may not grant the request. Because this bill does not adopt UIFSA, as amended in 2008, verbatim, and the bill does not contain a delayed effective date contingent on the ratification of the Hague Convention. Florida would have to formally request an exemption from OCSE.42

According to DOR, there are currently only four states that have adopted UIFSA, as amended in 2008, and the law in each of those states incorporated a delayed effective date pending approval of the Hague Convention.43

Telephonic Testimony

The bill amends s. 88.3161(6), F.S., to require a tribunal to permit a party or witness outside this state to be deposed or to testify by telephone, audiovisual means, or other electronic means. Currently, UIFSA allows, but does not require, a tribunal to permit telephonic testimony. Allowing a party to provide telephonic testimony requires the consent of all parties involved in the proceeding.⁴⁴ To the extent that this bill requires a tribunal to permit such testimony over the objection of a party, it will conflict with the Florida rules of judicial administration, as well as Florida case law.

Determination of Paternity

According to DOR, the state is required by federal law to determine paternity in interstate initiating and responding cases. 45 Under current law, s. 88.7011, F.S., provides that a tribunal of this state may serve as an initiating or responding tribunal in a proceeding brought to determine parentage. This bill repeals that section of law. The determination of parentage is still provided for under s. 88.3051, F.S., in the bill; however, this section of law only relates to the duties and powers of a responding tribunal. There is no corresponding provision in s. 88.3041, F.S., which relates to the duties of the initiating tribunal.

³⁹ Id. ⁴⁰ Id.

⁴¹ Office of Child Support Enforcement, Administration for Children and Families, U.S. Dep't of Health and Human Servs., Dear Colleague Letter DCL-08-41, Subject: Uniform Interstate Family Support Act 2008, available at http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/cse/pol/DCL/2008/dcl-08-41.htm (last visited Mar. 24, 2011).

⁴² According to DOR, a delayed effective date that is contingent on ratification of a treaty by the United States may constitute an impermissible delegation of legislative authority in violation of article II, section 3 of the Florida Constitution. Florida Department of Revenue, supra note 39. ⁴³ *Id*.

⁴⁴ Fla. R. Jud. Admin. 2.530(d)(1); M.S. v. Dep't of Children and Families, 6 So. 3d 109 (Fla. 4th DCA 2009); S.A. v. Dep't of Children and Families, 961 So. 2d 1066 (Fla. 3d DCA 2007).

⁴⁵ Florida Department of Revenue, *supra* note 39; *see also* 45 C.F.R. s. 303.7.

Accordingly, it appears that if this bill becomes law, a tribunal of this state may only act as a responding tribunal in determination of parentage proceedings.

Legal Assistance

The bill creates s. 88.7051, F.S., which provides, in part, that in a direct request for recognition and enforcement of a foreign support order or agreement the obligee or obligor is entitled to benefit from free legal assistance provided for by the law of this state if the person was receiving free legal assistance in the issuing country. According to DOR, "the impact of this requirement, legally and fiscally, is unknown."

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

n/a

⁴⁶ Florida Department of Revenue, *supra* note 39. **STORAGE NAME**: h1111.CVJS.DOCX DATE: 3/31/2011

A bill to be entitled

1

2

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

2021

2223

2425

26

27

28

An act relating to the Uniform Interstate Family Support Act; amending s. 88.1011, F.S.; revising and providing definitions; amending s. 88.1021, F.S.; designating the Department of Revenue as the support enforcement agency of this state; amending s. 88.1031, F.S.; revising provisions relating to remedies provided by the act; creating s. 88.1041, F.S.; providing for applicability of provisions to residents of foreign counties and foreign support proceedings; amending s. 88.2011, F.S.; providing that specified bases of personal jurisdiction may not be used to acquire personal jurisdiction for certain purposes unless specified requirements are met; amending s. 88.2021, F.S.; providing for duration of personal jurisdiction; deleting provisions relating to procedure when exercising jurisdiction over nonresident; amending ss. 88.2031 and 88.2041, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; amending s. 88.2051, F.S.; revising provisions relating to continuation of exclusive jurisdiction; amending s. 88.2061, F.S.; providing for continuing jurisdiction to enforce child support orders; amending s. 88.2071, F.S.; revising provisions relating to determination of a controlling child support order; amending s. 88.2081, F.S.; revising language relating to child support orders for two or more obligees; amending s. 88.2091, F.S.; revising language relating to credit for child support payments; creating s. 88.2101, F.S.; providing for application of the act to a nonresident

Page 1 of 64

29

30

31

32

34 35

36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

4445

46 47

48

4950

51

52

53 54

55

56

subject to personal jurisdiction; creating s. 88.2111, F.S.; providing for continuing, exclusive jurisdiction to modify a spousal support order; amending s. 88.3011, F.S.; revising provisions relating to applicability of the act; amending ss. 88.3021 and 88.3031, F.S.; revising terminology; amending s. 88.3041, F.S.; revising provisions relating to duties of an initiating tribunal; amending s. 88.3051, F.S.; revising provisions relating to duties and powers of a responding tribunal; amending s. 88.3061, F.S.; revising terminology; amending s. 88.3071, F.S.; revising provisions relating to the duties of a support enforcement agency; amending s. 88.3081, F.S.; providing that the Governor and Cabinet may determine that a foreign country has established a reciprocal arrangement for child support with this state and take appropriate action for notification of the determination; amending s. 88.3101, F.S.; revising terminology; amending s. 88.3111, F.S.; revising provisions relating to pleadings and accompanying documents; amending s. 88.3121, F.S.; revising requirements for nondisclosure of certain information; amending ss. 88.3131 and 88.3141, F.S.; revising terminology; amending s. 88.3161, F.S.; revising provisions relating to special rules of evidence and procedure; amending ss. 88.3171 and 88.3181, F.S.; revising terminology; amending s. 88.3191, F.S.; revising provisions relating to receipt and disbursement of payments; amending s. 88.4011, F.S.; revising provisions relating to establishment of a support order; providing a

Page 2 of 64

57

58

59

60

61

62

63 64

65

66

67 68

69

70

71

72 73

7475

76

77 78

79

80

81

8283

84

directive to the Division of Statutory Revision; amending s. 88.5011, F.S.; revising provisions relating to an employer's receipt of an income-withholding order from another state; amending ss. 88.50211, 88.5031, 88.5041, and 88.5051, F.S.; revising terminology; amending s. 88.5061, F.S.; revising provisions relating to a contest by obligor; amending s. 88.5071, F.S.; revising terminology; providing a directive to the Division of Statutory Revision; amending s. 88.6011, F.S.; revising terminology; amending s. 88.6021, F.S.; revising provisions relating to the procedure to register order for enforcement; amending s. 88.6031, F.S.; revising terminology; amending s. 88.6041, F.S.; revising provisions relating to choice of law; amending s. 88.6051, F.S.; revising provisions relating to notice of registration of order; amending s. 88.6061, F.S.; revising provisions relating to the procedure to contest the validity or enforcement of a registered order; amending s. 88.6071, F.S.; revising provisions relating to the contesting of registration or enforcement; amending s. 88.6081, F.S.; revising terminology; amending s. 88.6091, F.S.; correcting a cross-reference; amending s. 88.6111, F.S.; revising provisions relating to modification of a child support order of another state; amending s. 88.6121, F.S.; revising provisions relating to recognition of a child support order modified in another state; creating s. 88.6151, F.S.; providing for jurisdiction to modify a child support order of a foreign county; creating s.

Page 3 of 64

85

86

87

88

89

90

91 92

93

94

95

96 97

98

99

100101

102103

104105

106

107

108

109110

111

112

88.6161, F.S.; providing procedures for registration of a child support order of a foreign country for modification; providing a directive to the Division of Statutory Revision; repealing s. 88.7011, F.S., relating to a proceeding to determine parentage; creating s. 88.70111, F.S.; providing definitions relating to a support proceeding under the Convention on the International Recovery of Child Support and Other Forms of Family Maintenance; creating s. 88.7021, F.S.; providing for applicability; creating s. 88.7031, F.S.; specifying the relationship of the Department of Revenue to the United States central authority; creating s. 88.7041, F.S.; providing for initiation by the Department of Revenue of support proceedings subject to the convention; creating s. 88.7051, F.S.; providing for direct requests to tribunals; creating s. 88.7061, F.S.; providing for registration of a support order subject to the convention; creating s. 88.7071, F.S.; providing for contests of the validity of foreign support orders subject to the convention; creating s. 88.7081, F.S.; providing for the recognition and enforcement of a foreign support order subject to the convention; creating s. 88.7091, F.S.; specifying grounds for the refusal of recognition and enforcement of foreign support order subject to the convention; creating s. 88.7101, F.S.; providing requirements for a foreign support agreement subject to the convention; creating s. 88.7111, F.S.; providing for the modification of a foreign child support order subject to the convention; creating s.

Page 4 of 64

88.7121, F.S.; providing jurisdiction to modify a spousal support order of a foreign country; amending s. 88.8011, F.S.; revising terminology; amending s. 88.9011, F.S.; revising provisions relating to the uniformity of application and construction of the act; amending s. 88.9031, F.S.; revising terminology; amending ss. 61.13 and 827.06, F.S.; correcting cross-references; providing an effective date.

121122

113

114

115116

117118

119120

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

123124

125

126

127

128129

130

131132

133

134135

136137

138139

140

Section 1. Section 88.1011, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

88.1011 Definitions.—As used in this act:

- (1) "Child" means an individual, whether over or under the age of majority, who is or is alleged to be owed a duty of support by the individual's parent or who is or is alleged to be the beneficiary of a support order directed to the parent.
- (2) "Child support order" means a support order for a child, including a child who has attained the age of majority under the law of the issuing state or foreign country.
- (3) "Convention" means the Convention on the International Recovery of Child Support and Other Forms of Family Maintenance, concluded at The Hague on November 23, 2007.
- $\underline{(4)}$ "Duty of support" means an obligation imposed or imposable by law to provide support for a child, spouse, or former spouse, including an unsatisfied obligation to provide support.

Page 5 of 64

(5) "Foreign country" means a country, including a political subdivision thereof, other than the United States, that authorizes the issuance of support orders and:

141

142143

144145

146

147

148

149150

151

152

153

154

155156

157

158

159

160161

162163

164165

166

167168

- (a) Which has been declared under the law of the United States to be a foreign reciprocating country;
- (b) Which has established a reciprocal arrangement for child support with this state as provided in s. 88.3081;
- (c) Which has enacted a law or established procedures for the issuance and enforcement of support orders which are substantially similar to the procedures under this act; or
- (d) In which the convention is in force with respect to the United States.
- (6) "Foreign support order" means a support order of a foreign tribunal.
- (7) "Foreign tribunal" means a court, administrative agency, or quasi-judicial entity of a foreign country which is authorized to establish, enforce, or modify support orders or to determine parentage of a child. The term includes a competent authority under the convention.
- (8)(4) "Home state" means the state in which a child lived with a parent or a person acting as parent for at least 6 consecutive months immediately preceding the time of filing of a petition or comparable pleading for support and, if a child is less than 6 months old, the state in which the child lived from birth with any of them. A period of temporary absence of any of them is counted as part of the 6-month or other period.
- (9) "Income" includes earnings or other periodic entitlements to money from any source and any other property

Page 6 of 64

169 subject to withholding for support under the law of this state.

- (10)(6) "Income-withholding order" means an order or other legal process directed to an obligor's employer or other debtor, as defined by the income deduction law of this state, or payor as defined by s. 61.046, to withhold support from the income of the obligor.
- (7) "Initiating state" means a state from which a proceeding is forwarded or in which a proceeding is filed for forwarding to a responding state under this act or a law or procedure substantially similar to this act, the Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act, or the Revised Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act.
- (11) (8) "Initiating tribunal" means the authorized tribunal in an initiating state.
- (12) "Issuing foreign country" means the foreign country in which a tribunal issues a support order or a judgment determining parentage of a child.
- (13) "Issuing state" means the state in which a tribunal issues a support order or renders a judgment determining parentage.
- $\underline{(14)}$ "Issuing tribunal" means the tribunal that issues a support order or renders a judgment determining parentage.
- (15) "Law" includes decisional and statutory law and rules and regulations having the force of law.
 - (16) (12) "Obligee" means:
- (a) An individual to whom a duty of support is or is alleged to be owed or in whose favor a support order has been issued or a judgment determining parentage has been issued

Page 7 of 64

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

197 rendered;

198 199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217218

219220

221

222

223

224

- (b) A state or political subdivision to which the rights under a duty of support or support order have been assigned or which has independent claims based on financial assistance provided to an individual obligee; or
- (c) An individual seeking a judgment determining parentage of the individual's child; or
- (d) A person that is a creditor in a proceeding under part VII of this chapter.
- $\underline{(17)}$ "Obligor" means an individual, or the estate of a decedent that:
 - (a) Who Owes or is alleged to owe a duty of support;
- (b) $\ensuremath{\mathbb{W}}$ ho Is alleged but has not been adjudicated to be a parent of a child; or
 - (c) Who Is liable under a support order.
- (18) "Person" means an individual, corporation, business trust, estate, trust, partnership, limited liability company, association, joint venture, public corporation, government, or governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality or any other legal or commercial entity.
- (19) "Record" means information that is inscribed on a tangible medium or that is stored in an electronic or other medium that is retrievable in perceivable form.
- (20) (14) "Register" means to record or file a support order or judgment determining parentage of a child issued in another state or a foreign country in the Registry of Foreign Support Orders of the circuit court, or other appropriate location for the recording or filing of foreign judgments

Page 8 of 64

generally or foreign support orders specifically.

- (21) (15) "Registering tribunal" means a tribunal in which a support order is registered.
- (22)(16) "Responding state" means a state in which a proceeding is filed or to which a proceeding is forwarded for filing from another state or a foreign country an initiating state under this act or a law or procedure substantially similar to this act, the Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act, or the Revised Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act.
- (23) (17) "Responding tribunal" means the authorized tribunal in a responding state.
- (24) (18) "Spousal-support order" means a support order for a spouse or former spouse of the obligor.
- (25)(19) "State" means a state of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the United States Virgin Islands, or any territory or insular possession subject to the jurisdiction of the United States. The term includes:
 - (a) an Indian tribe; and
- (b) A foreign jurisdiction that has enacted a law or established procedures for issuance and enforcement of support orders which are substantially similar to the procedures under this act, the Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act, or the Revised Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Act, as determined by the Attorney General.
- (26) (20) "Support enforcement agency" means a public official or agency authorized to seek:
- (a) <u>Seek</u> enforcement of support orders or laws relating to the duty of support;

Page 9 of 64

253 (b) Seek establishment or modification of child support;

(c) Request determination of parentage; or

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261262

263

264

265

266

267

268269

270

273

274

275

276277

278

279

280

- (d) Attempt to locate obligors or their assets; or
- (e) Request determination of the controlling child support order.
 - order, or directive, whether temporary, final, or subject to modification, issued in a state or foreign country for the benefit of a child, a spouse, or a former spouse, which provides for monetary support, health care, arrearages, retroactive support, or reimbursement for financial assistance provided to an individual obligee in place of child support. The term, and may include related costs and fees, interest, income withholding, automatic adjustment, reasonable attorney's fees, and other relief.
 - (28) (22) "Tribunal" means a court, administrative agency, or quasi-judicial entity authorized to establish, enforce, or modify support orders or to determine parentage.
- Section 2. Section 88.1021, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
 - 88.1021 Tribunal of State tribunal and support enforcement agency.—
 - (1) The circuit court or other appropriate court, administrative agency, quasi-judicial entity, or combination is the tribunal of this state.
 - (2) The Department of Revenue is the support enforcement agency of this state.
 - Section 3. Section 88.1031, Florida Statutes, is amended

Page 10 of 64

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

281	to read:
282	88.1031 Remedies cumulative
283	(1) Remedies provided by this act are cumulative and do
284	not affect the availability of remedies under other law, or the
285	recognition of a foreign support order on the basis of comity.
286	(2) This act does not:
287	(a) Provide the exclusive method of establishing or
288	enforcing a support order under the law of this state; or
289	(b) Grant a tribunal of this state jurisdiction to render
290	judgment or issue an order relating to child custody or
291	visitation in a proceeding under this act.
292	Section 4. Section 88.1041, Florida Statutes, is created
293	to read:
294	88.1041 Application to resident of foreign county and
295	foreign support proceeding
296	(1) A tribunal of this state shall apply parts I through
297	VI of this chapter, and, as applicable, part VII of this
298	chapter, to a support proceeding involving:
299	(a) A foreign support order;
300	(b) A foreign tribunal; or
301	(c) An obligee, obligor, or child residing in a foreign
302	country.
303	(2) A tribunal of this state that is requested to
304	recognize and enforce a support order on the basis of comity may
305	apply the procedural and substantive provision of parts I
306	through VI of this chapter.
307	(3) Part VII of this chapter applies only to a support
308	proceeding under the convention. In such a proceeding, if a

Page 11 of 64

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

309 provision of part VII of this chapter is inconsistent with parts 310 I through VI of this chapter, part VII of this chapter controls. Section 5. Section 88.2011, Florida Statutes, is amended 311 312 to read: 88.2011 Bases for jurisdiction over nonresident.-313 314 In a proceeding to establish, enforce, or modify a 315 support order or to determine parentage, a tribunal of this 316 state may exercise personal jurisdiction over a nonresident 317 individual or the individual's quardian or conservator if: (a) (1) The individual is personally served with citation, 318 319 summons, or notice within this state; (b) $\frac{(2)}{(2)}$ The individual submits to the jurisdiction of this 320 321 state by consent, by entering a general appearance, or by filing a responsive document having the effect of waiving any contest 322 323 to personal jurisdiction; 324 (c) (3) The individual resided with the child in this 325 state; (d) (4) The individual resided in this state and provided 326 327 prenatal expenses or support for the child; 328 (e) (5) The child resides in this state as a result of the 329 acts or directives of the individual; 330 (f) The individual engaged in sexual intercourse in 331 this state and the child may have been conceived by that act of intercourse; 332 333 (q) (7) The individual asserted parentage in a tribunal or in a putative father registry maintained in this state by the 334 335 appropriate agency; or 336 (h) (8) There is any other basis consistent with the

Page 12 of 64

constitutions of this state and the United States for the exercise of personal jurisdiction.

337

338

339

340

341

342

343344

345

346347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356 357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

to read:

(2) The bases of personal jurisdiction set forth in subsection (1) or in any other law of this state may not be used to acquire personal jurisdiction for tribunal of this state to modify a child support order of another state unless the requirements of s. 88.6111 are met, or, in the case of a foreign support order, unless the requirements of s. 88.6151 are met.

Section 6. Section 88.2021, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

Duration of personal Procedure when exercising jurisdiction over nonresident. - Personal jurisdiction acquired by a tribunal of this state in a proceeding under this act or other law of this state relating to a support order continues so long as a tribunal of this state has continuing, exclusive jurisdiction to modify its order or continuing jurisdiction to enforce its order as provided by ss. 88.2051, 88.2061, and 88.2111 A tribunal of this state exercising personal jurisdiction over a nonresident under s. 88.2011 may apply s. 88.3161 (special rules of evidence and procedure) to receive evidence from another state, and s. 88.3181 (assistance with discovery to obtain discovery through a tribunal of another state. In all other respects, parts III through VII of this chapter do not apply and the tribunal shall apply the procedural and substantive law of this state, including the rules on choice of law other than those established by this act. Section 7. Section 88.2031, Florida Statutes, is amended

Page 13 of 64

88.2031 Initiating and responding tribunal of state.—Under this act, a tribunal of this state may serve as an initiating tribunal to forward proceedings to another state and as a responding tribunal for proceedings initiated in another state or a foreign country.

Section 8. Section 88.2041, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

88.2041 Simultaneous proceedings in another state.-

- (1) A tribunal of this state may exercise jurisdiction to establish a support order if the petition or comparable pleading is filed after a petition or comparable pleading is filed in another state or a foreign country only if:
- (a) The petition or comparable pleading in this state is filed before the expiration of the time allowed in the other state or the foreign country for filing a responsive pleading challenging the exercise of jurisdiction by the other state or the foreign country;
- (b) The contesting party timely challenges the exercise of jurisdiction in the other state or the foreign country; and
- (c) If relevant, this state is the home state of the child.
- (2) A tribunal of this state may not exercise jurisdiction to establish a support order if the petition or comparable pleading is filed before a petition or comparable pleading is filed in another state or a foreign country if:
- (a) The petition or comparable pleading in the other state or the foreign country is filed before the expiration of the time allowed in this state for filing a responsive pleading

Page 14 of 64

393 challenging the exercise of jurisdiction by this state;

- (b) The contesting party timely challenges the exercise of jurisdiction in this state; and
- (c) If relevant, the other state or the foreign country is the home state of the child.
- Section 9. Section 88.2051, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
 - 88.2051 Continuing exclusive jurisdiction.-
- (1) A tribunal of this state <u>has issued issuing</u> a support order consistent with the law of this state has <u>and shall</u> <u>exercise</u> continuing exclusive jurisdiction <u>to modify over</u> a child support order if the order is the controlling order and:
- (a) At the time of the filing of a request for modification, As long as this state is remains the residence of the obligor, the individual obligee, or the child for whose benefit the support order is issued; or
- obligor, the individual obligee, or the child for whose benefit the support order is issued, the parties consent in a record or in open court that the tribunal of this state may continue to exercise jurisdiction to modify its order Until all of the parties who are individuals have filed written consents with the tribunal of this state for a tribunal of another state to modify the order and assume continuing exclusive jurisdiction.
- (2) A tribunal of this state that has issued issuing a child support order consistent with the law of this state may not exercise its continuing, exclusive jurisdiction to modify the order if: the order has been modified by a tribunal of

Page 15 of 64

another state pursuant to this act or a law substantially similar to this act.

- (a) All of the parties who are individuals file consent in a record with the tribunal of this state that a tribunal of another state that has jurisdiction over at least one of the parties who is an individual or that is located in the state of residence of the child may modify the order and assume continuing, exclusive jurisdiction; or
 - (b) Its order is not the controlling order.
- (3) If a child support order of this state is modified by a tribunal of another state pursuant to this act or a law substantially similar to this act, a tribunal of this state loses its continuing exclusive jurisdiction with regard to prospective enforcement of the order issued in this state, and may only:
- (a) Enforce the order that was modified as to amounts accruing before the modification;
 - (b) Enforce nonmodifiable aspects of that order; and
- (c) Provide other appropriate relief for violations of that order which occurred before the effective date of the modification.
- (3)(4) If a tribunal of this state shall recognize the continuing exclusive jurisdiction of a tribunal of another state which has issued a child support order pursuant to this act or a law substantially similar to this act which modifies a child support order of a tribunal of this state, tribunals of this state shall recognize the continuing, exclusive jurisdiction of the tribunal of the other state.

Page 16 of 64

(4) A tribunal of this state that lacks continuing, exclusive jurisdiction to modify a child support order may serve as an initiating tribunal to request a tribunal of another state to modify a support order issued in that state.

- (5) A temporary support order issued ex parte or pending resolution of a jurisdictional conflict does not create continuing exclusive jurisdiction in the issuing tribunal.
- (6) A tribunal of this state issuing a support order consistent with the law of this state has continuing exclusive jurisdiction over a spousal support order throughout the existence of the support obligation. A tribunal of this state may not modify a spousal support order issued by a tribunal of another state having continuing exclusive jurisdiction over that order under the law of that state.

Section 10. Section 88.2061, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 88.2061 Enforcement and modification of support order by tribunal having Continuing jurisdiction to enforce child support order.—
- (1) A tribunal of this state that has issued a child support order consistent with the law of this state may serve as an initiating tribunal to request a tribunal of another state to enforce: or modify a support order issued in that state.
- (a) The order if the order is the controlling order and has not been modified by a tribunal of another state that assumed jurisdiction pursuant to the Uniform Interstate Family Support Act; or
 - (b) A money judgment for arrears of support and interest

Page 17 of 64

on the order accrued before a determination that an order of a tribunal of another state is the controlling order.

- jurisdiction over a support order may act as a responding tribunal to enforce or modify the order. If a party subject to the continuing exclusive jurisdiction of the tribunal no longer resides in the issuing state, in subsequent proceedings the tribunal may apply s. 88.3161 (special rules of evidence and procedure) to receive evidence from another state and s. 88.3181 (assistance with discovery) to obtain discovery through a tribunal of another state.
- (3) A tribunal of this state which lacks continuing exclusive jurisdiction over a spousal support order may not serve as a responding tribunal to modify a spousal support order of another state.
- Section 11. Section 88.2071, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 88.2071 <u>Determination</u> Recognition of controlling child support order.—
- (1) If a proceeding is brought under this act and only one tribunal has issued a child support order, the order of that tribunal controls and must be so recognized.
- (2) If a proceeding is brought under this act, and two or more child support orders have been issued by tribunals of this state or another state with regard to the same obligor and the same child, a tribunal of this state having personal jurisdiction over both the obligor and individual obligee shall apply the following rules and by order shall determine in

Page 18 of 64

determining which order controls to recognize for purposes of continuing, exclusive jurisdiction:

- (a) If only one of the tribunals would have continuing, exclusive jurisdiction under this act, the order of that tribunal controls and must be so recognized.
- (b) $\underline{1}$. If more than one of the tribunals would have continuing, exclusive jurisdiction under this act, an order issued by a tribunal in the current home state of the child controls; and must be so recognized, but
- $\underline{2}$. If an order has not been issued in the current home state of the child, the order most recently issued controls and must be so recognized.
- (c) If none of the tribunals would have continuing, exclusive jurisdiction under this act, the tribunal of this state having jurisdiction over the parties shall issue a child support order, which controls and must be so recognized.
- (3) If two or more child support orders have been issued for the same obligor and the same child, upon request of a and if the obligor or the individual obligee resides in this state, a party who is an individual or a support enforcement agency, may request a tribunal of this state having personal jurisdiction over both the obligor and the obligee who is an individual shall to determine which order controls and must be so recognized under subsection (2). The request may be filed with a registration for enforcement or registration for modification pursuant to part VI of this chapter, or may be filed as a separate proceeding must be accompanied by a certified copy of every support order in effect. The requesting

Page 19 of 64

party shall give notice of the request to each party whose rights may be affected by the determination.

- (4) A request to determine which is the controlling order must be accompanied by a copy of every child support order in effect and the applicable record of payments. The requesting party shall give notice of the request to each party whose rights may be affected by the determination.
- (5)(4) The tribunal that issued the controlling order under subsection (1), subsection (2), or subsection (3) is the tribunal that has continuing, exclusive jurisdiction to the extent provided in ss. under s. 88.2051 and 88.2061.
- (6)(5) A tribunal of this state that which determines by order which is the identity of the controlling order under paragraph (2)(a), or paragraph (2)(b), or subsection (3) or that which issues a new controlling order under paragraph (2)(c) shall state in that order:
- (a) The basis upon which the tribunal made its determination.
 - (b) The amount of prospective support, if any.
- (c) The total amount of consolidated arrears and accrued interest, if any, under all of the orders after all payments made are credited as provided by s. 88.2091.
- (7)(6) Within 30 days after issuance of an order determining which is the identity of the controlling order, the party obtaining the order shall file a certified copy of it in with each tribunal that issued or registered an earlier order of child support. A party or support enforcement agency obtaining who obtains the order that and fails to file a certified copy is

Page 20 of 64

subject to appropriate sanctions by a tribunal in which the issue of failure to file arises. The failure to file does not affect the validity or enforceability of the controlling order.

(8) An order that has been determined to be the controlling order, or a judgment for consolidated arrears of support and interest, if any, made pursuant to this section must be recognized in proceedings under this act.

Section 12. Section 88.2081, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

88.2081 Multiple Child support orders for two or more obligees.—In responding to multiple registrations, petitions, or comparable pleadings for enforcement of two or more child support orders in effect at the same time with regard to the same obligor and different individual obligees, at least one of which was issued by a tribunal of another state, a tribunal of this state shall enforce those orders in the same manner as if the multiple orders had been issued by a tribunal of this state.

Section 13. Section 88.2091, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

88.2091 Credit for payments.—A tribunal of this state shall credit amounts collected and credited for a particular period pursuant to any child support order against the amount owed for the same period under any other child support order for support of the same child a support order issued by a tribunal of another state must be credited against the amounts accruing or accrued for the same period under any other child a support order issued by the tribunal of this state, another state, or a foreign country.

Page 21 of 64

Section 14. Section 88.2101, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

- 88.2101 Application of act to nonresident subject to personal jurisdiction.—A tribunal of this state exercising personal jurisdiction over a nonresident in a proceeding under this act, under another law of this state relating to a support order, or recognizing a foreign support order may receive evidence from outside this state pursuant to s. 88.3161, communicate with a tribunal outside this state pursuant to s. 88.3171, and obtain discovery through a tribunal outside this state pursuant to s. 88.3181. In all other respects, parts III through VI of this chapter do not apply, and the tribunal shall apply the procedural and substantive law of this state.
- Section 15. Section 88.2111, Florida Statutes, is created to read:
- 88.2111 Continuing, exclusive jurisdiction to modify spousal support order.—
- (1) A tribunal of this state issuing a spousal support order consistent with the law of this state has continuing, exclusive jurisdiction to modify the spousal support order throughout the existence of the obligation.
- (2) A tribunal of this state may not modify a spousal support order issued by a tribunal of another state or foreign country having continuing, exclusive jurisdiction over that order under the law of that state or foreign country.
- 614 (3) A tribunal of this state that has continuing,
 615 exclusive jurisdiction over a spousal support order may serve
 616 as:

Page 22 of 64

o	(a) An initiating tribunal to request a tribunal of
518	another state to enforce the spousal support order issued in
519	this state; or
520	(b) A responding tribunal to enforce or modify its own
521	spousal support order.
522	Section 16. Section 88.3011, Florida Statutes, is amended
523	to read:
524	88.3011 Proceedings under this act
525	(1) Except as otherwise provided in this act, this part
526	article applies to all proceedings under this act.
527	(2) This act provides for the following proceedings:
528	(a) Establishment of an order for spousal support or child
529	support pursuant to part IV;
630	(b) Enforcement of a support order and income-withholding
631	order of another state without registration pursuant to part $\forall i$
532	(c) Registration of an order for spousal support or child
633	support of another state for enforcement pursuant to part VI;
634	(d) Modification of an order for child support or spousal
635	support issued by a tribunal of this state pursuant to ss.
636	88.2031-88.2061;
637	(e) Registration of an order for child support of another
638	state for modification pursuant to part VI;
639	(f) Determination of parentage pursuant to part VII; and
640	(g) Assertion of jurisdiction over nonresidents pursuant
641	to ss. 88.2011-88.2021.
642	(2) (3) An individual petitioner or a support enforcement
643	agency may initiate commence a proceeding authorized under this
644	act by filing a petition or a comparable pleading in an

Page 23 of 64

initiating tribunal for forwarding to a responding tribunal or by filing a petition or a comparable pleading directly in a tribunal of another state or a foreign country which has or can obtain personal jurisdiction over the respondent.

Section 17. Section 88.3021, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

88.3021 <u>Proceeding Action</u> by minor parent.—A minor parent, or a guardian or other legal representative of a minor parent, may maintain a proceeding on behalf of or for the benefit of the minor's child.

Section 18. Section 88.3031, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 88.3031 Application of law of state.—Except as otherwise provided \underline{in} by this act, a responding tribunal of this state shall:
- (1) Shall Apply the procedural and substantive law, including the rules on choice of law, generally applicable to similar proceedings originating in this state and may exercise all powers and provide all remedies available in those proceedings; and
- (2) Shall Determine the duty of support and the amount payable in accordance with the law and support guidelines of this state.
- Section 19. Section 88.3041, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
 - 88.3041 Duties of initiating tribunal.
- (1) Upon the filing of a petition or comparable pleading authorized by this act, an initiating tribunal of this state

Page 24 of 64

shall forward three copies of the petition and its accompanying documents or a comparable pleading and its accompanying documents:

(a) To the responding tribunal or appropriate support enforcement agency in the responding state; or

- (b) If the identity of the responding tribunal is unknown, to the state information agency of the responding state with a request that they be forwarded to the appropriate tribunal and that receipt be acknowledged.
- (2) If requested by the responding tribunal a responding state has not enacted this act or a law or procedure substantially similar to this act, a tribunal of this state shall may issue a certificate or other document and make findings required by the law of the responding state. If the responding tribunal state is in a foreign country jurisdiction, upon request the tribunal of this state shall may specify the amount of support sought, convert that amount into the equivalent amount in the foreign currency under applicable official or market exchange rate as publicly reported, and provide any other documents necessary to satisfy the requirements of the responding foreign tribunal state.

Section 20. Section 88.3051, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 88.3051 Duties and powers of responding tribunal.-
- (1) When a responding tribunal of this state receives a petition or comparable pleading from an initiating tribunal or directly pursuant to s. 88.3011(2)(3), it shall cause the petition or comparable pleading to be filed and notify the

Page 25 of 64

701 petitioner where and when it was filed.

702

703

704

705 706

707

708

709

710

711

713

714

715

716

717

718 719

720

721

722

723

724

725 726

727

728

- (2) A responding tribunal of this state, to the extent <u>not</u> <u>prohibited</u> otherwise authorized by <u>other</u> law, may do one or more of the following:
- (a) Establish Issue or enforce a support order, modify a child support order, determine the controlling child support order, or render a judgment to determine parentage of a child.
- (b) Order an obligor to comply with a support order, specifying the amount and the manner of compliance.
 - (c) Order income withholding.
- (d) Determine the amount of any arrearages, and specify a method of payment.
 - (e) Enforce orders by civil or criminal contempt, or both.
- (f) Set aside property for satisfaction of the support order.
- (g) Place liens and order execution on the obligor's property.
- (h) Order an obligor to keep the tribunal informed of the obligor's current residential address, telephone number, employer, address of employment, and telephone number at the place of employment.
- (i) Issue a bench warrant, capias, or writ of bodily attachment for an obligor who has failed after proper notice to appear at a hearing ordered by the tribunal and enter the bench warrant, capias, or writ of bodily attachment in any local and state computer systems for criminal warrants.
- (j) Order the obligor to seek appropriate employment by specified methods.

Page 26 of 64

2011 HB 1111

729 (k) Award reasonable attorney's fees and other fees and costs.

> Grant any other available remedy. (1)

730

731

732

733

734

735

736 737

738

739 740

741

742

743

744 745

746

747

748

749 750

751

752

753

754

755

756

- (3) A responding tribunal of this state shall include in a support order issued under this act, or in the documents accompanying the order, the calculations on which the support order is based.
- (4) A responding tribunal of this state may not condition the payment of a support order issued under this act upon compliance by a party with provisions for visitation.
- If a responding tribunal of this state issues an order under this act, the tribunal shall send a copy of the order to the petitioner and the respondent and to the initiating tribunal, if any.
- (6) If requested to enforce a support order, arrears, or judgment, or modify a support order stated in a foreign currency, a responding tribunal of this state shall convert the amount stated in the foreign currency to the equivalent amount in dollars under the applicable official or market exchange rate as publicly reported.
- Section 21. Section 88.3061, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 88.3061 Inappropriate tribunal.—If a petition or comparable pleading is received by an inappropriate tribunal of this state, the tribunal it shall forward the pleading and accompanying documents to an appropriate tribunal of in this state or another state and notify the petitioner where and when the pleading was sent.

Page 27 of 64

757 Section 22. Section 88.3071, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

88.3071 Duties of support enforcement agency.-

- (1) <u>In a proceeding under this act, a support enforcement</u> agency of this state, upon request:
- (a) Shall provide services to a petitioner residing in a state;
- (b) Shall provide services to a petitioner requesting services through a central authority of a foreign country as described in s. 88.1011(5)(a) or s. 88.1011(5)(d); and
- (c) May provide services to a petitioner who is an individual not residing in a state A support enforcement agency of this state, upon request, shall provide services to a petitioner in a proceeding under this act.
- (2) A support enforcement agency that is providing services to the petitioner as appropriate shall:
- (a) Take all steps necessary to enable an appropriate tribunal in this state, or a foreign country to obtain jurisdiction over the respondent.
- (b) Request an appropriate tribunal to set a date, time, and place for a hearing.
- (c) Make a reasonable effort to obtain all relevant information, including information as to income and property of the parties.
- (d) Within 10 days, exclusive of Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays, after receipt of a written notice from an initiating, responding, or registering tribunal, send a copy of the notice to the petitioner.

Page 28 of 64

(e) Within 10 days, exclusive of Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays, after receipt of a written communication from the respondent or the respondent's attorney, send a copy of the communication to the petitioner.

(f) Notify the petitioner if jurisdiction over the respondent cannot be obtained.

. 797

- (3) A support enforcement agency of this state that requests registration of a child support order in this state for enforcement or for modification shall make reasonable efforts:
- (a) To ensure that the order to be registered is the controlling order; or
- (b) If two or more child support orders exist and the identity of the controlling order has not been determined, to ensure that a request for such a determination is made in a tribunal having jurisdiction to do so.
- (4) A support enforcement agency of this state that requests registration and enforcement of a support order, arrears, or judgment stated in a foreign currency shall convert the amounts stated in the foreign currency into the equivalent amounts in dollars under the applicable official or market exchange rate as publicly reported.
- (5) A support enforcement agency of this state shall issue or request a tribunal of this state to issue a child support order and an income-withholding order that redirect payment of current support, arrears, and interest if requested to do so by a support enforcement agency of another state pursuant to s. 88.3191.
 - (6) (3) This act does not create or negate a relationship

Page 29 of 64

of attorney and client or other fiduciary relationship between a support enforcement agency or the attorney for the agency and the individual being assisted by the agency.

Section 23. Section 88.3081, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

88.3081 Duty of Governor and Cabinet.-

- (1) If the Governor and Cabinet determine that the support enforcement agency is neglecting or refusing to provide services to an individual, the Governor and Cabinet may order the agency to perform its duties under this act or may provide those services directly to the individual.
- (2) The Governor and Cabinet may determine that a foreign country has established a reciprocal arrangement for child support with this state and take appropriate action for notification of the determination.

Section 24. Paragraph (c) of subsection (2) of section 88.3101, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 88.3101 Duties of state information agency.-
- (2) The state information agency shall:
- (c) Forward to the appropriate tribunal in the place in this state in which the individual obligee who is an individual or the obligor resides, or in which the obligor's property is believed to be located, all documents concerning a proceeding under this act received from another state or a foreign country an initiating tribunal or the state information agency of the initiating state.
- Section 25. Subsection (1) of section 88.3111, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

Page 30 of 64

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863864

865866

867

868

88.3111 Pleadings and accompanying documents.-In a proceeding under this act, a petitioner seeking to establish or modify a support order, or to determine parentage of a child, or to register and modify a support order of a tribunal of another state or a foreign country in a proceeding under this act must file a verify the petition or comparable pleading. Unless otherwise ordered under s. 88.3121 (nondisclosure of information in exceptional circumstances), the petition or comparable pleading or the documents accompanying either the petition or comparable pleading must provide, so far as known, the name, residential address, and social security numbers of the obligor and the obligee or the parent and alleged parent, and the name, sex, residential address, social security number, and date of birth of each child for whose benefit whom support is sought or whose parentage is to be determined. Unless filed at the time of registration, the petition must be accompanied by a certified copy of any support order known to have been issued by another tribunal in effect. The petition may include any other information that may assist in locating or identifying the respondent.

Section 26. Section 88.3121, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

88.3121 Nondisclosure of information in exceptional circumstances.—If a party alleges in an affidavit or a pleading under oath that the health, safety, or liberty of a party or child would be jeopardized by disclosure of specific identifying information, that information must be sealed and may not be disclosed to the other party or the public. After a hearing in

Page 31 of 64

which a tribunal takes into consideration the health, safety, or liberty of the party or child, the tribunal may order disclosure of information that the tribunal determines to be in the interest of justice Upon a finding, which may be made ex parte, that the health, safety, or liberty of a party or child would be unreasonably put at risk by the disclosure of identifying information, or if an existing order so provides, a tribunal shall order that the address of the child or party or other identifying information not be disclosed in a pleading or other document filed in a proceeding under this act.

Section 27. Subsection (2) of section 88.3131, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

88.3131 Costs and fees.-

- (2) If an obligee prevails, a responding tribunal of this state may assess against an obligor filing fees, reasonable attorney's fees, other costs, and necessary travel and other reasonable expenses incurred by the obligee and the obligee's witnesses. The tribunal may not assess fees, costs, or expenses against the obligee or the support enforcement agency of either the initiating or the responding state or foreign country, except as provided by other law. Attorney's fees may be taxed as costs, and may be ordered paid directly to the attorney, who may enforce the order in the attorney's own name. Payment of support owed to the obligee has priority over fees, costs, and expenses.
- Section 28. Subsections (1) and (3) of section 88.3141, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
 - 88.3141 Limited immunity of petitioner.
 - (1) Participation by a petitioner in a proceeding <u>under</u>

Page 32 of 64

this act before a responding tribunal, whether in person, by private attorney, or through services provided by the support enforcement agency, does not confer personal jurisdiction over the petitioner in another proceeding.

- (3) The immunity granted by this section does not extend to civil litigation based on acts unrelated to a proceeding under this act committed by a party while <u>physically</u> present in this state to participate in the proceeding.
- Section 29. Section 88.3161, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
 - 88.3161 Special rules of evidence and procedure. -
- (1) The physical presence of a nonresident party who is an individual the petitioner in a responding tribunal of this state is not required for the establishment, enforcement, or modification of a support order or the rendition of a judgment determining parentage of a child.
- (2) An A verified petition or other comparable pleading, affidavit, a document substantially complying with federally mandated forms, or and a document incorporated by reference in any of them, which would not be excluded under the hearsay rule if given in person, is admissible in evidence if given under penalty of perjury oath by a party or witness residing outside this in another state.
- (3) A copy of the record of child support payments certified as a true copy of the original by the custodian of the record may be forwarded to a responding tribunal. The copy is evidence of facts asserted in it, and is admissible to show whether payments were made.

Page 33 of 64

(4) Copies of bills for testing for parentage of a child, and for prenatal and postnatal health care of the mother and child, furnished to the adverse party at least 10 days before trial, are admissible in evidence to prove the amount of the charges billed and that the charges were reasonable, necessary, and customary.

- (5) Documentary evidence transmitted from <u>outside this</u> another state to a tribunal of this state by telephone, telecopier, or other <u>electronic</u> means that do not provide an original <u>record</u> writing may not be excluded from evidence on an objection based on the means of transmission.
- (6) In a proceeding under this act, a tribunal of this state shall may permit a party or witness residing outside this in another state to be deposed or to testify by telephone, audiovisual means, or other electronic means at a designated tribunal or other location in that state. A tribunal of this state shall cooperate with other tribunals of other states in designating an appropriate location for the deposition or testimony.
- (7) If a party called to testify at a civil hearing refuses to answer on the ground that the testimony may be self-incriminating, the trier of fact may draw an adverse inference from the refusal.
- (8) A privilege against disclosure of communications between spouses does not apply in a proceeding under this act.
- (9) The defense of immunity based on the relationship of husband and wife or parent and child does not apply in a proceeding under this act.

953
(10) A voluntary acknowledgment of paternity, certified as
954
a true copy, is admissible to establish parentage of a child.
955
Section 30. Section 88.3171, Florida Statutes, is amended
956
to read:
957
88.3171 Communications between tribunals.—A tribunal of
958 this state may communicate with a tribunal outside this ef

959

960

961962

963

964965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

this state may communicate with a tribunal <u>outside this</u> of another state in a record writing, or by telephone, electronic mail, or other means, to obtain information concerning the laws of that state, the legal effect of a judgment, decree, or order of that tribunal, and the status of a proceeding in the other state. A tribunal of this state may furnish similar information by similar means to a tribunal <u>outside this</u> of another state.

Section 31. Section 88.3181, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 88.3181 Assistance with discovery.—A tribunal of this state may:
- (1) Request a tribunal <u>outside this</u> of another state to assist in obtaining discovery.
- (2) Upon request, compel a person over which whom it has jurisdiction to respond to a discovery order issued by a tribunal outside this of another state.

Section 32. Section 88.3191, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 88.3191 Receipt and disbursement of payments.-
- (1) A support enforcement agency or tribunal of this state shall disburse promptly any amounts received pursuant to a support order, as directed by the order. The agency or tribunal shall furnish to a requesting party or tribunal of another state

Page 35 of 64

or a foreign country a certified statement by the custodian of the record of the amounts and dates of all payments received.

- (2) If neither the obligor, nor the obligee who is an individual, nor the child resides in this state, upon request from the support enforcement agency of this state or another state, the support enforcement agency of this state or a tribunal of this state shall:
- (a) Direct that the support payment be made to the support enforcement agency in the state in which the obligee is receiving services; and
- (b) Issue and send to the obligor's employer a conforming income-withholding order or an administrative notice of change of payee, reflecting the redirected payments.
- (3) The support enforcement agency of this state receiving redirected payments from another state pursuant to a law similar to subsection (2) shall furnish to a requesting party or tribunal of the other state a certified statement by the custodian of the record of the amount and dates of all payments received.
- Section 33. Section 88.4011, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 88.4011 <u>Establishment of Petition to establish</u> support order.—
 - (1) If a support order entitled to recognition under this act has not been issued, a responding tribunal of this state with personal jurisdiction over the parties may issue a support order if:
 - (a) The individual seeking the order resides in another

Page 36 of 64

1009	state; or
1010	(b) The support enforcement agency seeking the order is
1011	located in another state.
1012	(2) The tribunal may issue a temporary child support order
1013	if the tribunal determines that such an order is appropriate and
1014	the individual ordered to pay is:
1015	(a) A presumed father of the child;
1016	(b) Petitioning to have his paternity adjudicated;
1017	(c) Identified as the father of the child through genetic
1018	testing;
1019	(d) An alleged father who has declined to submit to
1020	<pre>genetic testing;</pre>
1021	(e) Shown by clear and convincing evidence to be the
1022	<pre>father of the child;</pre>
1023	(f) An acknowledged father as provided in s. 382.013, s.
1024	382.016, or s. 742.10;
1025	(g) The mother of the child; or
1026	(h) An individual who has been ordered to pay child
1027	support in a previous proceeding and the order has not been
1028	reversed or vacated
1029	(a) The respondent has signed a verified statement
1030	acknowledging parentage;
1031	(b) The respondent has been determined by or pursuant to
1032	law to be the parent; or
1033	(c) There is other clear and convincing evidence that the
1034	respondent is the child's parent.
1035	(3) Upon finding, after notice and opportunity to be
1036	heard, that an obligor owes a duty of support, the tribunal

Page 37 of 64

shall issue a support order directed to the obligor and may issue other orders pursuant to s. 88.3051.

1039

1040

10411042

1043

1045

1046

1047 1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056 1057

1058

1059

1060

1061 1062

1063

1064

Section 34. The Division of Statutory Revision is directed to redesignate part V of chapter 88, Florida Statutes, as
"ENFORCEMENT OF SUPPORT ORDER OF ANOTHER STATE WITHOUT REGISTRATION."

Section 35. Section 88.5011, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

88.5011 Employer's receipt of income-withholding order of another state.—An income-withholding order issued in another state may be sent by or on behalf of the obligee, or by the support enforcement agency, to the person or entity defined as the obligor's employer under the income deduction law of this state or payor as defined by s. 61.046, without first filing a petition or comparable pleading or registering the order with a tribunal of this state.

Section 36. Paragraph (b) of subsection (3) of section 88.50211, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

88.50211 Employer's compliance with income-withholding order of another state.—

- (3) Except as otherwise provided by subsection (4) and s. 88.5031, the employer shall withhold and distribute the funds as directed in the withholding order by complying with the terms of the order which specify:
- (b) The person or agency designated to receive payments and the address to which the payments are to be forwarded; Section 37. Section 88.5031, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

Page 38 of 64

88.5031 Employer's compliance with two or more multiple income-withholding orders.—If the obligor's employer receives two or more multiple income-withholding orders with respect to the earnings of the same obligor, the employer satisfies the terms of the multiple orders if the employer complies with the law of the state of the obligor's principal place of employment to establish the priorities for withholding and allocating income withheld for two or more multiple child support obligees.

Section 38. Section 88.5041, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

88.5041 Immunity from civil liability.—An employer that who complies with an income-withholding order issued in another state in accordance with this article is not subject to civil liability to an individual or agency with regard to the employer's withholding of child support from the obligor's income.

Section 39. Section 88.5051, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

88.5051 Penalties for noncompliance.—An employer that who willfully fails to comply with an income-withholding order issued by another state and received for enforcement is subject to the same penalties that may be imposed for noncompliance with an order issued by a tribunal of this state.

Section 40. Section 88.5061, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

88.5061 Contest by obligor.

(1) An obligor may contest the validity or enforcement of an income-withholding order issued in another state and received

Page 39 of 64

in a tribunal of this state and filing a contest to that order as provided in part VI of this chapter, or otherwise contesting the order in the same manner as if the order had been issued by a tribunal of this state. Section 88.6041, choice of law, applies to the contest.

- (2) The obligor shall give notice of the contest to:
- (a) A support enforcement agency providing services to the oblique;
 - (b) Each employer that has directly received an incomewithholding order relating to the obligor; and
 - (c) The person or agency designated to receive payments in the income-withholding order, or if no person or agency is designated, to the obligee.

Section 41. Subsection (1) of section 88.5071, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

88.5071 Administrative enforcement of orders.-

- (1) A party or support enforcement agency seeking to enforce a support order or an income-withholding order, or both, issued in by a tribunal of another state or a foreign support order may send the documents required for registering the order to a support enforcement agency of this state.
- Section 42. (1) The Division of Statutory Revision is directed to redesignate part VI of chapter 88, Florida Statutes, as "REGISTRATION, ENFORCEMENT, AND MODIFICATION OF SUPPORT ORDER."
- (2) The Division of Statutory Revision is directed to divide part VI of chapter 88, Florida Statutes, into subpart A,

Page 40 of 64

1121	consisting of ss. 88.6011-88.6041, Florida Statutes, to be
1122	entitled "Registration and Enforcement of Support Order;"
1123	subpart B, consisting of ss. 88.6051-88.6081, Florida Statutes,
1124	to be entitled "Contest of Validity or Enforcement;" subpart C,
1125	consisting of ss. 88.6091-88.6141, Florida Statutes, to be
1126	entitled "Registration and Modification of Child Support Order
1127	of Another State; " and subpart D, consisting of ss. 88.6151 and
1128	88.6161, Florida Statutes, to be entitled "Registration and
1129	Modification of Foreign Child Support Order."
1130	Section 43. Section 88.6011, Florida Statutes, is amended
1131	to read:
1132	88.6011 Registration of order for enforcement.—A support
1133	order or an income-withholding order issued \underline{in} by a $tribunal$ of
1134	another state or a foreign support order may be registered in
1135	this state for enforcement.
1136	Section 44. Section 88.6021, Florida Statutes, is amended
1137	to read:
1138	88.6021 Procedure to register order for enforcement
1139	(1) Except as otherwise provided in s. 88.7061, a support
1140	order or income-withholding order of another state or a foreign

- order or income-withholding order of another state or a foreign support order may be registered in this state by sending the
- following <u>records</u> documents and information to the appropriate tribunal in this state:
 - (a) A letter of transmittal to the tribunal requesting registration and enforcement.
- 1146 (b) Two copies, including one certified copy, of the order

 1147 all orders to be registered, including any modification of the

 1148 an order.

Page 41 of 64

1141

1144

1145

(c) A sworn statement by the <u>person requesting</u> party seeking registration or a certified statement by the custodian of the records showing the amount of any arrearage.

(d) The name of the obligor and, if known:

- 1. The obligor's address and social security number.
- 2. The name and address of the obligor's employer and any other source of income of the obligor.
- 3. A description and the location of property of the obligor in this state not exempt from execution.
- (e) Except as otherwise provided in s. 88.3121, the name and address of the obligee and, if applicable, the agency or person to whom support payments are to be remitted.
- (2) On receipt of a request for registration, the registering tribunal shall cause the order to be filed as <u>an</u> order of a tribunal of another state or a foreign support order a foreign judgment, together with one copy of the documents and information, regardless of their form.
- (3) A petition or comparable pleading seeking a remedy that must be affirmatively sought under other law of this state may be filed at the same time as the request for registration or later. The pleading must specify the grounds for the remedy sought.
- (4) If two or more orders are in effect, the person requesting registration shall:
- (a) Furnish to the tribunal a copy of every support order asserted to be in effect in addition to the documents specified in this section;

1176 (b) Specify the order alleged to be the controlling order, 1177 if any; and 1178 (c) Specify the amount of consolidated arrears, if any. (5) A request for a determination of which is the 1179 1180 controlling order may be filed separately or with a request for 1181 registration and enforcement or for registration and 1182 modification. The person requesting registration shall give 1183 notice of the request to each party whose rights may be affected 1184 by the determination. Section 45. Section 88.6031, Florida Statutes, is amended 1185 1186 to read: 1187 88.6031 Effect of registration for enforcement.-1188 A support order or income-withholding order issued in another state or a foreign support order is registered when the 1189 order is filed in the registering tribunal of this state. 1190 1191 (2) A registered support order issued in another state or 1192 a foreign country is enforceable in the same manner and is 1193 subject to the same procedures as an order issued by a tribunal 1194 of this state. (3) Except as otherwise provided in this act article, a 1195 1196 tribunal of this state shall recognize and enforce, but may not 1197 modify, a registered support order if the issuing tribunal had 1198 jurisdiction. 1199

Section 46. Section 88.6041, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

88.6041 Choice of law.-

1200

1201

12021203

(1) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (4), the law of the issuing state or foreign country governs:

Page 43 of 64

(a) The nature, extent, amount, and duration of current payments under a registered support order; and other obligations of support and

- (b) The computation and payment of arrearages and accrual of interest on the arrearages under the order; and
- (c) The existence and satisfaction of other obligations under the support order.
- order arrearages, the statute of limitation under the laws of this state or of the issuing state or foreign country, whichever is longer, applies.
- (3) A responding tribunal of this state shall apply the procedures and remedies of this state to enforce current support and collect arrears and interest due on a support order of another state or foreign country registered in this state.
- (4) After a tribunal of this or another state determines which is the controlling order and issues an order consolidating arrears, if any, a tribunal of this state shall prospectively apply the law of the state or foreign country issuing the controlling order, including its law on interest on arrears, on current and future support, and on consolidated arrears.
- Section 47. Section 88.6051, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
 - 88.6051 Notice of registration of order.-
- (1) When a support order or income-withholding order issued in another state or a foreign support order is registered, the registering tribunal of this state shall notify the nonregistering party. The notice must be accompanied by a

Page 44 of 64

copy of the registered order and the documents and relevant information accompanying the order.

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238 1239

1240

1241 1242

1243

1244

1245

1246

1247

1250

12511252

1253

1254

- (2) A The notice must inform the nonregistering party:
- (a) That a registered order is enforceable as of the date of registration in the same manner as an order issued by a tribunal of this state.
- (b) That a hearing to contest the validity or enforcement of the registered order must be requested within 20 days after the date of mailing or personal service of the notice, unless the registered order is under s. 88.7071.
- (c) That failure to contest the validity or enforcement of the registered order in a timely manner will result in confirmation of the order and enforcement of the order and the alleged arrearages and precludes further contest of that order with respect to any matter that could have been asserted.
 - (d) Of the amount of any alleged arrearages.
- 1248 (3) If the registering party asserts that two or more 1249 orders are in effect, a notice must also:
 - (a) Identify the two or more orders and the order alleged by the registering party to be the controlling order and the consolidated arrears, if any;
 - (b) Notify the nonregistering party of the right to a determination of which is the controlling order;
- 1255 (c) State that the procedures provided in subsection (2)
 1256 apply to the determination of which is the controlling order;
 1257 and
- 1258 (d) State that failure to contest the validity or
 1259 enforcement of the order alleged to be the controlling order in

Page 45 of 64

1260 <u>a timely manner may result in confirmation that the order is the</u>
1261 controlling order.

- (4)(3) Upon registration of an income-withholding order for enforcement, the support enforcement agency or the registering tribunal shall notify the obligor's employer pursuant to chapter 61 or other income deduction law of this state.
- Section 48. Subsections (1) and (2) of section 88.6061, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
 - 88.6061 Procedure to contest validity or enforcement of registered order.—
 - (1) A nonregistering party seeking to contest the validity or enforcement of a registered order in this state shall request a hearing within the time required by s. 88.6051 20 days after notice of the registration. The nonregistering party may seek to vacate the registration, to assert any defense to an allegation of noncompliance with the registered order, or to contest the remedies being sought or the amount of any alleged arrearages pursuant to s. 88.6071.
 - (2) If the nonregistering party fails to contest the validity or enforcement of the registered <u>support</u> order in a timely manner, the order is confirmed by operation of law.
 - Section 49. Section 88.6071, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
 - 88.6071 Contest of registration or enforcement.-
 - (1) A party contesting the validity or enforcement of a registered <u>support</u> order or seeking to vacate the registration has the burden of proving one or more of the following defenses:

Page 46 of 64

(a) The issuing tribunal lacked personal jurisdiction over the contesting party;

(b) The order was obtained by fraud;

1288

1289

1290

1293

1294

1295

1296

1297

1298 1299

1300

1301

1302

1303 1304

1305

1306

1307

1308

1309

13101311

1312

- 1291 (c) The order has been vacated, suspended, or modified by 1292 a later order;
 - (d) The issuing tribunal has stayed the order pending appeal;
 - (e) There is a defense under the law of this state to the remedy sought;
 - (f) Full or partial payment has been made; or
 - (g) The statute of limitation under s. 88.6041 precludes enforcement of some or all of the alleged arrearages; or
 - $\underline{\mbox{ (h) The alleged controlling order is not the controlling}} \label{eq:controlling}$ order.
 - (2) If a party presents evidence establishing a full or partial defense under subsection (1), a tribunal may stay enforcement of a the registered support order, continue the proceeding to permit production of additional relevant evidence, and issue other appropriate orders. An uncontested portion of the registered support order may be enforced by all remedies available under the law of this state.
 - (3) If the contesting party does not establish a defense under subsection (1) to the validity or enforcement of \underline{a} registered support the order, the registering tribunal shall issue an order confirming the order.
- Section 50. Section 88.6081, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 1315 88.6081 Confirmed order.—Confirmation of a registered

Page 47 of 64

support order, whether by operation of law or after notice and hearing, precludes further contest of the order with respect to any matter that could have been asserted at the time of registration.

1316 1317

1318

1319

1320

1321

1322

1323

1324

1325

1326

1327

1328

1329

1330

1333

1334

1335

1336

1337

1338

1339

1340

1341

Section 51. Section 88.6091, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

88.6091 Procedure to register child support order of another state for modification.—A party or support enforcement agency seeking to modify, or to modify and enforce, a child support order issued in another state shall register that order in this state in the same manner provided in ss. 88.6011-88.6081 88.6011-88.6041 if the order has not been registered. A petition for modification may be filed at the same time as a request for registration, or later. The pleading must specify the grounds for modification.

Section 52. Section 88.6111, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- $88.6111\,$ Modification of child support order of another state.—
- (1) If s. 88.6131 does not apply, upon petition, a tribunal of this state may modify After a child support order issued in another state which is has been registered in this state, the responding tribunal of this state may modify that order only if, s. 88.6131 does not apply and after notice and hearing, the tribunal it finds that:
 - (a) The following requirements are met:
- 1342 1. <u>Neither</u> the child, <u>nor</u> the <u>individual</u> obligee <u>who is an</u>
 1343 <u>individual</u>, <u>nor and</u> the obligor <u>resides</u> do not reside in the

Page 48 of 64

HB 1111 2011 .

1344 issuing state;

- 2. A petitioner who is a nonresident of this state seeks modification; and
- 3. The respondent is subject to the personal jurisdiction of the tribunal of this state; or
- (b) This state is the state of residence of the child, or a party who is an individual, is subject to the personal jurisdiction of the tribunal of this state and all of the parties who are individuals have filed written consents in a record in the issuing tribunal for a tribunal of this state to modify the support order and assume continuing exclusive jurisdiction over the order. However, if the issuing state is a foreign jurisdiction that has not enacted a law or established procedures substantially similar to the procedures under this act, the consent otherwise required of an individual residing in this state is not required for the tribunal to assume jurisdiction to modify the child support order.
- (2) Modification of a registered child support order is subject to the same requirements, procedures, and defenses that apply to the modification of an order issued by a tribunal of this state and the order may be enforced and satisfied in the same manner.
- (3) A tribunal of this state may not modify any aspect of a child support order that may not be modified under the law of the issuing state, including the duration of the obligation of support. If two or more tribunals have issued child support orders for the same obligor and same child, the order that controls and must be so recognized under s. 88.2071 establishes

Page 49 of 64

1372 the aspects of the support order which are nonmodifiable.

- (4) In a proceeding to modify a child support order, the law of the state that is determined to have issued the initial controlling order governs the duration of the obligation of support. The obligor's fulfillment of the duty of support established by that order precludes imposition of a further obligation of support by a tribunal of this state.
- (5) (4) On issuance of an order by a tribunal of this state modifying a child support order issued in another state, the a tribunal of this state becomes the tribunal of continuing exclusive jurisdiction.
- (6) Notwithstanding subsections (1)-(5) and s. 88.2011(2), a tribunal of this state retains jurisdiction to modify an order issued by a tribunal of this state if:
 - (a) One party resides in another state; and
 - (b) The other party resides outside the United States.
- Section 53. Section 88.6121, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
 - 88.6121 Recognition of order modified in another state.—<u>If</u>
 a child support order issued by a tribunal of this state is
 modified shall recognize a modification of its earlier child
 support order by a tribunal of another state which assumed
 jurisdiction pursuant to the Uniform Interstate Family Support
 Act, a tribunal of this state this act or a law substantially
 similar to this act and, upon request, except as otherwise
 provided in this act, shall:
 - (1) $\underline{\text{May}}$ enforce the order that was modified only as to arrears and interest $\underline{\text{amounts}}$ accruing before the modification.

Page 50 of 64

1400	(2) Enforce only nonmodifiable aspects of that order.
1401	(2) (3) May provide other appropriate relief only for
1402	violations of $\underline{\text{its}}$ $\underline{\text{that}}$ order which occurred before the effective
1403	date of the modification.
1404	(3) (4) Shall recognize the modifying order of the other
1405	state, upon registration, for the purpose of enforcement.
1406	Section 54. Section 88.6151, Florida Statutes, is created
1407	to read:
1408	88.6151 Jurisdiction to modify child support order of
1409	foreign county.—
1410	(1) Except as otherwise provided in s. 88.7111, if a
1411	foreign country lacks or refuses to exercise jurisdiction to
1412	modify its child support order pursuant to its laws, a tribunal
1413	of this state may assume jurisdiction to modify the child
1414	support order and bind all individuals subject to the personal
1415	jurisdiction of the tribunal whether the consent to modification
1416	of a child support order otherwise required of the individual
1417	pursuant to s. 88.6111 has been given or whether the individual
1418	seeking modification is a resident of this state or of the
1419	foreign country.
1420	(2) An order issued by a tribunal of this state modifying
1421	a foreign child support order pursuant to this section is the
1422	controlling order.
1423	Section 55. Section 88.6161, Florida Statutes, is created
1424	to read:
1425	88.6161 Procedure to register child support order of
1426	foreign country for modification.—A party or support enforcement
1427	agency seeking to modify, or to modify and enforce, a foreign

Page 51 of 64

L428	child support order not under the convention may register that
L429	order in this state under ss. 88.6011-88.6081 if the order has
L430	not been registered. A petition for modification may be filed at
1431	the same time as a request for registration, or at another time.
L432	The petition must specify the grounds for modification.
L433	Section 56. The Division of Statutory Revision is directed
L434	to redesignate part VII of chapter 88, Florida Statutes, as
L435	"SUPPORT PROCEEDING UNDER CONVENTION."
L436	Section 57. Section 88.7011, Florida Statutes, is
L437	repealed.
L438	Section 58. Section 88.70111, Florida Statutes, is created
L439	to read:
L440	88.70111 Definitions.—As used in this part, the term:
1441	(1) "Application" means a request under the convention by
1442	an obligee or obligor, or on behalf of a child, made through a
L443	central authority for assistance from another central authority.
1444	(2) "Central authority" means the entity designated by the
1445	United States or a foreign country described in s. 88.102(5)(d)
1446	to perform the functions specified in the convention.
1447	(3) "Convention support order" means a support order of a
1448	tribunal of a foreign country described in s. 88.102(5)(d).
1449	(4) "Direct request" means a petition filed by an
1450	individual in a tribunal of this state in a proceeding involving
1451	an obligee, obligor, or child residing outside the United
1452	States.
1453	(5) "Foreign central authority" means the entity
1454	designated by a foreign country described in s. 88.102(5)(d) to
1455	perform the functions specified in the convention.

Page 52 of 64

HB 1111

1456 (6) "Foreign support agreement": (a) Means an agreement for support in a record that: 1457 1. Is enforceable as a support order in the country of 1458 1459 origin; 1460 2. Has been: 1461 a. Formally drawn up or registered as an authentic 1462 instrument by a foreign tribunal; or 1463 b. Authenticated by or concluded, registered, or filed 1464 with a foreign tribunal; and 1465 3. May be reviewed and modified by a foreign tribunal; and 1466 Includes a maintenance arrangement or authentic (b) 1467 instrument under the convention. 1468 "United States central authority" means the Secretary 1469 of the United States Department of Health and Human Services. 1470 Section 59. Section 88.7021, Florida Statutes, is created 1471 to read: 1472 88.7021 Applicability.—This part applies only to a support 1473 proceeding under the convention. In such a proceeding, if a 1474 provision of this part is inconsistent with parts I through VI, 1475 this part controls. 1476 Section 60. Section 88.7031, Florida Statutes, is created 1477 to read: 1478 88.7031 Relationship of Department of Revenue to United 1479 States central authority.-The Department of Revenue is 1480 recognized as the agency designated by the United States central 1481 authority to perform specific functions under the convention. Section 61. Section 88.7041, Florida Statutes, is created 1482 1483 to read:

Page 53 of 64

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1484	88.7041 Initiation by Department of Revenue of support
1485	proceeding subject to convention
1486	(1) In a proceeding subject to the convention, the
1487	Department of Revenue shall:
1488	(a) Transmit and receive applications; and
1489	(b) Initiate or facilitate the institution of a proceeding
1490	regarding an application in a tribunal of this state.
1491	(2) The following support proceedings are available to an
1492	obligee under the convention:
1493	(a) Recognition or recognition and enforcement of a
1494	foreign support order.
1495	(b) Enforcement of a support order issued or recognized in
1496	this state.
1497	(c) Establishment of a support order if there is no
1498	existing order, including, where necessary, determination of
1499	parentage.
1500	(d) Establishment of a support order if recognition of a
1501	foreign support order is not possible or is refused because of
1502	the lack of a basis for recognition and enforcement under s.
1503	88.7081 or on grounds specified in s. 88.7091(2) or s.
1504	88.7091(5).
1505	(e) Modification of a support order made by a tribunal of
1506	this state.
1507	(f) Modification of a foreign support order.
1508	(3) The following support proceedings are available under
1509	the convention to an obligor against whom there is an existing
1510	support order:

Page 54 of 64

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1511	(a) Recognition of an order suspending or limiting
1512	enforcement of an existing support order of a tribunal of this
1513	state.
1514	(b) Modification of a support order of a tribunal of this
1515	state.
1516	(c) Modification of a support order of a tribunal of
1517	another state or foreign country.
1518	(4) A tribunal of this state may not require security,
1519	bond, or deposit, however described, to guarantee the payment of
1520	costs and expenses in proceedings under the convention.
1521	Section 62. Section 88.7051, Florida Statutes, is created
1522	to read:
1523	88.7051 Direct request.—
1524	(1) A petitioner may file a direct request in a tribunal
1525	of this state seeking the establishment or modification of a
1526	support order or determination of parentage. In such a
1527	proceeding, the law of this state applies.
1528	(2) A petitioner may file a direct request in a tribunal
1529	of this state seeking the recognition and enforcement of a
1530	support order or support agreement. In such a proceeding, the
1531	provisions of ss. 88.7061-88.7121 apply.
1532	(3) In a direct request for recognition and enforcement of
1533	a foreign support order or agreement:
1534	(a) No security, bond, or deposit shall be required to
1535	guarantee the payment of costs and expenses related to the
1536	proceedings; and
1537	(b) The obligee or obligor, who in the issuing country has
1538	benefited from free legal assistance, shall be entitled to

Page 55 of 64

1539	benefit,	at	least	to	the	Sa	ame	exter	nt,	from	any i	Free le	gal	
1540	assistanc	e p	provide	ed	for	by	the	law	of	this	state	e under	the	same
1541	circumsta	nce	es.											

- (4) An individual filing directly to a tribunal will not receive assistance from the Department of Revenue.
- (5) Nothing in this part prevents the application of laws of this state that provide simplified, more expeditious rules regarding a direct request for recognition and enforcement of a foreign support order or support agreement.
- Section 63. Section 88.7061, Florida Statutes, is created to read:
- 1550 <u>88.7061 Registration of support order subject to</u> 1551 convention.—

1542

1543

1544

1545

1546

1547

1548

1549

1552

1553

1554

1555

1556

1557

1558

1559

1560

1561

1562

1563

- (1) Except as otherwise provided in this part, a party who is an individual or a support enforcement agency seeking recognition of a foreign support order subject to the convention shall register the order in this state as provided in part VI of this chapter.
- (2) Notwithstanding ss. 88.3111 and 88.6021, a request for registration of a foreign support order subject to the convention shall be accompanied by the following:
- (a) A complete text of the support order, or an abstract or extract of the support order drawn up by the issuing foreign tribunal, which may be in the form recommended by the Hague Conference on Private International Law.
- 1564 (b) A record stating that the support order is enforceable 1565 in the issuing country.

Page 56 of 64

1566 (c) If the respondent did not appear and was not 1567 represented in the proceedings in the issuing country, a record 1568 attesting, as appropriate, either that the respondent had proper 1569 notice of the proceedings and an opportunity to be heard, or 1570 that the respondent had proper notice of the support order and the opportunity to challenge or appeal it on fact and law. 1571 1572 (d) If necessary, a record showing the amount of any 1573 arrears, and the date the amount was calculated. 1574 (e) If necessary, a record showing a requirement for automatic adjustment of the amount of support, if any, and the 1575 1576 information necessary to make the appropriate calculations. 1577 If necessary, a record showing the extent to which the 1578 applicant received free legal assistance in the issuing country. 1579 (3) A request for registration of a foreign support order 1580 may seek recognition and partial enforcement of the order. 1581 (4) A tribunal of this state may refuse to register a 1582 foreign support order only if recognition and enforcement of the 1583 order is manifestly incompatible with public policy. 1584 (5) The tribunal shall promptly notify the parties of the 1585 registration or the refusal to register a foreign support order. 1586 Section 64. Section 88.7071, Florida Statutes, is created 1587 to read: 1588 88.7071 Contest of validity of foreign support order 1589 subject to convention .-1590 (1) Except as otherwise provided in this part, ss. 1591 88.6051-88.6081 apply to a contest of the validity of a

registered foreign support order subject to the convention.

1593	(2) A party contesting the recognition and enforcement of							
L594	a registered foreign support order subject to the convention							
L595	must file a contest within 30 days after notice of the							
L596	registration unless the contesting party does not reside in the							
L597	United States or a state, in which case the contest must be							
1598	filed within 60 days after notice.							
1599	(3) A contest of a registered foreign support order may be							
1600	based only on:							
1601	(a) The authenticity or integrity of any record							
1602	transmitted in accordance with s. 88.7061;							
L603	(b) The lack of a basis for enforcement under s. 88.7081;							
L604	(c) The grounds for refusing enforcement under s. 88.7091;							
1605	<u>or</u>							
1606	(d) The payment in part or in whole of the alleged							
1607	arrears.							
1608	(4) In a contest of the validity of a registered foreign							
1609	support order, a tribunal of this state:							
1610	(a) Is bound by the findings of fact on which the foreign							
1611	tribunal based its jurisdiction; and							
1612	(b) May not review the merits of the support order.							
1613	(5) A tribunal of this state deciding a contest of the							
1614	validity of a registered foreign support order shall promptly							
1615	notify the parties of its decision.							
1616	(6) An appeal, if any, does not stay the enforcement of a							
1617	foreign support order unless there are exceptional							
1618	circumstances.							
1619	Section 65. Section 88.7081, Florida Statutes, is created							
1620	to read:							

Page 58 of 64

1621	88.7081 Recognition and enforcement of foreign support
1622	order subject to convention.—
1623	(1) A tribunal of this state shall recognize and enforce a
1624	foreign support order subject to the convention if:
1625	(a) The issuing tribunal had personal jurisdiction
1626	consistent with s. 88.2011; and
1627	(b) The order is enforceable in the issuing country.
1628	(2) If a tribunal of this state may not recognize a
1629	foreign support order because under similar facts the tribunal
1630	would not have had personal jurisdiction consistent with s.
1631	88.2011:
1632	(a) The tribunal must allow a reasonable time for a party
1633	to request the tribunal to establish a support order;
1634	(b) The tribunal may not use its refusal to recognize the
1635	foreign support order as a basis for dismissing the request;
1636	(c) The Department of Revenue shall take all appropriate
1637	measures to request a child support order for the obligee if the
1638	application for recognition and enforcement was received under
1639	s. 88.7041(1).
1640	(3) If a tribunal of this state may not recognize and
1641	enforce the whole of a foreign support order, it shall enforce
1642	any severable part of the order. An application or direct
1643	request may seek recognition and partial enforcement of a
1644	foreign support order.
1645	Section 66. Section 88.7091, Florida Statutes, is created
1646	to read:
1647	88.7091 Refusal of recognition and enforcement of foreign
1648	support order subject to convention.—A tribunal of this state

Page 59 of 64

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1649	may refuse recognition and enforcement of a foreign support
1650	order subject to the convention if:
1651	(1) Recognition and enforcement of the order is manifestly
1652	incompatible with public policy;
1653	(2) The order was obtained by fraud in connection with a
1654	matter of procedure;
1655	(3) A proceeding between the same parties and having the
1656	same purpose is pending before a tribunal of this state and that
1657	proceeding was the first to be instituted;
1658	(4) The order is incompatible with a more recent support
1659	order issued between the same parties and having the same
1660	purpose if the more recent support order is entitled to
1661	recognition and enforcement in this state;
1662	(5) In a case in which the respondent neither appeared nor
1663	was represented in the proceeding in the issuing foreign country
1664	when the law of the country:
1665	(a) Provides for notice of proceedings, the respondent did
1666	not have proper notice of the proceedings and an opportunity to
1667	be heard; or
1668	(b) Does not provide for notice of the proceedings, the
1669	respondent did not have proper notice of the order and the
1670	opportunity to challenge or appeal it on fact and law; or
1671	(6) The order was made in violation of s. 88.7111.
1672	Section 67. Section 88.7101, Florida Statutes, is created
1673	to read:
1674	88.7101 Foreign support agreement subject to convention

(1) Except as provided in subsections (3) and (4), a tribunal of this state shall recognize and enforce a foreign support agreement registered in this state.

1675

1676

16771678

1679

1680

16811682

1683

16841685

1686

1687

1688

1689 1690

1691

1692

1693

1694 1695

16961697

16981699

1700

- (2) An application or direct request for recognition and enforcement of a foreign support agreement shall be accompanied by the following:
 - (a) A complete text of the foreign support agreement.
- (b) A record stating that the foreign support agreement is enforceable as a decision in the issuing country.
- (3) A tribunal of this state may refuse to register a foreign support agreement only if registration is manifestly incompatible with public policy.
- (4) A tribunal of this state may refuse recognition and enforcement of a foreign support agreement if it finds:
- (a) Recognition and enforcement of the agreement is manifestly incompatible with public policy;
 - (b) The agreement was obtained by fraud or falsification;
- (c) The agreement is incompatible with a support order issued between the same parties and having the same purpose, either in this state, another state, or a foreign country if the support order is entitled to recognition in this state; or
- (d) The record submitted under subsection (2) lacks authenticity or integrity.
- (5) A proceeding for recognition and enforcement of a foreign support agreement shall be suspended during the pendency of a challenge to the agreement before a tribunal of another state or foreign country.

1702 Section 68. Section 88.7111, Florida Statutes, is created 1703 to read: 1704 88.7111 Modification of foreign child support order 1705 subject to convention.-1706 (1) A tribunal of this state may not modify a foreign 1707 child support order if the obligee remains a resident of the foreign country where the support order was issued unless: 1708 1709 (a) The obligee submits to the jurisdiction of a tribunal 1710 of this state, either expressly or by defending on the merits of 1711 the case without objecting to the jurisdiction at the first 1712 available opportunity; or 1713 (b) The foreign tribunal lacks or refuses to exercise 1714 jurisdiction to modify its support order or issue a new support 1715 order. 1716 (2) If a tribunal of this state does not modify the 1717 foreign child support order because the order may not be 1718 recognized in this state, the provisions of s. 88.7081 apply. 1719 Section 69. Section 88.7121, Florida Statutes, is created 1720 to read: 1721 88.7121 Jurisdiction to modify spousal support order of 1722 foreign country.—A tribunal of this state with personal 1723 jurisdiction over the parties may modify a spousal support order 1724 of a foreign tribunal if: 1725 The foreign tribunal lacks or refuses to exercise (1)1726 jurisdiction to modify its order pursuant to its laws; 1727 (2) There is agreement in writing between the parties to the jurisdiction of the tribunal of this state; or 1728

Page 62 of 64

HB 1111 2011

1729 (3) The parties submit to the jurisdiction of the tribunal of this state expressly or by defending on the merits without objecting.

Section 70. Paragraph (b) of subsection (2) of section 88.8011, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

88.8011 Grounds for rendition.-

1730

1731

1732

1733

1734

1735

1736

1737

1738

1739

1740

1741

1742

1743

1744

1745

1746

1747

1748

1749

1750

1751

1752

1753

1754

1755

- (2) The Governor of this state may:
- On the demand of by the Governor of another state, surrender an individual found in this state who is charged criminally in the other state with having failed to provide for the support of an obligee.

Section 71. Section 88.9011, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

88.9011 Uniformity of application and construction.-In applying and construing this uniform act, consideration must be given to the need to promote uniformity of This act shall be applied and construed to effectuate its general purpose to make uniform the law with respect to its the subject matter of this act among states that enact enacting it.

Section 72. Section 88.9031, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

88.9031 Severability clause. - If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of this act which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this act are severable.

Section 73. Paragraph (a) of subsection (7) of section 1757 61.13, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 61.13 Support of children; parenting and time-sharing; powers of court.—
- (7) (a) Each party to any paternity or support proceeding is required to file with the tribunal as defined in s. 88.1011(22) and State Case Registry upon entry of an order, and to update as appropriate, information on location and identity of the party, including social security number, residential and mailing addresses, telephone number, driver's license number, and name, address, and telephone number of employer. Each party to any paternity or child support proceeding in a non-Title IV-D case shall meet the above requirements for updating the tribunal and State Case Registry.
- 1770 Section 74. Paragraph (b) of subsection (5) of section 1771 827.06, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 1772 827.06 Nonsupport of dependents.-
- $1773 \qquad (5)$

17581759

1760

1761

1762

1763 1764

1765

1766

1767

1768

1769

1774

1775

1776

1777

1778

- (b) The element of knowledge may be proven by evidence that a court or tribunal as defined by s. $88.1011\frac{(22)}{(22)}$ has entered an order that obligates the defendant to provide the support.
- Section 75. This act shall take effect July 1, 2011.

Page 64 of 64

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMM	ITTEE ACTION
ADOPTED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
OTHER	
	hearing bill: Civil Justice Subcommittee ield offered the following:

Amendment

2

4

5

Remove line 294 and insert:

88.1041 Application to resident of foreign country and

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMI	TTEE ACTION
ADOPTED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
OTHER	<u> </u>

Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Civil Justice Subcommittee Representative(s) Mayfield offered the following:

Amendment

1

2

3

5

6

7

Remove line 501 and insert: state, or another state, or foreign country with regard to the same obligor and $\underline{\text{the}}$

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE	A	CTION
ADOPTED	. (?	Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	. (?	Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	. (?	Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	()	Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	()	Y/N)
OTHER		

Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Civil Justice Subcommittee Representative(s) Mayfield offered the following:

Amendment

Remove lines 575-588 and insert: which was issued by a tribunal of another state or a foreign country, a tribunal of this state shall enforce those orders in the same manner as if the multiple orders had been issued by a tribunal of this state.

Section 13. Section 88.2091, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

shall credit amounts collected and credited for a particular period pursuant to any child support order against the amounts owed for the same period under any other child support order for support of the same child a support order issued by a tribunal of another state must be credited against the amounts accruing or accrued for the same period under a support order issued by the tribunal of this state, another state, or a foreign country.

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMI	TTEE ACTION
ADOPTED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
OTHER	
Committee/Subcommittee	hearing bill: Civil Justice Subcommittee
Representative(s) Mayfi	eld offered the following:
Amendment (with ti	tle amendment)
Remove line 1778 a	nd insert:
Section 75. Upon t	he passage of this bill, the Department
of Revenue is directed	to apply for a waiver from the Federal
Office of Child Support	Enforcement pursuant to the state plan
requirement under Title	IV-D of the Social Security Act
Section 76. This	act shall take effect upon the earlier of
90 days following Congr	ess amending 42 U.S.C. s. 666(f) to allow
or require states to ad	opt the 2008 version of the Uniform
Interstate Family Suppo	rt Act, or 90 days following the state
obtaining a waiver of i	ts state plan requirement under Title IV-
D of the Social Securit	y Act.

Remove lines 119-120 and insert: and 827.06, F.S.; correcting cross-references; directing the Department of Revenue to apply for a waiver; providing a contingent effective date.

24

20

21

22

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 1393 Sovereign Immunity

SPONSOR(S): Artiles and Nunez

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1676

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Civil Justice Subcommittee		Billmeier LMg	Bond MB
2) Health & Human Services Committee			
3) Appropriations Committee			
4) Judiciary Committee			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Sovereign immunity is a legal concept that prohibits suits against the government, unless the government waives the protection. The state has long provided a limited waiver of its sovereign immunity for ordinary tort liability, including medical malpractice. This bill provides that a not-for-profit college or university that owns or operates an accredited medical school, while under contract with a teaching hospital to provide patient services, is considered a part of state government, and thus is entitled to sovereign immunity protection.

There is a possibility that this bill may result in some increase in future state expenditures, although the amount is unknown. This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local governments.

This bill takes effect upon becoming a law and applies to all claims arising after the effective date.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h1393.CVJS.DOCX

DATE: 3/31/2011

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background

The term "sovereign immunity" originally referred to the English common law concept that the government may not be sued because "the King can do no wrong." Sovereign immunity bars lawsuits against the government or its political subdivisions for the torts of officers or agents of such governments unless such immunity is expressly waived.

Article X, s. 13, Fla. Const., recognizes the concept of sovereign immunity and gives the Legislature the right to waive such immunity in part or in full by general law. In 1973, the Legislature enacted a partial waiver of sovereign immunity.¹ Section 768.28, F.S., contains the limited waiver of sovereign immunity applicable to the state. Section 768.28(1), F.S., provides that individuals may sue the government under circumstances where a private person would be liable to the claimant. Section 768.28(5), F.S., limits the recovery of any one person to \$100,000 for one incident and limits all recovery related to one incident to a total of \$200,000. Those amounts increase to \$200,000 and \$300,000, respectively, effective October 1, 2011.² Where the state's sovereign immunity applies, section 768.28(9), F.S., provides that the officers, employees and agents of the state that were involved in the commission of the tort are not personally liable to an injured party. Sovereign immunity extends to all subdivisions of the state, including counties and school boards.³

Whether sovereign immunity applies turns on the degree of control of the agent of the state retained by the state.⁴ In *Stoll v. Noel*, the Florida Supreme Court explained that independent contractor physicians may be agents of the state for purposes of sovereign immunity:

One who contracts on behalf of another and subject to the other's control except with respect to his physical conduct is an agent and also independent contractor.⁵

The court examined the employment contract between the physicians and the state to determine whether the state's right to control was sufficient to create an agency relationship and held that it did.⁶ The court explained:

Whether CMS physician consultants are agents of the state turns on the degree of control retained or exercised by CMS. This Court has held that the right to control depends upon the terms of the employment contract. *National Sur. Corp. v. Windham*, 74 So.2d 549, 550 (Fla.1954) ("The [principal's] right to control depends upon the terms of the contract of employment...."). CMS requires each consultant, as a condition of participating in the CMS program, to agree to abide by the terms published in its HRS Manual and CMS Consultant's Guide which contain CMS policies and rules governing its relationship with the consultants. The Consultant's Guide states that all services provided to CMS patients must be authorized in advance by the clinic medical director. The language of the HRS Manual ascribes to CMS responsibility to supervise and direct the medical care of all CMS patients and supervisory authority over all personnel. The manual also grants to the CMS medical director absolute authority over payment for treatments proposed by consultants. The HRS Manual and the Consultant's Guide demonstrate that CMS has final authority over all care and treatment provided to CMS

DATE: 3/31/2011

¹ Chapter 73-313, L.O.F.

² Chapter 2010-26, L.O.F.

³ Section 768.28(2), F.S.

⁴ Stoll v. Noel, 694 So.2d 701, 703 (Fla. 1997).

⁵ Stoll v. Noel, 694 So.2d 701, 703 (Fla. 1997)(quoting The Restatement of Agency).

⁶ Stoll v. Noel, 694 So.2d 701, 703 (Fla. 1997).

patients, and it can refuse to allow a physician consultant's recommended course of treatment of any CMS patient for either medical or budgetary reasons.

Our conclusion is buttressed by HRS's acknowledgment that the manual creates an agency relationship between CMS and its physician consultants, and despite its potential liability in this case, HRS has acknowledged full financial responsibility for the physicians' actions. HRS's interpretation of its manual is entitled to judicial deference and great weight.⁷

The sovereign immunity recovery caps do not prevent a plaintiff from obtaining a judgment in excess of the caps but the plaintiff cannot recover the excess damages without action by the Legislature.⁸ The limits are constitutional.⁹ In *Gerard v. Dept. of Transportation*, 472 So.2d 1170 (Fla. 1985), the Florida Supreme Court held that the recovery caps within s 768.28(5), F.S., did not prevent a plaintiff from seeking a judgment exceeding the recovery caps. However, the court noted that "even if he is able to obtain a judgment against the Department of Transportation in excess of the settlement amount and goes to the legislature to seek a claims bill with the judgment in hand, this does not mean that the liability of the Department has been conclusively established. The legislature will still conduct its own independent hearing to determine whether public funds would be expended, much like a non jury trial. After all this, the legislature, in its discretion, may still decline to grant him any relief."¹⁰

Chapter 766, F.S., provides current law on medical malpractice. Section 766.1115, F.S., provides that certain health care providers who contract with the state are considered agents of the state, and thus entitled to the protection of sovereign immunity. The protection only applies where the contract contains specific conditions.

Section 768.28(9)(b)2., F.S., defines the term "officer, employee, or agent" for purposes of the sovereign immunity statute. Several identified groups are included in the definition, including health care providers when providing services pursuant to s. 766.1115, F.S.

Florida law confers sovereign immunity to a number of persons who perform public services, including:

- Persons or organizations providing shelter space without compensation during an emergency.
 s. 252.51, F.S.
- A health care entity providing services as part of a school nurse services contract. s. 381.0056(10), F.S.
- Members of the Florida Health Services Corps who provide medical care to indigent persons in medically underserved areas. s. 381.0302(11), F.S.
- A person under contract to review materials, make site visits or provide expert testimony regarding complaints or applications received by the Department of Health or the Department of Business and Professional Regulation. ss. 455.221(3) and 456.009(3), F.S.
- Physicians retained by the Florida State Boxing Commission. s. 548.046(1), F.S.
- Health care providers under contract to provide uncompensated care to indigent state residents.
 s. 768.28(9)(b), F.S.
- Health care providers or vendors under contract with the Department of Corrections to provide inmate care. s. 768.28(10)(a), F.S.

¹⁰ Gerard v. Department of Transportation, 472 So.2d 1170, 1173 (Fla. 1985).

⁷ Stall v. Noel, 694 So.2d 701,703 (Fla. 1997).

⁸ Section 768.28(5), F.S.

⁹ Berek v. Metropolitan Dade County, 422 So.2d 838 (Fla. 1982); Cauley v. City of Jacksonville, 403 So.2d 379 (Fla. 1981).

- An operator, dispatcher, or other person or entity providing security or maintenance for rail services in the South Florida Rail Corridor, under contract with the Tri-County Commuter Rail Authority the Department of Transportation. s. 768.28(10)(d), F.S.
- Professional firms that provide monitoring and inspection services of work required for state roadway, bridge or other transportation facility projects. s. 768.28(10)(e), F.S.
- A provider or vendor under contract with the Department of Juvenile Justice to provide juvenile and family services. s. 768.28(11)(a), F.S.
- Health care practitioners under contract with state universities to provide medical services to student athletes. s. 768.28(12)(a), F.S.

Under the federal Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act,¹¹ any patient who presents at an emergency department requesting examination or treatment for a medical condition must be provided with an appropriate medical screening examination to determine if he or she is suffering from an emergency medical condition. If so, the hospital is obligated to either provide treatment until the patient is stable or to transfer the patient to another hospital.

Jackson Memorial Hospital is an accredited, non-profit, tertiary care hospital located in Miami. It is the major teaching facility for the University of Miami School of Medicine. It has over 1500 licensed beds. Jackson Memorial is the regional trauma center. Jackson Memorial Hospital is operated by a public health trust. According to information provided by the University of Miami, faculty members at the University Miami School of Medicine provide patient services at Jackson Memorial Hospital. While Jackson Memorial Hospital is protected by sovereign immunity, the University of Miami may not be covered under current law.

Effect of this Bill

This bill amends the definition of "officer, employee, or agent" in s. 768.28(9)(b), F.S., to include a Florida not-for-profit college, university, or medical school and its employees, under certain circumstances.

This bill creates s. 768.28(10)(f), F.S., to provide that any Florida not-for-profit college or university that owns or operates an accredited medical school or any of its employees or agents that have agreed in an affiliation agreement or other contract to provide patient services¹⁴ as agents of a teaching hospital¹⁵ which is owned or operated by the state, a county, a municipality, a public health trust, a special taxing district, any other governmental entity having health care responsibilities, or a not-for-profit entity that operates such facilities as an agent of that governmental entity under a lease or other contract, are agents of the state and are immune from liability for torts in the same manner and to the same extent as a teaching hospital and its governmental owner or operator while acting within the scope of and pursuant to guidelines established in the contract.

Currently, the six teaching hospitals to which this bill would appear to apply are: Jackson Memorial in Miami, Mount Sinai Medical Center in Miami Beach, Shands Healthcare at the University of Florida in

12 http://www.jhsmiami.org/landing.cfm?id=7

STORAGE NAME: h1393.CVJS.DOCX

¹¹ See 42 USC 1395dd

http://www.jhsmiami.org/body.cfm?id=1142

¹⁴ This bill defines "patient services" as any comprehensive health care services; the training or supervision of medical students, interns, residents, or fellows; access to or participation in medical research protocols; or any related executive, managerial, or administrative services provided according to an affiliation agreement or other contract with the teaching hospital or its governmental owner or operator.

¹⁵ Section 408.07(45), F.S., defines "teaching hospital" as any Florida hospital officially affiliated with an accredited Florida medical school which exhibits activity in the area of graduate medical education as reflected by at least seven different graduate medical education programs accredited by the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education or the Council on Postdoctoral Training of the American Osteopathic Association and the presence of 100 or more full-time equivalent resident physicians.

Gainesville, Shands Jacksonville Medical Center, Orlando Health in Orlando, and Tampa General Hospital.

This bill requires that the contract to provide patient services must provide for indemnification of the state by the agent for any liability incurred up to the limits set forth in ch. 768, F.S., to the extent caused by the negligence of the college, university, or medical school or its employees or agents. Current limits are \$100,000 for any one person for one incident and limits all recovery related to one incident to a total of \$200,000. Those amounts increase to \$200,000 and \$300,000, respectively, effective October 1, 2011.

This bill amends s. 766.1115, F.S., to provide that the any affiliation agreement or contract entered into by a medical school to provide comprehensive health care services to patients at public hospitals, which agreement or contract is subject to the sovereign immunity provisions in s. 768.28, F.S., and exempt from the requirements of s. 766.1115, F.S.

This bill provides that an employee or agent of a college, university, or its medical school is not personally liable in tort and may not be named as a party defendant in any action arising from the provision of any such patient services except as provided in s. 768.27(9), F.S.¹⁶

This bill requires the public teaching hospital, the medical school, or its employees or agents to provide written notice to each patient, or the patient's legal representative that the medical school and its employees are agents of the state and that the exclusive remedy for injury or damage suffered as a result of any act or omission of the public teaching hospital, the medical school, or an employee or agent of the medical school while acting within the scope of her or his duties pursuant to the affiliation agreement or other contract is by commencement of an action pursuant to s. 768.28, F.S. The patient or his or her legal representative must acknowledge receipt of the written notice.

This bill provides that an employee providing patient services is not an employee for purposes of the state's worker's compensation statute.

This bill provides extensive findings intended to demonstrate that that there is an overwhelming public necessity for the sovereign immunity liability protection in this bill.

This bill takes effect upon becoming a law and applies to all claims arising after the effective date.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 provides legislative findings.

Section 2 amends s. 766.1115, F.S., relating to health care providers and creation of agency relationship with governmental contractors.

Section 3 amends s. 768.28, F.S., relating to a waiver of sovereign immunity.

Section 4 provides that this bill takes effect upon becoming a law and applies to all claims arising after the effective date

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

STORAGE NAME: h1393.CVJS.DOCX

PAGE: 5

¹⁶ This bill defines "employee or agent of a college, university, or medical school" as an officer, a member of the faculty, a health care practitioner or licensee defined in s. 456.001, or any other person who is directly or vicariously liable.

2. Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

See "Fiscal Comments."

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

It is unknown how many cases this bill may affect so the effect of this bill on private parties is not known.

The Agency for Health Care Administration reports that this bill is not anticipated to have a fiscal impact on the agency. This bill could affect state expenditures if the Legislature chooses to pass a claims bill that exceeds the sovereign immunity limits.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not Applicable. This bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to spend funds or take action requiring the expenditures of funds; reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenues in the aggregate; or reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

Article I, s. 21, Fla. Const., provides that the courts shall be open to every person for redress of any injury, and justice shall be administered without sale, denial or delay. In *Kluger v. White*, 281 So.2d 1 (Fla. 1973), the Florida Supreme Court held that:

[w]here a right of access to the courts for redress for a particular injury has been provided...the Legislature is without power to abolish such a right without providing a reasonable alternative to protect the rights of the people of the State to redress for injuries, unless the Legislature can show an overpowering public necessity for the abolishment of such right, and no alternative method of meeting such public necessity can be shown.¹⁷

The Florida Supreme Court in *Kluger* invalidated a statute that required a minimum of \$550 in property damages arising from an automobile accident before a lawsuit could be brought. Based upon the *Kluger* test, the Florida Supreme Court has also invalidated a portion of a tort reform statute that placed a cap on all noneconomic damages because the statute did not provide claimants with a commensurate benefit.¹⁸ Thus, the Legislature cannot restrict damages by either enacting a

STORAGE NAME: h1393.CVJS.DOCX

DATE: 3/31/2011

¹⁷ Kluger v. White, 281 So2d 1, 4 (Fla. 1973).

¹⁸ See Smith v. Dept. of Insurance, 507 So.2d 1080 (Fla. 1987).

minimum damage amount or a monetary cap on damages without meeting the *Kluger* test. This bill limits of all damages to the amounts set forth in s. 768.28, F.S., unless the Legislature subsequently enacts a claims bill.

The caps on noneconomic damages in medical malpractice cases, found in ss. 766.207 and 766.209, F.S., have been found by the Florida Supreme Court to meet the *Kluger* test and are not violative of the access to courts provision in the Florida Constitution. In *University of Miami v. Echarte*, 618 So.2d 189 (Fla. 1993), the court ruled that the arbitration scheme met both prongs of the *Kluger* test. First, the court held that the arbitration scheme provided claimants with a commensurate benefit for the loss of the right to fully recover noneconomic damages as the claimant has the opportunity to receive prompt recovery without the risk and uncertainty of litigation or having to prove fault in a civil trial. Additionally, the claimant benefits from: reduced costs of attorney and expert witness fees which would be required to prove liability; joint and several liability of multiple defendants; prompt payment of damages after determination by the arbitration panel; interest penalties against the defendant for failure to promptly pay the arbitration award; and limited appellate review of the arbitration award.

Second, the court in *Echarte* ruled that, even if the medical malpractice arbitration statutes did not provide a commensurate benefit, the statutes satisfied the second prong of *Kluger* which requires a legislative finding that an overpowering public necessity exists, and further that no alternative method of meeting such public necessity can be shown. The court found that the Legislature's factual and policy findings of a medical malpractice crisis constituted an overpowering public necessity. The court also ruled that the record supported the conclusion that no alternative or less onerous method existed for meeting the public necessity of ending the medical malpractice crisis. The court explained, "...it is clear that both the arbitration statute, with its conditional limits on recovery of noneconomic damages, and the strengthened regulation of the medical profession are necessary to meet the medical malpractice insurance crisis." ¹⁹

This bill limits the recovery of damages. If the cap is challenged, the court may scrutinize this limitation based on the rulings in *Kluger* and its progeny. Accordingly, the court would have to determine whether this bill provided a claimant with a reasonable alternative to the right to recover full noneconomic damages. If not, the courts would look to see whether this bill was a response to an overpowering public necessity and that no alternative method of meeting such public necessity could have been shown. In addition, the court may scrutinize the contract between the entity and the hospital to determine whether the state entity exercised control over the physician similar to the physicians in *Stoll v. Noel*.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

Applicability of this Bill

This bill does not address a situation where a patient is unable to acknowledge receipt of the notice that damages may be limited.

Public Records and Public Meetings

Article I, s. 24, Fla. Const, provides that records and meetings of public entities are to be open to the public unless a statutory exemption applies. Statutory provisions implementing art. I, s. 24, include ch. 119 and ch. 286, F.S. The Florida Supreme Court addressed the issue of when a private entity under contract with a public agency falls under the purview of the public records and meeting provisions. The court looked to a number of factors which indicate a significant level of involvement by the public agency:

STORAGE NAME: h1393.CVJS.DOCX DATE: 3/31/2011

PAGE: 7

¹⁹ University of Miami v. Echarte, 618 So.2d 189, 195-197 (Fla. 1993).

The factors considered include, but are not limited to: 1) the level of public funding; 2) commingling of funds; 3) whether the activity was conducted on publicly owned property; 4) whether services contracted for are an integral part of the public agency's chosen decision-making process; 5) whether the private entity is performing a governmental function or a function which the public agency otherwise would perform; 6) the extent of the public agency's involvement with, regulation of, or control over the private entity; 7) whether the private entity was created by the public agency; 8) whether the public agency has a substantial financial interest in the private entity; and 9) for who's benefit the private entity is functioning.²⁰

This bill provides that "any Florida not-for-profit college or university that owns or operates an accredited medical school or any of its employees or agents" that have a contract to provide patient services as agents of a teaching hospital "which is owned or operated by the state, a county, a municipality, a public health trust, a special taxing district, any other governmental entity having health care responsibilities, or a not-for-profit entity that operates such facilities as an agent of that governmental entity under a lease" are agents of the state. Since the private entities (colleges, universities, medical schools, or employees) are contracting with government entities, it could be argued that they are subject to the public records and meetings laws under *Schwab*. If the issue is litigated, the court would have to determine whether the factors set forth in *Schwab* apply. If the court were to find that the public records or meetings laws applied to the private entities, it would have to determine whether a statutory public records or meetings exemption applied.

One court noted a difficulty in determining which records are public records when a private corporation acts on behalf of the state:

In holding that [private corporation] is subject to the public records act because it is acting on behalf of the [government entity], we emphasize that we are not ruling that all of its records are public. Some of its records may be subject to statutory exemptions or to valid claims of privacy. Likewise, we cannot rule that every function of this corporation is performed on behalf of the [government entity]. While we have seen little evidence of functions that might fall outside the realm of public access, the trial court is free to review specific activities of the corporation on remand to determine whether they involve nongovernmental functions which fall outside the public disclosure requirements.²¹

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

n/a

²¹ Sarasota Herald-Tribune Co. v. Community Health Corp., Inc., 582 So.2d 730, 734 (Fla. 2d DCA 1991)(footnote omitted).

STORAGE NAME: h1393.CVJS.DOCX DATE: 3/31/2011

²⁰ News and Sun-Sentinel Company v. Schwab, Twitty & Hanser Architectural Group, 596 So.2d 1029, 1031 (Fla. 1992).

HB 1393 2011

1 A bill to be entitled 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

An act relating to sovereign immunity; providing legislative findings and intent; amending s. 766.1115, F.S.; providing that specified provisions relating to sovereign immunity for health care providers do not apply to certain affiliation agreements or contracts to provide certain comprehensive health care services; amending s. 768.28, F.S.; expanding the definition of the term "officer, employee, or agent" for purposes of sovereign immunity to include certain health care providers; providing that certain colleges and universities that own or operate a medical school or any of its employees or agents that have agreed in an affiliation agreement to provide patient services as agents of a teaching hospital that is owned or operated by a governmental entity having health care responsibilities, or a not-for-profit entity that operates such facilities as an agent of that governmental entity under a lease, are agents of the state and are immune from certain liability for torts; requiring the contract to provide for indemnification; providing definitions; requiring that each patient, or the patient's legal representative, receive written notice regarding the patient's exclusive remedy for injury or damage suffered; providing that an employee providing patient services is not an employee or agent of the state for purposes of workers' compensation; providing for application; providing an effective date.

Page 1 of 12

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

- Section 1. (1) The Legislature finds that access to quality, affordable health care for residents of this state is a necessary goal for the state and that public teaching hospitals play an essential role in providing access to comprehensive health care services.
 - (2) The Legislature finds that this state:
- (a) Has the largest and fastest growing percentage of citizens over the age of 65, who typically have their health care needs increase as their age increases.
- (b) Ranks fifth highest in the nation in the number of citizens who are uninsured.
- (c) Ranks eighth highest in the nation in active physicians age 60 or older, with 25 percent of this state's physicians over the age of 65.
- (d) Ranks third highest in the nation in the number of active physicians who are international medical graduates, creating a dependency on physicians educated and trained in other states and countries.
- (e) Has been impacted by medical malpractice, liability, and reimbursement issues.
- (3) The Legislature finds that the rapidly growing population and changing demographics of this state make it imperative that students continue to choose this state as the place to receive their medical education and practice medicine.
- (4) The Legislature finds that graduate medical education is the process of comprehensive specialty training that a

Page 2 of 12

medical school graduate undertakes to develop and refine skills. Residents work under the direct supervision of medical faculty, who provide guidance, training, and oversight, serving as role models to young physicians. The vast majority of this care takes place in large teaching hospitals, which serve as "safety nets" to many indigent and underserved patients who otherwise might not receive help. Resident training, including the supervision component, is an important part of ensuring access to care by residents and medical doctors in training who render appropriate and quality care. Medical faculty provide the vital link between access to quality care and balancing the demands of educating and training residents. Physicians who assume this role are often juggling the demands of patient care, teaching, research, and policy and budgetary issues related to the programs they administer.

(5) The Legislature finds that access to quality health care at public teaching hospitals is enhanced when public teaching hospitals affiliate and coordinate their common endeavors with medical schools. The existing definition of a teaching hospital in s. 408.07, Florida Statutes, contemplates such affiliations between teaching hospitals and accredited medical schools in this state. These affiliations are an integral part of the delivery of more efficient and economical health care services to patients in public teaching hospitals by offering a single, high quality of care to all patients regardless of income. These affiliations also provide quality graduate medical education programs to resident physicians who provide patient services at public teaching hospitals. These

Page 3 of 12

affiliations ensure continued access to quality, comprehensive health care services for residents of this state and, therefore, should be encouraged in order to maintain and expand such services.

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95 96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104105

106

107

108109

110111

- (6)(a) The Legislature finds that s. 381.0403, Florida Statutes, "The Community Hospital Education Act" (CHEP), established programs "intended to provide additional outpatient and inpatient services, a continuing supply of highly trained physicians, and graduate medical education." Section 381.0403(9), Florida Statutes, before its amendment by chapter 2010-161, Laws of Florida, required the Executive Office of the Governor, the Department of Health, and the Agency for Health Care Administration to collaborate in the establishment of a committee to produce an annual report on graduate medical education which addressed the role of residents and medical faculty in the provision of health care; the relationship of graduate medical education to the state's physician workforce; the costs of training medical residents for hospitals, medical schools, teaching hospitals, including all hospital-medical affiliations, practice plans at all of the medical schools, and municipalities; the availability and adequacy of all sources of revenue to support graduate medical education and recommended alternative sources of funding for graduate medical education; and the use of state and federal funds for graduate medical education by hospitals receiving such funds.
- (b) The Graduate Medical Education Committee submitted

 Reports in 2009 and 2010 and, among other findings, determined that graduate medical education training has a direct impact on

113 the quality and adequacy of the state's physician specialty and 114 subspecialty workforce and the geographic distribution of 115 physicians; the support and expansion of residency programs in 116 critical need areas could result in more primary care 117 practitioners and specialists practicing in this state; medical 118 residents are more likely to practice in the state where they completed their graduate medical education training than where 119 120 they went to medical school; quality, prestigious programs 121 attract the best students, who stay as practicing physicians; 122 medical residents act as "safety nets" to care for indigent, 123 uninsured, and underserved patients in this state; supporting residency programs helps ensure this state's ability to train 124 125 and retain the caliber of medical doctors its citizens and 126 visitors deserve; and ongoing strategic planning for the 127 expanded capacity of graduate medical education programs is 128 crucial in order for the state to meet its health care needs. 129 However, the January 2010 Annual Report of Graduate Medical 130 Education in Florida by the Graduate Medical Education Committee 131 indicated that the Association of American Medical Colleges 132 ranked Florida 43rd nationally in the number of resident 133 physicians in training per 100,000 population. The Legislature finds that ss. 28 and 29, chapter 134 135 2010-161, Laws of Florida, which amended ss. 381.0403 and 381.4018, Florida Statutes, respectively, modified the existing 136 137 law that established the responsibility of the Department of 138 Health for physician workforce development and created a 139 Physician Workforce Advisory Council and a graduate medical education innovation program. The legislative intent in s. 140

Page 5 of 12

141

142

143

144

145

146

147148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162163

164

165

166

167

168

381.4018, Florida Statutes, recognizes that "physician workforce planning is an essential component of ensuring that there is an adequate and appropriate supply of well-trained physicians to meet this state's future health care service needs as the general population and elderly population of the state increase." According to the Council on Graduate Medical Education's sixteenth report entitled "Physician Workforce Policy Guidelines for the United States, 2000-2010 (January 2005)," this country could see shortages as high as 85,000 physicians by 2020.

The Legislature finds, based upon the 2008 Florida Physician Workforce Annual Report from the Department of Health, that although the American Association of Medical Colleges reports that this state ranks 15th nationally in the number of active physicians per 100,000 population, these national-level data do not take into account many factors that determine the number of actively practicing physicians. Rather, additional concerns impact this state's physician workforce, including the current practice environment for physicians. These concerns include malpractice insurance and liability costs, reimbursement rates, administrative burdens, and the impact of Amendment 8, approved in November 2004, which created s. 26, Article X of the State Constitution, which prohibits persons found to have committed three or more incidents of medical malpractice from being licensed by this state to provide health care services as a medical doctor. As the department concluded, these service delivery concerns may hinder the recruitment of doctors to this state based on the real or perceived influence of the severity

HB 1393

of the medical liability climate in this state.

169

170

171

172173

174

175

176177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

The Legislature finds that when medical schools affiliate or enter into contracts with public teaching hospitals to provide patient services, but medical schools and their employees do not have the same level of protection against liability claims as public teaching hospitals and their public employees when providing the same patient services to the same patients, the exposure of these medical schools and their employees to claims arising out of alleged medical malpractice and other allegedly negligent acts is increased disproportionately. With the recent growth in the availability of state-established medical schools and medical education programs and ongoing efforts to support, strengthen, and increase the available residency training positions and medical faculty in both existing and newly designated teaching hospitals, this exposure and the consequent disparity will continue to increase. This will add to the current crisis with respect to the physician workforce in the state, which will be alleviated only through legislative relief.

(10) The Legislature finds that the high cost of litigation and unequal liability exposure have adversely impacted the ability of some medical schools to provide or permit their employees to provide patient services to patients in public teaching hospitals. If corrective action is not taken, this health care crisis will lead to the reduction of patient services in public teaching hospitals. In addition, it will reduce the ability of public teaching hospitals to further support their public mission through the admission of patients

Page 7 of 12

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

to their teaching services and reduce the ability of public teaching hospitals to act as teaching sites for medical students from private and public medical schools. It will also contribute to a reduction in the high-quality medical care and training provided through public teaching hospitals that are affiliated with accredited medical schools as well as a reduction in essential research, program development, and infrastructure improvements in public teaching hospitals.

- (11) The Legislature finds that the public will benefit from corrective action to address the foregoing concerns.

 Designating medical schools and their employees as agents of the state who are subject to the protections of sovereign immunity when providing patient services in public teaching hospitals pursuant to an affiliation agreement or other written contract will maintain and increase that public benefit.
- (12) The Legislature finds that making high-quality health care available to the residents of this state is an overwhelming public necessity.
- (13) The Legislature finds that ensuring that medical schools and their employees are able continue to practice, treat patients, supervise medical and graduate education, engage in research, and provide administrative support and services in public teaching hospitals is an overwhelming public necessity.
- (14) It is the intent of the Legislature that medical schools that provide or permit their employees to provide patient services in public teaching hospitals pursuant to an affiliation agreement or other contract be subject to sovereign immunity protections under s. 768.28, Florida Statutes, in the

Page 8 of 12

HB 1393 2011

same manner and to the same extent as the state, its agencies, and political subdivisions.

225 l

- (15) It is the intent of the Legislature that employees of medical schools who provide patient services in a public teaching hospital and the employees of public teaching hospitals be immune from lawsuits in the same manner and to the same extent as employees and agents of the state, its agencies, and political subdivisions and that they not be held personally liable in tort or named as a party defendant in an action while performing patient services, except as provided in s. 768.28(9)(a), Florida Statutes.
- (16) The Legislature finds that there is an overwhelming public necessity for this legislative action and that there is no alternative method of meeting such public necessity.
- Section 2. Subsection (11) of section 766.1115, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 766.1115 Health care providers; creation of agency relationship with governmental contractors.—
- (11) APPLICABILITY.—This section applies to incidents occurring on or after April 17, 1992. This section does not apply to any health care contract entered into by the Department of Corrections which is subject to s. 768.28(10)(a). This section does not apply to any affiliation agreement or other contract which is subject to s. 768.28(10)(f). Nothing in this section in any way reduces or limits the rights of the state or any of its agencies or subdivisions to any benefit currently provided under s. 768.28.

Dage 0 of 10

Page 9 of 12

Section 3. Paragraph (b) of subsection (9) of section

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

HB 1393

768.28, Florida Statutes, is amended, and paragraph (f) is added to subsection (10) of that section, to read:

768.28 Waiver of sovereign immunity in tort actions; recovery limits; limitation on attorney fees; statute of limitations; exclusions; indemnification; risk management programs.—

(9)

- (b) As used in this subsection, the term:
- 1. "Employee" includes any volunteer firefighter.
- 2. "Officer, employee, or agent" includes, but is not limited to, any health care provider when providing services pursuant to s. 766.1115; any member of the Florida Health Services Corps, as defined in s. 381.0302, who provides uncompensated care to medically indigent persons referred by the Department of Health; a Florida not-for-profit college, university, or medical school and the employees or agents of such college, university, or medical school pursuant to paragraph (10)(f); and any public defender or her or his employee or agent, including, among others, an assistant public defender and an investigator.

(10)

(f)1. For purposes of this section, any Florida not-for-profit college or university that owns or operates an accredited medical school or any of its employees or agents that have agreed in an affiliation agreement or other contract to provide patient services as agents of a teaching hospital, as defined in s. 408.07(45), which is owned or operated by the state, a county, a municipality, a public health trust, a special taxing

Page 10 of 12

HB 1393 2011

281

282

283

284285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295 296

297

298

299

300 301

302

303

304 305

306 307

308

responsibilities, or a not-for-profit entity that operates such facilities as an agent of that governmental entity under a lease or other contract, are agents of the state and are immune from liability for torts in the same manner and to the same extent as a teaching hospital and its governmental owner or operator while acting within the scope of and pursuant to guidelines established in the contract.

The contract shall provide, to the extent permitted by law, for the indemnification of the state by the agent for any liability incurred up to the limits set forth in this chapter to the extent caused by the negligence of the college, university, or medical school or its employees or agents. As used in this paragraph, the term "patient services" means any comprehensive health care services, as defined in s. 641.19(4); the training or supervision of medical students, interns, residents, or fellows; access to or participation in medical research protocols; or any related executive, managerial, or administrative services provided according to an affiliation agreement or other contract with the teaching hospital or its governmental owner or operator. As used in this paragraph, the term, "employee or agent of a college, university, or medical school" means, but is not limited to, an officer, a member of the faculty, a health care practitioner or licensee defined in s. 456.001, or any other person who is directly or vicariously liable. Such employee or agent of a college, university, or its medical school is not personally liable in tort and may not be named as a party defendant in any action arising from the

HB 1393 2011

provision of any such patient services, except as provided in paragraph (9)(a).

- 3. The public teaching hospital, the medical school, or its employees or agents must provide written notice to each patient, or the patient's legal representative, the receipt of which must be acknowledged in writing, that the medical school and its employees are agents of the state and that the exclusive remedy for injury or damage suffered as a result of any act or omission of the public teaching hospital, the medical school, or an employee or agent of the medical school while acting within the scope of her or his duties pursuant to the affiliation agreement or other contract is by commencement of an action under this section.
- 4. This paragraph does not make an employee providing patient services an employee or agent of the state for purposes of chapter 440.
- Section 4. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law, and applies to all claims accruing on or after that date.

Amendment No. 1

	COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMIT	
	ADOPTED	(Y/N)
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
	FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
	WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
	OTHER	
İ		
1	Committee/Subcommittee h	earing bill: Civil Justice Subcommittee
2	Representative(s) Artile	es offered the following:
3		
4	Amendment (with tit	tle amendment)
5	Remove line 301 and	l insert:
6	government owner or operator. The contract must also provide	
7		ons of the college, university, or
8		directly providing services pursuant to
9		re considered an agency of the state for
10		are acting on behalf of a public agency
11	pursuant to s. 119.011(2). As used in this paragraph, the
12		
13		
14		
15	TIT	LE AMENDMENT
16	Remove line 20 and	insert:
17	the contract to provide	for indemnification; providing that the
18	portion of the not-for-p	rofit entity deemed an agent of the

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 1393 (2011)

Amendment No. 1

- 19 state for purpose of indemnity is also an agency of the state
- 20 for purpose of public records laws; providing

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 1475 Alimony

SPONSOR(S): Stargel

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1978

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Civil Justice Subcommittee		Woodburn	Bond VB
2) Judiciary Committee			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Alimony is used to provide financial support to a financially dependent former spouse. The primary basis for determining alimony is whether there is need and ability to pay; alimony is not appropriate when the requesting spouse has no need for support or when the other spouse does not have the ability to pay. By statute, there are four different types of alimony: bridge-the-gap alimony, rehabilitative alimony, durational alimony, and permanent alimony. The bill provides that a court must consider the four types of alimony listed by statute when deciding which type of alimony is appropriate.

By statute, a marriage is either short-term, moderate-term, or long-term based on the length of the marriage. The length of the marriage is one factor a court considers when determining which type of alimony is appropriate. Current law provides that only short-term and moderate-term marriages may have an award of durational alimony. The bill provides that a long-term marriage may have an award of durational alimony. The bill also provides that an alimony award may not result in the party receiving the award enjoying a standard of living that is greater than that of the party paying the alimony unless there are written findings of exceptional circumstances.

Several revisions were made to the alimony statute in the 2010 legislative session, including the statutory creation of lengths of marriage and types of alimony. Those revisions only apply to awards of alimony on or after July 1, 2010 and to modifications of awards that were awarded on or after that date. The bill provides that the revisions are applicable to modifications of all awards retroactive to July 1, 2010.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2011 and applies to all initial awards of alimony entered on or after that date and to all modifications of alimony awards for marriages of short or moderate duration made on or after July 1, 2011.

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local governments.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h1475.CVJS.DOCX

DATE: 3/31/2011

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Alimony

Alimony is used to provide financial support to a financially dependent former spouse.¹ In Florida, the primary basis for determining alimony is whether there is need and ability to pay; alimony is not appropriate when the requesting spouse has no need for support or when the other spouse does not have the ability to pay.² Before a court can make an award of alimony, equitable distribution of the former spouse's assets must occur.³

Section 61.08(2), F.S., provides factors that a court must consider in awarding alimony in a dissolution of marriage case. These factors include:

- The standard of living established during the marriage;
- The duration of the marriage;
- The age and the physical and emotional condition of each party;
- The financial resources of each party, including the nonmarital and the marital assets and liabilities distributed to each;
- The earning capacities, educational levels, vocational skills, and employability of the parties
 and, when applicable, the time necessary for either party to acquire sufficient education or
 training to enable such party to find appropriate employment;
- The contribution of each party to the marriage, including, but not limited, services rendered in homemaking, child care, education, and career building of the other party;
- The responsibilities each party will have with regard to any minor children they have in common;
- The tax treatment and consequences to both parties of any alimony award, including the designation of all or a portion of the payment as a nontaxable nondeductible payment;
- All sources of income available to either party, including income available to either party through investments of any asset held by that party; and
- Any other factor necessary to do equity and justice between the parties.

In addition, the trial court is given broad discretion to consider any other factor necessary to do equity and justice between the parties.⁴ A court may also consider the adultery of either party and the circumstances surrounding that adultery in determining an award of alimony.⁵

For purposes of determining alimony, there is a rebuttable presumption that:

- A short-term marriage is a marriage having a duration of less than seven years;
- A moderate-term marriage is a marriage having a duration of greater than seven years but less than seventeen years; and
- A long-term marriage is a marriage having a duration of seventeen years or greater.⁶

Florida law provides for four types of alimony; bridge-the-gap alimony,⁷ rehabilitative alimony,⁸ durational alimony,⁹ and permanent alimony,¹⁰

¹ Victoria Ho & Jennifer Johnson, Overview of Florida Alimony Law, 78 Fla.B.J. 71, 71 (Oct. 2004).

² *Id*.

³ *Id.*

⁴ Section 61.08(2), F.S.

⁵ Section 61.08(1), F.S.

⁶ Section 61.08(4), F.S.

⁷ Section 61.08(5), F.S.

⁸ Section 61.08(6), F.S.

⁹ Section 61.08(7), F.S.

¹⁰Section 61.08(8), F.S.

Effect of the Bill: Awarding of Alimony

The bill amends s. 61.08, F.S., to provide that the court determine the proper type and amount of alimony or maintenance pursuant to subsections 5-8. These subsections refer to the four types of alimony: Bridge-the-gap, rehabilitative, durational, and permanent

Bridge-the-Gap Alimony

Bridge-the-gap alimony may be awarded to assist a party by providing support to allow the party to make a transition from being married to being single. Bridge-the-gap alimony is designed to assist a party with legitimate identifiable short-term needs, and the length of an award may not exceed 2 years. An award of bridge-the-gap alimony terminates upon the death of either party or upon the remarriage of the party receiving alimony. An award of bridge-the-gap alimony is not modifiable in amount or duration.¹¹

Rehabilitative Alimony

Rehabilitative alimony may be awarded to assist a party in establishing the capacity for self-support through either the redevelopment of previous skills or credentials; or the acquisition of education, training, or work experience necessary to develop appropriate employment skills or credentials.¹² In order to award rehabilitative alimony, there must be a specific and defined rehabilitative plan which shall be included as a part of any order awarding rehabilitative alimony.¹³ An award of rehabilitative alimony may be modified or terminated in accordance with s. 61.14, F.S., based upon a substantial change in circumstances, upon noncompliance with the rehabilitative plan, or upon completion of the rehabilitative plan.¹⁴

Durational Alimony

Durational alimony may be awarded when permanent periodic alimony is inappropriate. The purpose of durational alimony is to provide a party with economic assistance for a set period of time following a marriage of short or moderate duration. An award of durational alimony terminates upon the death of either party or upon the remarriage of the party receiving alimony. The amount of an award of durational alimony may be modified or terminated based upon a substantial change in circumstances in accordance with s. 61.14, F.S. However, the length of an award of durational alimony may not be modified except under exceptional circumstances and may not exceed the length of the marriage. ¹⁵

Effect of the Bill: Durational Alimony

The bill amends s. 61.08(7), F.S., by deleting the provision that provides that only a marriage of short or moderate may be awarded durational alimony. The deletion of this provision will allow a court to award durational alimony to a party in a long-term marriage.

Permanent Alimony

Permanent alimony may be awarded to provide for the needs and necessities of life as they were established during the marriage of the parties for a party who lacks the financial ability to meet his or her needs and necessities of life following dissolution of marriage. Permanent alimony may be awarded following a marriage of long duration, following a marriage of moderate duration if such an award is appropriate upon consideration of the factors set forth in subsection (2), or following a marriage of short duration if there are exceptional circumstances. An award of permanent alimony terminates upon the

DATE: 3/31/2011

¹¹ Section 60.08(5), F.S.

¹² Section 60.08(6)(a), F.S.

¹³ Section 60.08(6)(b), F.S.

¹⁴ Section 60.08(6)(c), F.S.

¹⁵ Section 60.08(7), F.S.

STORAGE NAME: h1475.CVJS.DOCX

death of either party or upon the remarriage of the party receiving alimony. An award may be modified or terminated based upon a substantial change in circumstances or upon the existence of a supportive relationship in accordance with s. 61.14, F.S. 16

Effect of the Bill: Permanent Alimony

The bill amends s. 61.08(8), F.S., to provide that written findings of exceptional circumstances are needed for the award of permanent alimony for a marriage of short duration. The bill also provides that in awarding permanent alimony, the court must include findings regarding the applicability of the needs and necessities of life established during the marriage to the alimony award and must include findings that no other form of alimony, including, but not limited to durational alimony, is adequate.

Effect of the Bill: Limit on Alimony

The bill creates s. 61.08(9), F.S., to provide that an alimony award may not result in the party receiving the alimony award enjoying a standard of living that is greater than that of the party paying the alimony, unless there are written findings of exceptional circumstances.

Applicability of Amendments made in 2010 Legislative Session

Current law provides that the amendments made pursuant to ch. 2010-199, L.O.F., are only applicable to awards of alimony made on or after July 1, 2010 and modifications of those awards. The amendments do not apply to modification of alimony awards in which the original award was made before July 1, 2010.¹⁷

Effect of the Bill: Applicability of Amendments made in 2010 Legislative Session

The bill also provides that all amendments made in the 2010 Legislative session to s. 61.08, F.S., apply to all modifications of alimony awards made after July 1, 2010.

Effect of the Bill: Effective Date and Applicability

The bill provides that the amendments to s. 61.08, F.S., apply to all initial awards of alimony entered on or after the effective date of the act and to all modifications of alimony awards for short or moderation duration made on or after the effective date.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 amends s. 61.08, F.S., regarding alimony.

Section 2 provides an effective date of July 1, 2011.

Section 3 amends s. 2 of ch. 2010-299, L.O.F., regarding application.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

¹⁶ See s. 61.14, F.S., Enforcement and modification of support, maintenance, or alimony agreements or orders.

DATE: 3/31/2011

¹⁷ See note in s. 61.08, F.S. "Section 2, ch. 2010-199, provides that '[t]he amendments to s. 61.08, Florida Statutes, by this act apply to all initial awards of alimony entered after July 1, 2010, and modifications of such awards. Such amendments may not serve as a basis to modify awards entered before July 1, 2010, or as a basis to change amounts or duration of awards existing before July 1, 2010. The amendments to s. 61.08, Florida Statutes, by this act are applicable to all cases pending on or filed after July 1, 2010."

В.	SCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:	
	1. Revenues:	
	None.	
	2. Expenditures:	
	None.	
C.	DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:	
	None.	
D.	FISCAL COMMENTS:	
	None.	
	III. COMMENTS	
A.	CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:	
A.		
A.	CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:	
A.	CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES: 1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:	
A.	CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES: 1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision: Not Applicable. This bill does not appear to affect county or municipal governments.	

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

n/a

None.

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

2. Expenditures:

None.

 ¹⁸ See State Department of Transportation v. Knowles, 402 So.2d 1155 (Fla. 1981).
 ¹⁹ See Metropolitan Dade County v. Chase Federal Housing Corporation, 737 So.2d. 494 (Fla. 1999).

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to alimony; amending s. 61.08, F.S.; revising provisions relating to factors to be considered for alimony awards; revising provisions relating to awards of permanent alimony; providing that an alimony award may not result in the party receiving the award enjoying a standard of living that is greater than that of the party paying alimony unless there are written findings of exceptional circumstances; providing applicability for amendments by the act; revising provisions relating to applicability of certain amendments made in ch. 2010-199, Laws of Florida, to delete language declaring those amendments inapplicable to modification of awards made before the effective date of that act and applying those amendments to modifications of such awards; providing for retroactive effect; providing effective dates.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsection (9) of section 61.08, Florida Statutes, is renumbered as subsection (10), a new subsection (9) is added to that section, and subsections (2), (7), and (8) of that section are amended, to read:

24 61.08 Alimony.—

(2) In determining whether to award alimony or maintenance, the court shall first make a specific factual determination as to whether either party has an actual need for alimony or maintenance and whether either party has the ability

Page 1 of 5

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

to pay alimony or maintenance. If the court finds that a party has a need for alimony or maintenance and that the other party has the ability to pay alimony or maintenance, then in determining the proper type and amount of alimony or maintenance under subsections (5)-(8), the court shall consider all relevant factors, including, but not limited to:

- (a) The standard of living established during the marriage.
 - (b) The duration of the marriage.

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43 44

45

46

47

48 49

50

51

52 53

54 55

56

- (c) The age and the physical and emotional condition of each party.
- (d) The financial resources of each party, including the nonmarital and the marital assets and liabilities distributed to each.
- (e) The earning capacities, educational levels, vocational skills, and employability of the parties and, when applicable, the time necessary for either party to acquire sufficient education or training to enable such party to find appropriate employment.
- (f) The contribution of each party to the marriage, including, but not limited to, services rendered in homemaking, child care, education, and career building of the other party.
- (g) The responsibilities each party will have with regard to any minor children they have in common.
- (h) The tax treatment and consequences to both parties of any alimony award, including the designation of all or a portion of the payment as a nontaxable, nondeductible payment.
 - (i) All sources of income available to either party,

Page 2 of 5

including income available to either party through investments of any asset held by that party.

- (j) Any other factor necessary to do equity and justice between the parties.
- (7) Durational alimony may be awarded when permanent periodic alimony is inappropriate. The purpose of durational alimony is to provide a party with economic assistance for a set period of time following a marriage of short or moderate duration. An award of durational alimony terminates upon the death of either party or upon the remarriage of the party receiving alimony. The amount of an award of durational alimony may be modified or terminated based upon a substantial change in circumstances in accordance with s. 61.14. However, the length of an award of durational alimony may not be modified except under exceptional circumstances and may not exceed the length of the marriage.
- (8) Permanent alimony may be awarded to provide for the needs and necessities of life as they were established during the marriage of the parties for a party who lacks the financial ability to meet his or her needs and necessities of life following a dissolution of marriage. Permanent alimony may be awarded following a marriage of moderate or long duration, following a marriage of moderate duration if such an award is appropriate upon consideration of the factors set forth in subsection (2), or following a marriage of short duration if there are written findings of exceptional circumstances. In awarding permanent alimony, the court shall include findings regarding the applicability of the needs and necessities of life

Page 3 of 5

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

established during the marriage to the alimony award and shall include findings that no other form of alimony, including, but not limited to, durational alimony, is adequate. An award of permanent alimony terminates upon the death of either party or upon the remarriage of the party receiving alimony. An award may be modified or terminated based upon a substantial change in circumstances or upon the existence of a supportive relationship in accordance with s. 61.14.

(9) An alimony award may not result in the party receiving the award enjoying a standard of living that is greater than that of the party paying alimony unless there are written findings of exceptional circumstances.

Section 2. The amendments to s. 61.08, Florida Statutes, by this act apply to all initial awards of alimony entered on or after July 1, 2011, and to all modifications of alimony awards for marriages of short or moderate duration made on or after July 1, 2011.

Section 3. Effective upon this act becoming a law and retroactive to July 1, 2010, section 2 of chapter 2010-199, Laws of Florida, is amended to read:

Section 2. The amendments to s. 61.08, Florida Statutes, by this act apply to all initial awards of alimony entered after July 1, 2010, and to all modifications of alimony such awards made after July 1, 2010. Such amendments may not serve as a basis to modify awards entered before July 1, 2010, or as a basis to change amounts or duration of awards existing before July 1, 2010. The amendments to s. 61.08, Florida Statutes, by this act are applicable to all cases pending on or filed after

Page 4 of 5

HB 1475 2011

July 1, 2010. 114 Section 4. Except as otherwise expressly provided in this 115 act and except for this section, which shall take effect upon this act becoming a law, this act shall take effect July 1, 116

117 2011.

Page 5 of 5

Amendment No. 1

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE	ACTION
ADOPTED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
OTHER	

Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Civil Justice Subcommittee Representative(s) Stargel offered the following:

Amendment (with title amendment)

Remove lines 64-117 and insert:

period of time following a marriage of short or moderate

duration, or following a marriage of long duration if there is

no ongoing need for support on a permanent basis. An award of

durational alimony terminates upon the death of either party or

upon the remarriage of the party receiving alimony. The amount

of an award of durational alimony may be modified or terminated

based upon a substantial change in circumstances in accordance

with s. 61.14. However, the length of an award of durational

alimony may not be modified except under exceptional

circumstances and may not exceed the length of the marriage.

(8) Permanent alimony may be awarded to provide for the needs and necessities of life as they were established during the marriage of the parties for a party who lacks the financial ability to meet his or her needs and necessities of life

Amendment No. 1

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

following a dissolution of marriage. Permanent alimony may be awarded following a marriage of long duration if such an award is appropriate upon consideration of the factors set forth in subsection (2), following a marriage of moderate duration if such an award is appropriate <u>based</u> upon <u>clear</u> and <u>convincing</u> evidence after consideration of the factors set forth in subsection (2), or following a marriage of short duration if there are written findings of exceptional circumstances. In awarding permanent alimony, the court shall include a finding that no other form of alimony is fair and reasonable under the circumstances of the parties. An award of permanent alimony terminates upon the death of either party or upon the remarriage of the party receiving alimony. An award may be modified or terminated based upon a substantial change in circumstances or upon the existence of a supportive relationship in accordance with s. 61.14.

(9) The award of alimony shall not leave the payor with significantly less net income than the net income of the recipient unless there are written findings of exceptional circumstances.

Section 2. This act is effective upon becoming law and shall apply to all initial awards of alimony entered after the effective date of this act and modifications of such awards.

43

44

45

46

47

7.1

Remove lines 5-16 and insert:

TITLE AMENDMENT

Amendment No. 1 of durational alimony; revising provisions relating to awards of permanent alimony; providing that the award of alimony shall not leave the payor with significantly less net income than the net income of the recipient unless there are written findings of exceptional circumstances; providing for applicability of the

act, providing an effective date.

54

48

49

50

51

52