

# ECONOMIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE 

## MEETING PACKET

Thursday, March 14, 2013
9:00 AM - 11:00 AM
Reed Hall (102 HOB)

# The Florida House of Representatives 

Economic Affairs Committee

Will Weatherford
Speaker

# Meeting Agenda <br> March 14, 2013 <br> 9:00 AM - 11:00 AM <br> Reed Hall ( 102 HOB) 

I. Call to Order \& Opening Remarks by the Chairman
II. Consideration of the following bill(s):

HB 1061 - Traffic Control by Rep. Artiles
III. Closing Remarks by the Chairman
IV. Adjournment

BILL \#: HB 1061 Traffic Control
SPONSOR(S): Artiles

## TIED BILLS: <br> IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1342
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| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## SUMMARY ANALYSIS

House bill 1061 relates to the issuance of traffic citations under the state's traffic control law. Specifically, the bill:

- Removes the authority to enforce right-on-red violations via red light camera;
- Requires that red light camera notices of violation be sent via certified mail, rather than first-class mail;
- Allows the registered owner receiving the notice of violation to request a hearing within the first 30 days, as opposed to waiting until the notice of violation becomes a uniform traffic citation;
- Specifies that no payment or fee may be required prior to requesting such hearing;
- Provides that delivery or attempted delivery of the notice of violation (sent via certified mail) constitutes notification;
- Specifies that an individual that requests a hearing waives any challenge or dispute as to delivery of the notice of violation or uniform traffic citation;
- Allows an individual identified on an affidavit to be issued a notice of violation rather than an immediate uniform traffic citation as currently required. In cases of a transfer of liability via affidavit, this will extend the same rights to everyone;
- Codifies the standard that (1) the burden of proof falls upon the government bringing the charge; (2) an accused individual has the right to confront the witnesses against him or her; (3) the evidence from the camera shall be accounted for in writing from the time of violation (an affidavit will no longer be sufficient);
- Provides a statutory requirement that yellow light intervals be synchronized according to the Florida Department of Transportation (DOT) standards and requires an all-red clearance interval to provide additional time before conflicting traffic movements proceed;
- Sets a deadline for red light camera intersections to be in compliance with the standards articulated above; and
- Penalizes local governments for noncompliance.

Both state and local governments may see a decline in revenue from the issuance and payment of red light camera notices of violation and uniform traffic citations related to the prohibition on right-turn on red citations.

The bill provides penalties for non-compliance. Under the bill, a local governmental entity that does not meet the bill's requirements faces a $\$ 500$ fine.

The bill is effective July 1,2013 , and requires all current intersections with red light cameras meet requirements by December 31, 2013. All intersections with red light cameras installed after December 31, 2013, must meet the bills requirements upon installation of the red light camera. All other intersections must meet the bill's requirements by December 31, 2014.

## FULL ANALYSIS

## I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

## A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

## Red light cameras generally

Red light cameras enforce traffic laws by automatically photographing vehicles running red lights. The cameras are connected to the traffic signal and to sensors that monitor traffic flow at the crosswalk or stop line. The system photographs vehicles that enter the intersection above a pre-set minimum speed after the signal has turned red; a second photograph typically shows the driver in the intersection. In some cases, video cameras are used. Red light cameras also record the license plate number, the date and time of day, the time elapsed since the beginning of the red signal, and the vehicle's speed.

## Red light cameras in Florida

In 2010, the Florida Legislature enacted Ch. 2010-80, L.O.F. ${ }^{1}$ The law expressly preempted to the state regulation of the use of cameras for enforcing the provisions of Ch. 316, F.S. ${ }^{2}$ The law also authorized the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles (DHSMV), counties, and municipalities to employ red light camera programs. ${ }^{3}$

## Jurisdiction, Installation, and Awareness

Red light cameras must meet requirements established by DOT and must be tested at regular intervals according to procedures prescribed by DOT. ${ }^{4}$ If DHSMV, a county, or a municipality installs a red light camera at an intersection, the respective governmental entity must notify the public that a camera is in use at that intersection, including specific notification of enforcement of right-on-red violations. ${ }^{5}$ Such signage must meet specifications adopted by DOT pursuant to s. 316.0745 , F.S. ${ }^{6}$

## Notice of violation and uniform traffic citation

## Generally

If a red light camera captures an image of a driver running a red light, the visual information is reviewed by a traffic infraction enforcement officer. ${ }^{7}$ A notice of violation (NOV) must be sent to the registered owner of the vehicle - who may or may not be the actual driver of the vehicle - by first-class mail within 30 days of the alleged violation. ${ }^{8}$ The NOV must be accompanied by a photograph or other recorded image of the violation, and must include a statement of the registered owner's right to review images or video of the violation, and the time, place, and Internet location where the evidence may be reviewed. ${ }^{9}$ Along with the notice, is a statement that the photographic or electronic images or the streaming video evidence of the violation constitute a "rebuttable presumption against the owner of the vehicle."

[^0]${ }^{2}$ s. 316.0076 , F.S.
${ }^{3}$ s. 316.0083 , F.S.
${ }^{4}$ s. 316.0776 , F.S.
${ }^{5}$ s. $316.0776(2)(a)$, F.S.
${ }^{6}$ Id.
${ }^{7}$ s. 316.640 (1)(b)3., F.S. A traffic infraction enforcement officer must successfully complete instruction in traffic enforcement procedures and court presentation through the Selective Traffic Enforcement Program as approved by the Division of Criminal Justice Standards and Training of the Department of Law Enforcement, or through a similar program, but may not necessarily otherwise meet the uniform minimum standards established by the Criminal Justice Standards and Training Commission for law enforcement officers or auxiliary law enforcement officers under s. 943.13, F.S.
${ }^{8}$ s. $316.0083(1)(b)$, F.S.
${ }^{9}$ Id.
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A NOV carries a $\$ 158$ fine, although no points may be assessed against the driver's driver license and the NOV may not be used for the purpose of setting motor vehicle insurance rates. ${ }^{10}$

If the registered owner of the vehicle does not pay the NOV within 30 days, the traffic infraction enforcement officer must issue a uniform traffic citation (UTC) to the registered owner, which after adding court costs and fees, may carry a fine of $\$ 256$ or higher. ${ }^{11}$ The UTC must be mailed by certified mail, and must be issued no later than 60 days after the violation. ${ }^{12}$ The UTC must also include the statements described above regarding review of the photographic or video evidence. ${ }^{13}$ The report of an officer and images provided by a traffic infraction detector are admissible in court and provide a rebuttable presumption the vehicle was used to commit the violation. ${ }^{14}$

A traffic infraction enforcement officer must provide by electronic transmission a replica of the citation data when issued under s. 316.0083 , F.S., to the court having jurisdiction over the alleged offense or its traffic violations bureau within five days after the issuance date of a UTC to the violator. ${ }^{15}$

## Right-on-red violations

Under the red light camera statute, a city or county may issue a notice of violation and a traffic citation for a right-on-red violation, unless the motorist makes the right-hand turn in a "careful and prudent manner. ${ }^{n 16}$ However, the red light camera statute does not define what constitutes a "careful and prudent manner."

In December 2012, DHSMV released its Red Light Camera Program Analysis (Analysis). ${ }^{17}$ The Analysis compiled information from cities and counties that operate red light camera programs and focused on three specific areas: (1) statistical data; (2) enhancement to traffic safety; and (3) procedural information. DHSMV collected the information through an online survey. The reporting period was July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012.

In total, 73 agencies responded to the online survey. ${ }^{18}$ Collectively, these 73 agencies reported that there were 404 intersections across the state with red light cameras. ${ }^{19}$ Out of 73 agencies, at least 45 agencies issue a notice of violation and a traffic citation for a right on red violation. ${ }^{20}$ Only 16 agencies had a policy defining "careful and prudent manner."21

Rebuttable presumption v. burden of proof
Under the red light camera statute, the registered owner of the motor vehicle involved in the violation receives the notice of violation. This is because the red light camera statute "presumes" the registered owner of the motor vehicle was driving the vehicle at the time of the violation. This presumption,

[^1]however, is rebuttable. ${ }^{22}$ Under the statute, the registered owner may rebut the presumption if the registered owner qualifies for an exemption from payment. ${ }^{23}$

## Exemptions

The registered owner of the motor vehicle is responsible for payment of the fine unless the owner can establish:

- that the vehicle passed through the intersection to yield the right-of-way to an emergency vehicle or as part of a funeral procession;
- that the vehicle passed through the intersection at the direction of a law enforcement officer;
- that the vehicle was, at the time of the violation, in the care, custody, or control of another person;
- that the driver received a UTC for the alleged violation issued by a law enforcement officer; or
- that the vehicle's owner was deceased on or before the date that the UTC was issued. ${ }^{24}$

To establish any of these exemptions, the registered owner of the vehicle must furnish an affidavit to the appropriate governmental entity that provides detailed information supporting an exemption as provided above, including relevant documents such as a police report (if the car had been reported stolen) or a copy of the UTC, if issued. ${ }^{25}$ If the registered owner submits an affidavit that another driver was behind the wheel, the affidavit must contain the name, address, date of birth, and if known, the driver's license number of the driver. ${ }^{26}$ A UTC may be issued to the driver, and the affidavit from the registered owner may be used as evidence in a further proceeding regarding the driver's alleged violation of ss. $316.074(1)$ or $316.075(1)(\mathrm{c}) 1$., F.S. ${ }^{27}$ Submission of a false affidavit is a second degree misdemeanor.

If the vehicle is leased, the owner of the leased vehicle is not responsible for paying the UTC, nor required to submit an affidavit, if the motor vehicle is registered in the name of the lessee. ${ }^{28}$ If a person presents documentation from the appropriate governmental entity that a UTC was issued in error, the clerk of court may dismiss the UTC and may not charge for such service. ${ }^{29}$

## Fines

Red light camera citations carry a $\$ 158$ fine. When the $\$ 158$ fine is the result of a local government's red light camera, $\$ 75$ is retained by the local government and $\$ 83$ is deposited with the Florida Department of Revenue (DOR). ${ }^{30}$ DOR subsequently distributes the fine by depositing $\$ 70$ in the General Revenue Fund, $\$ 10$ in the Department of Health Administrative Trust Fund, and $\$ 3$ in the Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Trust Fund. ${ }^{3132}$ If a law enforcement officer cites a motorist for the same offense, the fine is still $\$ 158$, but the revenue is distributed from the local clerk of court to DOR, where $\$ 30$ is distributed to the General Revenue Fund, $\$ 65$ is distributed to the Department of Health Administrative

[^2]Trust Fund, and $\$ 3$ is distributed to the Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Trust Fund. The remaining $\$ 60$ is distributed in small percentages to a number of funds pursuant to s. 318.21, F.S. ${ }^{33}$

## State Revenue

In FY 2011-2012, there were 71 jurisdictions operating red light camera programs throughout the state. Collectively, these 71 jurisdictions remitted $\$ 51,065,841$ to the state, of which $\$ 43,070,985$ was distributed to the General Revenue Fund; $\$ 6,143,495$ was distributed to the Department of Health Administrative Trust Fund; and $\$ 1,851,361$ was distributed to the Brain \& Spinal Cord Injury Trust Fund. ${ }^{34}$

So far in FY 2012-2013 ${ }^{35}$, the 77 jurisdictions operating red light camera programs throughout the state have collectively remitted $\$ 35,064,271$ to the state, of which ${ }^{36}$

## Yellow-light synchronization and all-red clearance interval

## Florida Laws and Rules on Traffic Control Devices

Section 316.0745(1), F.S., requires DOT to adopt a uniform system of traffic control devices for use on the streets and highways of the state. ${ }^{37}$ DOT is required to revise this system from time to time to conform to a national system or to meet local and state needs. ${ }^{38}$ When revising the system, DOT may receive assistance from local authorities. ${ }^{39}$ DOT is also authorized to permit the use of traffic control signals that do not conform to the uniform system upon a showing of good cause. ${ }^{40}$

Section $316.0745(2)$, F.S., requires DOT to compile and publish a manual defining its uniform system. ${ }^{41}$ The statute also requires DOT to compile and publish minimum specifications for traffic control signal devices "certified . . . as conforming with the uniform system."42

Following statutory requirements, DOT publishes a Traffic Engineering Manual (TEM) to provide traffic engineering standards and guidelines. ${ }^{43}$ In addition to Florida Statutes, Rule 14-15.010, F.A.C., gives DOT authority to adopt the TEM. The TEM covers the processes whereby standards and guidelines are adopted, as well as chapters devoted to "highway signs and markings, traffic signals, traffic optimization through the use of computer models . . ., and links to information on DOT's mature driver/pedestrian program. ${ }^{34}$

In addition to DOT's TEM, many sections of Florida law require drivers to obey traffic control signal demands. Section 316.075 , F.S., requires drivers to follow set traffic control signal commands and yield the right-of-way to pedestrians lawfully in intersections and crosswalks. Violators of s. 316.075, F.S., including those that run red lights, commit non-criminal traffic violations punishable pursuant to ch . 318, F.S.

[^3]${ }^{44}$ Id.
PAGE: 5

## Institute of Transportation Engineers

According to its website, the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) is an international, educational and scientific association of transportation professionals. ${ }^{45}$ Among other things, ITE offers recommendations to the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) and is recognized as one of the leading organizations in transportation research. It publishes a Traffic Engineering Handbook containing information used by transportation officials nationwide. DOT's TEM calculates the minimum yellow signal change and all-red clearance intervals using formulas contained within the ITE's Traffic Engineering Handbook. However, there is no express requirement in Florida law that DOT's TEM contain formulas contained within ITE's Traffic Engineering Handbook.

## Yellow light display duration

The purpose of the yellow light display is "to provide a safe transition between two conflicting traffic signal phases. ${ }^{.46}$ More specifically, the function of the yellow light display is "to warn traffic of an impending change in the right-of-way assignment. ${ }^{\text {,47 }}$

The Federal MUTCD states that a yellow change interval should have a minimum duration of 3 seconds and a maximum duration of 6 seconds. ${ }^{48}$ With regard to specific guidance for the length of a yellow signal, the MUTCD specifies that the length shall be determined using engineering practices. ${ }^{49}$ These engineering practices are contained within DOT's TEM.

The TEM calculates the minimum yellow change and all-red clearance intervals using a formula contained within the ITE's Traffic Engineering Handbook. The specific formula is explained in the image below, along with a chart calculating the formula's results for a hypothetical intersection on level ground. ${ }^{50}$

[^4]
## Table 3.6-1. Florida Yellow Change Interval ( 0.0 \% Grade) ${ }^{*}$

| APPROACH SPEED <br> (MPH) | YELLOW INTERVAL <br> (SECONDS) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 3.0 |
| 30 | 3.2 |
| 35 | 3.6 |
| 40 | 4.0 |
| 45 | 4.3 |
| 50 | 4.7 |
| 55 | 5.0 |
| 60 | 5.4 |
| 65 | 5.8 |
| For approach grades other than $0 \%$, Use ITE Formula. |  |

Formula 3.6-1

$$
Y=t+\frac{1.47 v}{2(a+G g)}
$$

Where:
$Y=$ length of yellow interval, sec.
$t=$ perception-reaction time, (Use 1 sec .).
$v=$ speed of approaching vehicles, in mph.
$a=$ deceleration rate in response to the onset of a yellow indication. (Use 10 $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ )
$g=$ acceleration due to gravity. (Use $32.2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ )
$G \quad=$ grade, with uphill positive and downhill negative. (percent grade 1100)

All variables in the formula have assumed or fixed values except the approach speed, v. As a result, the speed of vehicles as they approach an intersection is the critical input an engineer must consider when solving the formula for $Y$ - an appropriate length in seconds for the yellow light.

With respect to determining the correct approach speed, the TEM states, "[a]pproach speed... is the posted speed or the 85th percentile approach speed, whichever is greater. ${ }^{51}$ The phrase "posted speed" refers to the speed limit applied to the road pursuant to ss. 316.187 and 316.189 , F.S. ${ }^{52}$ The phrase "85th percentile approach speed" is a commonly-used statistical measurement describing the speed at or below which 85 percent of free-flowing traffic is moving. ${ }^{53}$

The TEM also contains a provision allowing traffic engineers to modify yellow light intervals as appropriate. Section 3.6.2(5) states that "yellow change... intervals specified herein are minimums, and should be increased as necessary, based on professional engineering judgment, to fit site
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conditions at any particular intersection." DOT's TEM does not contain language regarding the shortening of a yellow light interval to an amount of time less than those provided in the manual.

## All-red Clearance Interval

The all-red clearance interval is a brief period when traffic is stopped at red lights in all directions. The purpose of the all-red clearance interval is to provide additional time following the yellow change interval to clear the intersection before conflicting traffic is released. ${ }^{54}$ The idea is that the interval needs to be long enough to prevent accidents, but no longer than necessary to ensure traffic continues to flow. According to the Federal MUTCD, the duration of an all-red clearance interval should not exceed 6 seconds.

## Effect of Proposed Change

Section One

## Right-on-violations

The bill removes city and county authority to issue a notice of violation and uniform traffic citation for a right-on-red violation. A city or county may still issue a notice of violation and uniform traffic citation for straight-ahead red light violations.

## Opportunity for a hearing within the first 30 days

The bill allows the motor vehicle's registered owner to request a hearing within the first 30 days after the date of delivery or attempted delivery of the notice of violation. The bill also requires that the notice of violation be sent via certified mail, rather than first-class mail. The effect of the proposed change is that the registered owner may dispute the notice of violation while he or she is still subject to the $\$ 158$ fine, as opposed to having to wait until issuance of a uniform traffic citation at a much higher price for an opportunity for a hearing, as is required under current law. The bill specifies that no payment or fee may be required for the hearing.

## Extending notice of violation to person identified as the driver

During a transfer of liability, the bill places the person identified on the affidavit as having care, custody, or control of the vehicle at the time of the violation in the same position as the registered owner. As such, the person identified on the affidavit as the actual driver of the vehicle will no longer be immediately issued a uniform traffic citation; rather the person will have the opportunity to pay the $\$ 158$ notice of violation or request a hearing within 30 days.

While the bill does not explicitly prohibit the person identified on the affidavit from also submitting an affidavit that identifies someone else as the actual driver of the vehicle, the current red light camera statute does provide that the submission of a false affidavit is a $2^{\text {nd }}$ degree misdemeanor. ${ }^{55}$

## Lack of notice as a defense

The bill specifies that if the registered owner, coowner, or person identified as the actual driver on the affidavit, requests a hearing, he or she waives any challenge or dispute as to delivery of the notice of violation. The effect of the proposed change no longer allows a person to claim "lack of notice" as an affirmative defense when that same person has requested a hearing. By making this change, the bill specifies that if the person requests a hearing, the person has received notice.

[^6]
## Burden of proof

The bill specifies that the governmental entity bringing the charge has the burden of proving guilt.

## Self-incrimination

The bill specifies that a person receiving a notice of violation or uniform traffic citation under the red light camera statute may not be compelled to be a witness against himself, and further, that the person has the right to confront any witnesses.

## Authentication of evidence

The bill specifies that an affidavit is not sufficient to authenticate any evidence obtained from a traffic infraction detector (red light camera) or similar unattended device. The bill further requires any person who has received, processed, or reviewed any evidence obtained from a red light camera to authenticate the evidence in court. The bill requires that "compensation of any witness for the prosecution shall be as required in s. 92.143 , F.S." Section 92.143 , F.S., requires the office of the state attorney of the respective judicial circuit to pay the fees and costs of calling the witness that is required to testify on behalf of the prosecution in traffic court.

## Section Two

## Yellow-light display duration

The bill provides that whenever an engineering analysis is undertaken to evaluate or reevaluate signal display durations, DOT and local authorities will be responsible for ensuring traffic control signals meet guidelines related to the following:

- the minimum yellow signal display duration on traffic control signals is to be based on the posted speed limit plus 10 percent. The minimum yellow signal display duration is 3 seconds for traffic control signals on streets with a posted speed limit of 25 miles per hour or less, and the minimum yellow display duration shall increase by .5 second for each increase of 5 miles per hour in the posted speed limit, plus 10 percent. However, the yellow light display duration is not to exceed 6 seconds; and
- intersections with a posted speed limit greater than 55 miles per hour are required to have, on approach, a sign posted to alert drivers of the upcoming traffic control signal. The sign is to be posted in accordance with DOT's Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.


## All-red clearance interval

The bill also amends s. 316.075 , F.S., to require an all-red clearance interval following the yellow signal display in order to provide additional time between conflicting traffic movements. DOT is required to use its adopted engineering practices to determine the duration of the all-red clearance interval. The bill provides that the duration may be extended from its predetermined value for a given cycle based upon the detection of a vehicle that is predicted to violate the red signal indication.

## Dismissal of citations

The bill provides that a citation for a red light violation committed at an intersection where the traffic control signal does not meet all of the minimum yellow signal display duration, all-red clearance interval and other requirements is unenforceable and must be dismissed without penalty or assessment of points against the driver's license. This may require traffic engineers to attend the hearing.

In addition, the bill requires all current intersections with red light cameras meet requirements by December 31, 2013. All intersections with red light cameras installed after December 31, 2013, must meet the bills requirements upon installation of the red light camera. All other intersections must meet the bill's requirements by December 31, 2014.

The bill provides penalties for non-compliance. Under the bill, a local governmental entity may be fined $\$ 500$ per violation. The fine amount is to be remitted to the Florida Department of Revenue (DOR) for deposit into the Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Trust Fund.

The bill further provides that all fines paid for traffic citations issued at a nonconforming intersection during the preceding 60 days of the date that a traffic infraction detector was found to be in violation of section 316.075 , F.S., will be refunded.

## Possible effect on traffic flow

Currently, the yellow signal display duration and all-red clearance interval on traffic control signals is not addressed by statute, but is stated in DOT's TEM. The effect of the proposed changes is that functional aspects of traffic control signals will be more closely tied to DOT's TEM, federal standards and current engineering practices. Additionally, statewide guidelines for minimum yellow light display durations and all-red clearance intervals may result in greater consistency and may reduce traffic crashes by clearing out intersections before allowing conflicting traffic to proceed.

While various studies may be used as diagnostic tools, they are not necessarily accurate predictors of actual driver behavior. However, multiple studies have shown that increases in yellow light display duration may reduce traffic crashes and may reduce the number of red light violations. This has been the case in several states - California, ${ }^{56}$ Missouri ${ }^{57}$ and Virginia ${ }^{58}$ are examples. One study conducted by the Texas Transportation Institute found an increase of just one second in yellow light display duration in three Texas cities resulted in a 40 percent collision reduction. ${ }^{59}$

Conversely, one study suggests extending the yellow light display duration, or "indecision zone," results in a greater probability of rear-end collisions. ${ }^{60}$ This same study, however, concedes the notion that rear-end collisions are the most frequent type of accident at any signalized intersection. Further, the study pointed to findings that while rear-end collisions were more frequent, extending yellow light display durations resulted in a reduction in the more-severe, right-angle accidents. ${ }^{61}$

While increased yellow light display durations may reduce red light violations and traffic crashes, drivers may experience longer commute times as a result of traffic being stopped in all directions whenever the traffic control signals enter the all-red clearance interval.

## Effective Date

The bill has an effective date of July 1, 2013.

[^7]
## B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: removes authority to issue a notice of violation and uniform traffic citation for a right-onred violation; allows the motor vehicle's registered owner to request a hearing within the first 30 days; requires the notice of violation be sent via certified mail; allows the person identified on the affidavit to pay the $\$ 158$ notice of violation; specifies that a request for hearing waives any challenge or dispute as to delivery; specifies the government has the burden of proving guilt; specifies that a person may not be compelled to be a witness against himself, and has the right to confront any witnesses; requires any person who has received, processed, or reviewed any evidence obtained from a red light camera to authenticate the evidence in court;
Section 2: requires yellow-light synchronization and an all-red clearance interval; provides dates for intersection compliance; and provides a penalty for non-compliance;
Section 3: provides an effective date.

## II. FISCAL ANALYSIS \& ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

## A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

Indeterminate. The number of citations that may be dismissed pursuant to provisions of this bill is unknown. Additionally, the number of citations that would not be written due to the additional yellow signal display duration and the elimination of right on red citations is unknown.
2. Expenditures:

None.

## B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

Indeterminate. The number of citations that may be dismissed pursuant to provisions of this bill is unknown. Additionally, the number of citations that would not be written due to the additional yellow signal display duration and the elimination of right on red citations is unknown.
2. Expenditures:

None.

## C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

Motorists may see fewer citations for red light running due to additional yellow signal display durations, all red clearance intervals, and the elimination of right on red citations.

## D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

A notice of violation for a red light camera violation carries a $\$ 158$ fine, which must be paid within 30 days of the notice of the violation. If unpaid, the notice of violation becomes a uniform traffic citation. After factoring in court costs and fees - which vary by county - a uniform traffic citation for a red light camera violation may cost the driver upwards of $\$ 256$. As described in s. 318.18, F.S., the following court costs and fees may be assessed for a red light camera violation.

## III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

None.
2. Other:

None.
B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.
C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

According to DOR, the bill's requirements related to the collection of fines from local governments and disbursement of refunds would be potentially difficult to implement. According to its agency bill analysis, provisions on how the fine will be imposed and who will collect and remit the fines for distribution are necessary.
According to DOR's agency bill analysis, DOR does not receive information on the person who paid the fine and does not have the authority to expend money deposited into the Department of Health Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Trust Fund so additional provisions would be needed to provide for the administration of the refund.

## IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES



Page 1 of 17
CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.
specifying requirements for compensation of witnesses for the prosecution; amending s. 316.075, F.S.; requiring traffic control signals to maintain certain signal intervals and display durations based on posted speeds; providing that a citation for specified violations shall be dismissed if the traffic control signal does not meet specified requirements; providing dates for intersections to meet such requirements; providing penalties for violation by a local governmental entity; providing for dismissal of citations issued at certain nonconforming intersections and refund of penalties collected pursuant to such citations; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsections (1) and (2) of section 316.0083, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
316.0083 Mark Wandall Traffic Safety Program; administration; report.-
(1) (a) For purposes of administering this section, the department, a county, or a municipality may authorize a traffic infraction enforcement officer under s. 316.640 to issue a traffic citation for a violation of s. 316.074(1) or s. 316.075(1)(c)1. Neither a notice of violation nor a traffic citation may fot issued under this section for a right on red violation for failure to stop at a red light if the driver is
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making a right hand turn in a careful and prudent mannex at an intersection where right-hand turns-are permissible. This paragraph does not prohibit a review of information from a traffic infraction detector by an authorized employee or agent of the department, a county, or a municipality before issuance of the traffic citation by the traffic infraction enforcement officer. This paragraph does not prohibit the department, a county, or a municipality from issuing notification as provided in paragraph (b) to the registered owner of the motor vehicle or to another person identified as having care, custody, or control of the motor vehicle involved in the violation of s. 316.074(1) or s. $316.075(1)(c) 1$. unless the notification is for a right on red violation.
(b) 1.a. Within 30 days after a violation, notification must be sent to the registered owner of the motor vehicle involved in the violation specifying the remedies available under s. 318.14 and that the violator must pay the penalty of $\$ 158$ to the department, county, or municipality, or furnish an affidavit in accordance with paragraph (d), or request a hearing, within 30 days following the date of delivery or attempted delivery of the notification in order to avoid court fees, costs, and the issuance of a traffic citation. The notification shall be sent by certified first-elass mail.
b. Included with the notification to the registered owner of the motor vehicle involved in the infraction must be a notice that the owner has the right to review the photographic or electronic images or the streaming video evidence that constitutes a rebuttable presumption against the owner of the
vehicle. The notice must state the time and place or Internet location where the evidence may be examined and observed.
c. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a person who receives a notice of violation under this section shall have the option of requesting a hearing within 30 days following the date of delivery or attempted delivery of the notice of violation or paying the penalty pursuant to the notice of violation, but no payment or fee may be required before a hearing requested by the person. For purposes of this subparagraph, the term "person" includes a natural person, registered owner or coowner of a motor vehicle, or person identified on an affidavit as having care, custody, or control of the motor vehicle at the time of the violation.
d. If the registered owner or coowner of the motor vehicle, or the person designated as having care, custody, or control of the motor vehicle at the time of the violation, or a duly authorized representative of the owner, coowner, or designated person, initiates a proceeding to challenge the delivery or attempted delivery of the notice of violation pursuant to this paragraph, such person waives any challenge or dispute as to delivery.
2. Penalties assessed and collected by the department, county, or municipality authorized to collect the funds provided for in this paragraph, less the amount retained by the county or municipality pursuant to subparagraph 3., shall be paid to the Department of Revenue weekly. Payment by the department, county, or municipality to the state shall be made by means of electronic funds transfers. In addition to the payment, summary
detail of the penalties remitted shall be reported to the Department of Revenue.
3. Penalties to be assessed and collected by the department, county, or municipality are as follows:
a. One hundred fifty-eight dollars for a violation of $s$. 316.074(1) or s. 316.075(1)(c)1. when a driver has failed to stop at a traffic signal if enforcement is by the department's traffic infraction enforcement officer. One hundred dollars shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue Fund, $\$ 10$ shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Department of Health Emergency Medical Services Trust Fund, $\$ 3$ shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Trust Fund, and $\$ 45$ shall be distributed to the municipality in which the violation occurred, or, if the violation occurred in an unincorporated area, to the county in which the violation occurred. Funds deposited into the Department of Health Emergency Medical Services Trust Fund under this sub-subparagraph shall be distributed as provided in s. 395.4036(1). Proceeds of the infractions in the Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Trust Fund shall be distributed quarterly to the Miami Project to Cure Paralysis and shall be used for brain and spinal cord research.
b. One hundred fifty-eight dollars for a violation of $s$. $316.074(1)$ or s. $316.075(1)(c) 1$. When a driver has failed to stop at a traffic signal if enforcement is by a county or municipal traffic infraction enforcement officer. Seventy dollars shall be remitted by the county or municipality to the
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Department of Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue Fund, \$10 shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Department of Health Emergency Medical Services Trust Fund, $\$ 3$ shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Trust. Fund, and $\$ 75$ shall be retained by the county or municipality enforcing the ordinance enacted pursuant to this section. Funds deposited into the Department of Health Emergency Medical Services Trust Fund under this sub-subparagraph shall be distributed as provided in s. 395.4036(1). Proceeds of the infractions in the Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Trust Fund shall be distributed quarterly to the Miami Project to Cure Paralysis and shall be used for brain and spinal cord research.
4. An individual may not receive a commission from any revenue collected from violations detected through the use of a traffic infraction detector. A manufacturer or vendor may not receive a fee or remuneration based upon the number of violations detected through the use of a traffic infraction detector.
(c)1.a. A traffic citation issued under this section shall be issued by mailing the traffic citation by certified mail to the address of the registered owner of the motor vehicle involved in the violation when payment has not been made within 30 days after the date of delivery or attempted delivery of the notification under paragraph (b), the registered owner has not requested a hearing as permitted by paragraph (b), and the registered owner has not submitted an affidavit under this section subparagraph (b) 1 .
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b. Delivery or attempted delivery of the traffic citation constitutes notification under this paragraph. If the registered owner or the person designated as having care, custody, or control of the motor vehicle at the time of the violation, or a duly authorized representative of the owner or designated person, initiates a proceeding to challenge the delivery or attempted delivery of the citation pursuant to this section, such person waives any challenge or dispute as to delivery.
c. In the case of joint ownership of a motor vehicle, the traffic citation shall be mailed to the first name appearing on the registration, unless the first name appearing on the registration is a business organization, in which case the second name appearing on the registration may be used.
d. The traffic citation shall be mailed to the registered owner of the motor vehiele involve in the violation no latex than 60 days after the date of the violation.
2. Included with the notification to the registered owner of the motor vehicle involved in the infraction shall be a notice that the owner has the right to review, either in person or remotely, the photographic or electronic images or the streaming video evidence that constitutes a rebuttable presumption against the owner of the vehicle. The notice must state the time and place or Internet location where the evidence may be examined and observed.
(d)1. The owner of the motor vehicle involved in the violation is responsible and liable for paying the uniform traffic citation issued for a violation of s. 316.074(1) or s. 316.075(1)(c)1. when the driver failed to stop at a traffic
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signal, unless the owner can establish that:
a. The motor vehicle passed through the intersection in order to yield right-of-way to an emergency vehicle or as part of a funeral procession;
b. The motor vehicle passed through the intersection at the direction of a law enforcement officer;
c. The motor vehicle was, at the time of the violation, in the care, custody, or control of another person;
d. A uniform traffic citation was issued by a law enforcement officer to the driver of the motor vehicle for the alleged violation of s. 316.074(1) or s. 316.075(1)(c)1; or
e. The motor vehicle's owner was deceased on or before the date that the uniform traffic citation was issued, as established by an affidavit submitted by the representative of the motor vehicle owner's estate or other designated person or family member.
2. In order to establish such facts, the owner of the motor vehicle shall, within 30 days after the date of issuance of the traffic citation, furnish to the appropriate governmental entity an affidavit setting forth detailed information supporting an exemption as provided in this paragraph.
a. An affidavit supporting an exemption under subsubparagraph 1.c. must include the name, address, date of birth, and, if known, the driver license number of the person who leased, rented, or otherwise had care, custody, or control of the motor vehicle at the time of the alleged violation. If the vehicle was stolen at the time of the alleged offense, the affidavit must include the police report indicating that the
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vehicle was stolen.
b. If a traffic citation for a violation of s. 316.074(1) or s. 316.075(1)(c)1. was issued at the location of the violation by a law enforcement officer, the affidavit must include the serial number of the uniform traffic citation.
c. If the motor vehicle's owner to whom a traffic citation has been issued is deceased, the affidavit must include a certified copy of the owner's death certificate showing that the date of death occurred on or before the issuance of the uniform traffic citation and one of the following:
(I) A bill of sale or other document showing that the deceased owner's motor vehicle was sold or transferred after his or her death, but on or before the date of the alleged violation.
(II) Documentary proof that the registered license plate belonging to the deceased owner's vehicle was returned to the department or any branch office or authorized agent of the department, but on or before the date of the alleged violation.
(III) A copy of a police report showing that the deceased owner's registered license plate or motor vehicle was stolen after the owner's death, but on or before the date of the alleged violation.

Upon receipt of the affidavit and documentation required under this sub-subparagraph, the governmental entity must dismiss the citation and provide proof of such dismissal to the person that submitted the affidavit.
3. Upon receipt of an affidavit, the person designated as Page 9 of 17
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having care, custody, and control of the motor vehicle at the time of the violation may be issued a notice of violation pursuant to paragraph (b) for a violation of $s$. 316.074(1) or s. $316.075(1)(c) 1$. when the driver failed to stop at a traffic signal. The affidavit is admissible in a proceeding pursuant to this section for the purpose of providing proof that the person identified in the affidavit was in actual care, custody, or control of the motor vehicle. The owner of a leased vehicle for which a traffic citation is issued for a violation of s. 316.074(1) or s. 316.075(1)(c)1. when the driver failed to stop at a traffic signal is not responsible for paying the traffic citation and is not required to submit an affidavit as specified in this subsection if the motor vehicle involved in the violation is registered in the name of the lessee of such motor vehicle.
4. Paragraphs (b) and (c) apply to the person identified on the affidavit, except that the notification under subsubparagraph (b)1.a. must be sent to the person identified on the affidavit within 30 days after receipt of an affidavit.
5.4- The submission of a false affidavit is a misdemeanor of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082 or s . 775.083.
(e) The photographic or electronic images or streaming video attached to or referenced in the traffic citation is evidence that a violation of s. 316.074(1) or s. 316.075(1)(c)1. when the driver failed to stop at a traffic signal has occurred and is admissible in any proceeding to enforce this section and raises a rebuttable presumption that the motor vehicle named in
the report or shown in the photographic or electronic images or streaming video evidence was used in violation of s. 316.074(1) or s. 316.075(1)(c)1. when the driver failed to stop at a traffic signal.
(f) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the burden of proving guilt shall rest upon the governmental entity bringing the charge under this section. A person appearing in any hearing under this section may not be compelled to be a witness against himself or herself.
(g) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in any hearing involving a traffic infraction detector or similar unattended device used to enforce the traffic laws of this state, each person so charged has the right to confront the witnesses against him or her. Any evidence obtained from such device must be authenticated in court by the person receiving or processing the evidence, any person having reviewed such evidence in order to make a decision to issue a notice of violation, and any person who issued the notice of violation or traffic citation. An affidavit is not sufficient to authenticate such evidence, and such evidence must be accounted for in writing from the time of the alleged violation until the issuance of any notice of violation or traffic citation. Compensation of any witness for the prosecution shall be as required in s. 92.143.
(2) Neither a notice of violation nor a traffic citation may be issued under this section for a right on red violation fox failure to stop at a light if the driver is making a right-hand turn in a eareful and prudent manner at an
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intersection where right-hand turns are permissible.
Section 2. Section 316.075, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
316.075 Traffic control signal devices.-
(1) Except for automatic warning signal lights installed or to be installed at railroad crossings, whenever traffic, including municipal traffic, is controlled by traffic control signals exhibiting different colored lights, or colored lighted arrows, successively one at a time or in combination, only the colors green, red, and yellow shall be used, except for special pedestrian signals carrying a word legend, and the lights shall indicate and apply to drivers of vehicles and pedestrians as follows:
(a) Green indication.-

1. Vehicular traffic facing a circular green signal may proceed cautiously straight through or turn right or left unless a sign at such place prohibits either such turn. But vehicular traffic, including vehicles turning right or left, shall yield the right-of-way to other vehicles and to pedestrians lawfully within the intersection or an adjacent crosswalk at the time such signal is exhibited.
2. Vehicular traffic facing a green arrow signal, shown alone or in combination with another indication, as directed by the manual, may cautiously enter the intersection only to make the movement indicated by such arrow, or such other movement as is permitted by other indications shown at the same time, except the driver of any vehicle may U-turn, so as to proceed in the opposite direction unless such movement is prohibited by posted
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traffic control signs. Such vehicular traffic shall yield the right-of-way to pedestrians lawfully within an adjacent crosswalk and to other traffic lawfully using the intersection.
3. Unless otherwise directed by a pedestrian control signal as provided in s. 316.0755, pedestrians facing any green signal, except when the sole green signal is a turn arrow, may proceed across the roadway within any marked or unmarked crosswalk.
(b) Steady yellow indication.-

1. Vehicular traffic facing a steady yellow signal is thereby warned that the related green movement is being terminated or that a red indication will be exhibited immediately thereafter when vehicular traffic shall not enter the intersection.
2. Pedestrians facing a steady yellow signal, unless otherwise directed by a pedestrian control signal as provided in s. 316.0755, are thereby advised that there is insufficient time to cross the roadway before a red indication is shown and no pedestrian shall start to cross the roadway.
(c) Steady red indication.-
3. Vehicular traffic facing a steady red signal shall stop before entering the crosswalk on the near side of the intersection or, if none, then before entering the intersection and shall remain standing until a green indication is shown; however:
a. The driver of a vehicle which is stopped at a clearly marked stop line, but if none, before entering the crosswalk on the near side of the intersection, or, if none then at the point
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nearest the intersecting roadway where the driver has a view of approaching traffic on the intersecting roadway before entering the intersection in obedience to a steady red signal may make a right turn, but shall yield the right-of-way to pedestrians and other traffic proceeding as directed by the signal at the intersection, except that municipal and county authorities may prohibit any such right turn against a steady red signal at any intersection, which prohibition shall be effective when a sign giving notice thereof is erected in a location visible to traffic approaching the intersection.
b. The driver of a vehicle on a one-way street that intersects another one-way street on which traffic moves to the left shall stop in obedience to a steady red signal, but may then make a left turn into the one-way street, but shall yield the right-of-way to pedestrians and other traffic proceeding as directed by the signal at the intersection, except that municipal and county authorities may prohibit any such left turn as described, which prohibition shall be effective when a sign giving notice thereof is attached to the traffic control signal device at the intersection.
2.a. The driver of a vehicle facing a steady red signal shall stop before entering the crosswalk and remain stopped to allow a pedestrian, with a permitted signal, to cross a roadway when the pedestrian is in the crosswalk or steps into the crosswalk and is upon the half of the roadway upon which the vehicle is traveling or when the pedestrian is approaching so closely from the opposite half of the roadway as to be in danger.
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b. Unless otherwise directed by a pedestrian control signal as provided in s. 316.0755, pedestrians facing a steady red signal shall not enter the roadway.
(2) In the event an official traffic control signal is erected and maintained at a place other than an intersection, the provisions of this section shall be applicable except as to those provisions which by their nature can have no application. Any stop required shall be made at a sign or marking on the pavement indicating where the stop shall be made, but in the absence of any such sign or marking the stop shall be made at the signal.
(3) (a) $\underline{A}$ traffic control signal device may not shat be used unless it exhibits which a nes exhit a yellow or "caution" light between the green or "go" signal and the red or "stop" signal. Whenever an engineering analysis is undertaken for the purpose of evaluating or reevaluating yellow and red signal display durations of a new or existing traffic control signal, the department and local authorities shall adhere to the following:

1. The minimum yellow signal display duration on traffic control signals shall be based on the posted speed limit plus 10 percent along with the standards set forth in the Florida Department of Transportation's Traffic Engineering Manual. The minimum yellow signal display duration shall be 3 seconds for traffic control signals on streets with a posted speed limit of 25 miles per hour or less. The minimum yellow signal display duration found after the evaluation or reevaluation under this

420
paragraph shall be raised to the nearest half second, not to exceed 6 seconds.
2. Intersections with a posted speed limit greater than 55 miles per hour shall have, on approach, a sign posted in accordance with the Florida Department of Transportation's Traffic Engineering Manual to alert drivers to the traffic control signal.
(b) $\underline{A}$ traffic control signal device may not shly display other than the color red at the top of the vertical signal, nor may shall it display other than the color red at the extreme left of the horizontal signal.
(c) To provide additional time before conflicting traffic movements proceed, the yellow signal display shall be followed by an all red clearance interval delaying the change of opposing red light signals. The duration of the clearance interval shall be determined by engineering practices as provided for in the Florida Department of Transportation's Traffic Engineering Manual required under s. 316.0745. The duration of a red clearance interval may be extended from its predetermined value for a given cycle based upon the detection of a vehicle that is predicted to violate the red signal indication.
(4) (a) A violation of subsection (1) or subsection (2) this is a noncriminal traffic infraction, punishable pursuant to chapter 318 as either a pedestrian violation or, if the infraction resulted from the operation of a vehicle, as a moving violation. However, a citation for a violation of subparagraph (1)(c)I. committed at an intersection where the traffic signal device does not meet all requirements under
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```
subsection (3) is unenforceable, and the court, clerk of the
court, designated official, or authorized operator of a traffic
violations bureau shall dismiss the citation without penalty or
assessment of points against the license of the person cited.
    (b) Intersections with traffic infraction detectors must
meet the requirements of this section by December 31, 2013.
    (c) All intersections with traffic infraction detectors
installed after December 31, 2013, must meet the requirements of
this section upon installation of the traffic infraction
detector.
    (d) All other intersections must meet the requirements of
this section by December 31, }2014
    (e) A local governmental entity that violates this
paragraph shall be fined $500 per violation, which shall be
remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Brain
and Spinal Cord Injury Trust Fund.
    (f) In addition to the fine listed in paragraph (f), all
citations issued at a nonconforming intersection over the
preceding 60 days shall be dismissed and all fine amounts paid
shall be refunded. For purposes of this paragraph, the 60-day
period shall begin on, and include, the date the traffic
infraction detector was found to be in violation of this
section.
    Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2013.
```

Amendment No.

## COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE ACTION

| ADOPTED | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| ADOPTED AS AMENDED | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| FAILED TO ADOPT | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| WITHDRAWN | $-(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |
| OTHER | - |

Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Economic Affairs Committee Representative Artiles offered the following:

## Amendment (with title amendment)

Remove lines 171-470 and insert: owner or coowner of the motor vehicle, or the person designated as having care, custody, or control of the motor vehicle at the time of the violation, or a duly authorized representative of the owner, coowner, or designated person, initiates a proceeding to challenge the delivery or attempted delivery of the citation pursuant to this section, such person waives any challenge or dispute as to delivery.
c. In the case of joint ownership of a motor vehicle, the traffic citation shall be mailed to the first name appearing on the registration, unless the first name appearing on the registration is a business organization, in which case the second name appearing on the registration may be used.
d. The traffic eitation shall be mailed to the registered owner of the motor vehiele involved in the violation no latex than 60 days after the date of the violation.
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2. Included with the notification to the registered owner of the motor vehicle involved in the infraction shall be a notice that the owner has the right to review, either in person or remotely, the photographic or electronic images or the streaming video evidence that constitutes a rebuttable presumption against the owner of the vehicle. The notice must state the time and place or Internet location where the evidence may be examined and observed.
(d)1. The owner of the motor vehicle involved in the violation is responsible and liable for paying the uniform traffic citation issued for a violation of s. 316.074(1) or s. 316.075(1)(c)1. when the driver failed to stop at a traffic signal, unless the owner can establish that:
a. The motor vehicle passed through the intersection in order to yield right-of-way to an emergency vehicle or as part of a funeral procession;
b. The motor vehicle passed through the intersection at the direction of a law enforcement officer;
c. The motor vehicle was, at the time of the violation, in the care, custody, or control of another person;
d. A uniform traffic citation was issued by a law enforcement officer to the driver of the motor vehicle for the alleged violation of s. $316.074(1)$ or s. $316.075(1)(c) 1$; or
e. The motor vehicle's owner was deceased on or before the date that the uniform traffic citation was issued, as established by an affidavit submitted by the representative of the motor vehicle owner's estate or other designated person or family member.
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2. In order to establish such facts, the owner of the motor vehicle shall, within 30 days after the date of issuance of the traffic citation, furnish to the appropriate governmental entity an affidavit setting forth detailed information supporting an exemption as provided in this paragraph.
a. An affidavit supporting an exemption under subsubparagraph 1.c. must include the name, address, date of birth, and, if known, the driver license number of the person who leased, rented, or otherwise had care, custody, or control of the motor vehicle at the time of the alleged violation. If the vehicle was stolen at the time of the alleged offense, the affidavit must include the police report indicating that the vehicle was stolen.
b. If a traffic citation for a violation of s. 316.074(1) or s. $316.075(1)(c) 1$. was issued at the location of the violation by a law enforcement officer, the affidavit must include the serial number of the uniform traffic citation.
c. If the motor vehicle's owner to whom a traffic citation has been issued is deceased, the affidavit must include a certified copy of the owner's death certificate showing that the date of death occurred on or before the issuance of the uniform traffic citation and one of the following:
(I) A bill of sale or other document showing that the deceased owner's motor vehicle was sold or transferred after his or her death, but on or before the date of the alleged violation.
(II) Documentary proof that the registered license plate belonging to the deceased owner's vehicle was returned to the
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department or any branch office or authorized agent of the department, but on or before the date of the alleged violation.
(III) A copy of a police report showing that the deceased owner's registered license plate or motor vehicle was stolen after the owner's death, but on or before the date of the alleged violation.

Upon receipt of the affidavit and documentation required under this sub-subparagraph, the governmental entity must dismiss the citation and provide proof of such dismissal to the person that submitted the affidavit.
3. Upon receipt of an affidavit, the person designated as having care, custody, or and control of the motor vehicle at the time of the violation may be issued a notice of violation pursuant to paragraph (b) traffic eitation for a violation of $s$. $316.074(1)$ or $s .316 .075(1)(c) 1$. when the driver failed to stop at a traffic signal. The affidavit is admissible in a proceeding pursuant to this section for the purpose of providing proof that the person identified in the affidavit was in actual care, custody, or control of the motor vehicle. The owner of a leased vehicle for which a traffic citation is issued for a violation of s. $316.074(1)$ or s. $316.075(1)(c) 1$. when the driver failed to stop at a traffic signal is not responsible for paying the traffic citation and is not required to submit an affidavit as specified in this subsection if the motor vehicle involved in the violation is registered in the name of the lessee of such motor vehicle.
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4. Paragraphs (b) and (c) apply to the person identified on the affidavit, except that the notification under subsubparagraph (b)1.a. must be sent to the person identified on the affidavit within 30 days after receipt of an affidavit.
5.4. The submission of a false affidavit is a misdemeanor of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082 or $s$. 775.083.
(e) The photographic or electronic images or streaming video attached to or referenced in the traffic citation is evidence that a violation of s. 316.074(1) or s. 316.075(1)(c)1. when the driver failed to stop at a traffic signal has occurred and is admissible in any proceeding to enforce this section and raises a rebuttable presumption that the motor vehicle named in the report or shown in the photographic or electronic images or streaming video evidence was used in violation of s. 316.074(1) or s. $316.075(1)(c) 1$. when the driver failed to stop at a traffic signal.
(f) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the burden of proving guilt shall rest upon the governmental entity bringing the charge under this section. A person appearing in any hearing under this section may not be compelled to be a witness against himself or herself.
(g) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in any hearing involving a traffic infraction detector used to enforce the traffic laws of this state, each person so charged has the right to confront the witnesses against him or her. Any evidence obtained from a traffic infraction detector must be authenticated in court by the person receiving or processing the
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evidence, any person having reviewed such evidence in order to make a decision to issue a notice of violation, and any person who issued the notice of violation or traffic citation. An affidavit is not sufficient to authenticate such evidence, and such evidence must be accounted for in writing from the time of the alleged violation until the issuance of any notice of violation or traffic citation. Compensation of any witness for the prosecution shall be as required in s. 92.143.
(2) Neither a notice of violation nor a traffic citation may be issued under this section for a right on red violation for failure to stop at a red light if the driver is making a right-hand turn in a careful and prudent mannex at an intexsection where right-hand turns are pexmissible.

Section 2. Section 316.075, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
316.075 Traffic control signal devices.-
(1) Except for automatic warning signal lights installed or to be installed at railroad crossings, whenever traffic, including municipal traffic, is controlled by traffic control signals exhibiting different colored lights, or colored lighted arrows, successively one at a time or in combination, only the colors green, red, and yellow shall be used, except for special pedestrian signals carrying a word legend, and the lights shall indicate and apply to drivers of vehicles and pedestrians as follows:
(a) Green indication.-

1. Vehicular traffic facing a circular green signal may proceed cautiously straight through or turn right or left unless
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a sign at such place prohibits either such turn. But vehicular traffic, including vehicles turning right or left, shall yield the right-of-way to other vehicles and to pedestrians lawfully within the intersection or an adjacent crosswalk at the time such signal is exhibited.
2. Vehicular traffic facing a green arrow signal, shown alone or in combination with another indication, as directed by the manual, may cautiously enter the intersection only to make the movement indicated by such arrow, or such other movement as is permitted by other indications shown at the same time, except the driver of any vehicle may U-turn, so as to proceed in the opposite direction unless such movement is prohibited by posted traffic control signs. Such vehicular traffic shall yield the right-of-way to pedestrians lawfully within an adjacent crosswalk and to other traffic lawfully using the intersection.
3. Unless otherwise directed by a pedestrian control signal as provided in s. 316.0755, pedestrians facing any green signal, except when the sole green signal is a turn arrow, may proceed across the roadway within any marked or unmarked crosswalk.
(b) Steady yellow indication.-

1. Vehicular traffic facing a steady yellow signal is thereby warned that the related green movement is being terminated or that a red indication will be exhibited immediately thereafter when vehicular traffic shall not enter the intersection.
2. Pedestrians facing a steady yellow signal, unless otherwise directed by a pedestrian control signal as provided in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Bill No. HB } 1061 \tag{2013}
\end{equation*}
$$

Amendment No.
s. 316.0755, are thereby advised that there is insufficient time to cross the roadway before a red indication is shown and no pedestrian shall start to cross the roadway.
(c) Steady red indication.-

1. Vehicular traffic facing a steady red signal shall stop before entering the crosswalk on the near side of the intersection or, if none, then before entering the intersection and shall remain standing until a green indication is shown; however:
a. The driver of a vehicle which is stopped at a clearly marked stop line, but if none, before entering the crosswalk on the near side of the intersection, or, if none then at the point nearest the intersecting roadway where the driver has a view of approaching traffic on the intersecting roadway before entering the intersection in obedience to a steady red signal may make a right turn, but shall yield the right-of-way to pedestrians and other traffic proceeding as directed by the signal at the intersection, except that municipal and county authorities may prohibit any such right turn against a steady red signal at any intersection, which prohibition shall be effective when a sign giving notice thereof is erected in a location visible to traffic approaching the intersection.
b. The driver of a vehicle on a one-way street that intersects another one-way street on which traffic moves to the left shall stop in obedience to a steady red signal, but may then make a left turn into the one-way street, but shall yield the right-of-way to pedestrians and other traffic proceeding as directed by the signal at the intersection, except that
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municipal and county authorities may prohibit any such left turn as described, which prohibition shall be effective when a sign giving notice thereof is attached to the traffic control signal device at the intersection.
2.a. The driver of a vehicle facing a steady red signal shall stop before entering the crosswalk and remain stopped to allow a pedestrian, with a permitted signal, to cross a roadway when the pedestrian is in the crosswalk or steps into the crosswalk and is upon the half of the roadway upon which the vehicle is traveling or when the pedestrian is approaching so closely from the opposite half of the roadway as to be in danger.
b. Unless otherwise directed by a pedestrian control signal as provided in s. 316.0755, pedestrians facing a steady red signal shall not enter the roadway.
(2) In the event an official traffic control signal is erected and maintained at a place other than an intersection, the provisions of this section shall be applicable except as to those provisions which by their nature can have no application. Any stop required shall be made at a sign or marking on the pavement indicating where the stop shall be made, but in the absence of any such sign or marking the stop shall be made at the signal.
(3) (a) $\underline{A}$ traffic control signal device may not shall be used unless it exhibits wich dor a yellow or "caution" light between the green or "go" signal and the red or "stop" signal. Whenever an engineering analysis is undertaken for the purpose of evaluating or reevaluating yellow and red
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signal display durations of a new or existing traffic control signal, the department and local authorities shall adhere to the following:

1. The minimum yellow signal display duration on traffic control signals shall be based on the posted speed Iimit plus 10 percent along with the standards set forth in the Florida Department of Transportation's Traffic Engineering Manual. The minimum yellow signal display duration shall be 3 seconds for traffic control signals on streets with a posted speed limit of 25 miles per hour or less. The minimum yellow signal display duration found after the evaluation or reevaluation under this paragraph shall be raised to the nearest half second, not to exceed 6 seconds.
2. Intersections with a posted speed limit greater than 55 miles per hour shall have, on approach, a sign posted in accordance with the Florida Department of Transportation's Traffic Engineering Manual to alert drivers to the traffic control signal.
(b) A Ne traffic control signal device may not shall display other than the color red at the top of the vertical signal, nor may shall it display other than the color red at the extreme left of the horizontal signal.
(c) To provide additional time before conflicting traffic movements proceed, the yellow signal display shall be followed by an all red clearance interval delaying the change of opposing red light signals. The duration of the clearance interval shall be determined by engineering practices as provided for in the Florida Department of Transportation's Traffic Engineering
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Manual required under s. 316.0745. The duration of a red clearance interval may be extended from its predetermined value for a given cycle based upon the detection of a vehicle that is predicted to violate the red signal indication.
(4)(a) A violation of subsection (1) or subsection (2) thion is a noncriminal traffic infraction, punishable pursuant to chapter 318 as either a pedestrian violation or, if the infraction resulted from the operation of a vehicle, as a moving violation. However, a citation for a violation of subparagraph (1) (c)1. committed at an intersection where the traffic signal device does not meet all requirements under subsection (3) is unenforceable, and the court, clerk of the court, designated official, or authorized operator of a traffic violations bureau shall dismiss the citation without penalty or assessment of points against the license of the person cited.
(b) Intersections with traffic infraction detectors must meet the requirements of this section by December 31, 2013.
(c) All intersections with traffic infraction detectors installed after December 31, 2013, must meet the requirements of this section upon installation of the traffic infraction detector.
(d) All other intersections must meet the requirements of this section by December 31, 2014.
(e) A local governmental entity that violates this subsection shall be fined $\$ 500$ per violation, which shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Trust Fund.
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## TITLEAMENDMENT

Remove lines 23-28 and insert: hearing involving a traffic infraction detector, each person so charged has the right to confront the witnesses against him or her; providing procedures for presentation and authentication of evidence relating to a traffic infraction detector;
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