

# **Government Operations Subcommittee**

Wednesday, March 6, 2013 10:00 AM Webster Hall 212 Knott

Will Weatherford Speaker Jason T. Brodeur Chair

### Committee Meeting Notice HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

#### **Government Operations Subcommittee**

Start Date and Time:	Wednesday, March 06, 2013 10:00 am
End Date and Time:	Wednesday, March 06, 2013 12:00 pm
Location:	Webster Hall (212 Knott)
Duration:	2.00 hrs

#### Consideration of the following proposed committee bill(s):

PCB GVOPS 13-02 -- OGSR Victim of Domestic or Sexual Violence PCB GVOPS 13-03 -- OGSR Organ and Tissue Donor Registry

#### Consideration of the following bill(s):

*2.*,

HB 23 Public Meetings by Rodrigues, R. HB 85 Public-Private Partnerships by Steube HB 145 Letters of Credit Issued by a Federal Home Loan Bank by Santiago PCS for HB 247 -- Paper Reduction HB 249 Pub. Rec./E-mail Addresses of Voter Registration Applicants & Voters by Nelson HB 307 Preference in Award of State Contracts by Tobia

#### NOTICE FINALIZED on 03/04/2013 16:13 by Sims-Davis.Linda

\*

#### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:HB 23Public MeetingsSPONSOR(S):Rodrigues and othersTIED BILLS:IDEN./SIM. BILLS:CS/SB 50

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	
1) Government Operations Subcommittee	· ·	Stramski	Williamson KWW
2) Rulemaking Oversight & Repeal Subcommittee			•
3) State Affairs Committee			

#### SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The State Constitution and the Florida Statutes set forth the state's public policy regarding access to government meetings; however, both are silent concerning whether citizens have a right to be heard at a public meeting. To date, Florida courts have heard two cases concerning whether a member of the public has a right to be heard at a meeting when he or she is not a party to the proceedings. Current case law provides that while Florida law requires meetings to be open to the public, it does not give the public the right to speak.

The bill requires members of the public to be given a reasonable opportunity to be heard on a proposition before a board or commission. However, the opportunity to be heard does not have to occur at the same meeting at which the board or commission takes official action if certain requirements are met. The bill also provides that the opportunity to be heard is not required at certain meetings of a board or commission.

The bill authorizes a board or commission to adopt limited rules or policies: limiting the time an individual has to address the board of commission; requiring that representatives speak on behalf of groups or factions at meetings where large numbers of individuals wish to be heard; prescribing procedures by which an individual may inform a board of commission of a desire to be heard; and designating a period of time for public comment. It is presumed that a board or commission is acting in compliance with the act if the board or commission adopts rules or policies in compliance with the act and follows such rules or policies when providing an opportunity for the public to be heard.

The bill provides that the circuit courts have jurisdiction to issue injunctions for the purpose of enforcing this section upon the filing of an application for such injunction by any citizen of Florida. Whenever an action is filed against a board or commission to enforce the provisions of the act, the court must assess reasonable attorney's fees against the appropriate state agency or authority if the court determines that the defendant acted in violation of the act. The bill also authorizes the court to assess reasonable attorney's fees against the appropriate state agency or authority if the court determines that the defendant acted in violation of the act. The bill also authorizes the court to assess reasonable attorney's fees against the individual filing such an action if the court finds that the action was filed in bad faith or was frivolous.

The bill provides that any action taken by a board or commission that is found to be in violation of the act is not void as a result of such violation.

The bill could have a negative fiscal impact on state and local governments.

This bill may be a county or municipality mandate. See Section III.A.1. of the analysis.

#### FULL ANALYSIS

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

#### Background

#### State Constitution: Open Meetings

Article I, s. 24(b) of the State Constitution sets forth the state's public policy regarding access to government meetings. The section requires that all meetings of any collegial public body of the executive branch of state government or of any collegial public body of a county, municipality, school district, or special district, at which official acts are to be taken or at which public business of such body is to be transacted or discussed, be open and noticed to the public.

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution authorizes the Legislature to provide exemptions from the open meeting requirements upon a two-thirds vote of both legislative chambers, in a bill that specifies the public necessity giving rise to the exemption.

#### Government in the Sunshine Law

Public policy regarding access to government meetings also is addressed in the Florida Statutes. Section 286.011, F.S., also known as the "Government in the Sunshine Law" or "Sunshine Law," further requires that all meetings of any board or commission of any state agency or authority or of any agency or authority of any county, municipal corporation, or political subdivision, at which official acts are to be taken be open to the public at all times.<sup>1</sup> The board or commission must provide reasonable notice of all public meetings.<sup>2</sup> Public meetings may not be held at any location that discriminates on the basis of sex, age, race, creed, color, origin or economic status or which operates in a manner that unreasonably restricts the public's access to the facility.<sup>3</sup> Minutes of a public meeting must be promptly recorded and be open to public inspection.<sup>4</sup>

#### Right to Speak at Meetings

The State Constitution and the Florida Statutes are silent concerning whether citizens have a right to be heard at a public meeting. To date, Florida appellate courts have heard two cases directly addressing whether a member of the public has a right to be heard at a meeting when he or she is not a party to the proceedings.<sup>5</sup>

In *Keesler v. Community Maritime Park Associates, Inc.*<sup>6</sup>, the plaintiffs sued the Community Maritime Park Associates, Inc., (CMPA) alleging that the CMPA violated the Sunshine Law by not providing the plaintiffs with the opportunity to speak at a meeting concerning the development of certain waterfront property. The plaintiffs argued that the phrase "open to the public" granted citizens the right to speak at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 286.011(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 286.011(6), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Section 286.011(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Florida courts have heard numerous cases regarding Sunshine Law violations; however, only two appear to be on point regarding the public's right to speak at a public meeting. Other cases have merely opined that the public has an inalienable right to be present and to be heard. The courts have opined that "boards should not be allowed, through devious methods, to 'deprive the public of this inalienable right to be present and to be heard at all deliberations wherein decisions affecting the public are being made." *See, for example, Board of Public Instruction of Broward County v. Doran*, 224 So.2d 693, 699 (Fla. 1969) ("specified boards and commissions ... should not be allowed to deprive the public of this inalienable right to be present and to be heard at all deliberations wherein decisions affecting the public are being made." *Krause v. Reno*, 366 So.2d 1244, 1250 (Fla. 3rd DCA 1979) ("citizen input factor" is an important aspect of public meetings); *Homestead-Miami Speedway, LLC v. City of Miami*, 828 So.2d 411 (Fla. 3rd DCA 2002) (city did not violate Sunshine Law when there was public participation and debate in some but not all meetings regarding a proposed contract).

public meetings. The First District Court of Appeal held:

[A]Ithough the Sunshine Law requires that meetings be open to the public, the law does not give the public the right to speak at the meetings. Appellants have failed to point to any case construing the phrase "open to the public" to grant the public the right to speak, and in light of the clear and unambiguous language in *Marston*<sup>7</sup> (albeit dicta), we are not inclined to broadly construe the phrase as granting such a right here.<sup>8</sup>

The second case, *Kennedy v. St. Johns Water Management District*<sup>9</sup>, was argued before the Fifth District Court of Appeal on October 13, 2011. At a meeting of the St. Johns Water Management District (District), the overflow crowd was put in other rooms and provided a video feed of the meeting. Additionally, the District limited participation in the meeting by members of a group called "The St. Johns Riverkeeper." Only the St. Johns Riverkeeper representative and attorney were allowed to address the District board. Mr. Kennedy, who wanted to participate in the discussion, sued arguing that the Sunshine Law requires that citizens be given the opportunity to be heard. Mr. Kennedy also alleged that the District violated the Sunshine Law by failing to have a large enough facility to allow all who were interested in attending the meeting to be present in the meeting room. On October 25, 2011, the Fifth District Court of Appeal affirmed the trial court's ruling that the District did not violate the Sunshine Law as alleged.

#### Effect of the Bill

The bill creates a new section of law governing the opportunity for the public to be heard at public meetings of a board or commission of any state agency or authority or of any agency or authority of any county, municipal corporation, or political subdivision (board or commission). It requires members of the public to be given a reasonable opportunity to be heard on a proposition before a board or commission. However, the opportunity to be heard does not have to occur at the same meeting at which the board or commission takes official action if the opportunity:

- Occurs at a meeting that meets the same notice requirements as the meeting at which the board or commission will take official action on the item;
- Occurs at a meeting that is during the decisionmaking process; and
- Is within reasonable proximity before the meeting at which the board or commission takes the official action.

It is unclear what is meant by the terms "proposition" and "reasonable proximity" because the terms are not defined.

The opportunity to be heard is not required for purposes of meetings that are exempt from open meeting requirements. In addition, the opportunity to be heard is not required when a board or commission is considering:

- An official act that must be taken to deal with an emergency situation affecting the public health, welfare, or safety, when compliance with the requirements would cause an unreasonable delay in the ability of the board or commission to act;
- An official act involving no more than a ministerial act; or
- A meeting in which the board or commission is acting in a quasi-judicial capacity with respect to the rights or interests of a person, except as otherwise provided by law.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> In *Wood v Marston*, the Florida Supreme Court held that the University of Florida improperly closed meetings of a committee charged with soliciting and screening applicants for the deanship of the college of law. However, the *Marston* court noted "nothing in this decision gives the public the right to be more than spectators. The public has no authority to participate in or to interfere with the decision-making process." *Wood v. Marston*, 442 So.2d 934, 941 (Fla. 1983).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> *Keesler* at 660-661.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Kennedy v. St. Johns River Water Management District, No. 2009-0441-CA (Fla. 7th Cir. Ct. 2010), per curiam affirmed 84 So.3d 331 (Fla. 5th DCA 2011).

It is unclear what is considered an "unreasonable delay" when deciding if the public's opportunity to be heard should be curtailed.

If the board or commission adopts rules or policies to govern the opportunity to be heard, then those rules or policies must be limited to those that:

- Limit the time that an individual has to address the board or commission;
- Require, at meetings in which a large number of individuals wish to be heard, that a representative of a group or faction on an item, rather than all of the members of the group or faction, address the board or commission;
- Prescribe procedures or forms for an individual to use in order to inform the board or commission of a desire to be heard, to indicate his or her support, opposition, or neutrality on a proposition, and to indicate his or her designation of a representative to speak for him or her or his or her group on a proposition if he or she so chooses; or
- Designate a specified period of time for public comment.

The bill authorizes the adoption of rules or policies that require representatives of factions or groups to address the board, but does not specifically address the manner of selecting such representatives. Neither does the bill define factions or groups.

It is presumed that the board or commission is acting in compliance with the act if the board or commission adopts rules or policies in compliance with the act and follows such rules or policies when providing an opportunity to be heard.

The bill provides that the circuit courts have jurisdiction to issue injunctions for the purpose of enforcing this section upon the filing of an application for such injunction by any citizen of Florida. Whenever an action is filed against a board or commission to enforce the provisions of this act, the court must assess reasonable attorney fees against the appropriate state agency or authority if the court determines that the defendant to such action acted in violation of the act. The bill also authorizes the court to assess reasonable attorney fees against the individual filing such an action if the court finds that the action was filed in bad faith or was frivolous. These provisions do not apply to a state attorney or his or her duly authorized assistants or any officer charged with enforcing the provisions of the act.

The bill provides that any action taken by a board or commission that is found to be in violation of the act is not void as a result of such violation.

**B. SECTION DIRECTORY:** 

Section 1 creates s. 286.0114, F.S., providing that the public be provided with a reasonable opportunity to be heard at public meetings.

Section 2 provides an effective date of July 1, 2013.

#### **II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

#### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

See FISCAL COMMENTS.

- B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:
  - 1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

See FISCAL COMMENTS.

#### C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

#### D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

Governmental entities could incur additional meeting related expenses because longer and more frequent meetings could be required when considering items of great public interest. As a result, it is likely staff would have to be compensated, security would have to be provided, and other expenses related to the meeting and meeting facility would be incurred. The amount of those potential expenses is indeterminate and would vary depending on the magnitude of each issue and the specific associated meeting requirements.

In addition, the uncertainties in the bill could generate lawsuits over its meaning and application to particular situations. The cost of defending such suits would be indeterminate.

#### III. COMMENTS

#### A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The mandates provision of Art. VII, s. 18 of the State Constitution may apply because this bill could cause counties and municipalities to incur additional expenses associated with longer meetings or increased meetings due to the new requirement that the public be provided with the opportunity to speak at such meetings; however, an exemption may apply if the bill results in an insignificant fiscal impact to county or municipal governments. The exceptions to the mandates provision of Art. VII, s. 18, of the Florida Constitution appear to be inapplicable because the bill does not articulate a threshold finding of serving an important state interest.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The bill authorizes a board or commission to adopt limited rules or policies governing the opportunity to be heard. The limited rules or policies may require, at meetings in which a large number of individuals wish to be heard, that representatives of groups or factions on an item, rather than all of the members of the groups or actions, address the board or commission. It requires representatives of factions or groups to address the board, but does not directly address the manner of selecting such representatives.

The bill might result in the same statutory provisions of s. 286.0114, F.S., being variously interpreted through rulemaking by multiple boards or commissions.

#### C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

#### Drafting Issues: Placement in Law

The bill creates s. 286.0114, F.S., to provide provisions governing the opportunity for the public to be heard at a public meeting of a board or commission. It is suggested that the provisions be created in s. 286.0110, F.S., in order to ensure that the provisions are placed in law behind the Sunshine Law. As currently drafted, the opportunity to speak provisions would be placed in law behind exemptions to the Sunshine Law

#### Drafting Issues: Board or Commission

The reasonable opportunity to be heard provision in proposed s. 286.0114(1), F.S., applies to "any board or commission of any state agency or authority or of any agency or authority of any county, municipal corporation, or political subdivision". The remaining provisions of s. 286.0114, F.S., only name boards and commissions. This is not wholly consistent with s. 286.011, F.S., where every subsection specifies at least that it applies to any "board or commission of any such state agency or authority"<sup>10</sup>, and in many cases is even more specific by stating that it applies "to any board or commission of any state agency or authority or any agency or authority of any county, municipal corporation, or political subdivision".<sup>11</sup> This inconsistency could be remedied if one uniform definition of "board or commission" was created and applied throughout proposed s. 286.0114, F.S.

#### Other Comments: Presumption of Compliance

Proposed s. 286.0114(4)(a), F.S., provides that if a board or commission adopts rules or policies in compliance with s. 286.0114, F.S., and acts in compliance with those rules, a board or commission will be presumed to be acting in accordance with s. 286.0114, F.S. It is unclear that this provision provides any substantive protection to boards or commissions. In order for the presumption to apply, it would first have to be found that rules or policies adopted and followed by a board or commission are in compliance with s. 286.0114, F.S. If a board or commission adopts rules pursuant to the act and acts in compliance with those rules, compliance with the act should be established, not merely presumed.

#### **Other Comments: Remedies**

The bill provides that a person may seek injunctive relief to enforce the right to a reasonable opportunity to be heard. However, the bill also provides that any act taken by a board or commission in violation of the bill is not void. A challenge brought by a person who alleges that he or she was not provided a reasonable opportunity to be heard before a board or commission about a proposition may be rendered moot if the board or commission has taken a final action on the proposition. Moot matters are generally not considered by courts. Injunctive relief, for example, might not be available in such a scenario as there would be no action of the board or commission that could be enjoined.<sup>12</sup> Declaratory relief may likewise be unavailable.<sup>13</sup> On the other hand, there is a generally recognized exception to the rule of mootness where a matter is capable of repetition and evading review. Where a harm is capable of recurring, courts may consider a case even though the specifics of that particular case may render it moot.<sup>14</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Section 286.011(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Sections 286.011(1), 286.011(4), 286.011(5), 286.011(7), 286.011(8), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See, for example, Chafetz v. Greene, 203 So.2d 18 (Fla. 3rd DCA 1967) (dismissing a suit seeking to enjoin an election as moot since the election had been held); Halloran v. Pensacola Ass'n of Life Underwriters, Inc., 395 So.2d 554 (Fla. 1st DCA 1981) (dismissing as moot a suit seeking to enjoin a temporary suspension where the suspension had expired).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See Boatman v. Florida Dep't of Corrections, 924 So.2d 906 (Fla. 1st DCA 2008) (finding that portion of complaint dealing with conditions for which an inmate sought declaratory and injunctive relief were rendered moot by the inmate's transfer).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See Gangloff v. Taylor, 758 So.2d 1159 (Fla. 4th DCA 2000) (holding that action challenging a homeowner's association's assessments was not rendered moot by a change in the method of levying assessments as there was no guarantee that future boards would not attempt to reinstate the old method of levying assessments); Z.R. v. State, 596 So.2d 723 (Fla. 5th DCA 1992) (stating that a challenge to detention without an adjudicatory hearing in violation of statute could proceed even after the detention had terminated, as illegal detentions might otherwise be capable of repetition yet evading judicial review). STORAGE NAME: h0023.GVOPS.DOCX PAGE: 6

#### IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

÷

8

HB 23

*(*4

2013

1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to public meetings; creating s.
3	286.0114, F.S.; requiring that a member of the public
4	be given a reasonable opportunity to be heard before a
5	board or commission takes official action on a
6	proposition before a board or commission of any state
7	agency or authority or of any agency or authority of
8	any county, municipal corporation, or political
9	subdivision; providing that the opportunity to be
10	heard is subject to rules or policies adopted by the
11	board or commission; specifying certain exceptions;
12	providing requirements for rules or policies governing
13	the opportunity to be heard; providing that compliance
14	with the requirements of the act is presumed under
15	certain circumstances; authorizing a court to assess
16	reasonable attorney fees in actions filed against a
17	board or commission; providing that any action taken
18	by a board or commission which is found in violation
19	of the act is not void; providing that circuit courts
20	have jurisdiction to issue injunctions for purposes of
21	the act; providing an effective date.
22	
23	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
24	
25	Section 1. Section 286.0114, Florida Statutes, is created
26	to read:
27	286.0114 Public meetings; reasonable opportunity to be
28	heard; attorney fees
I	Date 1 of 4

Page 1 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

HB 23

2013

1	
29	(1) Members of the public shall be given a reasonable
30	opportunity to be heard on a proposition before a board or
31	commission of any state agency or authority or of any agency or
32	authority of any county, municipal corporation, or political
33	subdivision. The opportunity to be heard need not occur at the
34	same meeting at which the board or commission takes official
35	action on the item, if the opportunity occurs at a meeting that
36	meets the same notice requirements as the meeting at which the
37	board or commission takes official action on the item, occurs at
38	a meeting that is during the decisionmaking process, and is
39	within reasonable proximity before the meeting at which the
40	board or commission takes the official action. The opportunity
41	to be heard is subject to reasonable rules or policies adopted
42	by the board or commission to ensure the orderly conduct of a
43	public meeting, as provided in subsection (3).
44	(2) The requirements in subsection (1) do not apply to:
45	(a) An official act that must be taken to deal with an
46	emergency situation affecting the public health, welfare, or
47	safety, when compliance with the requirements would cause an
48	unreasonable delay in the ability of the board or commission to
49	act;
50	(b) An official act involving no more than a ministerial
51	act;
52	(c) Any meeting that is exempt from the provisions of s.
53	<u>286.011; or</u>
54	(d) A meeting in which the board or commission is acting
55	in a quasi-judicial capacity with respect to the rights or
56	interests of a person. This paragraph does not affect the right
	Darro 2 of 4

Page 2 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

hb0023-00

HB 23 2013 57 of a person to be heard as otherwise provided by law. 58 (3) Rules or policies of a board or commission must be 59 limited to rules or policies that: 60 (a) Limit the time an individual has to address the board 61 or commission; 62 (b) Require, at meetings in which a large number of 63 individuals wish to be heard, that representatives of groups or 64 factions on an item, rather than all of the members of the groups or factions, address the board or commission; 65 66 (c) Prescribe procedures or forms for an individual to use 67 in order to inform the board or commission of a desire to be 68 heard; to indicate his or her support, opposition, or neutrality 69 on a proposition; and to indicate his or her designation of a 70 representative to speak for him or her or his or her group on a 71 proposition if he or she so chooses; or 72 (d) Designate a specified period of time for public 73 comment. 74 (4) (a) If a board or commission adopts rules or policies 75 in compliance with this section and follows such rules or 76 policies when providing an opportunity for members of the public 77 to be heard, it is presumed that the board or commission is 78 acting in compliance with this section. 79 (b) Whenever an action is filed against a board or 80 commission of any state agency or authority of a county, 81 municipal corporation, or political subdivision to enforce the 82 provisions of this section, the court shall assess reasonable 83 attorney fees against such agency or authority if the court 84 determines that the defendant to such action acted in violation

Page 3 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

hb0023-00

HB 23

2013 of this section. The court may assess reasonable attorney fees 85 86 against the individual filing such an action if the court finds 87 that the action was filed in bad faith or was frivolous. This paragraph does not apply to a state attorney or his or her duly 88 89 authorized assistants or any officer charged with enforcing the 90 provisions of this section. 91 (c) Any action taken by a board or commission which is 92 found to be in violation of this section is not void as a result 93 of that violation. 94 (d) The circuit courts have jurisdiction to issue 95 injunctions for the purpose of enforcing this section upon the 96 filing of an application for such injunction by any citizen of 97 this state. 98 Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2013.

Page 4 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Bill No. HB 23 (2013)

Amendment No.

	COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE ACTION
	ADOPTED (Y/N)
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED (Y/N)
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION (Y/N)
	FAILED TO ADOPT (Y/N)
	WITHDRAWN (Y/N)
	OTHER
1	Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Government Operations
2	Subcommittee
3	Representative Rodrigues, R. offered the following:
4	
5	Amendment (with title amendment)
6	Remove everything after the enacting clause and insert:
7	Section 1. Section 286.0114, Florida Statutes, is created
8	to read:
9	286.0114 Public meetings; reasonable opportunity to be
10	heard; attorney fees
11	(1) For purposes of this section, "board or commission"
12	means a board or commission of any state agency or authority or
13	of any agency or authority of a county, municipal corporation,
14	or political subdivision.
15	(2) Members of the public shall be given a reasonable
16	opportunity to be heard on a proposition before a board or
17	commission. The opportunity to be heard need not occur at the
18	same meeting at which the board or commission takes official
19	action on the proposition if the opportunity occurs at a meeting
20	
	314461 - HB 23.strike-all amendment.Rodrigues.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 2:29:40 PM

Page 1 of 5

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 23 (2013)

21	Amendment No. which the board or commission takes official action on the
22	proposition, occurs at a meeting that is during the
23	decisionmaking process, and is within reasonable proximity in
24	time before the meeting at which the board or commission takes
25	the official action. This section does not prohibit a board or
26	commission from maintaining orderly conduct or proper decorum in
27	a public meeting. The opportunity to be heard is subject to
28	rules or policies adopted by the board or commission, as
29	provided in subsection (4).
30	(3) The requirements in subsection (2) do not apply to:
31	(a) An official act that must be taken to deal with an
32	emergency situation affecting the public health, welfare, or
33	safety, when compliance with the requirements would cause an
34	unreasonable delay in the ability of the board or commission to
35	act;
36	(b) An official act involving no more than a ministerial
37	act;
38	(c) A meeting that is exempt from s. 286.011; or
39	(d) A meeting during which the board or commission is
40	acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. This paragraph does not
41	affect the right of a person to be heard as otherwise provided
42	by law.
43	(4) Rules or policies of a board or commission which
44	govern the opportunity to be heard are limited to those that:
45	(a) Provide guidelines regarding the amount of time an
46	individual has to address the board or commission;
47	(b) Prescribe procedures for allowing representatives of
48	groups or factions on a proposition to address the board or
	314461 - HB 23.strike-all amendment.Rodrigues.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 2:29:40 PM

Page 2 of 5

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 23 (2013)

49	Amendment No. commission, rather than all members of such groups or factions,
50	at meetings in which a large number of individuals wish to be
51	
	heard;
52	(c) Prescribe procedures or forms for an individual to use
53	in order to inform the board or commission of a desire to be
54	heard; to indicate his or her support, opposition, or neutrality
55	on a proposition; and to indicate his or her designation of a
56	representative to speak for him or her or his or her group on a
57	proposition if he or she so chooses; or
58	(d) Designate a specified period of time for public
59	comment.
60	(5) If a board or commission adopts rules or policies in
61	compliance with this section and follows such rules or policies
62	when providing an opportunity for members of the public to be
63	heard, the board or commission is deemed to be acting in
64	compliance with this section.
65	(6) A circuit court has jurisdiction to issue an
66	injunction for the purpose of enforcing this section upon the
67	filing of an application for such injunction by a citizen of
68	this state.
69	(7)(a) Whenever an action is filed against a board or
70	commission to enforce this section, the court shall assess
71	reasonable attorney fees against such board or commission if the
72	court determines that the defendant to such action acted in
73	violation of this section. The court may assess reasonable
74	attorney fees against the individual filing such an action if
75	the court finds that the action was filed in bad faith or was
76	frivolous. This paragraph does not apply to a state attorney or
	814461 - HB 23 strike-all amendment Rodrigues docy

314461 - HB 23.strike-all amendment.Rodrigues.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 2:29:40 PM

Page 3 of 5



COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 23 (2013)

77	Amendment No. his or her duly authorized assistants or an officer charged with
78	enforcing this section.
79	(b) Whenever a board or commission appeals a court order
80	that has found the board or commission to have violated this
81	section, and such order is affirmed, the court shall assess
82	reasonable attorney fees for the appeal against such board or
83	commission.
84	(8) An action taken by a board or commission which is
85	found to be in violation of this section is not void as a result
86	of that violation.
87	Section 2. The Legislature finds that a proper and
88	legitimate state purpose is served when members of the public
89	have been given a reasonable opportunity to be heard on a
90	proposition before a board or commission of a state agency or
91	authority, or of an agency or authority of a county, municipal
92	corporation, or political subdivision. Therefore, the
93	Legislature determines and declares that this act fulfills an
94	important state interest.
95	Section 3. This act shall take effect October 1, 2013.
96	
97	
98	
99	TITLE AMENDMENT
100	Remove everything before the enacting clause and insert:
101	A bill to be entitled
102	An act relating to public meetings; creating s.
103	286.0114, F.S.; defining "board or commission";
104	requiring that a member of the public be given a
	 314461 - HB 23.strike-all amendment.Rodrigues.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 2:29:40 PM

Page 4 of 5



COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 23 (2013)

Amendment No.

105 reasonable opportunity to be heard by a board or 106 commission before it takes official action on a 107 proposition; providing exceptions; establishing requirements for rules or policies adopted by the 108 109 board or commission; providing that compliance with the requirements of this section is deemed to have 110 occurred under certain circumstances; providing that a 111 circuit court has jurisdiction to issue an injunction 112 113 under certain circumstances; authorizing a court to 114 assess reasonable attorney fees in actions filed 115 against a board or commission; providing that an 116 action taken by a board or commission which is found 117 in violation of this section is not void; providing that the act fulfills an important state interest; 118 119 providing an effective date.

120

314461 - HB 23.strike-all amendment.Rodrigues.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 2:29:40 PM

Page 5 of 5

Ł ł Ł 1 1 1 ł ł ł ł ł. ł ł ł Ł 1 ł Ł ł

Bill No. HB 23 (2013)

Amendment No.

1	Amendment No.
	COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE ACTION
	ADOPTED (Y/N)
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED (Y/N)
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION (Y/N)
	FAILED TO ADOPT (Y/N)
	WITHDRAWN (Y/N)
	OTHER
1	Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Government Operations
2	Subcommittee
3	Representative Rodrigues, R. offered the following:
4	
5	Amendment to Amendment (314461) by Representative
6	Remove lines 20-37 of the amendment and insert:
7	that is during the decisionmaking process and is within
8	reasonable proximity in time before the meeting at which the
9	board or commission takes the official action. This section does
10	not prohibit a board or commission from maintaining orderly
11	conduct or proper decorum in a public meeting. The opportunity
12	to be heard is subject to rules or policies adopted by the board
13	or commission, as provided in subsection (4).
14	(3) The requirements in subsection (2) do not apply to:
15	(a) An official act that must be taken to deal with an
16	emergency situation affecting the public health, welfare, or
17	safety, if compliance with the requirements would cause an
18	unreasonable delay in the ability of the board or commission to
19	act;
20	(b) An official act involving no more than a ministerial
-	185433 - HB 23.am to strike-all am.Rodrigues.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 5:05:18 PM
	$P_{2} = 1 \text{ of } 2$

Page 1 of 2

### COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 23 (2013)

ceremonial	ding, bu						
<u> </u>	<u>procium</u>		<u>/</u>				
				-			
5433 - HB					lrigues.d	ocx	
ublished O	11. 3/3/2	NTO D:(		™ 2 o1	- 0		

#### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

#### BILL #: HB 85 Public-Private Partnerships SPONSOR(S): Steube and others TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS: CS/SB 84

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Government Operations Subcommittee	·	Harrington	- Williamson WW
2) Appropriations Committee		~1	
3) State Affairs Committee			

#### SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Public-private partnerships are contractual agreements formed between public entities and private sector entities that allow for greater private sector participation in the delivery and financing of public buildings and infrastructure projects. Through these agreements, the skills and assets of each sector, public and private, are shared in delivering a service or facility for the use of the general public. In addition to the sharing of resources, each party shares in the risks and rewards potential in the delivery of the service or facility.

This bill creates an alternative procurement process and requirements for public-private partnerships to facilitate the construction of public-purpose projects. The bill specifies the requirements for such partnerships, which include provisions that require responsible public entities to provide notice of unsolicited proposals, conduct independent analyses of proposed partnerships, notify other affected local jurisdictions, and enter into comprehensive agreements for qualifying projects. The bill provides that responsible public entities may approve a qualifying project if there is a need for or benefit derived from the project, the estimated cost of the project is reasonable, and the private entity's plans will result in the timely acquisition, design, construction, improvement renovation, expansion, equipping, maintenance, or operation of the qualifying project.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state government; however, the bill has an indeterminate fiscal impact on local governments.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2013.

#### FULL ANALYSIS

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

#### **Present Situation**

#### Public-Private Partnerships

Public-private partnerships (PPP) are contractual agreements formed between public entities and private sector entities that allow for greater private sector participation in the delivery and financing of public building and infrastructure projects.<sup>1</sup> Through these agreements, the skills and assets of each sector, public and private, are shared in delivering a service or facility for the use of the general public.<sup>2</sup> In addition to the sharing of resources, each party shares in the risks and rewards potential in the delivery of the service or facility.<sup>3</sup>

There are different types of PPPs each with varying levels of private sector involvement. The most common is called a Design-Build-Finance-Operate (DBFO) transaction, where the government contracts with a private vendor, granting the private sector partner the right to develop a new piece of public infrastructure.<sup>4</sup> The private entity takes on full responsibility and risk for the delivery and operation of the public project in accordance with the terms of the partnership. The private entity is paid through the revenue stream generated by the project, which could take the form of a user charge (such as a highway toll) or, in some cases, an annual government payment for performance (often called a "shadow toll" or "availability charge"). Any increases in the user charge or payment for performance typically are set out in advance and regulated by a binding contract.<sup>5</sup>

Another PPP procurement process is the Unsolicited Proposal Procurement Model (UPPM). This procurement process allows for the receipt of unsolicited bids from private entities to contract for the design, construction, operation, and financing of public infrastructure.<sup>6</sup> Generally, the public entity requires a processing or review fee to cover costs of the technical and legal review.<sup>7</sup>

#### Florida Department of Transportation Public-Private Partnership

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) currently operates a public-private partnership program.<sup>8</sup> The Florida Legislature declared that there is a public need for rapid construction of safe and efficient transportation facilities for the purpose of travel within the state, and that it is in the public's interest to provide for the construction of additional safe, convenient, and economical transportation facilities.<sup>9</sup>

Florida law provides that a private transportation facility constructed pursuant to s. 334.30, F.S., must comply with all requirements of federal, state, and local laws; state, regional, and local comprehensive plans; FDOT rules, policies, procedures, and standards for transportation facilities; and any other conditions that FDOT determines to be in the public's best interest.<sup>10</sup>

<sup>2</sup> See generally The National Council for Public-Private Partnerships website, How PPPs Work, available at:

- http://ncppp.org/howpart/index.shtml#define (last visited on February 28, 2013).
- <sup>3</sup> Id.

http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/HWY/OIPP/docs/PowerofPublicPrivate050806.pdf (last visited on February 28, 2013). <sup>5</sup> Id.

- <sup>7</sup> Id.
- <sup>8</sup> See s. 334.30, F.S.
- <sup>9</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See The Federal Highway Administration, United State Department of Transportation, Innovative Program Delivery website, available at: http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/ipd/p3/defined/index.htm (last visited on February 28, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See The Oregon Department of Transportation, the Power of Public-Private Partnerships, available at:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Innovative Models for the Design, Build, Operation and Financial of Public Infrastructure, John J. Fumero, at 2.

Current law allows FDOT to advance projects programmed in the adopted 5-year work program using funds provided by public-private partnerships or private entities to be reimbursed from FDOT funds for the project.<sup>11</sup> In accomplishing this, FDOT may use state resources to participate in funding and financing the project as provided for under FDOT's enabling legislation for projects on the State Highway System.<sup>12</sup>

FDOT may receive or solicit proposals and, with legislative approval as evidenced by approval of the project in the department's work program, enter into agreements with private entities, or consortia thereof, for the building, operation, ownership, or financing of transportation facilities.<sup>13</sup> If FDOT receives an unsolicited proposal, it is required to publish a notice in the Florida Administrative Weeklv<sup>14</sup> and a newspaper of general circulation stating that FDOT has received the proposal and it will accept other proposals for the same project.<sup>15</sup> In addition, FDOT requires that an initial payment of \$50,000 accompany any unsolicited proposal to cover the costs of evaluating the proposal.<sup>16</sup>

Current law governing FDOT's PPP provides for a solicitation process that is similar to the Consultants' Competitive Negotiation Act.<sup>17</sup> FDOT may request proposals from private entities for public-private transportation projects.<sup>18</sup> The partnerships must be gualified by FDOT as part of the procurement process outlined in the procurement documents.<sup>19</sup> These procurement documents must include provisions for performance of the private entity and payment of subcontractors, including surety bonds, letters of credit, parent company guarantees, and lender and equity partner guarantees.<sup>20</sup> FDOT must rank the proposals in the order of preference.<sup>21</sup> FDOT may then begin negotiations with the top firm. If that negotiation is unsuccessful, FDOT must terminate negotiations and move to the second-ranked firm. If negotiation with the second ranked firm is unsuccessful, FDOT must move to the third-ranked firm.<sup>22</sup>, FDOT must provide independent analyses of the proposed PPP that demonstrates the cost effectiveness and overall public benefit prior to moving forward with the procurement and prior to awarding the contract.23

Current law authorizes FDOT to use innovative finance techniques associated with PPP's, including federal loans, commercial bank loans, and hedges against inflation from commercial banks or other private sources.<sup>24</sup> PPP agreements under s. 334.30, F.S., must be limited to a term not to exceed 50 years.<sup>25</sup> In addition, FDOT may not utilize more than 15 percent of total federal and state funding in any given year to fund PPP projects.<sup>26</sup>

<sup>13</sup> Id.

<sup>14</sup> The Florida Administrative Weekly was renamed the Florida Administrative Register during the 2012 Session. Chapter 2012-63, L.O.F.

<sup>15</sup> Section 334.30(6)(a), F.S.

<sup>16</sup> See chapter 14-107.0011, F.A.C.

<sup>17</sup> See s. 287.055, F.S.

<sup>18</sup> Section 334.30(6)(a), F.S.

<sup>19</sup> Section 334.30(6)(b), F.S.

<sup>20</sup> Section 334.30(6)(c), F.S.

<sup>21</sup> See s. 334.30(6)(d), F.S. In ranking the proposals, the FDOT may consider factors that include, but are not limited to, professional qualifications, general business terms, innovative engineering or cost-reduction terms, finance plans, and the need for state funds to deliver the project.

<sup>22</sup> Section 334.30(6)(d), F.S.

<sup>23</sup> Section 334.30(6)(e), F.S.

<sup>24</sup> Section 334.30(7), F.S.

<sup>25</sup> Section 334.30(11), F.S.

<sup>26</sup> Section 334.30(12), F.S.

STORAGE NAME: h0085.GVOPS.DOCX DATE: 3/4/2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Section 334.30(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Id.

#### Procurement of Personal Property and Services

Chapter 287, F.S., regulates state agency<sup>27</sup> procurement of personal property and services. The Department of Management Services (department) is responsible for overseeing state purchasing activity including professional and contractual services as well as commodities needed to support agency activities, such as office supplies, vehicles, and information technology.<sup>28</sup> The department establishes statewide purchasing rules and negotiates contracts and purchasing agreements that are intended to leverage the state's buying power.<sup>2</sup>

Current law requires contracts for commodities or contractual services in excess of \$35,000 to be procured utilizing a competitive solicitation process.<sup>30</sup> Section 287.012(6), F.S., provides that competitive solicitation means "the process of requesting and receiving two or more sealed bids, proposals, or replies submitted by responsive vendors in accordance with the terms of a competitive process, regardless of the method of procurement."

#### The Consultants' Competitive Negotiation Act

In 1972, Congress passed the Brooks Act (Public Law 92-582), which codified Qualifications-Based Selection (QBS) as the federal procurement method for design professional services. The QBS process entails first soliciting statements of qualifications from licensed architectural and engineering providers, selecting the most qualified respondent, and then negotiating a fair and reasonable price. The vast majority of states currently require a QBS process when selecting the services of design professionals.

Florida's Consultants' Competitive Negotiation Act (CCNA), was enacted in 1973,<sup>31</sup> to specify the procedures to follow when procuring the services of architects and engineers. The CCNA did not prohibit discussion of compensation in the initial vendor selection phase until 1988, when the Legislature enacted a provision requiring that consideration of compensation occur only during the selection phase.32

Currently, the CCNA specifies the process to follow when state and local government agencies procure the professional services of an architect, professional engineer, landscape architect, or registered surveyor and mapper.<sup>33</sup> The CCNA requires that state agencies publicly announce, in a consistent and uniform manner, each occasion when professional services must be purchased for one of the following:

- A project, when the basic construction cost is estimated by the agency to exceed \$325,000.
- A planning or study activity, when the fee for professional services exceeds \$35,000.

The CCNA provides a two-phase selection process.<sup>34</sup> In the first phase, the "competitive selection," the agency evaluates the qualifications and past performance of no fewer than three bidders. The agency selects the three bidders ranked in order of preference that it considers most highly qualified to perform the required services. The CCNA requires consideration of several factors in determining the three most highly qualified bidders, including willingness to meet time and budget requirements; past performance: location: recent, current, and projected firm workloads; volume of work previously awarded to the firm; and whether the firm is certified as a minority business.<sup>35</sup>

STORAGE NAME: h0085.GVOPS.DOCX DATE: 3/4/2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Section 287.012(1), F.S., defines agency as "any of the various state officers, departments, boards, commissions, divisions, bureaus, and councils and any other unit of organization, however designated, of the executive branch of state government. 'Agency' does not include the university and college boards of trustees or the state universities and colleges." <sup>28</sup> See ss. 287.032 and 287.042, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Section 287.057(1), F.S., requires all projects that exceed the Category Two (\$35,000) threshold provided in s. 287.017, F.S., to be competitively bid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Chapter 73-19, L.O.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Chapter 88-108, L.O.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Section 287.055, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Section 287.055(4) and (5), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> See s. 287.055(4)(b), F.S.

The CCNA prohibits the agency from requesting, accepting, and considering, during the selection process, proposals for the compensation to be paid. Current law defines the term "compensation" to mean "the amount paid by the agency for professional services," regardless of whether stated as compensation or as other types of rates.<sup>36</sup>

In the second phase, the "competitive negotiation," the agency then negotiates compensation with the most qualified of the three selected firms. If a satisfactory contract cannot be negotiated, the agency must then negotiate with the second most qualified firm. The agency must negotiate with the third most qualified firm if the negotiation with the second most qualified firm fails to produce a satisfactory contract. If a satisfactory contract cannot be negotiated with any of the three selected, the agency must begin the selection process again.

#### Procurement of Construction Services

Chapter 255, F.S., regulates construction services<sup>37</sup> for public property and publically owned buildings. The Department of Management Services is responsible for establishing, through administrative rules, the following:

- Procedures for determining the qualifications and responsibility of potential bidders prior to advertisement for and receipt of bids for building construction contracts;
- Procedures for awarding each state agency construction project to the lowest qualified bidder;
- Procedures to govern negotiations for construction contracts and modifications to contract documents when such negotiations are determined by the secretary of the Department of Management Services to be in the best interest of the state; and
- Procedures for entering into performance-based contracts for the development of public facilities when the Department of Management Services determines the use of such contracts to be in the best interest of the state.<sup>38</sup>

State contracts for construction projects that are projected to cost in excess of \$200,000 must be competitively bid.<sup>39</sup> In addition, such projects must be advertised in the Florida Administrative Weekly at least 21 days prior to the bid opening.<sup>40</sup> State construction projects that are projected to exceed \$500,000 are required to be published 30 days prior to a bid opening in the Florida Administrative Weekly, and at least once in a newspaper of general circulation in the county where the project is located.<sup>41</sup> Counties, municipalities, special districts,<sup>42</sup> or other political subdivisions seeking to construct or improve a public building must competitively bid the project if the projected cost is in excess of \$300,000.<sup>43</sup>

#### Effect of the Bill

The bill creates s. 287.05712, F.S., specifying requirements for public-private partnerships.

#### **Definitions**

The bill provides for definitions to be used in the section, including the following:

"Affected local jurisdiction" means a county, municipality, or special district in which all or a
portion of a qualifying project is located.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Section 287.055(2)(d), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Section 255.072(2), F.S., defines construction services as "all labor, services, and materials provided in connection with the construction, alteration, repair, demolition, reconstruction, or any other improvements to real property." The term does not include contracts or work performed by the Department of Transportation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Section 255.29, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> See chapters 60D-5.002 and 60D-5.0073, F.A.C.; see also s. 255.0525, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Section 255.0525(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Section 189.403(1), F.S., defines special district as a "local unit of special purpose, as opposed to general-purpose, government within a limited boundary, created by general law, special act, local ordinance, or by rule of the Governor and Cabinet." <sup>43</sup> See s. 255.20(1), F.S.

- "Qualifying project" means either:
  - A facility or project that serves a public purpose, including, but not limited to, any ferry or mass transit facility, vehicle parking facility, airport or seaport facility, power-generating facility, rail facility or project, fuel supply facility, oil or gas pipeline, medical or nursing care facility, recreational facility, sporting or cultural facility, or educational facility or other building or facility that is used or will be used by a public educational institution, or any other public facility or infrastructure that is used or will be used by the public at large or in support of an accepted public purpose or activity;
  - An improvement, including equipment,<sup>44</sup> of a building that will be principally used by a public entity or the public at large or that supports a service delivery system in the public sector; or
  - A water, wastewater, or surface water management facility or other related infrastructure.
- "Responsible public entity" means a county, municipality, school board, or university, or any
  other political subdivision of the state; a public body politic and corporate; or a regional entity
  that serves a public purpose and is authorized to develop or operate a qualifying project.

#### Legislative Intent

The bill provides legislative findings to support the need for public-private partnerships in Florida, which includes a need for timely and cost-effective acquisition, design, construction, and maintenance of public projects, and that such need may not be wholly satisfied by existing methods of procurement. The bill provides that it is the intent of the Legislature to encourage investment in the state by private entities and to provide the greatest possible flexibility to public and private entities contracting for the provision of public services.

#### Procurement Procedures

The bill provides that a responsible public entity may receive unsolicited proposals or may solicit proposals for qualifying projects and may thereafter enter into an agreement with a private entity for the building, upgrade, operation, ownership, or financing of facilities.

#### Unsolicited Proposals

The bill provides the following requirements for unsolicited proposals:

- The responsible public entity may establish a reasonable application fee to accompany unsolicited proposals sufficient to pay the costs of evaluating the proposal.
- If an unsolicited proposal is received, the responsible public entity must publish notice in the Florida Administrative Register and a newspaper of general circulation at least once a week for two weeks stating that the entity has received a proposal and will accept other proposals for the same project for 21 days after the initial publication. The scope of the proposal may be published in the notice, but the financial terms of the offer may not be disclosed.

#### Project Approval Requirements

Before project approval, the responsible public entity must determine that the proposed project is in the public's best interest; is for a facility that is owned by a responsible public entity or for a facility for which ownership will be conveyed to the responsible public entity; has adequate safeguards to ensure that additional costs or service disruptions are not imposed on the public in the event of material default or cancellation of the agreement by the responsible public entity; has adequate safeguards in place to ensure that the responsible public entity or the private entity has the opportunity to add capacity to the proposed project; and will be owned by the responsible public entity upon completion or termination and upon payments of the amounts financed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Inclusion of equipment appears to conflict with s. 287.063, F.S. **STORAGE NAME**: h0085.GVOPS.DOCX **DATE**: 3/4/2013

An unsolicited proposal from a private entity for approval of a qualifying project must be accompanied by the following material and information, unless waived by the responsible public entity:

- A description of the qualifying project, including the conceptual design of the facilities or a conceptual plan for the provision of services, and a schedule for the initiation and completion of the qualifying project;
- A description of the method by which the private entity proposes to secure any necessary property interests that are required for the qualifying project;
- A description of the private entity's general plans for financing the qualifying projects, including the sources of the private entity's funds and identification of any dedicated revenue source or proposed debt or equity investment on behalf of the private entity;
- The name and address of the person who may be contacted for further information concerning the proposal;
- The proposed user fees, lease payments, or other service payments over the term of a comprehensive agreement, and the methodology and circumstances for changes to the user fees, lease payments, and other service payments over time; and
- Any additional material or information the responsible public entity reasonably requests.

#### Project Qualification and Process

The private entity must meet the minimum standards contained in the responsible public entity's guidelines for qualifying professional architectural, engineering, and contractual services for traditional procurement projects.

The bill requires the responsible public entity to ensure that provisions are made for the private entity's performance and payment of subcontractors, ensure the most efficient pricing of the security package, and ensure that provisions are made for the transfer of the private entity's obligations if the comprehensive agreement is terminated or a material default occurs. Either before the procurement process is initiated, or before the contract is awarded, the responsible public entity must perform an independent analysis of the proposed public-private partnership that demonstrates the cost-effectiveness and overall public benefit.

After the notification period has expired for unsolicited proposals, the responsible public entity must rank the proposals received in order of preference. For purposes of ranking, the responsible public entity may consider professional qualifications, general business terms, innovative engineering or cost-reduction terms, and finance plans. If negotiations with the first ranked firm are unsuccessful, the responsible public entity may begin negotiations with the second ranked firm. The bill does not require the responsible public entity to choose any of the firms that apply or for more than one firm to respond to the solicitation.

The responsible public entity may charge a reasonable fee to cover the costs of processing, reviewing, and evaluating the requests, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorney fees and fees for financial and technical advisors or consultants and for other necessary advisors and consultants.

The bill provides that the responsible public entity may approve a qualifying project if:

- There is a public need for or benefit derived from the project that the private entity proposes as the qualifying project.
- The estimated cost of the qualifying project is reasonable in relation to similar facilities.
- The private entity's plans will result in the timely acquisition, design, construction, improvement, renovation, expansion, equipping, maintenance, or operation of the qualifying project.

#### Notice to Affected Local Jurisdictions

The bill requires the responsible public entity to notify each affected local jurisdiction when considering a qualifying project. The bill provides that the affected local jurisdictions may, within 60 days, submit written comments to the responsible public entity. The responsible public entity is required to consider the comments submitted by the affected local jurisdiction.

#### **Comprehensive Agreement**

The bill requires the responsible public entity and private entity to enter into a comprehensive agreement prior to developing or operating the qualifying project. The comprehensive agreement must provide for:

- Delivery of performance and payment bonds, letters of credit, and other security in connection with the development or operation of the qualifying project.
- Review of plans and specifications for the project by the public entity. This does not require the private entity to complete the design of the project prior to executing the comprehensive agreement.
- Inspection of the qualifying project by the public entity.
- Maintenance of a policy or policies of public liability insurance.
- Monitoring the practices of the private entity to ensure the project is properly maintained.
- Filing of financial statements on a periodic basis.
- Policies and procedures governing the rights and responsibilities of the public and private entity in the event of a termination of the agreement or a material default.
- User fees, lease payments, or service payments as may be established by agreement of parties.
- Duties of the private entity, including terms and conditions that the public entity determines serve the public purpose of the project.

The bill provides that the comprehensive agreement may include the following:

- An agreement by the responsible public entity to make grants or loans to the private entity
- from amounts received from the federal, state, or local government or any agency or instrumentality thereof.
- A provision under which each entity agrees to provide notice of default and cure rights for the benefit of the other entity, including, but not limited to, a provision regarding unavoidable delays.
- A provision that terminates the authority and duties of the private entity and dedicates the qualifying project to the responsible public entity.

#### <u>Fees</u>

The bill provides that the comprehensive agreement may authorize the private entity to impose fees for the use of the facility.

#### Financing

The bill provides multiple financing options for public-private partnerships, which include the private entity obtaining private-source financing, the responsible public entity lending funds to the private entity, or the use of other innovative finance techniques associated with private-public partnerships.

#### Powers and Duties of the Private Entity

The bill requires the private entity to develop, operate, and maintain the qualifying project in accordance with the comprehensive agreement. The private entity must cooperate with the responsible public entity in making best efforts to establish interconnection between the qualifying project and other facilities and infrastructure. The private entity must comply with the terms of the comprehensive agreement and any other lease or contract.

#### Expiration or Termination of Agreements

The bill provides that, upon the expiration or termination of a comprehensive agreement, the responsible public entity may use revenues from the qualifying project to pay current operation and maintenance costs of the qualifying project. If the private entity materially defaults, the compensation that is otherwise due to the private entity is payable to satisfy all financial obligations to investors and lenders on the qualifying project in the same way that is provided in the comprehensive agreement or

any other agreement involving the qualifying project, if the costs of operating and maintaining the project are paid in the normal course. The full faith and credit of the responsible public entity may not be pledged to secure the financing of the private entity. The bill provides that the assumption of the development or operation of the qualifying project does not obligate the responsible public entity to pay any obligation of the private entity from sources other than from revenues from the qualifying project unless stated otherwise in the comprehensive agreement.

#### Sovereign Immunity

The bill provides that sovereign immunity is not waived by the state, any responsible public entity, any affected local jurisdiction, or any officer or employee with respect to the qualifying project. In addition, it provides that cities, counties, and towns possess sovereign immunity with respect to the design, construction, and operation of the project.

#### Construction

The bill provides that it must be liberally construed to effectuate its purposes. In addition, the bill provides that it does not waive any requirement in s. 287.055, F.S., which is the Consultants' Competitive Negotiation Act.

#### Effective Date

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2013.

#### **B. SECTION DIRECTORY:**

Section 1. creates s. 287.05712, F.S., providing definitions; providing legislative findings and intent relating to the construction or improvement by private entities of facilities used predominately for a public purpose; providing procurement procedures; providing requirements for project approval; providing project qualifications and process; providing for notice to affected local jurisdictions; providing for comprehensive agreements between a public and private entity; providing for use fees; providing for financing sources for certain projects by a private entity; providing powers and duties for private entities; providing for expiration or termination of agreements; providing for the applicability of sovereign immunity for public entities with respect to qualified projects; providing for construction of the act.

Section 2. provides an effective date of July 1, 2013.

#### **II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

#### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

- 1. Revenues:
  - The bill does not appear to impact state governments.
- 2. Expenditures:

The bill does not appear to impact state governments.

#### B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

The fiscal impact on local governments is unknown.

2. Expenditures:

The fiscal impact on local governments is unknown.

#### C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The bill may provide for more opportunities for the private sector to enter into contracts for construction services with local governments.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state government; however, the bill may have an indeterminate fiscal impact on local governments that enter into public-private partnerships. Local government expenditures would be based on currently unidentified agreements with public-private partnerships.

#### **III. COMMENTS**

#### A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable. This bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to spend funds or take an action requiring the expenditure of funds; reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenues in the aggregate; or reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

None.

#### B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The bill references guidelines that responsible public entities must adopt to guide the procurement process and selection of proposals from private entities.

#### C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

#### **Drafting Issues:**

On line 492, the bill references "the state" for purposes of sovereign immunity and, on line 503, the bill references "state agency" for purposes of construction of the section. The state is not otherwise included in the bill and these references could lead to confusion.

#### **IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES**

HB 85

4

2013

1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to public-private partnerships;
3	creating s. 287.05712, F.S.; providing definitions;
4	providing legislative findings and intent relating to
5	the construction or improvement by private entities of
6	facilities used predominantly for a public purpose;
7	providing procurement procedures; providing
8	requirements for project approval; providing project
9	qualifications and process; providing for notice to
10	affected local jurisdictions; providing for
11	comprehensive agreements between a public and a
12	private entity; providing for use fees; providing for
13	financing sources for certain projects by a private
14	entity; providing powers and duties for private
15	entities; providing for expiration or termination of
16	agreements; providing for the applicability of
17	sovereign immunity for public entities with respect to
18	qualified projects; providing for construction of the
19	act; providing an effective date.
20	
21	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
22	
23	Section 1. Section 287.05712, Florida Statutes, is created
24	to read:
25	287.05712 Public-private partnerships
26	(1) DEFINITIONSAs used in this section, the term:
27	(a) "Affected local jurisdiction" means a county,
28	municipality, or special district in which all or a portion of a
I	Dage 1 of 10

Page 1 of 19

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

HB 85 2013 29 qualifying project is located. 30 "Develop" means to plan, design, finance, lease, (b) 31 acquire, install, construct, or expand. 32 (c) "Fees" means charges imposed by the private entity of 33 a qualifying project for use of all or a portion of such 34 qualifying project pursuant to a comprehensive agreement. 35 "Lease payment" means any form of payment, including a (d) 36 land lease, by a public entity to the private entity of a 37 qualifying project for the use of the project. 38 "Material default" means a nonperformance of its (e) 39 duties by the private entity of a qualifying project which 40 jeopardizes adequate service to the public from the project. 41 "Operate" means to finance, maintain, improve, equip, (f) 42 modify, or repair. 43 (g) "Private entity" means any natural person, 44 corporation, general partnership, limited liability company, 45 limited partnership, joint venture, business trust, public-46 benefit corporation, nonprofit entity, or other private business 47 entity. (h) 48 "Proposal" means a plan for a qualifying project with 49 detail beyond a conceptual level for which terms such as fixing 50 costs, payment schedules, financing, deliverables, and project 51 schedule are defined. (i) "Qualifying project" means: 52 53 1. A facility or project that serves a public purpose, 54 including, but not limited to, any ferry or mass transit facility, vehicle parking facility, airport or seaport facility, 55 56 power-generating facility, rail facility or project, fuel supply

#### Page 2 of 19

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

	HB 85 2013
57	facility, oil or gas pipeline, medical or nursing care facility,
58	recreational facility, sporting or cultural facility, or
59	educational facility or other building or facility that is used
60	or will be used by a public educational institution, or any
61	other public facility or infrastructure that is used or will be
62	used by the public at large or in support of an accepted public
63	purpose or activity;
64	2. An improvement, including equipment, of a building that
65	will be principally used by a public entity or the public at
66	large or that supports a service delivery system in the public
67	sector; or
68	3. A water, wastewater, or surface water management
69	facility or other related infrastructure.
70	(j) "Responsible public entity" means a county,
71	municipality, school board, or university, or any other
72	political subdivision of the state; a public body politic and
73	corporate; or a regional entity that serves a public purpose and
74	is authorized to develop or operate a qualifying project.
75	(k) "Revenues" means the income, earnings, user fees,
76	lease payments, or other service payments relating to the
77	development or operation of a qualifying project, including, but
78	not limited to, money received as grants or otherwise from the
79	Federal Government, a public entity, or an agency or
80	instrumentality thereof in aid of the qualifying project.
81	(1) "Service contract" means a contract entered into
82	between a public entity and the private entity which defines the
83	terms of the services to be provided with respect to a
84	qualifying project.

#### Page 3 of 19

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

hb0085-00

2013 HB 85 85 LEGISLATIVE FINDINGS AND INTENT.-The Legislature finds (2) 86 that there is a public need for the construction or upgrade of 87 facilities that are used predominantly for public purposes and 88 that it is in the public's interest to provide for the 89 construction or upgrade of the facilities. 90 (a) The Legislature also finds that: 91 1. There is a public need for timely and cost-effective 92 acquisition, design, construction, improvement, renovation, 93 expansion, equipping, maintenance, operation, implementation, or 94 installation of public projects, including educational 95 facilities, transportation facilities, water or wastewater 96 management facilities and infrastructure, technology 97 infrastructure, roads, highways, bridges, and other public 98 infrastructure and governmental facilities within the state 99 which serve a public need and purpose, and that such public need 100 may not be wholly satisfied by existing procurement methods. 101 2. There are inadequate resources to develop new 102 educational facilities, transportation facilities, water or 103 wastewater management facilities and infrastructure, technology 104 infrastructure, roads, highways, bridges, and other public 105 infrastructure and governmental facilities for the benefit of 106 residents of this state, and that a public-private partnership 107 has demonstrated that it can meet the needs by improving the 108 schedule for delivery, lowering the cost, and providing other 109 benefits to the public. 110 3. There are state and federal tax incentives that promote 111 partnerships between public and private entities to develop and 112 operate qualifying projects.

#### Page 4 of 19

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2013

113	4. A procurement under this section serves the public			
114	purpose of this section if such action facilitates the timely			
115	development or operation of a qualifying project.			
116	(b) It is the intent of the Legislature to encourage			
117	investment in the state by private entities; to facilitate			
118				
119	funding sources for the development and operation of qualifying			
120	projects, including the expansion and acceleration of such			
121	financing to meet the public need; and to provide the greatest			
122	possible flexibility to public and private entities contracting			
123	for the provision of public services.			
124	(3) PROCUREMENT PROCEDURESA responsible public entity			
125	may receive unsolicited proposals or may solicit proposals for			
126	qualifying projects and may thereafter enter into an agreement			
127	with a private entity, or a consortium of private entities, for			
128	the building, upgrade, operation, ownership, or financing of			
129	facilities.			
130	(a) The responsible public entity may establish a			
131	reasonable application fee for the submission of an unsolicited			
132	proposal under this section. The fee must be sufficient to pay			
133	the costs of evaluating the proposal. The responsible public			
134	entity may engage the services of a private consultant to assist			
135	in the evaluation.			
136	(b) The responsible public entity may request a proposal			
137	from private entities for a public-private project or, if the			
138	public entity receives an unsolicited proposal, the public			
139	entity shall publish notice in the Florida Administrative			
140	Register and a newspaper of general circulation at least once a			
	Page 5 of 10			

## Page 5 of 19

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2013 141 week for 2 weeks stating that the public entity has received a 142 proposal and will accept for 21 days after the initial date of 143 publication other proposals for the same project. A copy of the 144 notice must be mailed to each local government in the affected 145 area. The scope of the proposal may be publicized for the 146 purpose of soliciting competing proposals; however, the 147 financial terms of the proposal may not be disclosed until the 148 terms of all competing bids are simultaneously disclosed in 149 accordance with the applicable law governing procurement 150 procedures for the qualifying project. 151 A responsible public entity that is a school board may (C) 152 enter into a comprehensive agreement only with the approval of 153 the local governing body. 154 (d) Before approval, the responsible public entity must 155 determine that the proposed project: 156 1. Is in the public's best interest; 157 2. Is for a facility that is owned by the responsible 158 public entity or for a facility for which ownership will be 159 conveyed to the responsible public entity; 160 3. Has adequate safeguards in place to ensure that 161 additional costs or service disruptions are not imposed on the 162 public in the event of material default or cancellation of the 163 agreement by the responsible public entity; 164 4. Has adequate safeguards in place to ensure that the 165 responsible public entity or the private entity has the 166 opportunity to add capacity to the proposed project or other 167 facilities serving similar predominantly public purposes; and 168 5. Will be owned by the responsible public entity upon

Page 6 of 19

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

196

2013 169 completion or termination of the agreement and upon payment of the amounts financed. (e) Before signing any comprehensive agreement, the responsible public entity must consider a reasonable finance plan that is consistent with subsection (9), the project cost, revenues by source, available financing, major assumptions, internal rate of return on private investments, if any governmental funds are assumed in order to deliver a costfeasible project, and a total cash-flow analysis beginning with the implementation of the project and extending for the term of the agreement. In considering an unsolicited proposal, the (f) responsible public entity may require from the private entity an 182 investment-grade technical study prepared by a nationally 183 recognized expert who is accepted by national bond rating agencies. In evaluating the technical study, the responsible public entity may rely upon internal staff reports prepared by personnel familiar with the operation of similar facilities or the advice of external advisors or consultants having relevant experience. (4) PROJECT APPROVAL REQUIREMENTS. - An unsolicited proposal from a private entity for approval of a qualifying project must be accompanied by the following material and information, unless waived by the responsible public entity: (a) A description of the qualifying project, including the 194 conceptual design of the facilities or a conceptual plan for the 195 provision of services, and a schedule for the initiation and completion of the qualifying project.

### Page 7 of 19

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2013

197	(b) A description of the method by which the private				
198	entity proposes to secure any necessary property interests that				
199	are required for the qualifying project.				
200	(c) A description of the private entity's general plans				
201	for financing the qualifying project, including the sources of				
202	the private entity's funds and identification of any dedicated				
203	revenue source or proposed debt or equity investment on behalf				
204	of the private entity.				
205	(d) The name and address of a person who may be contacted				
206	for further information concerning the proposal.				
207	(e) The proposed user fees, lease payments, or other				
208	service payments over the term of a comprehensive agreement, and				
209	the methodology and circumstances for changes to the user fees,				
210	lease payments, and other service payments over time.				
211	(f) Any additional material or information that the				
212	responsible public entity reasonably requests.				
213	(5) PROJECT QUALIFICATION AND PROCESS				
214	(a) The private entity must meet the minimum standards				
215	contained in the responsible public entity's guidelines for				
216	qualifying professional architectural, engineering, and				
217	contracting services for traditional procurement projects.				
218	(b) The responsible public entity must:				
219	1. Ensure that provisions are made for the private				
220	entity's performance and payment of subcontractors, including,				
221	but not limited to, surety bonds, letters of credit, parent				
222	company guarantees, and lender and equity partner guarantees.				
223	For the components of the qualifying project which involve				
224	construction performance and payment, bonds are required and are				
1	Page 8 of 10				

Page 8 of 19

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

	HB 85 2013
225	subject to the recordation, notice, suit limitation, and other
226	requirements of s. 255.05.
227	2. Ensure the most efficient pricing of the security
228	package that provides for the performance and payment of
229	subcontractors.
230	3. Ensure that provisions are made for the transfer of the
231	private entity's obligations if the comprehensive agreement is
232	terminated or a material default occurs.
233	(c) After the public notification period has expired in
234	the case of an unsolicited proposal, the responsible public
235	entity shall rank the proposals received in order of preference.
236	In ranking the proposals, the responsible public entity may
237	consider factors that include, but are not limited to,
238	professional qualifications, general business terms, innovative
239	engineering or cost-reduction terms, and finance plans. If the
240	responsible public entity is not satisfied with the results of
241	the negotiations, the responsible public entity may terminate
242	negotiations with the proposer and negotiate with the second-
243	ranked or subsequent-ranked firms, in the order consistent with
244	this procedure. If only one proposal is received, the
245	responsible public entity may negotiate in good faith, and if
246	the public entity is not satisfied with the results of the
247	negotiations, the public entity may terminate negotiations with
248	the proposer. Notwithstanding this paragraph, the responsible
249	public entity may reject all proposals at any point in the
250	process until a contract with the proposer is executed.
251	(d) The responsible public entity shall perform an
252	independent analysis of the proposed public-private partnership

## Page 9 of 19

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2013

253	which demonstrates the cost-effectiveness and overall public			
254	benefit before the procurement process is initiated or before			
255	the contract is awarded.			
256	(e) The responsible public entity may approve the			
257	development or operation of an educational facility, a			
258	transportation facility, a water or wastewater management			
259	facility or related infrastructure, a technology infrastructure			
260	or other public infrastructure, or a governmental facility			
261	needed by the responsible public entity as a qualifying project,			
262	or the design or equipping of a qualifying project that is			
263	developed or operated, if:			
264	1. There is a public need for or benefit derived from a			
265	project of the type that the private entity proposes as the			
266	qualifying project.			
267	2. The estimated cost of the qualifying project is			
268	reasonable in relation to similar facilities.			
269	3. The private entity's plans will result in the timely			
270	acquisition, design, construction, improvement, renovation,			
271	expansion, equipping, maintenance, or operation of the			
272	qualifying project.			
273	(f) The responsible public entity may charge a reasonable			
274	fee to cover the costs of processing, reviewing, and evaluating			
275	the request, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorney			
276	fees and fees for financial and technical advisors or			
277	consultants and for other necessary advisors or consultants.			
278	(g) Upon approval of a qualifying project, the responsible			
279	public entity shall establish a date for the commencement of			
280	activities related to the qualifying project. The responsible			
1				

# Page 10 of 19

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

2013

281	public entity may extend the commencement date.			
282	(h) Approval of a qualifying project by the responsible			
283	public entity is subject to entering into a comprehensive			
284	agreement with the private entity.			
285	(6) NOTICE TO AFFECTED LOCAL JURISDICTIONS			
286	(a) The responsible public entity must notify each			
287	affected local jurisdiction by furnishing a copy of the proposal			
288	to each affected local jurisdiction when considering a proposal			
289	for a qualifying project.			
290	(b) Each affected local jurisdiction that is not a			
291	responsible public entity for the respective qualifying project			
292	may, within 60 days after receiving the notice, submit in			
293	writing any comments to the responsible public entity and			
294	indicate whether the facility is incompatible with the local			
295	comprehensive plan, the local infrastructure development plan,			
296	the capital improvements budget, or other governmental spending			
297	plan. The responsible public entity shall consider the comments			
298	of the affected local jurisdiction before entering into a			
299	comprehensive agreement with a private entity. If an affected			
300	local jurisdiction fails to respond to the responsible public			
301	entity within the time provided in this paragraph, such failure			
302	to respond is deemed an acknowledgement by the affected local			
303	jurisdiction that the qualifying project is compatible with the			
304	local comprehensive plan, the local infrastructure development			
305	plan, the capital improvements budget, or other governmental			
306	spending plan.			
307	(7) COMPREHENSIVE AGREEMENT			
308	(a) Before developing or operating the qualifying project,			
	Page 11 of 19			

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Ġ.

2013

309	the private entity must enter into a comprehensive agreement				
310	with the responsible public entity. The comprehensive agreement				
311	1 must provide for:				
312	2 <u>1. The delivery of performance and payment bonds, letters</u>				
313	of credit, or other security acceptable to the responsible				
314	public entity in connection with the development or operation of				
315	the qualifying project in the form and amount satisfactory to				
316	the responsible public entity. For the components of the				
317	qualifying project which involve construction, the form and				
318	amount of the bonds must comply with s. 255.05.				
319	2. The review of the plans and specifications for the				
320	qualifying project by the responsible public entity and, if the				
321	plans and specifications conform to standards acceptable to the				
322	responsible public entity, the approval by the responsible				
323	public entity. This subparagraph does not require the private				
324	entity to complete the design of the qualifying project before				
325	the execution of the comprehensive agreement.				
326	3. The inspection of the qualifying project by the				
327	responsible public entity to ensure that the private entity's				
328	activities are acceptable to the public entity in accordance				
329	with the comprehensive agreement.				
330	4. The maintenance of a policy of public liability				
331	insurance, a copy of which must be filed with the responsible				
332	public entity and accompanied by proofs of coverage, or self-				
333	insurance, each in the form and amount satisfactory to the				
334	responsible public entity and reasonably sufficient to ensure				
335	coverage of tort liability to the public and employees and to				
336	enable the continued operation of the qualifying project.				
I	Dage 10 of 10				

## Page 12 of 19

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

337 5. The monitoring by the responsible public entity of the 338 maintenance practices to be performed by the private entity to 339 ensure that the qualifying project is properly maintained. 340 6. The periodic filing by the private entity of the 341 appropriate financial statements that pertain to the qualifying 342 project. 343 7. The procedures that govern the rights and 344 responsibilities of the responsible public entity and the 345 private entity in the course of the construction and operation 346 of the qualifying project and in the event of the termination of 347 the comprehensive agreement or a material default by the private 348 entity. The procedures must include conditions that govern the 349 assumption of the duties and responsibilities of the private 350 entity by an entity that funded, in whole or part, the 351 qualifying project or by the responsible public entity, and must 352 provide for the transfer or purchase of property or other 353 interests of the private entity by the responsible public 354 entity. 355 8. The fees, lease payments, or service payments. In 356 negotiating user fees, the fees must be the same for persons 357 using the facility under like conditions and must not materially 358 discourage use of the qualifying project. The execution of the 359 comprehensive agreement or a subsequent amendment is conclusive evidence that the fees, lease payments, or service payments 360 361 provided for in the comprehensive agreement comply with this 362 section. Fees or lease payments established in the comprehensive 363 agreement as a source of revenue may be in addition to, or in 364 lieu of, service payments.

#### Page 13 of 19

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

hb0085-00

2013

2013

365	9. The duties of the private entity, including the terms				
366					
367					
368					
369	1. An agreement by the responsible public entity to make				
370	grants or loans to the private entity from amounts received from				
371	the federal, state, or local government or any agency or				
372	instrumentality thereof.				
373	2. A provision under which each entity agrees to provide				
374					
375					
376					
377	3. A provision that terminates the authority and duties of				
378	the private entity under this section and dedicates the				
379	qualifying project to the responsible public entity or, if the				
380	qualifying project was initially dedicated by an affected local				
381	jurisdiction, to the affected local jurisdiction for public use.				
382	(8) FEESAn agreement entered into pursuant to this				
383	section may authorize the private entity to impose fees for the				
384	use of the facility. The following provisions apply to the				
385	agreement:				
386	(a) The responsible public entity may develop new				
387	facilities or increase capacity in existing facilities through				
388	agreements with public-private partnerships.				
389	(b) The public-private partnership agreement must ensure				
390	that the facility is properly operated, maintained, or improved				
391	in accordance with standards set forth in the comprehensive				
392	agreement.				
I	Page 14 of 19				

## Page 14 of 19

~

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2013

393	(c) The responsible public entity may lease existing fee-				
394	for-use facilities through a public-private partnership				
395	agreement.				
396	(d) Any revenues must be regulated by the responsible				
397	public entity pursuant to the comprehensive agreement.				
398	(e) A negotiated portion of revenues from fee-generating				
399	uses must be returned to the public entity over the life of the				
400	agreement.				
401	(9) FINANCING.—				
402	(a) A private entity may enter into a private-source				
403	financing agreement between financing sources and the private				
404	entity. A financing agreement and any liens on the property or				
405	facility must be paid in full at the applicable closing that				
406	transfers ownership or operation of the facility to the				
407	responsible public entity at the conclusion of the term of the				
408	comprehensive agreement.				
409	(b) The responsible public entity may lend funds to				
410	private entities that construct projects containing facilities				
411	that are approved under this section.				
412	(c) The responsible public entity may use innovative				
413	finance techniques associated with a public-private partnership				
414	under this section, including, but not limited to, federal loans				
415	as provided in Titles 23 and 49 C.F.R., commercial bank loans,				
416	and hedges against inflation from commercial banks or other				
417	private sources. In addition, the responsible public entity may				
418	provide its own capital or operating budget to support a				
419	qualifying project. The budget may be from any legally				
420	permissible funding sources of the responsible public entity,				
I	Page 15 of 19				

Page 15 of 19

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2013

421	including the proceeds of debt issuances. A responsible public				
422	entity may use the model financing agreement provided in s.				
423	489.145(6) for its financing of a facility owned by a				
424	responsible public entity. A financing agreement may not require				
425	the responsible public entity to indemnify the financing source,				
426	subject the responsible public entity's facility to liens in				
427	violation of s. 11.066(5), or secure financing by the				
428	responsible public entity with a pledge of security interest,				
429	and any such provisions are void.				
430	(d) A responsible public entity shall appropriate on a				
431	priority basis as required by the comprehensive agreement a				
432	contractual payment obligation, annual or otherwise, and the				
433	required payment obligation must be appropriated before other				
434	noncontractual obligations of the responsible public entity.				
435	(10) POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE PRIVATE ENTITY				
436	(a) The private entity shall:				
437	1. Develop or operate the qualifying project in a manner				
438	that is acceptable to the responsible public entity in				
439	accordance with the comprehensive agreement.				
440	2. Maintain, or provide by contract for the maintenance or				
441	improvement of, the qualifying project if required by the				
442	comprehensive agreement.				
443	3. Cooperate with the responsible public entity in making				
444	best efforts to establish interconnection between the qualifying				
445	project and any other facility or infrastructure as requested by				
446	the responsible public entity.				
447	4. Comply with the comprehensive agreement and any lease				
448	or service contract.				
l					

## Page 16 of 19

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467 468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

Each private facility that is constructed pursuant to (b) this section must comply with the requirements of federal, state, and local laws; state, regional, and local comprehensive plans; the responsible public entity's rules, procedures, and standards for facilities; and any other conditions that the responsible public entity determines to be in the public's best interest and that are included in the comprehensive agreement. The responsible public entity may provide services to (C) the private entity. An agreement for maintenance and other services entered into pursuant to this section must provide for full reimbursement for services rendered for qualifying projects. (d) A private entity of a qualifying project may provide additional services for the qualifying project to the public or to other private entities if the provision of additional services does not impair the private entity's ability to meet its commitments to the responsible public entity pursuant to the comprehensive agreement. (11) EXPIRATION OR TERMINATION OF AGREEMENTS.-Upon the expiration or termination of a comprehensive agreement, the responsible public entity may use revenues from the qualifying project to pay current operation and maintenance costs of the qualifying project. If the private entity materially defaults under the comprehensive agreement, the compensation that is otherwise due to the private entity is payable to satisfy all financial obligations to investors and lenders on the qualifying project in the same way that is provided in the comprehensive agreement or any other agreement involving the qualifying

#### Page 17 of 19

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

hb0085-00

2013

6

2013

477	project, if the costs of operating and maintaining the			
478	qualifying project are paid in the normal course. Revenues in			
479	9 excess of the costs for operation and maintenance costs may be			
480	paid to the investors and lenders to satisfy payment obligations			
481				
482	may terminate with cause and without prejudice a comprehensive			
483	agreement and may exercise any other rights or remedies that ma			
484	be available to it. The full faith and credit of the responsible			
485	public entity may not be pledged to secure the financing of the			
486	private entity. The assumption of the development or operation			
487	of the qualifying project does not obligate the responsible			
488	public entity to pay any obligation of the private entity from			
489				
490				
491	(12) SOVEREIGN IMMUNITYThis section does not waive the			
492	sovereign immunity of the state, any responsible public entity,			
493	any affected local jurisdiction, or any officer or employee			
494	thereof with respect to participation in, or approval of, any			
495	part of a qualifying project or its operation, including, but			
496	not limited to, interconnection of the qualifying project with			
497	any other infrastructure or project. A county or municipality in			
498	which a qualifying project is located possesses sovereign			
499	immunity with respect to the project, including, but not limited			
500	to, its design, construction, and operation.			
501	(13) CONSTRUCTIONThis section shall be liberally			
502	construed to effectuate the purposes of this section.			
503	(a) This section does not limit any state agency or			
504	political subdivision of the state in the acquisition, design,			
	Page 18 of 19			

## Page 18 of 19

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

author	ity.
(]	b) Except as otherwise provided in this section, thi
section	n does not amend existing laws by granting additional
powers	to, or further restricting, a local governmental ent
from r	egulating and entering into cooperative arrangements
the pr	ivate sector for the planning, construction, or opera
of a f	acility.
(	c) This section does not waive any requirement of s.
287.05	5.
S	ection 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2013.

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2013

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

Amendment No.

	COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE ACTION		
	ADOPTED (Y/N)		
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED (Y/N)		
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION (Y/N)		
	FAILED TO ADOPT (Y/N)		
	WITHDRAWN (Y/N)		
	OTHER		
1	Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Government Operations		
2	Subcommittee		
3	Representative Steube offered the following:		
4			
5	Amendment (with title amendment)		
6	Remove everything after the enacting clause and insert:		
7	Section 1. Section 287.05712, Florida Statutes, is created		
8	to read:		
9	287.05712 Public-private partnerships		
10	(1) DEFINITIONSAs used in this section, the term:		
11	(a) "Affected local jurisdiction" means a county,		
12	municipality, or special district in which all or a portion of a		
13	qualifying project is located.		
14	(b) "Develop" means to plan, design, finance, lease,		
15	acquire, install, construct, or expand.		
16	(c) "Fees" means charges imposed by the private entity of		
17	a qualifying project for use of all or a portion of such		
18	qualifying project pursuant to a comprehensive agreement.		

171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM

Page 1 of 28

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

19	Amendment No. (d) "Lease payment" means any form of payment, including a
20	land lease, by a public entity to the private entity of a
21	qualifying project for the use of the project.
22	(e) "Material default" means a nonperformance of its
23	
24	jeopardizes adequate service to the public from the project.
25	
26	
27	(g) "Private entity" means any natural person,
28	corporation, general partnership, limited liability company,
29	limited partnership, joint venture, business trust, public-
30	benefit corporation, nonprofit entity, or other private business
31	entity.
32	(h) "Proposal" means a plan for a qualifying project with
33	detail beyond a conceptual level for which terms such as fixing
34	costs, payment schedules, financing, deliverables, and project
35	schedule are defined.
36	(i) "Qualifying project" means:
37	1. A facility or project that serves a public purpose,
38	including, but not limited to, any ferry or mass transit
39	facility, vehicle parking facility, airport or seaport facility,
40	power-generating facility, rail facility or project, fuel supply
41	facility, oil or gas pipeline, medical or nursing care facility,
42	recreational facility, sporting or cultural facility, or
43	educational facility or other building or facility that is used
44	or will be used by a public educational institution, or any
45	other public facility or infrastructure that is used or will be

171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM

Page 2 of 28

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

46	Amendment No.
47	purpose or activity;
48	2. An improvement, including equipment, of a building that
49	will be principally used by a public entity or the public at
50	large or that supports a service delivery system in the public
51	sector; or
52	3. A water, wastewater, or surface water management
53	facility or other related infrastructure.
54	(j) "Responsible public entity" means a county,
55	municipality, school board, or university, or any other
56	political subdivision of the state; a public body politic and
57	corporate; or a regional entity that serves a public purpose and
58	is authorized to develop or operate a qualifying project.
59	(k) "Revenues" means the income, earnings, user fees,
60	lease payments, or other service payments relating to the
61	development or operation of a qualifying project, including, but
62	not limited to, money received as grants or otherwise from the
63	Federal Government, a public entity, or an agency or
64	instrumentality thereof in aid of the qualifying project.
65	(1) "Service contract" means a contract between a public
66	entity and the private entity which defines the terms of the
67	services to be provided with respect to a qualifying project.
68	(2) LEGISLATIVE FINDINGS AND INTENTThe Legislature finds
69	that there is a public need for the construction or upgrade of
70	facilities that are used predominantly for public purposes and
71	that it is in the public's interest to provide for the
72	construction or upgrade of the facilities.
73	(a) The Legislature also finds that:
	 171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM

Page 3 of 28

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

	Jmondmont No. HB 05 (2013)
74	Amendment No. 1. There is a public need for timely and <u>cost-effective</u>
75	acquisition, design, construction, improvement, renovation,
76	expansion, equipping, maintenance, operation, implementation, or
77	installation of projects serving a public purpose, including
78	educational facilities, transportation facilities, water or
79	wastewater management facilities and infrastructure, technology
80	infrastructure, roads, highways, bridges, and other public
81	infrastructure and government facilities within the state which
82	serve a public need and purpose, and that such public need may
83	not be wholly satisfied by existing procurement methods.
84	2. There are inadequate resources to develop new
85	educational facilities, transportation facilities, water or
86	wastewater management facilities and infrastructure, technology
87	infrastructure, roads, highways, bridges, and other public
88	infrastructure and government facilities for the benefit of
89	residents of this state, and that a public-private partnership
90	has demonstrated that it can meet the needs by improving the
91	schedule for delivery, lowering the cost, and providing other
92	benefits to the public.
93	3. There may be state and federal tax incentives that
94	promote partnerships between public and private entities to
95	develop and operate qualifying projects.
96	4. A procurement under this section serves the public
97	purpose of this section if such procurement facilitates the
98	timely development or operation of a qualifying project.
99	(b) It is the intent of the Legislature to encourage
100	investment in the state by private entities; to facilitate
101	various bond financing mechanisms, private capital, and other
	171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx
	Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM

Page 4 of 28



Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

102	Amendment No. funding sources for the development and operation of qualifying
103	projects, including expansion and acceleration of such financing
104	to meet the public need; and to provide the greatest possible
105	flexibility to public and private entities contracting for the
106	provision of public services.
107	(3) PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP GUIDELINES TASK FORCE
108	(a) The Partnership for Public Facilities and
109	Infrastructure Act Guidelines Task Force is created to establish
110	guidelines for public entities on the types of factors public
111	entities should review and consider when processing requests for
112	public-private partnership projects pursuant to this section,
113	including consistent requirements for private entities seeking
114	to participate in the construction or development of a
115	qualifying project throughout the state.
116	(b) The task force shall consist of nine members, as
117	follows:
118	1. One member of the Senate, appointed by the President of
119	the Senate.
120	2. One member of the House of Representatives, appointed
121	by the Speaker of the House of Representatives.
122	3. The Secretary of Management Services or his or her
123	designee.
124	4. Six members appointed by the Governor, as follows:
125	a. One county government official.
126	b. One municipal government official.
127	c. One district school board member.
128	d. Three representatives of the business community.
	171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx
	Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM
	Page 5 of 28



Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

	Amendment No.
129	(c) Task force members shall serve for a term of 2 years
130	each and shall elect a chair and a vice chair. The task force
131	shall meet as necessary. Administrative and technical support
132	shall be provided by the department. Task force members shall
133	serve without compensation, but are entitled to reimbursement
134	for per diem and travel expenses pursuant to s. 112.061. The
135	task force shall terminate on July 1, 2015.
136	(d) The task force shall provide guidelines to public
137	entities no later than July 1, 2014. The guidelines shall
138	include:
139	1. Opportunities for competition through public notice and
140	the availability of representatives of the responsible public
141	entity to meet with private entities considering a proposal.
142	2. Reasonable criteria for choosing among competing
143	proposals.
144	3. Suggested timelines for selecting proposals and
145	negotiating an interim or comprehensive agreement.
146	4. Authorization for accelerated selection and review and
147	documentation timelines for proposals involving a qualifying
148	project that the responsible public entity deems a priority.
149	5. Procedures for financial review and analysis which, at
150	a minimum, include a cost-benefit analysis, an assessment of
151	opportunity cost, and consideration of the results of all
152	studies and analyses related to the proposed qualifying project.
153	6. Consideration of the nonfinancial benefits of a
154	proposed qualifying project.
155	7. A mechanism for the appropriating body to review a
156	proposed comprehensive agreement before execution.
ļ	171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx
-	Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM
	$D_{2} \sim c \sim f \sim 20$

Page 6 of 28

~

^

**2**3.

~

-

~

A

\*



Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

	Amendment No.
157	8. Analysis of the adequacy of the information released
158	when seeking competing proposals and providing for the
159	enhancement of that information, if deemed necessary, to
160	encourage competition, as well as establishing standards to
161	maintain the confidentiality of financial and proprietary terms
162	of an unsolicited proposal, which shall be disclosed only in
163	accordance with the bidding procedures of competing proposals.
164	9. Authority for the responsible public entity to engage
165	the services of qualified professionals, which may include a
166	Florida-registered professional or a certified public
167	accountant, not otherwise employed by the responsible public
168	entity, to provide an independent analysis regarding the
169	specifics, advantages, disadvantages, and long-term and short-
170	term costs of a request by a private entity for approval of a
171	qualifying project, unless the governing body of the public
172	entity determines that such analysis should be performed by
173	employees of the public entity. Professional services as defined
174	in s. 287.055 must be engaged pursuant to s. 287.055.
175	(e) The establishment of guidelines pursuant to this
176	section by the task force or the adoption of such guidelines by
177	a public entity is not required for the public entity to request
178	or receive proposals for a qualifying project or to enter into a
179	comprehensive agreement for a qualifying project. A public
180	entity may adopt guidelines before the establishment of
181	guidelines by the task force, which may remain in effect as long
182	as such guidelines are not inconsistent with the guidelines
183	established by the task force. A guideline that is inconsistent
184	with the guidelines of the task force must be amended as
1	.71079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx
F	$\frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{10} $

æ

Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM Page 7 of 28

4 P



Amendment No.

Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

.

185	Amendment No. necessary to maintain consistency with the task force
186	guidelines.
187	(4) PROCUREMENT PROCEDURESA responsible public entity
188	may receive unsolicited proposals or may solicit proposals for
189	qualifying projects and may thereafter enter into an agreement
190	with a private entity, or a consortium of private entities, for
191	the building, upgrading, operating, ownership, or financing of
192	facilities.
193	(a) The responsible public entity may establish a
194	reasonable application fee for the submission of an unsolicited
195	proposal under this section. The fee must be sufficient to pay
196	the costs of evaluating the proposal. The responsible public
197	entity may engage the services of a private consultant to assist
198	in the evaluation.
199	(b) The responsible public entity may request a proposal
200	from private entities for a public-private project or, if the
201	public entity receives an unsolicited proposal, the public
202	entity shall publish notice in the Florida Administrative
203	Register and a newspaper of general circulation at least once a
204	week for 2 weeks stating that the public entity has received a
205	proposal and will accept other proposals for the same project.
206	The timeframe within which the public entity may accept other
207	proposals shall be determined by the public entity on a project-
208	by-project basis based upon the complexity of the project and the
209	public benefit to be gained by allowing a longer or shorter period of
210	time within which other proposals may be received; however, the
211	timeframe for allowing other proposals must be at least 21 days, but
212	no more than 120 days, after the initial date of publication. A

171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx

Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM

Page 8 of 28

COMMITTEE/S

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

213	Amendment No. copy of the notice must be mailed to each local government in
214	the affected area. The scope of the proposal may be publicized
215	for the purpose of soliciting competing proposals; however, the
216	financial terms of the proposal may not be disclosed until the
217	terms of all competing bids are simultaneously disclosed in
218	accordance with the applicable law governing procurement
219	procedures for the qualifying project.
220	(c) A responsible public entity that is a school board may
221	enter into a comprehensive agreement only with the approval of
222	the local governing body.
223	(d) Before approval, the responsible public entity must
224	determine that the proposed project:
225	1. Is in the public's best interest.
226	2. Is for a facility that is owned by the responsible
227	public entity or for a facility for which ownership will be
228	conveyed to the responsible public entity.
229	3. Has adequate safeguards in place to ensure that
230	additional costs or service disruptions are not imposed on the
231	public in the event of material default or cancellation of the
232	agreement by the responsible public entity.
233	4. Has adequate safeguards in place to ensure that the
234	responsible public entity or the private entity has the
235	opportunity to add capacity to the proposed project or other
236	facilities serving similar predominantly public purposes.
237	5. Will be owned by the responsible public entity upon
238	completion or termination of the agreement and upon payment of
239	the amounts financed.

171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM Page 9 of 28

Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

	DIII NO. 110 05 (2015)
240	Amendment No. (e) Before signing a comprehensive agreement, the
241	responsible public entity must consider a reasonable finance
242	plan that is consistent with subsection (11), the project cost,
243	revenues by source, available financing, major assumptions,
244	internal rate of return on private investments, if governmental
245	funds are assumed in order to deliver a cost-feasible project,
246	and a total cash-flow analysis beginning with the implementation
247	of the project and extending for the term of the agreement.
248	(f) In considering an unsolicited proposal, the
249	responsible public entity may require from the private entity a
250	technical study prepared by a nationally recognized expert with
251	experience in preparing analysis for bond rating agencies. In
252	evaluating the technical study, the responsible public entity
253	may rely upon internal staff reports prepared by personnel
254	familiar with the operation of similar facilities or the advice
255	of external advisors or consultants having relevant experience.
256	(5) PROJECT APPROVAL REQUIREMENTS An unsolicited proposal
257	from a private entity for approval of a qualifying project must
258	be accompanied by the following material and information, unless
259	waived by the responsible public entity:
260	(a) A description of the qualifying project, including the
261	conceptual design of the facilities or a conceptual plan for the
262	provision of services, and a schedule for the initiation and
263	completion of the qualifying project.
264	(b) A description of the method by which the private
265	entity proposes to secure the necessary property interests that
266	are required for the qualifying project.

171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM Page 10 of 28



Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

	Amendment No.
267	(c) A description of the private entity's general plans
268	for financing the qualifying project, including the sources of
269	the private entity's funds and identification of any dedicated
270	revenue source or proposed debt or equity investment on behalf
271	of the private entity.
272	(d) The name and address of a person who may be contacted
273	for additional information concerning the proposal.
274	(e) The proposed user fees, lease payments, or other
275	service payments over the term of a comprehensive agreement, and
276	the methodology and circumstances for changes to the user fees,
277	lease payments, and other service payments over time.
278	(f) Additional material or information that the
279	responsible public entity reasonably requests.
280	(6) PROJECT QUALIFICATION AND PROCESS
281	(a) The private entity must meet the minimum standards
282	contained in the responsible public entity's guidelines for
283	qualifying professional services and contracts for traditional
284	procurement projects.
285	(b) The responsible public entity must:
286	1. Ensure that provisions are made for the private
287	entity's performance and payment of subcontractors, including,
288	but not limited to, surety bonds, letters of credit, parent
289	company guarantees, and lender and equity partner guarantees.
290	For the components of the qualifying project which involve
291	construction performance and payment, bonds are required and are
292	subject to the recordation, notice, suit limitation, and other
293	requirements of s. 255.05.

171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM Page 11 of 28



Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

	Amendment No.
294	2. Ensure the most efficient pricing of the security
295	package that provides for the performance and payment of
296	subcontractors.
297	3. Ensure that provisions are made for the transfer of the
298	private entity's obligations if the comprehensive agreement is
299	terminated or a material default occurs.
300	(c) After the public notification period has expired in
301	the case of an unsolicited proposal, the responsible public
302	entity shall rank the proposals received in order of preference.
303	In ranking the proposals, the responsible public entity may
304	consider factors that include, but are not limited to,
305	professional qualifications, general business terms, innovative
306	design techniques or cost-reduction terms, and finance plans. If
307	the responsible public entity is not satisfied with the results
308	of the negotiations, the responsible public entity may terminate
309	negotiations with the proposer and negotiate with the second-
310	ranked or subsequent-ranked firms, in the order consistent with
311	this procedure. If only one proposal is received, the
312	responsible public entity may negotiate in good faith, and if
313	the public entity is not satisfied with the results of the
314	negotiations, the public entity may terminate negotiations with
315	the proposer. Notwithstanding this paragraph, the responsible
316	public entity may reject all proposals at any point in the
317	process until a contract with the proposer is executed.
318	(d) The responsible public entity shall perform an
319	independent analysis of the proposed public-private partnership
320	which demonstrates the cost-effectiveness and overall public

171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM Page 12 of 28

20

\*\*

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

321	Amendment No. benefit before the procurement process is initiated or before
322	the contract is awarded.
323	(e) The responsible public entity may approve the
324	development or operation of an educational facility, a
325	transportation facility, a water or wastewater management
326	facility or related infrastructure, a technology infrastructure
327	or other public infrastructure, or a government facility needed
328	by the responsible public entity as a qualifying project, or the
329	design or equipping of a qualifying project that is developed or
330	operated, if:
331	1. There is a public need for or benefit derived from a
332	project of the type that the private entity proposes as the
333	qualifying project.
334	2. The estimated cost of the qualifying project is
335	reasonable in relation to similar facilities.
336	3. The private entity's plans will result in the timely
337	acquisition, design, construction, improvement, renovation,
338	expansion, equipping, maintenance, or operation of the
339	qualifying project.
340	(f) The responsible public entity may charge a reasonable
341	fee to cover the costs of processing, reviewing, and evaluating
342	the request, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorney
343	fees and fees for financial and technical advisors or
344	consultants and for other necessary advisors or consultants.
345	(g) Upon approval of a qualifying project, the responsible
346	public entity shall establish a date for the commencement of
347	activities related to the qualifying project. The responsible
348	public entity may extend the commencement date.
l	

171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx

Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM

Page 13 of 28

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

349	Amendment No. (h) Approval of a qualifying project by the responsible
350	public entity is subject to entering into a comprehensive
351	agreement with the private entity.
352	(7) NOTICE TO AFFECTED LOCAL JURISDICTIONS
353	(a) The responsible public entity must notify each
354	affected local jurisdiction by furnishing a copy of the proposal
355	to each affected local jurisdiction when considering a proposal
356	for a qualifying project.
357	
	(b) Each affected local jurisdiction that is not a
358	responsible public entity for the respective qualifying project
359	may, within 60 days after receiving the notice, submit in
360	writing any comments to the responsible public entity and
361	indicate whether the facility is incompatible with the local
362	comprehensive plan, the local infrastructure development plan,
363	the capital improvements budget, or other governmental spending
364	plan. The responsible public entity shall consider the comments
365	of the affected local jurisdiction before entering into a
366	comprehensive agreement with a private entity. If an affected
367	local jurisdiction fails to respond to the responsible public
368	entity within the time provided in this paragraph, the
369	nonresponse is deemed an acknowledgement by the affected local
370	jurisdiction that the qualifying project is compatible with the
371	local comprehensive plan, the local infrastructure development
372	plan, the capital improvements budget, or other governmental
373	spending plan.
374	(8) INTERIM AGREEMENTBefore or in connection with the
375	negotiation of a comprehensive agreement, the public entity may
376	enter into an interim agreement with the private entity

171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx

Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM

Page 14 of 28

Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

377	Amendment No. proposing the development or operation of the qualifying
378	project. An interim agreement does not obligate the responsible
379	public entity to enter into a comprehensive agreement. The
380	interim agreement is discretionary with the parties and is not
381	required on a qualifying project for which the parties may
382	proceed directly to a comprehensive agreement without the need
383	for an interim agreement. An interim agreement must be limited
384	to provisions that:
385	(a) Authorize the private entity to commence activities
386	for which it may be compensated related to the proposed
387	qualifying project, including, but not limited to, project
388	planning and development, design and engineering, environmental
389	analysis and mitigation, survey, other activities concerning any
390	part of the proposed qualifying project, and ascertaining the
391	availability of financing for the proposed facility or
392	facilities.
393	(b) Establish the process and timing of the negotiation of
394	the comprehensive agreement.
395	(c) Contain such other provisions related to an aspect of
396	the development or operation of a qualifying project that the
397	responsible public entity and the private entity deem
398	appropriate.
399	(9) COMPREHENSIVE AGREEMENT
400	(a) Before developing or operating the qualifying project,
401	the private entity must enter into a comprehensive agreement
402	with the responsible public entity. The comprehensive agreement
403	must provide for:

171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM Page 15 of 28



Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

	DIII NO. HD 05 (2013)
404	Amendment No. 1. The delivery of performance and payment bonds, letters
405	of credit, or other security acceptable to the responsible
406	public entity in connection with the development or operation of
407	the qualifying project in the form and amount satisfactory to
408	the responsible public entity. For the components of the
409	qualifying project which involve construction, the form and
410	amount of the bonds must comply with s. 255.05.
411	2. The review of the plans and specifications for the
412	qualifying project by the responsible public entity and, if the
413	plans and specifications conform to standards acceptable to the
414	responsible public entity, the approval of the responsible
415	public entity. This subparagraph does not require the private
416	entity to complete the design of the qualifying project before
417	the execution of the comprehensive agreement.
418	3. The inspection of the qualifying project by the
-419	responsible public entity to ensure that the private entity's
420	activities are acceptable to the public entity in accordance
421	with the comprehensive agreement.
422	4. The maintenance of a policy of public liability
423	insurance, a copy of which must be filed with the responsible
424	public entity and accompanied by proofs of coverage, or self-
425	insurance, each in the form and amount satisfactory to the
426	responsible public entity and reasonably sufficient to ensure
427	coverage of tort liability to the public and employees and to
428	enable the continued operation of the qualifying project.
429	5. The monitoring by the responsible public entity of the
430	maintenance practices to be performed by the private entity to
431	ensure that the qualifying project is properly maintained.

171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM

Page 16 of 28



Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

Amendment No. 432 6. The periodic filing by the private entity of the 433 appropriate financial statements that pertain to the qualifying 434 project. 435 7. The procedures that govern the rights and 436 responsibilities of the responsible public entity and the 437 private entity in the course of the construction and operation of the qualifying project and in the event of the termination of 438 439 the comprehensive agreement or a material default by the private 440 entity. The procedures must include conditions that govern the 441 assumption of the duties and responsibilities of the private 442 entity by an entity that funded, in whole or part, the 443 qualifying project or by the responsible public entity, and must 444provide for the transfer or purchase of property or other 445 interests of the private entity by the responsible public 446 entity. 447 8. The fees, lease payments, or service payments. In 448 negotiating user fees, the fees must be the same for persons 449 using the facility under like conditions and must not materially 450 discourage use of the qualifying project. The execution of the 451 comprehensive agreement or a subsequent amendment is conclusive 452 evidence that the fees, lease payments, or service payments 453 provided for in the comprehensive agreement comply with this 454 section. Fees or lease payments established in the comprehensive 455 agreement as a source of revenue may be in addition to, or in 456 lieu of, service payments. 457 9. The duties of the private entity, including the terms 458 and conditions that the responsible public entity determines 459 serve the public purpose of this section.

171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM Page 17 of 28

	1 HI 21
	§

Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

	Amendment No.
460	(b) The comprehensive agreement may include:
461	1. An agreement by the responsible public entity to make
462	grants or loans to the private entity from amounts received from
463	the federal, state, or local government or an agency or
464	instrumentality thereof.
465	2. A provision under which each entity agrees to provide
466	notice of default and cure rights for the benefit of the other
467	entity, including, but not limited to, a provision regarding
468	unavoidable delays.
469	3. A provision that terminates the authority and duties of
470	the private entity under this section and dedicates the
471	qualifying project to the responsible public entity or, if the
472	qualifying project was initially dedicated by an affected local
473	jurisdiction, to the affected local jurisdiction for public use.
474	(10) FEESAn agreement entered into pursuant to this
475	section may authorize the private entity to impose fees to
476	members of the public for the use of the facility. The following
477	provisions apply to the agreement:
478	(a) The responsible public entity may develop new
479	facilities or increase capacity in existing facilities through
480	agreements with public-private partnerships.
481	(b) The public-private partnership agreement must ensure
482	that the facility is properly operated, maintained, or improved
483	in accordance with standards set forth in the comprehensive
484	agreement.
485	(c) The responsible public entity may lease existing fee-
486	for-use facilities through a public-private partnership
487	agreement.
	171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx
	Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM

Page 18 of 28

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

	Amendment No.
488	(d) Any revenues must be regulated by the responsible
489	public entity pursuant to the comprehensive agreement.
490	(e) A negotiated portion of revenues from fee-generating
491	uses must be returned to the public entity over the life of the
492	agreement.
493	(11) FINANCING.—
494	(a) A private entity may enter into a private-source
495	financing agreement between financing sources and the private
496	entity. A financing agreement and any liens on the property or
497	facility must be paid in full at the applicable closing that
498	transfers ownership or operation of the facility to the
499	responsible public entity at the conclusion of the term of the
500	comprehensive agreement.
501	(b) The responsible public entity may lend funds to
502	private entities that construct projects containing facilities
503	that are approved under this section.
504	(c) The responsible public entity may use innovative
505	finance techniques associated with a public-private partnership
506	under this section, including, but not limited to, federal loans
507	as provided in Titles 23 and 49 C.F.R., commercial bank loans,
508	and hedges against inflation from commercial banks or other
509	private sources. In addition, the responsible public entity may
510	provide its own capital or operating budget to support a
511	qualifying project. The budget may be from any legally
512	permissible funding sources of the responsible public entity,
513	including the proceeds of debt issuances. A responsible public
514	entity may use the model financing agreement provided in s.
515	489.145(6) for its financing of a facility owned by a

171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx

Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM

Page 19 of 28

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

516	Amendment No. responsible public entity. A financing agreement may not require	
517	the responsible public entity to indemnify the financing source,	
518	subject the responsible public entity's facility to liens in	
519	violation of s. 11.066(5), or secure financing by the	
520	responsible public entity with a pledge of security interest,	
521		
	and any such provisions are void.	
522	(d) A responsible public entity shall appropriate on a	
523	priority basis as required by the comprehensive agreement a	
524	contractual payment obligation, annual or otherwise, from the	
525	enterprise or other government fund from which the qualifying	
526	projects will be funded. This required payment obligation must	
527	be appropriated before other noncontractual obligations payable	
528	from the same enterprise or other government fund.	
529	(12) POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE PRIVATE ENTITY	
530	(a) The private entity shall:	
531	1. Develop or operate the qualifying project in a manner	
532	that is acceptable to the responsible public entity in	
533	accordance with the provisions of the comprehensive agreement.	
534	2. Maintain, or provide by contract for the maintenance or	
535	improvement of, the qualifying project if required by the	
536	comprehensive agreement.	
537	3. Cooperate with the responsible public entity in making	
538	best efforts to establish interconnection between the qualifying	
539	project and any other facility or infrastructure as requested by	
540	the responsible public entity in accordance with the provisions	
541	of the comprehensive agreement.	
542	4. Comply with the comprehensive agreement and any lease	
543	or service contract.	
 171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx		

1/10/9 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM Page 20 of 28

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

544	Amendment No. (b) Each private facility that is constructed pursuant to
545	this section must comply with the requirements of federal,
546	state, and local laws; state, regional, and local comprehensive
547	plans; the responsible public entity's rules, procedures, and
548	standards for facilities; and such other conditions that the
549	responsible public entity determines to be in the public's best
550	interest and that are included in the comprehensive agreement.
551	(c) The responsible public entity may provide services to
552	the private entity. An agreement for maintenance and other
553	services entered into pursuant to this section must provide for
554	full reimbursement for services rendered for qualifying
555	projects.
556	(d) A private entity of a qualifying project may provide
557	additional services for the qualifying project to the public or
558	to other private entities if the provision of additional
559	services does not impair the private entity's ability to meet
560	its commitments to the responsible public entity pursuant to the
561	comprehensive agreement.
562	(13) EXPIRATION OR TERMINATION OF AGREEMENTSUpon the
563	expiration or termination of a comprehensive agreement, the
564	responsible public entity may use revenues from the qualifying
565	project to pay current operation and maintenance costs of the
566	qualifying project. If the private entity materially defaults
567	under the comprehensive agreement, the compensation that is
568	otherwise due to the private entity is payable to satisfy all
569	financial obligations to investors and lenders on the qualifying
570	project in the same way that is provided in the comprehensive
571	agreement or any other agreement involving the qualifying
l	

171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx

Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM

Page 21 of 28



COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

Amendment No. 572 project, if the costs of operating and maintaining the 573 qualifying project are paid in the normal course. Revenues in 574 excess of the costs for operation and maintenance costs may be 575 paid to the investors and lenders to satisfy payment obligations 576 under their respective agreements. A responsible public entity 577 may terminate with cause and without prejudice a comprehensive 578 agreement and may exercise any other rights or remedies that may 579 be available to it in accordance with the provisions of the 580 comprehensive agreement. The full faith and credit of the responsible public entity may not be pledged to secure the 581 582 financing of the private entity. The assumption of the 583 development or operation of the qualifying project does not 584 obligate the responsible public entity to pay any obligation of 585 the private entity from sources other than revenues from the 586 qualifying project unless stated otherwise in the comprehensive 587 agreement. 588 (14) SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY.-This section does not waive the 589 sovereign immunity of a responsible public entity, an affected 590 local jurisdiction, or an officer or employee thereof with 591 respect to participation in, or approval of, any part of a 592 qualifying project or its operation, including, but not limited 593 to, interconnection of the qualifying project with any other 594 infrastructure or project. A county or municipality in which a 595 qualifying project is located possesses sovereign immunity with 596 respect to the project, including, but not limited to, its 597 design, construction, and operation. 598 (15) CONSTRUCTION.-This section shall be liberally 599 construed to effectuate the purposes of this section.

171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM Page 22 of 28



COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

600	Amendment No. (a) This section does not limit a state agency or
601	political subdivision of the state in the acquisition, design,
602	or construction of a public project pursuant to other statutory
603	authority.
604	(b) Except as otherwise provided in this section, this
605	section does not amend existing laws by granting additional
606	powers to, or further restricting, a local governmental entity
607	from regulating and entering into cooperative arrangements with
608	the private sector for the planning, construction, or operation
609	of a facility.
610	(c) This section does not waive any requirement of s.
611	287.055.
612	Section 2. Section 336.70, Florida Statutes, is created to
613	read:
1	
614	336.70 Public-private transportation facilities
	<u>336.70 Public-private transportation facilities</u> (1) A county may receive or solicit proposals and enter
614	
614 615	(1) A county may receive or solicit proposals and enter
614 615 616	(1) A county may receive or solicit proposals and enter into agreements with private entities or consortia thereof to
614 615 616 617	(1) A county may receive or solicit proposals and enter into agreements with private entities or consortia thereof to build, operate, own, or finance highways, bridges, multimodal
614 615 616 617 618	(1) A county may receive or solicit proposals and enter into agreements with private entities or consortia thereof to build, operate, own, or finance highways, bridges, multimodal transportation systems, transit-oriented development nodes,
614 615 616 617 618 619	(1) A county may receive or solicit proposals and enter into agreements with private entities or consortia thereof to build, operate, own, or finance highways, bridges, multimodal transportation systems, transit-oriented development nodes, transit stations, and related transportation facilities located
614 615 616 617 618 619 620	(1) A county may receive or solicit proposals and enter into agreements with private entities or consortia thereof to build, operate, own, or finance highways, bridges, multimodal transportation systems, transit-oriented development nodes, transit stations, and related transportation facilities located solely within the county, including municipalities therein.
614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621	(1) A county may receive or solicit proposals and enter into agreements with private entities or consortia thereof to build, operate, own, or finance highways, bridges, multimodal transportation systems, transit-oriented development nodes, transit stations, and related transportation facilities located solely within the county, including municipalities therein. Before approval, the county must determine that a proposed
614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622	(1) A county may receive or solicit proposals and enter into agreements with private entities or consortia thereof to build, operate, own, or finance highways, bridges, multimodal transportation systems, transit-oriented development nodes, transit stations, and related transportation facilities located solely within the county, including municipalities therein. Before approval, the county must determine that a proposed project:
614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622 623	(1) A county may receive or solicit proposals and enter into agreements with private entities or consortia thereof to build, operate, own, or finance highways, bridges, multimodal transportation systems, transit-oriented development nodes, transit stations, and related transportation facilities located solely within the county, including municipalities therein. Before approval, the county must determine that a proposed project: (a) Is in the best interest of the public.
614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622 623 624	(1) A county may receive or solicit proposals and enter into agreements with private entities or consortia thereof to build, operate, own, or finance highways, bridges, multimodal transportation systems, transit-oriented development nodes, transit stations, and related transportation facilities located solely within the county, including municipalities therein. Before approval, the county must determine that a proposed project: (a) Is in the best interest of the public. (b) Would not require county funds to be used unless the

| 171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM Page 23 of 28

4

\*\*

n. iv

•

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

627	Amendment No. (c) Would have adequate safeguards to ensure that
628	additional costs or unreasonable service disruptions are not
629	realized by the traveling public and citizens of the state in
630	the event of default or cancellation of the agreement by the
631	county.
632	(d) Would be owned by the county upon completion or
633	termination of the agreement.
634	(2) The county shall ensure that all reasonable costs to
635	the county related to transportation facilities that are not
636	part of the county road system are borne by the private entity
637	that develops or operates the facilities. The county shall also
638	ensure that all reasonable costs to the county and substantially
639	affected local governments and utilities related to the private
640	transportation facility are borne by the private entity for
641	transportation facilities that are owned by private entities.
642	For projects on the county road system or that provide increased
643	mobility on the county road system, the county may use county
644	resources to participate in funding and financing the project
645	pursuant to the county's financial policies and ordinances.
646	(3) The county may request proposals and receive
647	unsolicited proposals for public-private transportation
648	facilities. Upon a determination by the governing body of the
649	county to issue a request for proposals, the governing body of
650	the county must publish a notice of the request for proposals in
651	a newspaper of general circulation in the county at least once a
652	week for 2 weeks. Upon receipt of an unsolicited proposal, the
653	governing body of the county must publish a notice in a
654	newspaper of general circulation in the county at least once a

| 171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx

Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM

Page 24 of 28

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

	Amendment No.
655	week for 2 weeks stating that it has received the proposal and
656	will accept, for 60 days after the initial date of publication,
657	other proposals for the same project purpose. A copy of the
658	notice must be mailed to each local government in the affected
659	area. After the public notification period has expired, the
660	governing body of the county shall rank the proposals in order
661	of preference. In ranking the proposals, the governing body of
662	the county shall consider professional qualifications, general
663	business terms, innovative engineering or cost-reduction terms,
664	finance plans, and the need for county funds to complete the
665	project. If the governing body of the county is not satisfied
666	with the results of the negotiations, it may terminate
667	negotiations with the proposer. If negotiations are
668	unsuccessful, the governing body of the county may negotiate
669	with the entity having the next highest ranked proposal, using
670	the same procedure. If only one proposal is received, the
671	governing body of the county may negotiate in good faith and
672	may, if not satisfied with the results, terminate negotiations
673	with the proposer. The governing body of the county may, at its
674	discretion, reject all proposals at any point in the process up
675	to completion of a contract with the proposer. Any person
676	submitting an unsolicited proposal shall submit with the
677	proposal the sum of \$25,000 to the county to be applied by the
678	governing body of the county to its costs of review and analysis
679	of the proposal, and such person shall remain liable for any
680	additional costs and expenses of the county incurred for the
681	review and analysis.

171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM Page 25 of 28

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

682	Amendment No. (4) Agreements entered into pursuant to this section may
683	authorize the county or the private project owner, lessee, or
684	operator to impose, collect, and enforce tolls or fares for the
685	use of the transportation facility. However, the amount and use
686	of toll or fare revenue shall be regulated by the county to
687	avoid unreasonable costs to users of the facility.
688	(5) Each public-private transportation facility
689	constructed pursuant to this section shall comply with all
690	requirements of federal, state, and local laws; state, regional,
691	and local comprehensive plans; the county's rules, policies,
692	procedures, and standards for transportation facilities; and any
693	other conditions that the county determines to be in the best
694	interest of the public.
695	(6) The governing body of the county may exercise any of
696	its powers, including eminent domain, to facilitate the
697	development and construction of transportation projects pursuant
698	to this section. The governing body of the county may pay all or
699	part of the cost of operating and maintaining the facility and
700	may provide services to the private entity, for which services
701	it shall receive full or partial reimbursement.
702	(7) Except as otherwise provided in this section, this
703	section is not intended to amend existing law by granting
704	additional powers to or imposing further restrictions on local
705	governmental entities with regard to regulating and entering
706	into cooperative arrangements with the private sector for the
707	planning, construction, and operation of transportation
708	facilities.

171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM Page 26 of 28



COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

709	Amendment No.
	(8) Public-private partnership agreements under this
710	section shall be limited to a term not exceeding 75 years.
711	(9) This section does not authorize any county or counties
712	to enter into agreements with private entities or consortia
713	thereof to build, operate, own, or finance any transportation
714	facility that would extend beyond the geographical boundaries of
715	a single county.
716	Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2013.
717	
718	
719	TITLE AMENDMENT
720	Remove everything before the enacting clause and insert:
721	A bill to be entitled
722	An act relating to public-private partnerships;
723	creating s. 287.05712, F.S.; providing definitions;
724	providing legislative findings and intent relating to
725	the construction or improvement by private entities of
726	facilities used predominantly for a public purpose;
727	creating a task force to establish specified
728	guidelines; providing procurement procedures;
729	providing requirements for project approval; providing
730	project qualifications and process; providing for
731	notice to affected local jurisdictions; providing for
732	interim and comprehensive agreements between a public
733	and a private entity; providing for use fees;
734	providing for financing sources for certain projects
735	by a private entity; providing powers and duties of
736	private entities; providing for expiration or

171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM Page 27 of 28



COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 85 (2013)

Amendment No. 737 termination of agreements; providing for the 738 applicability of sovereign immunity for public 739 entities with respect to qualified projects; providing 740 for construction of the act; creating s. 336.70, F.S.; 741 authorizing counties to enter specified public-private. 742 agreements; providing financial requirements; 743 providing procurement procedures; providing notice 744 requirements; providing requirements for project 745 selection and approval; providing for fees for the 746 review and analysis of proposals; requiring compliance 747 with all other applicable laws; limiting specified 748 public-private partnerships to specified terms; 749 limiting geographical scope of specified agreements; 750 providing an effective date.

171079 - HB 85.Strike All Am.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 6:16:06 PM Page 28 of 28

.

# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:HB 145Letters of Credit Issued by a Federal Home Loan BankSPONSOR(S):SantiagoTIED BILLS:IDEN./SIM. BILLS:SB 558

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Insurance & Banking Subcommittee	12 Y, 0 N	Bauer	Cooper
2) Government Operations Subcommittee		Harrington	Williamson
3) Regulatory Affairs Committee			

# SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Chapter 280, Florida Statutes, is the Florida Security for Public Deposits Act (act), which authorizes state and local governments to deposit public deposits with qualified public depositories (QPDs). Public deposits are funds in excess of amounts required to meet disbursement needs or expenses, and QPDs are banks, savings banks, or savings associations that meet specific criteria under the act. Qualified public depositories must secure public deposits in accordance with the act and the collateral requirements and pledging levels as set for by rule of the Chief Financial Officer (CFO). Qualified public depositories may meet this collateral requirement by pledging, depositing, or issuing eligible collateral to the CFO, or to a CFO's designee in some instances. The Department of Financial Services, as headed by the CFO, administers a collateral management program which ensures compliance with the act.

The act's collateral requirements protect public deposits against loss in the event of a QPD's insolvency or default. Losses are satisfied first through the standard maximum federal deposit insurance of \$250,000, and then through the CFO's demand for payment under letters of credit or the sale of collateral pledged or deposited by the defaulting QPD. Any shortfall would then be covered by the CFO's authority to impose assessments against the other QPDs.

Qualified public depositories are permitted to use Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) letters of credit to meet collateral requirements if certain requirements are met under the act. One such condition is that obligations issued by the FHLB remain triple-A rated (the highest credit rating available) by a nationally recognized source. The three major credit rating agencies are Standard & Poor's (S&P), Fitch Ratings, and Moody's.

On August 5, 2011, S&P downgraded the credit rating of the United States' long-term sovereign debt from triple-A to AA+. Standard & Poor's also downgraded the credit rating of FHLB obligations from triple-A to AA+. While Fitch Ratings and Moody's have maintained their triple-A ratings of both U.S. sovereign debt and FHLB obligations, they have given negative outlooks in light of the current debate and uncertainty regarding U.S. fiscal and economic policy. In the event these two agencies also downgrade their credit ratings for FHLB obligations, QPDs could no longer use FHLB letters of credit as eligible collateral under current law. This would require QPDs to use other assets as replacement collateral, which in turn could affect their liquidity and lending ability.

The bill allows QPDs to continue securing and retaining FHLB letters of credit as eligible collateral in the event the other major credit agencies downgrade their ratings of FHLB obligations below triple-A. The bill permits QPDS to use FHLB letters of credit, if no longer triple-A rated, if FHLB obligations are rated by a nationally recognized source at not lower than its rating of the long-term sovereign credit of the U.S.

The bill does not have an impact on the private sector, and it is not likely that the bill will have a fiscal impact on state or local government.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2013.

# **FULL ANALYSIS**

# I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

# A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

# **Present Situation**

Chapter 280, Florida Statutes, is the Florida Security for Public Deposits Act (act), which authorizes state and local governments to deposit funds in excess of amounts required to meet disbursement needs or expenses in a qualified public depository (QPD), which is a bank, savings bank, or savings association that meets specific criteria. Qualified public depositories must secure public deposits in accordance with the act and the collateral requirements and pledging levels as set forth by rule of the Chief Financial Officer (CFO).<sup>1</sup> Qualified public depositories may meet this collateral requirement by pledging, depositing, or issuing eligible collateral to the CFO, or to a CFO's designee in some instances. Eligible collateral consists of securities, Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) letters of credit, and cash, as designated by the act.<sup>2</sup> The Department of Financial Services, as headed by the CFO, administers a collateral management program which ensures compliance with the act.<sup>3</sup>

The act's collateral requirements protect public deposits against loss in the event of certain triggering events, most notably, a QPD's insolvency or default.<sup>4</sup> Losses are satisfied first through the standard maximum federal deposit insurance of \$250,000, and then through the CFO's demand for payment under letters of credit or the sale of collateral pledged or deposited by the defaulting QPD.<sup>5</sup> Any remaining shortfall would then be covered by the CFO's authority to impose assessments against the other QPDs.<sup>6</sup>

Qualified public depositories are permitted to use Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) letters of credit as a collateral option, if certain requirements are met.<sup>7</sup> Unlike the other eligible collateral types, FHLB letters of credit do not involve a custodial arrangement and instead name the CFO as a beneficiary.<sup>8</sup> According to the Florida Bankers Association, FHLB letters of credit are stable, irrevocable, and cost-efficient. Additionally, the use of FHLB letters of credit provide operational efficiencies to the CFO who can directly make a demand on the FHLB letters of credit in the event of a QPD's default, without having to sell and transfer pledged securities.<sup>9</sup> As of October 29, 2012, 17 QPDs have pledged a total of \$1.88 billion in FLHB letters of credit as collateral.<sup>10</sup>

One prerequisite for QPDs to use FHLB letters of credit is that obligations issued by the FHLB remain triple-A rated by a nationally recognized source.<sup>11</sup> Currently, FHLB letters of credit are the only eligible collateral type that the act imposes a credit rating requirement, even though other eligible collateral forms, such as Treasury bonds and other federal agency obligations, receive credit ratings.

STORAGE NAME: h0145b.GVOPS.DOCX DATE: 3/1/2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See chapter 280, F.S., and chapter 69C-2, F.A.C.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sections 280.02(12) and 280.13, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> More information about the Bureau of Collateral Management can be found at: https://apps8.fldfs.com/cap\_web/, last accessed February 26, 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Section 280.041(6), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 280.08(3)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 280.08(3)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Section 280.13(5), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 280.13(5)(b)4., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Florida Bankers Association's analysis of HB 145, on file with the Insurance & Banking Subcommittee.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Policy & Research Memorandum from the Department of Financial Services, on file with the Insurance & Banking Subcommittee. <sup>11</sup> Section 280.13(5)(c), F.S.

According to Standard & Poor's (S&P), a nationally recognized source and one of the "Big Three" credit rating agencies (the other two being Moody's and Fitch Ratings):

Credit ratings are forward-looking opinions about credit risk...[and] the ability and willingness of an issuer...to meet its financial obligations in full and on time. Credit ratings can also speak to the credit quality of an individual debt issue...and the relative likelihood that the issue may default...Each agency applies its own methodology in measuring creditworthiness and using a specific rating scale to publish its ratings opinions. Typically, ratings are expressed as letter grades that range, for example, from 'AAA' to "D" to communicate the agency's opinion of relative level of credit risk.<sup>12</sup>

On August 5, 2011, S&P issued an unprecedented downgrade of the U.S.'s sovereign long-term credit rating from triple-A (the highest credit rating available) to AA+ (very strong capacity to meet financial commitments). Standard & Poor's attributed its downgrade to its negative outlook of the current debate and uncertainty surrounding U.S. fiscal and economic policy.<sup>13</sup> Due to the FHLB System's status as a government-sponsored enterprise, its credit ratings are integrally tied to those of the U.S. Accordingly, S&P similarly lowered its credit ratings on 10 of 12 FHLBs from triple-A to AA+:

The downgrades reflect the interplay between the sovereign rating and the entities' stand-alone credit profiles. The ratings continue to reflect our opinion that there is a very high likelihood the U.S. government would provide timely and sufficient extraordinary support to these entities in the event of financial distress.<sup>14</sup>

However, Moody's gave its highest ratings to long-term debt (Aaa) and short-term debt (Prime-1) issued by the FHLBs,<sup>15</sup> and Fitch Ratings affirmed its triple-A rating of several FHLBs.<sup>16</sup> In addition, both Moody's and Fitch Ratings have maintained their triple-A ratings of U.S. long-term sovereign debt, although subject to a negative outlook based on concerns over the federal deficit.<sup>17</sup>

In the event all nationally recognized sources downgrade their ratings of FHLB obligations below triple-A, current law would not permit QPDs to use FHLB letters of credit as eligible collateral. Consequently, QPDs would have to turn to other assets (such as Treasury notes and Fannie Mae securities) as replacement collateral, which could affect their liquidity and lending ability.

# Effect of the Bill

This bill enables QPDs to continue using FHLB letters of credit as eligible collateral, in the event the other major credit agencies downgrade their ratings of FHLB obligations below triple-A. The bill permits the use of FHLB letters of credit, if no longer triple-A rated, if FHLB obligations are rated by a nationally recognized source at not lower than its rating of the long-term sovereign credit of the U.S.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2013.

<sup>17</sup> http://www.reuters.com/article/2013/01/28/us-usa-rating-fitch-idUSBRE90R0WS20130128, last accessed February 26, 2013.

STORAGE NAME: h0145b.GVOPS.DOCX DATE: 3/1/2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> http://www.standardandpoors.com/ratings/definitions-and-faqs/en/us, last accessed February 26, 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> United States of America Long-Term Rating Lowered to 'AA+' Due to Political Risks, Rising Debt Burden; Outlook Negative, S&P's press release, August 5, 2011, http://www.standardandpoors.com/ratings/articles/en/us/?assetID=1245316529563, last accessed February 26, 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Credit Matters: Special Report on the U.S. Rating Downgrade and Its Global Effects, Standard & Poor's CreditWeek, Vol. 31, No. 31, Page 19 (August 17, 2011). A copy of the article is available online at:

www.standardandpoors.com/spf/swf/creditweek/data/document.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> http://www.fhlb-of.com/ofweb\_userWeb/pageBuilder/credit-ratings-31, last accessed February 26, 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> http://www.reuters.com/article/2011/08/16/idUS209276+16-Aug-2011+BW20110816, last accessed February 26, 2013.

# **B. SECTION DIRECTORY:**

Section 1 amends s. 280.13, F.S., revising circumstances under which letters of credit issued by a Federal Home Loan Bank are eligible as collateral.

Section 2 provides an effective date of July 1, 2013.

# **II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

# A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

A fiscal impact to state government revenues is not likely.

2. Expenditures:

A fiscal impact to state government expenditures is not likely.

- B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:
  - 1. Revenues:

A fiscal impact on local government revenues is not likely.

2. Expenditures:

A fiscal impact on local government expenditures is not likely.

# C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The ability to continue using FHLB letters of credit as eligible collateral may be beneficial to QPDs, as FHLB letters of credit are stable, irrevocable, and cost-efficient. Additionally, there are operational efficiencies to the CFO who can directly make a demand on the FHLB letters of credit in the event of a . QPD's default without having to sell and transfer pledged securities.

The Department of Financial Services does not anticipate that the bill will have a fiscal impact.

# **III. COMMENTS**

## A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable. This bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to spend funds or take an action requiring the expenditure of funds; reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenues in the aggregate; or reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

None.

# B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

Current law authorizes the Chief Financial Officer to adopt rules to determine collateral requirements and procedures by rule, which have been set forth in chapter 69C-2, F.A.C.<sup>18</sup> However, it is not anticipated that the bill will require amendments to current rules.

# C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

# **IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES**

None.

HB 145

A

2013

1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to letters of credit issued by a
3	Federal Home Loan Bank; amending s. 280.13, F.S.;
4	revising circumstances under which letters of credit
5	issued by a Federal Home Loan Bank are eligible as
6	collateral; providing an effective date.
7	
8	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
9	
10	Section 1. Paragraph (c) of subsection (5) of section
11	280.13, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
12	280.13 Eligible collateral
13	(5) Letters of credit issued by a Federal Home Loan Bank
14	are eligible as collateral under this section provided that:
15	(c) Obligations issued by the Federal Home Loan Bank
16	remain <u>triple-A</u> triple-A rated by a nationally recognized source
17	or, if no longer triple-A rated, rated by a nationally
18	recognized source at not lower than its rating of the long-term
19	sovereign credit of the United States.
20	Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2013.
'	Page 1 of 1

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

\* \*

·

• .

# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:PCS for HB 247Paper ReductionSPONSOR(S):Government Operations SubcommitteeTIED BILLS:HB 249IDEN./SIM. BILLS:SB 1352

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	
Orig. Comm.: Government Operations Subcommittee		Stramski	Williamson AW

# SUMMARY ANALYSIS

It is a stated goal of the State of Florida to decrease the paperwork burden associated with the conduct of state business. This bill furthers that goal by permitting the use of an electronic medium to collect and disseminate information as required by law in selected settings.

# The bill:

- Requires the statewide voter registration application to elicit the voter registration applicant's e-mail address and an indication of whether the applicant wishes to receive sample ballots by e-mail.
- Authorizes the supervisor of elections to provide electronic sample ballots to electors if certain requirements are met.
- Requires the clerk of a board of county commissioners to electronically transmit to the Department of State enacted ordinances, amendments, and emergency ordinances, and requires the Department to electronically confirm by e-mail the receipt and the effective date of such filings with the clerk.
- Permits the clerk of a value adjustment board to electronically notify the taxpayer and property appraiser of the board's decision in certain hearings if electronic means is selected by the taxpayer.
- Authorizes the property appraiser to notify taxpayers by postcard or electronically that proposed property tax rates and non-ad valorem assessments are available on the property appraiser's website.
- Requires the property appraiser to prepare and make available certain tax information on his or her office's website.
- Requires a licensed bail bond agent to provide notice of a change of e-mail address to specified entities, and requires a bail bond agent who executes or countersigns a transfer bond to indicate the agent's e-mail address on the bond.
- Provides that the posting of a bail bond agent's e-mail address is a permissible form of print advertising in jails.
- Permits bail bonds to be posted in person or electronically at the election of the receiving agency.
- Authorizes bonds to be transmitted electronically between a sheriff's office and the clerk of the court.
- Requires an affidavit filed with a bond to be submitted in the same manner as the bond.
- Provides that all licensed bail bond agents shall have equal access to jails for the purpose of making bonds, whether in person or electronically.
- Permits the clerk of court to electronically provide notice of a required appearance and of bond forfeiture, and allows a clerk to electronically furnish certain documents and notices required in bond forfeiture proceedings.
- Allows a clerk of court to electronically furnish a certificate of cancellation of a bond to the surety without cost.
- Provides that guaranteed traffic arrest bonds may be presented in person or electronically.

The bill has an indeterminate fiscal impact on state and local governments.

This bill may be a county or municipal mandate. See Section III.A.1. of the analysis.

#### FULL ANALYSIS

# I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

# A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The Florida Legislature has on various occasions expressed that the reduction of the use of paper, where feasible, is the policy of the state.<sup>1</sup> This bill furthers the goal of lowering the use of paper by permitting the use of an electronic medium to collect and disseminate information as required by law in selected settings.

# **Voter Registration and Sample Ballots**

#### Background

Current law requires the Department of State to prescribe by rule a uniform statewide voter registration application.<sup>2</sup> The application must elicit certain information from the voter applicant, such as the applicant's name, date of birth, and address of legal residence.<sup>3</sup> The application does not request a voter's e-mail address.

Current law also requires the supervisor of elections to publish a sample ballot in a newspaper of general circulation in the county, prior to the day of the election. If the county has an addressograph or similar system, the supervisor may mail a sample ballot to each registered elector in lieu of publication. The sample ballot must be mailed at least seven days prior to any election.<sup>4</sup>

# Effect of the Bill

The bill requires the statewide voter registration application to include a field for an applicant's e-mail address and an indication of whether the applicant wishes to receive sample ballots by e-mail.

The bill permits a supervisor of elections to provide electronic sample ballots to electors who have provided e-mail addresses and opted into the electronic ballot delivery system. It allows a supervisor of elections to mail or e-mail sample ballots to registered electors in lieu of publishing such ballots in a newspaper of general circulation in the county.

## **Transmittal of Enacted Ordinances**

#### Background

Current law provides requirements for counties to adhere to when exercising the ordinance-making powers conferred by the State Constitution.<sup>5</sup> It establishes the following regular enactment procedure:

The board of county commissioners at any regular or special meeting may enact or amend any ordinance ... if notice of intent to consider such ordinance is given at least 10 days prior to said meeting by publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the county. A copy of such notice shall be kept available for public inspection during the regular business hours of the office of the clerk of the board of county commissioners. The notice of proposed enactment shall state the date, time, and place of the meeting; the title or titles of proposed ordinances; and the

STORAGE NAME: pcs0247.GVOPS.DOCX DATE: 3/5/2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See sections 23.20-23.22, F.S. "The state must minimize the paperwork burden by evaluating its need for information, determining whether it already has access to the necessary information, and coordinating data collection initiatives at their source." Section 23.20(4), F.S. *See also* section 120.74(1)(e), F.S. "[E]ach agency shall perform a formal review of its rules every 2 years. In the review, each agency must [s]eek to improve efficiency, reduce paperwork, or decrease costs to government and the private sector."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 97.052(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 97.052(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Section 101.20(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 125.66(1), F.S.

place or places within the county where such proposed ordinances may be inspected by the public. The notice shall also advise that interested parties may appear at the meeting and be heard with respect to the proposed ordinance.<sup>6</sup>

Certified copies of ordinances or amendments thereto must be filed with the Department of State by the clerk of the board of county commissioners within 10 days after enactment by the board. The ordinances or amendments take effect upon filing with the Department of State, unless the ordinance prescribes a later effective date.<sup>7</sup>

# Effect of the Bill

The bill requires a clerk of a board of county commissioners to electronically transmit to the Department of State enacted ordinances, amendments, and emergency ordinances. It requires the Department of State to electronically confirm by e-mail the receipt and the effective date of such filings with the clerk of the board of county commissioners.

#### Value Adjustment Boards

#### Background

Value adjustment boards are constituted in each county to conduct administrative hearings relating to assessments, complaints relating to homestead exemptions, appeals from tax exemptions denied, and appeals concerning ad valorem deferrals and classifications.<sup>8</sup> The value adjustment board must render a written decision within 20 calendar days after the last day the board is in session. The clerk must then provide notice of the board's decision by first-class mail.<sup>9</sup>

#### Effect of the Bill

The bill permits the clerk of a value adjustment board to electronically notify the taxpayer and property appraiser of the value adjustment board's decision in a hearing held pursuant to s. 194.034, F.S., if electronic means is selected by the taxpayer on the originally filed petition.

### **Property Appraisers**

#### Background

Current law requires each property appraiser to provide notice of proposed property taxes and non-ad valorem assessments by first-class mail to each taxpayer listed on the current year's assessments. Elements that must be included on such notice are prescribed by statute.<sup>10</sup>

#### Effect of the Bill

The bill authorizes a property appraiser to notify taxpayers by postcard that the notice of proposed property taxes and non-ad valorem assessments is available for viewing and download at the appraiser's website. The bill provides approved language for such postcards. It also authorizes a property appraiser to provide notification by e-mail to property owners or other interested parties who have registered an e-mail address with the appraiser.

The property appraiser must prepare and make available on his or her office's website a notice of proposed property taxes and non-ad valorem assessments for each taxpayer listed on the year's assessment roll as a separate web page, link, attachment, or document. Such online notice from the appraiser must meet specified criteria, including, but not limited to, specifying all substantive elements required for such notice. The property appraiser may display the required substantive elements in a format different from that prescribed by the Department of Revenue only upon receiving prior written

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 125.66(2)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Section 125.66(2)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 194.032(1)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Section 194.034(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Section 200.069, F.S.

STORAGE NAME: pcs0247.GVOPS.DOCX DATE: 3/5/2013

permission from the executive director of the Department. The format may contain additional substantive elements deemed important by the appraiser, in addition to the elements provided for by law.

# **Bail Bond Agents and Bail Bonds**

## Background

The Department of Financial Services is charged with licensing bail bond agents, and may only issue a bail bond license to an individual.<sup>11</sup> A person must be qualified, licensed, and appointed in order to act in the capacity of a bail bond agent or temporary bail bond agent and to perform any of the functions, duties, or powers of such agents.<sup>12</sup> Current law requires a licensed bail bond agent to notify the Department of Financial Services, the insurer, the managing general agent, and the clerk of each court where the licensee is registered of a change of business address or telephone number within 10 days of such a change.<sup>13</sup>

The Legislature has declared that it is the policy of the state that a bond for the pretrial or appellate release of a criminal defendant for which fees or premiums are charged must be executed by a bail bond agent licensed pursuant to chapter 648, F.S., and must be construed as a commitment by and obligation upon the bail bond agent to ensure that the defendant appears at all subsequent criminal proceedings.<sup>14</sup> A bail bond agent who executes or countersigns a bond is required to indicate the name and address of the referring bail bond agent.

A bail bond agent is prohibited from soliciting business in a jail, prison, or other location where prisoners are generally held. Permissible print advertising in the jail is limited to a listing in a telephone directory and the posting of the bail bond agent's or agency's name, address, and telephone number in a designated location within the jail.<sup>15</sup>

If there is a breach of a bond, the bond or money deposited as bail may be forfeited only if the clerk of court gives the surety at least 72 hour notice of a required appearance by a defendant.<sup>16</sup> A notice of bond forfeiture has to be provided by mail.<sup>17</sup>

### Effect of the Bill

The bill requires a licensed bail bond agent to provide notice of a change of e-mail address to specified entities within 10 days of such change. It also requires a bail bond agent who executes or countersigns a transfer bond to indicate the agent's e-mail address on the bond.

The bill provides that the posting of a bail bond agent's e-mail address is a permissible form of print advertising in jails.

The bill permits bail bonds to be posted in person or electronically at the election of the receiving agency. It authorizes bonds to be transmitted electronically between a sheriff's office and the clerk of the court. An affidavit filed with a bond must be submitted in the same manner as the bond.

The bill provides that all licensed bail bond agents shall have equal access to jails for the purpose of making bonds, whether in person or electronically.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Section 648.27(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Section 648.30(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Section 648.421, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Section 648.24, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Section 648.44, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Section 903.26(1)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Section 903.26(2)(a), F.S.

STORAGE NAME: pcs0247.GVOPS.DOCX DATE: 3/5/2013

The bill permits the clerk of court to electronically provide notice of a required appearance and of bond forfeiture. It allows the clerk of court to electronically furnish certain documents and notices required in bond forfeiture proceedings.

The bill allows a clerk of court to electronically furnish a certificate of cancellation of a bond to the surety without cost.

The bill provides that guaranteed traffic arrest bonds may be presented in person or electronically.

**B. SECTION DIRECTORY:** 

**Section 1:** Amends s. 97.052, F.S., requiring that the uniform statewide voter registration application be designed to elicit the e-mail address of an applicant and whether the applicant desires to receive sample ballots by e-mail.

**Section 2:** Amends s. 101.20, F.S., authorizing a supervisor of elections to send a sample ballot to a registered elector by e-mail under certain circumstances.

**Section 3:** Amends s. 125.66, F.S., requiring the clerk of a board of county commissioners to electronically transmit enacted ordinances, amendments, and emergency ordinances to the Department of State.

**Section 4:** Amends s. 194.034, F.S., permitting a value adjustment board to electronically provide the taxpayer and property appraiser with notice of the decision of the board.

**Section 5:** Amends s. 200.069, F.S., authorizing the property appraiser to notify taxpayers of proposed property taxes by postcard in lieu of first-class mail; providing notice language; authorizing the property appraiser to prepare and make available on the appraiser's website the notice of proposed property taxes; providing additional notice requirements.

**Section 6:** Amends s. 648.421, F.S., requiring a licensed bail bond agent to provide notice of a change of e-mail address to specified entities.

**Section 7:** Amends s. 648.43, F.S., requiring a bail bond agent who executes or countersigns a transfer bond to indicate the agent's e-mail address.

**Section 8:** Amends s. 648.44, F.S., providing that a bail bond agent's e-mail address is permissible print advertising in jails.

**Section 9:** Creates s. 903.012, F.S., permitting bonds to be posted electronically at the election of the receiving agency.

**Section 10:** Amends 903.101, F.S., providing that every licensed surety shall have equal access to jails for the purpose of making bonds either in person or electronically.

**Section 11:** Amends s. 903.14, F.S., requiring a surety who submits an affidavit pertaining to any bond to file such affidavit in the same manner as the bond.

**Section 12:** Amends s. 903.26, F.S., providing that notices from the clerk of court relating to bond forfeiture proceedings may be transmitted electronically.

**Section 13:** Amends s. 903.27, F.S., permitting a clerk of court to furnish certain required documents and notices relating to bond forfeitures by mail or electronic means; removing an outdated provision.

**Section 14:** Amends s. 903.31, F.S., providing that a certificate of cancellation of an original bond may be furnished electronically.

**Section 15:** Amends s. 903.36, F.S., providing that traffic arrest bond certificates may be presented electronically.

Section 16: Provides an effective date of July 1, 2013.

# **II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

- A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:
  - 1. Revenues:

See FISCAL COMMENTS.

2. Expenditures:

See FISCAL COMMENTS.

- B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:
  - 1. Revenues:

See FISCAL COMMENTS.

2. Expenditures:

See FISCAL COMMENTS.

- C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:
  - . None.
- D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

There may be undetermined costs associated with modifying the uniform statewide voter application; however, the Department of State does not foresee any fiscal impact based on this requirement.<sup>18</sup>

There may be an undetermined fiscal impact on supervisors of elections who have to maintain e-mail addresses of voters and voter registration applicants, and to monitor which registered voters wish to receive sample ballots electronically. Additionally, there may be costs to supervisors of elections related to setting up a system to send out sample ballots electronically. Some, if not most, of these costs may be offset by savings resulting from the electronic provision of sample ballots.

There may be an undetermined fiscal impact on property appraisers who seek to implement an electronic method of providing notice of proposed property taxes and non-ad valorem assessments. However, the modifications to s. 200.069, F.S. which provide for electronic notice of proposed property tax rates and non-ad valorem assessments are permissive, not mandatory. It is therefore expected that counties will adopt electronic methods of providing notice of proposed property taxes and non-ad valorem assessments when such methods will reduce expenditures. There will be undetermined costs associated with the requirement that a property taxes and non-ad valorem assessments for each taxpayer listed on the year's assessment roll.

<sup>18</sup> Analysis of HB 247 (2013) by the Department of State, at 1 (January 29, 2013) (on file with the Government Operations Subcommittee).
 STORAGE NAME: pcs0247.GVOPS.DOCX
 DATE: 3/5/2013

# A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The mandates provision of Art. VII, s. 18 of the State Constitution may apply because this bill could cause counties to incur additional expenses associated with the requirement that the property appraiser post certain tax information on his or her office's website; however, an exemption may apply if the bill results in an insignificant fiscal impact to county governments. The exceptions to the mandates provision of Art. VII, s. 18, of the Florida Constitution appear to be inapplicable because the bill does not articulate a threshold finding of serving an important state interest.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

This bill does not appear to create a need for additional rulemaking authority.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

# Other Comments: Preclearance Requirement

The Department of State provided the following comments regarding preclearance:

Under section 5 of the Voting Rights Act, new statewide legislation that implements a voting change, including but not limited to, a change in the manner of voting, change in registration, balloting, and the counting of votes, change in candidacy requirements and qualifications, change in the composition of the electorate that may vote for a candidate, or change affecting the creation or abolition of an elective office, is subject to preclearance by the U.S. Department of Justice or the federal District Court for the District of Columbia. The preclearance review is to determine if the change has a discriminatory purpose or effect that denies or abridges the right to vote on account of race, color or membership in a language minority group in a covered jurisdiction. Florida has five covered jurisdictions subject to preclearance: Collier, Hardee, Hendry, Hillsborough, and Monroe counties. Until precleared, the legislation is unenforceable in these five counties.<sup>19</sup>

# IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

#### PCS for HB 247

# ORIGINAL

1 A bill to be entitled 2 An act relating to paper reduction; amending s. 3 97.052, F.S.; providing that the uniform statewide voter registration application be designed to elicit 4 5 the e-mail address of an applicant and whether the 6 applicant desires to receive sample ballots by e-mail; 7 amending s. 101.20, F.S.; authorizing a supervisor of 8 elections to send a sample ballot to a registered 9 elector by e-mail under certain circumstances; 10 amending s. 125.66, F.S.; requiring the clerk of a 11 board of county commissioners to electronically 12 transmit enacted ordinances, amendments, and emergency 13 ordinances to the Department of State; amending s. 14 194.034, F.S.; permitting a value adjustment board to 15 electronically provide the taxpayer and property 16 appraiser with notice of the decision of the board; 17 amending s. 200.069, F.S.; authorizing the property 18 appraiser to notify taxpayers of proposed property 19 taxes by postcard or e-mail in lieu of first-class 20 mail; providing notice language; authorizing the 21 property appraiser to prepare and make available on 22 the appraiser's website the notice of proposed 23 property taxes; providing additional notice 24 requirements; amending s. 648.421, F.S.; requiring a 25 licensed bail bond agent to provide notice of a change 26 of e-mail address to specified entities; amending s. 27 648.43, F.S.; requiring a bail bond agent who executes 28 or countersigns a transfer bond to indicate the

Page 1 of 22

PCS for HB 247 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

V

# ORIGINAL

29 agent's e-mail address; amending s. 648.44, F.S.; 30 providing that a bail bond agent's e-mail address is 31 permissible print advertising in certain places; 32 creating s. 903.012, F.S.; permitting bonds to be 33 posted in person or electronically at the election of 34 the receiving agency; permitting the electronic 35 transmission of bonds between certain entities; 36 amending s. 903.101, F.S.; providing that every 37 licensed surety shall have equal access to jails for 38 the purpose of making bonds either in person or 39 electronically; amending s. 903.14, F.S.; requiring a 40 surety who submits an affidavit pertaining to any bond 41 to file an affidavit in the same manner as the bond; 42 amending s. 903.26, F.S.; authorizing a clerk of court 43 to mail or electronically transmit a notice relating 44 to a bond forfeiture proceeding; amending s. 903.27, 45 F.S.; permitting a clerk of court to furnish certain 46 required documents and notices relating to bond 47 forfeitures by mail or electronic means; deleting an 48 outdated provision; amending s. 903.31, F.S.; 49 providing that a certificate of cancellation of an 50 original bond may be furnished by mail or 51 electronically; amending s. 903.36, F.S.; providing 52 that traffic arrest bond certificates may be presented 53 in person or electronically; providing an effective 54 date.

55

56 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

PCS for HB 247

#### Page 2 of 22

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

V

# ORIGINAL

57 58 Section 1. Paragraphs (e) through (t) of subsection (2) of 59 section 97.052, Florida Statutes, are redesignated as paragraphs 60 (f) through (u), respectively, and a new paragraph (e) is added 61 to that section, to read: 62 97.052 Uniform statewide voter registration application.-63 (2) The uniform statewide voter registration application must be designed to elicit the following information from the 64 65 applicant: 66 (e) E-mail address and whether the applicant wishes to 67 receive sample ballots by e-mail. 68 69 The registration application must be in plain language and 70 designed so that convicted felons whose civil rights have been 71 restored and persons who have been adjudicated mentally 72 incapacitated and have had their voting rights restored are not 73 required to reveal their prior conviction or adjudication. 74 Section 2. Subsection (2) of section 101.20, Florida 75 Statutes, is amended to read: 76 101.20 Publication of ballot form; sample ballots.-77 Upon completion of the list of qualified candidates, a (2) 78 sample ballot shall be published by the supervisor of elections 79 in a newspaper of general circulation in the county, before 80 <del>prior to</del> the day of election. In lieu of publication, a 81 supervisor may send a sample ballot to each registered elector 82 by e-mail at least 7 days before any election if an e-mail 83 address has been provided and the elector has opted to receive a sample ballot by electronic delivery. If an e-mail address has 84

Page 3 of 22 PCS for HB 247 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

	PCS for HB 247 ORIGINAL 2013
85	not been provided, or if the elector has not opted for
86	electronic delivery, <del>If the county has an addressograph or</del>
87	equivalent system for mailing to registered electors, a sample
88	ballot may be mailed to each registered elector or to each
89	household in which there is a registered elector, in lieu of
90	publication, at least 7 days <u>before</u> <del>prior to</del> any election.
91	Section 3. Paragraph (b) of subsection (2) and subsection
92	(3) of section 125.66, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
93	125.66 Ordinances; enactment procedure; emergency
94	ordinances; rezoning or change of land use ordinances or
95	resolutions
96	(2)
97	(b) Certified copies of ordinances or amendments thereto
98	enacted under this regular enactment procedure shall be filed
99	with the Department of State by the clerk of the board of county
100	commissioners within 10 days after enactment by said board and
101	shall take effect upon filing with the Department of State.
102	However, any ordinance may prescribe a later effective date. In
103	lieu of delivery of the certified copies of the enacted
104	ordinances or amendments by first-class mail, the clerk of the
105	board of county commissioners shall transmit the enacted
106	ordinances or amendments to the department by e-mail. The
107	department shall confirm by e-mail the receipt and effective
108	date of the ordinances or amendments with the clerk of the board
109	of county commissioners.
110	(3) The emergency enactment procedure shall be as follows:
111	The board of county commissioners at any regular or special
112	meeting may enact or amend any ordinance with a waiver of the
	Page 4 of 22

PCS for HB 247 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

137

# ORIGINAL

113 notice requirements of subsection (2) by a four-fifths vote of 114 the membership of such board, declaring that an emergency exists 115 and that the immediate enactment of said ordinance is necessary. ° 116 However, no emergency ordinance or resolution shall be enacted 117 which establishes or amends the actual zoning map designation of 118 a parcel or parcels of land or changes the actual list of 119 permitted, conditional, or prohibited uses within a zoning 120 category. Emergency enactment procedures for land use plans 121 adopted pursuant to part II of chapter 163 shall be pursuant to 122 that part. Certified copies of ordinances or amendments thereto 123 enacted under this emergency enactment procedure by a county 124 shall be filed with the Department of State by the clerk of the 125 board of county commissioners as soon after enactment by said 126 board as is practicable. An emergency ordinance enacted under 127 this procedure shall be transmitted by the clerk of the board of 128 county commissioners by e-mail to the Department of State. It 129 shall be deemed to be filed and shall take effect when a copy 130 has been accepted and confirmed by the department by e-mail 131 deemed to be filed and shall take effect when a copy has been 132 accepted by the postal authorities of the Covernment of the 133 United States for special delivery by certified mail to the 134 Department of State.

Section 4. Subsection (2) of section 194.034, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

194.034 Hearing procedures; rules.-

(2) In each case, except if the complaint is withdrawn by
the petitioner or if the complaint is acknowledged as correct by
the property appraiser, the value adjustment board shall render

Page 5 of 22 PCS for HB 247 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

# ۷

# ORIGINAL

141 a written decision. All such decisions shall be issued within 20 calendar days after the last day the board is in session under 142 s. 194.032. The decision of the board must contain findings of 143 144 fact and conclusions of law and must include reasons for 145 upholding or overturning the determination of the property 146 appraiser. If a special magistrate has been appointed, the 147 recommendations of the special magistrate shall be considered by 148 the board. The clerk, upon issuance of a decision, shall, on a 149 form provided by the Department of Revenue, notify each taxpayer 150 and the property appraiser of the decision of the board. This 151 notification shall be by first-class mail or by electronic means 152 if selected by the taxpayer on the originally filed petition 153 each taxpayer and the property appraiser of the decision of the 154 board. If requested by the Department of Revenue, the clerk 155 shall provide to the department a copy of the decision or 156 information relating to the tax impact of the findings and 157 results of the board as described in s. 194.037 in the manner 158 and form requested.

159 Section 5. Section 200.069, Florida Statutes, is amended 160 to read:

161 200.069 Notice of proposed property taxes and non-ad 162 valorem assessments.-Pursuant to s. 200.065(2)(b), the property 163 appraiser, in the name of the taxing authorities and local 164 governing boards levying non-ad valorem assessments within his 165 or her jurisdiction and at the expense of the county, shall 166 prepare and deliver by first-class mail to each taxpayer to be 167 listed on the current year's assessment roll a notice of 168 proposed property taxes, which notice shall contain the elements

Page 6 of 22 PCS for HB 247 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

V

# ORIGINAL

2013

169 and use the format provided in the following form. 170 Notwithstanding the provisions of s. 195.022, no county officer 171 shall use a form other than that provided herein. The Department 172 of Revenue may adjust the spacing and placement on the form of 173 the elements listed in this section as it considers necessary 174 based on changes in conditions necessitated by various taxing 175 authorities. If the elements are in the order listed, the 176 placement of the listed columns may be varied at the discretion 177 and expense of the property appraiser, and the property 178appraiser may use printing technology and devices to complete 179 the form, the spacing, and the placement of the information in 180 the columns. A county officer may use a form other than that 181 provided by the department for purposes of this part, but only 182 if his or her office pays the related expenses and he or she 183 obtains prior written permission from the executive director of 184 the department; however, a county officer may not use a form the 185 substantive content of which is at variance with the form prescribed by the department. The county officer may continue to 186 187 use such an approved form until the law that specifies the form 188 is amended or repealed or until the officer receives written 189 disapproval from the executive director. In lieu of delivery of 190 the notice of proposed property taxes by first-class mail, the 191 property appraiser may prepare and mail a postcard to each 192 taxpayer listed on the current year's assessment roll, which 193 shall contain at a minimum the following statement: 194 ATTENTION PROPERTY OWNER 195 This postcard is your official notification pursuant to 196 sections 192.0105 and 200.069, Florida Statutes, that your

Page 7 of 22

PCS for HB 247 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

V

#### 2013 PCS for HB 247 ORIGINAL 197 notice of proposed property taxes and non-ad valorem 198 assessments is available for viewing and download on my 199 website at ... (website address) .... If you are unable to 200 access my website, you are entitled to have a copy of your 201 notice mailed to you for free by contacting my office at 202 ... (telephone number) .... Please note: your final tax bill may contain non-ad valorem assessments that may not be 203 204 reflected on your notice, such as assessments for roads, 205 fire, garbage, lighting, drainage, water, sewer, or other 206 governmental services and facilities that may be levied by 207 your county, city, or special district. 208 209 The property appraiser may also provide notification by e-mail 210 to property owners or other interested parties who have 211 registered an e-mail address with the property appraiser that 212 the notice of proposed property taxes and non-ad valorem 213 assessments is available for viewing and download on the 214 property appraiser office's website. The property appraiser 215 shall prepare and make available for viewing, printing, and 216 downloading on the property appraiser office's website a notice 217 of proposed property taxes and non-ad valorem assessments for 218 each taxpayer to be listed on the current year's assessment 219 roll, which shall be a separate web page, weblink, attachment, 220 or document, and shall contain all the substantive elements as 221 outlined in this section. The property appraiser may use a 222 format for web display of all substantive elements as outlined 223 in this section other than that provided by the department for 224 purposes of this part, but only if the property appraiser's

Page 8 of 22

PCS for HB 247 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

	PCS for HB 247 ORIGINAL 2013
225	office obtains prior written permission from the executive
226	director of the department. The format may contain substantive
227	elements deemed important by the property appraiser, in addition
228	to the elements outlined in this section. The property appraiser
229	may continue to use the approved format until the law that
230	specifies the form is amended or repealed or until the officer
231	receives written disapproval from the executive director of the
232	department.
233	(1) The first page of the notice shall read:
234	NOTICE OF PROPOSED PROPERTY TAXES
235	DO NOT PAY-THIS IS NOT A BILL
236	The taxing authorities which levy property taxes against
237	your property will soon hold PUBLIC HEARINGS to adopt budgets
238	and tax rates for the next year.
239	The purpose of these PUBLIC HEARINGS is to receive opinions
240	from the general public and to answer questions on the proposed
241	tax change and budget PRIOR TO TAKING FINAL ACTION.
242	Each taxing authority may AMEND OR ALTER its proposals at
243	the hearing.
244	(2)(a) The notice shall include a brief legal description
245	of the property, the name and mailing address of the owner of
246	record, and the tax information applicable to the specific
247	parcel in question. The information shall be in columnar form.
248	There shall be seven column headings which shall read: "Taxing
249	Authority," "Your Property Taxes Last Year," "Last Year's
250	Adjusted Tax Rate (Millage)," "Your Taxes This Year IF NO Budget
251	Change Is Adopted," "Tax Rate This Year IF PROPOSED Budget Is
252	Adopted (Millage)," "Your Taxes This Year IF PROPOSED Budget
F	Page 9 of 22

PCS for HB 247 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

V

## ORIGINAL

253 Change Is Adopted," and "A Public Hearing on the Proposed Taxes 254 and Budget Will Be Held:."

(b) As used in this section, the term "last year's
adjusted tax rate" means the rolled-back rate calculated
pursuant to s. 200.065(1).

258 There shall be under each column heading an entry for (3) 259 the county; the school district levy required pursuant to s. 260 1011.60(6); other operating school levies; the municipality or 261 municipal service taxing unit or units in which the parcel lies, 262 if any; the water management district levying pursuant to s. 263 373.503; the independent special districts in which the parcel 264 lies, if any; and for all voted levies for debt service 265 applicable to the parcel, if any.

266 (4) For each entry listed in subsection (3), there shall 267 appear on the notice the following:

In the first column, a brief, commonly used name for 268 (a) 269 the taxing authority or its governing body. The entry in the 270 first column for the levy required pursuant to s. 1011.60(6) 271 shall be "By State Law." The entry for other operating school 272 district levies shall be "By Local Board." Both school levy 273 entries shall be indented and preceded by the notation "Public 274 Schools:". For each voted levy for debt service, the entry shall 275 be "Voter Approved Debt Payments."

(b) In the second column, the gross amount of ad valorem taxes levied against the parcel in the previous year. If the parcel did not exist in the previous year, the second column shall be blank.

280

(c) In the third column, last year's adjusted tax rate or,

Page 10 of 22

PCS for HB 247

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

V

# ORIGINAL

281 in the case of voted levies for debt service, the tax rate 282 previously authorized by referendum.

(d) In the fourth column, the gross amount of ad valorem taxes which will apply to the parcel in the current year if each taxing authority levies last year's adjusted tax rate or, in the case of voted levies for debt service, the amount previously authorized by referendum.

(e) In the fifth column, the tax rate that each taxing
authority must levy against the parcel to fund the proposed
budget or, in the case of voted levies for debt service, the tax
rate previously authorized by referendum.

(f) In the sixth column, the gross amount of ad valorem taxes that must be levied in the current year if the proposed budget is adopted.

(g) In the seventh column, the date, the time, and a brief description of the location of the public hearing required pursuant to s. 200.065(2)(c).

298 (5)Following the entries for each taxing authority, a 299 final entry shall show: in the first column, the words "Total 300 Property Taxes:" and in the second, fourth, and sixth columns, 301 the sum of the entries for each of the individual taxing 302 authorities. The second, fourth, and sixth columns shall, immediately below said entries, be labeled Column 1, Column 2, 303 and Column 3, respectively. Below these labels shall appear, in 304 305 boldfaced type, the statement: SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR EXPLANATION.

306 (6) (a) The second page of the notice shall state the 307 parcel's market value and for each taxing authority that levies 308 an ad valorem tax against the parcel:

#### Page 11 of 22

PCS for HB 247 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

V

PCS for HB 247 2013 ORIGINAL 309 1. The assessed value, value of exemptions, and taxable 310 value for the previous year and the current year. 311 2. Each assessment reduction and exemption applicable to 312 the property, including the value of the assessment reduction or 313 exemption and tax levies to which they apply. 314 (b) The reverse side of the second page shall contain 315 definitions and explanations for the values included on the 316 front side. 317 (7)The following statement shall appear after the values 318 listed on the front of the second page: 319 If you feel that the market value of your property is 320 inaccurate or does not reflect fair market value, or if you are 321 entitled to an exemption or classification that is not reflected 322 above, contact your county property appraiser at ... (phone 323 number) ... or ... (location) .... 324 If the property appraiser's office is unable to resolve the 325 matter as to market value, classification, or an exemption, you 326 may file a petition for adjustment with the Value Adjustment 327 Board. Petition forms are available from the county property 328 appraiser and must be filed ON OR BEFORE ... (date).... 329 The reverse side of the first page of the form shall (8) 330 read: 331 EXPLANATION 332 \*COLUMN 1-"YOUR PROPERTY TAXES LAST YEAR" 333 This column shows the taxes that applied last year to your 334 property. These amounts were based on budgets adopted last year 335 and your property's previous taxable value. 336 \*COLUMN 2-"YOUR TAXES IF NO BUDGET CHANGE IS ADOPTED"

# Page 12 of 22

PCS for HB 247 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

V

# ORIGINAL

2013

This column shows what your taxes will be this year IF EACH TAXING AUTHORITY DOES NOT CHANGE ITS PROPERTY TAX LEVY. These amounts are based on last year's budgets and your current assessment.

\*COLUMN 3-"YOUR TAXES IF PROPOSED BUDGET CHANGE IS ADOPTED" 341 342 This column shows what your taxes will be this year under the 343 BUDGET ACTUALLY PROPOSED by each local taxing authority. The 344 proposal is NOT final and may be amended at the public hearings 345 shown on the front side of this notice. The difference between 346 columns 2 and 3 is the tax change proposed by each local taxing 347 authority and is NOT the result of higher assessments. 348 \*Note: Amounts shown on this form do NOT reflect early payment 349 discounts you may have received or may be eligible to receive. 350 (Discounts are a maximum of 4 percent of the amounts shown on 351 this form.)

(9) The bottom portion of the notice shall further read inbold, conspicuous print:

354 "Your final tax bill may contain non-ad valorem assessments 355 which may not be reflected on this notice such as assessments 356 for roads, fire, garbage, lighting, drainage, water, sewer, or 357 other governmental services and facilities which may be levied 358 by your county, city, or any special district."

(10) (a) If requested by the local governing board levying non-ad valorem assessments and agreed to by the property appraiser, the notice specified in this section may contain a notice of proposed or adopted non-ad valorem assessments. If so agreed, the notice shall be titled:

364

NOTICE OF PROPOSED PROPERTY TAXES

Page 13 of 22

PCS for HB 247 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2013 PCS for HB 247 ORIGINAL 365 AND PROPOSED OR ADOPTED 366 NON-AD VALOREM ASSESSMENTS 367 DO NOT PAY-THIS IS NOT A BILL 368 There must be a clear partition between the notice of proposed 369 property taxes and the notice of proposed or adopted non-ad 370 valorem assessments. The partition must be a bold, horizontal 371 line approximately 1/8-inch thick. By rule, the department 372 shall provide a format for the form of the notice of proposed or 373 adopted non-ad valorem assessments which meets the following 374 minimum requirements: 375 1. There must be subheading for columns listing the 376 levying local governing board, with corresponding assessment 377 rates expressed in dollars and cents per unit of assessment, and 378 the associated assessment amount. 379 The purpose of each assessment must also be listed in 2. 380 the column listing the levying local governing board if the 381 purpose is not clearly indicated by the name of the board. 382 3. Each non-ad valorem assessment for each levying local 383 governing board must be listed separately. 384 4. If a county has too many municipal service benefit 385 units or assessments to be listed separately, it shall combine 386 them by function. 387 5. A brief statement outlining the responsibility of the 388 tax collector and each levying local governing board as to any 389 non-ad valorem assessment must be provided on the form, 390 accompanied by directions as to which office to contact for 391 particular questions or problems. 392 If the notice includes all adopted non-ad valorem (b)

PCS for HB 247

## Page 14 of 22

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

V

#### PCS for HB 247

#### ORIGINAL

393 assessments, the provisions contained in subsection (9) shall 394 not be placed on the notice.

395 Section 6. Section 648.421, Florida Statutes, is amended 396 to read:

397 648.421 Notice of change of address or telephone number.-398 Each licensee under this chapter shall notify in writing the 399 department, insurer, managing general agent, and the clerk of 400 each court in which the licensee is registered within 10 working 401 days after a change in the licensee's principal business 402 address, e-mail address, or telephone number. The licensee shall 403 also notify the department within 10 working days after a change 404 of the name, address, or telephone number of each agency or firm 405 for which he or she writes bonds and any change in the 406 licensee's name, home address, e-mail address, or telephone 407 number.

408 Section 7. Subsection (3) of section 648.43, Florida 409 Statutes, is amended to read:

648.43 Power of attorney; to be approved by department;
filing of copies; notification of transfer bond.-

(3) Every bail bond agent who executes or countersigns a transfer bond shall indicate in writing on the bond the name, and address, and e-mail address of the referring bail bond agent.

416 Section 8. Paragraph (b) of subsection (1) of section 417 648.44, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

418

648.44 Prohibitions; penalty.-

419 (1) A bail bond agent or temporary bail bond agent may
420 not:

#### Page 15 of 22

PCS for HB 247

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

2013

V

#### PCS for HB 247

#### ORIGINAL

421 (b) Directly or indirectly solicit business in or on the 422 property or grounds of a jail, prison, or other place where 423 prisoners are confined or in or on the property or grounds of 424 any court. The term "solicitation" includes the distribution of 425 business cards, print advertising, or other written or oral 426 information directed to prisoners or potential indemnitors, 427 unless a request is initiated by the prisoner or a potential 428 indemnitor. Permissible print advertising in the jail is 429 strictly limited to a listing in a telephone directory and the 430 posting of the bail bond agent's or agency's name, address, e-431 mail address, and telephone number in a designated location 432 within the jail.

433 Section 9. Section 903.012, Florida Statutes, is created 434 to read:

903.012 Posting and transmittal of bonds.-Bonds may be
posted in person or electronically at the election of the
receiving agency. Bonds may be transmitted electronically
between the sheriff's office and the office of the clerk of
court.

440 Section 10. Section 903.101, Florida Statutes, is amended 441 to read:

903.101 Sureties; licensed persons; to have equal access.-Subject to rules adopted by the Department of Financial Services and by the Financial Services Commission, every surety who meets the requirements of ss. 903.05, 903.06, 903.08, and 903.09, and every person who is currently licensed by the Department of Financial Services and registered as required by s. 648.42 shall have equal access to the jails of this state for the purpose of

Page 16 of 22 PCS for HB 247 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. 2013

	PCS for HB 247	ORIGINAL	2013
1			2010
449	_	either in person or electronically.	
450		11. Subsection (1) of section 903.14, Florida	
451	-	amended to read:	
452		Contracts to indemnify sureties	
453	(1) A s	surety shall file with the bond an affidavit station	ng
454	the amount ar	nd source of any security or consideration which the	he
455	surety or any	yone for his or her use has received or been	
456	promised for	the bond. The affidavit shall be filed in the same	e
457	manner as the	e bond.	
458	Section	12. Paragraph (b) of subsection (1), paragraph (a	a)
459	of subsection	n (2), and subsection (3) of section 903.26, Florid	da
460	Statutes, are	e amended to read:	
461	903.26	Forfeiture of the bond; when and how directed;	
462	discharge; ho	ow and when made; effect of payment	
463	(1) A k	bail bond shall not be forfeited unless:	
464	(b) The	e clerk of court gave the surety at least 72 hours	I
465	nótice, exclu	sive of Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays, before	
466	the time of t	the required appearance of the defendant. Notice	
467	shall not be	necessary if the time for appearance is within $72$	
468	hours from th	ne time of arrest, or if the time is stated on the	
469	bond. Such no	otice may be mailed or electronically transmitted.	
470	(2)(a)	If there is a breach of the bond, the court shall	
471	declare the b	oond and any bonds or money deposited as bail	
472	forfeited. Th	ne clerk of the court shall mail <u>or electronically</u>	
473	<u>transmit</u> a no	otice to the surety agent and surety company <del>in</del>	
474	writing with	in 5 days <u>after</u> <del>of</del> the forfeiture. A certificate	
475	signed by the	e clerk of the court or the clerk's designee,	
476	certifying th	nat the notice required herein was mailed or	
		Page 17 of 22	
F	PCS for HB 247		

PCS for HB 247 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

V .

	PCS for HB 247 ORIGINAL 2013
477	electronically transmitted on a specified date and accompanied
478	by a copy of the required notice, shall constitute sufficient
479	proof that such mailing or electronic transmission was properly
480	accomplished as indicated therein. If such mailing or electronic
481	transmission was properly accomplished as evidenced by such
482	certificate, the failure of the surety agent, of a company, or
483	of a defendant to receive such mail notice shall not constitute
484	a defense to such forfeiture and shall not be grounds for
485	discharge, remission, reduction, set aside, or continuance of
486	such forfeiture. The forfeiture shall be paid within 60 days of
487	the date the notice was mailed or electronically transmitted.
488	(3) Sixty days after the forfeiture notice has been mailed
489	or electronically transmitted:
490	(a) State and county officials having custody of forfeited
491	money shall deposit the money in the fine and forfeiture fund
492	established pursuant to s. $142.01$ .
493	(b) Municipal officials having custody of forfeited money
494	shall deposit the money in a designated municipal fund. $\dot{\cdot}\dot{ au}$
495	(c) Officials having custody of bonds as authorized by s.
496	903.16 shall transmit the bonds to the clerk of the circuit
497	court who shall sell them at market value and disburse the
498	proceeds as provided in paragraphs (a) and (b).
499	Section 13. Subsections (1), (2), and (6) of section
500	903.27, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
501	903.27 Forfeiture to judgment
502	(1) If the forfeiture is not paid or discharged by order
503	of a court of competent jurisdiction within 60 days and the bond
504	is secured other than by money and bonds authorized in s.

Page 18 of 22 PCS for HB 247 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

۷

#### PCS for HB 247

### ORIGINAL

505 903.16, the clerk of the circuit court for the county where the order was made shall enter a judgment against the surety for the 506 507 amount of the penalty and issue execution. However, in any case 508 in which the bond forfeiture has been discharged by the court of 509 competent jurisdiction conditioned upon the payment by the 510 surety of certain costs or fees as allowed by statute, the 511 amount for which judgment may be entered may not exceed the 512 amount of the unpaid fees or costs upon which the discharge had 513 been conditioned. Judgment for the full amount of the forfeiture 514 shall not be entered if payment of a lesser amount will satisfy 515 the conditions to discharge the forfeiture. Within 10 days, the 516 clerk shall furnish the Department of Financial Services and the 517 Office of Insurance Regulation of the Financial Services 518 Commission with a certified copy of the judgment docket and 519 shall furnish the surety company at its home office a copy of 520 the judgment, which shall include the power of attorney number 521 of the bond and the name of the executing agent. If the judgment 522 is not paid within 35 days, the clerk shall furnish the 523 Department of Financial Services, the Office of Insurance 524 Regulation, and the sheriff of the county in which the bond was 525 executed, or the official responsible for operation of the county jail, if other than the sheriff, two copies of the 526 527 judgment and a certificate stating that the judgment remains 528 unsatisfied. When and if the judgment is properly paid or an 529 order to vacate the judgment has been entered by a court of 530 competent jurisdiction, the clerk shall immediately notify the 531 sheriff, or the official responsible for the operation of the 532 county jail, if other than the sheriff, and the Department of

Page 19 of 22

PCS for HB 247 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

V

2013

#### 2013 PCS for HB 247 ORIGINAL 533 Financial Services and the Office of Insurance Regulation, if 534 the department and office had been previously notified of 535 nonpayment, of such payment or order to vacate the judgment. The 536 clerk may furnish documents or give notice as required in this 537 subsection by mail or electronic means. The clerk shall also 538 immediately prepare and record in the public records a 539 satisfaction of the judgment or record the order to vacate 540 judgment. If the defendant is returned to the county of 541 jurisdiction of the court, whenever a motion to set aside the 542 judgment is filed, the operation of this section is tolled until 543 the court makes a disposition of the motion. 544 A certificate signed by the clerk of the court or her (2)545 or his designee, certifying that the notice required in 546 subsection (1) was mailed or electronically delivered on a 547 specified date, and accompanied by a copy of the required notice 548 constitutes sufficient proof that such mailing or electronic 549 delivery was properly accomplished as indicated therein. If such 550 mailing or electronic delivery was properly accomplished as

evidenced by such certificate, the failure of a company to receive a copy of the judgment as prescribed in subsection (1) does not constitute a defense to the forfeiture and is not a ground for the discharge, remission, reduction, set-aside, or continuance of such forfeiture.

556 (6) The failure of a state attorney to file, or of the 557 elerk of the circuit court to make, a certified copy of the 558 order of forfeiture as required by law applicable prior to July 559 1, 1982, shall not invalidate any judgment entered by the clerk 560 prior to June 12, 1981.

#### Page 20 of 22

PCS for HB 247 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

V

#### PCS for HB 247

#### ORIGINAL

561 Section 14. Subsection (1) of section 903.31, Florida 562 Statutes, is amended to read:

563

903.31 Canceling the bond.-

564 Within 10 business days after the conditions of a bond (1)565 have been satisfied or the forfeiture discharged or remitted, 566 the court shall order the bond canceled and, if the surety has attached a certificate of cancellation to the original bond, the 567 568 clerk of the court shall mail or electronically furnish an executed certificate of cancellation to the surety without cost. 569 570 An adjudication of guilt or innocence, an acquittal, or a 571 withholding of an adjudication of guilt shall satisfy the 572 conditions of the bond. The original appearance bond shall 573 expire 36 months after such bond has been posted for the release 574 of the defendant from custody. This subsection does not apply to 575 cases in which a bond has been declared forfeited.

576 Section 15. Subsection (2) of section 903.36, Florida 577 Statutes, is amended to read:

578

903.36 Guaranteed arrest bond certificates as cash bail.-

579 (2)The execution of a bail bond by a licensed general 580 lines agent of a surety insurer for the automobile club or 581 association member identified in the guaranteed traffic arrest 582 bond certificate, as provided in s. 627.758(4), shall be 583 accepted as bail in an amount not to exceed \$5,000 for the 584 appearance of the person named in the certificate in any court 585 to answer for the violation of a provision of chapter 316 or a similar traffic law or ordinance, except driving under the 586 influence of alcoholic beverages, chemical substances, or 587 controlled substances, as prohibited by s. 316.193. Presentation 588

Page 21 of 22

PCS for HB 247 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

٧

2013

PCS for HB 247 ORIGINAL 2013 589 of the guaranteed traffic arrest bond certificate and a power of 590 attorney from the surety insurer for its licensed general lines 591 agents is authorization for such agent to execute the bail bond. 592 Presentation may be made in person or by electronic means. Section 16. This act shall take effect July 1, 2013. 593

۸. ۲

.

.

. .

. . .

.

HB 249

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:HB 249Pub. Rec./E-mail Addresses of Voter Registration Applicants & VotersSPONSOR(S):NelsonTIED BILLS:HB 247IDEN./SIM. BILLS:SB 1260

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Government Operations Subcommittee		Stramski	Williamson
2) Local & Federal Affairs Committee			
3) Regulatory Affairs Committee			
4) State Affairs Committee		·	

#### SUMMARY ANALYSIS

House Bill 247 requires the uniform statewide voter registration application to include a field for a voter registration applicant's e-mail address. Current law does not provide a public record exemption for the e-mail address of a voter or voter registration applicant.

This bill provides that the e-mail address of a voter registration applicant or a voter is confidential and exempt from public record requirements.

The bill provides for repeal of the exemption on October 2, 2018, unless reviewed and saved from repeal by the Legislature. It also provides a statement of public necessity as required by the State Constitution.

The bill provides that the exemption will take effect on the same date as House Bill 247 or similar legislation if such legislation is adopted in the same legislative session, or an extension thereof, and becomes law.

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution, requires a<sup>\*</sup>two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a newly created or expanded public record or public meeting exemption. The bill expands the current public record exemption for certain voter information; thus, it requires a two-thirds vote for final passage.

#### **FULL ANALYSIS**

## I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

#### Background

#### Public Records Law

Article I, s. 24(a) of the State Constitution sets forth the state's public policy regarding access to government records. This section guarantees every person a right to inspect or copy any public record of the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of government. The Legislature, however, may provide by general law for the exemption of records from the requirements of Article I, s. 24(a) of the State Constitution. The general law must state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption (public necessity statement) and must be no broader than necessary to accomplish its purpose.1

Public policy regarding access to government records is addressed further in the Florida Statutes. Section 119.07(1), F.S., guarantees every person a right to inspect and copy any state, county, or municipal record. Furthermore, the Open Government Sunset Review Act<sup>2</sup> provides that a public record or public meeting exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose. In addition, it may be no broader than is necessary to meet one of the following purposes:

- Allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, which administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption.
- Protects sensitive personal information that, if released, would be defamatory or would • jeopardize an individual's safety; however, only the identity of an individual may be exempted under this provision.
- Protects trade or business secrets.

#### Voter Registration

Current law requires the Department of State to prescribe by rule a uniform statewide voter registration application.<sup>3</sup> The application must elicit certain information from the voter applicant, such as the applicant's name, date of birth, and address of legal residence.<sup>4</sup>

#### Public Record Exemption for Voter Registration Information

Current law also provides a public record exemption for certain information held by an agency<sup>5</sup> for purposes of voter registration.<sup>6</sup> Specifically, the following information is confidential and exempt<sup>7</sup> from

<sup>7</sup> There is a difference between records the Legislature designates as exempt from public record requirements and those the Legislature deems confidential and exempt. A record classified as exempt from public disclosure may be disclosed under certain circumstances. (See WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole, 874 So.2d 48, 53 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004), review denied 892 So.2d 1015 (Fla. 2004); City of Riviera Beach v. Barfield, 642 So.2d 1135 (Fla. 4th DCA 1994); Williams v. City of Minneola, 575 So.2d 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991) If the Legislature designates a record as confidential and exempt from public disclosure, such record may not be released, by the custodian of public records, to anyone other than the persons or entities specifically designated in the statutory exemption. (See Attorney General Opinion 85-62, August 1, 1985). STORAGE NAME: h0249.GVOPS.DOCX PAGE: 2

DATE: 3/4/2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Art I., s. 24(c), Fla. Const.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See s. 119.15, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 97.052(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Section 97.052(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The exemption applies to information held by an agency as defined in s. 119.011, F.S. Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines "agency" to mean "any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 97.0585, F.S.

public record requirements:

- All declinations to register to vote made pursuant to ss. 97.057 and 97.058, F.S.
- Information relating to the place where a person registered to vote or where a person updated a voter registration.
- The social security number, driver's license number, and Florida identification number of a voter registration applicant or voter.

In addition, the signature of a voter registration applicant or a voter is exempt from copying requirements.<sup>8</sup>

The public record exemption applies to information held by an agency before, on, or after the effective date of the exemption.<sup>9</sup>

#### House Bill 247

House Bill 247 requires the uniform statewide voter registration application to include a field for a voter registration applicant's e-mail address and an indication of whether the applicant wishes to receive sample ballots by e-mail.

#### Effect of Proposed Changes

This bill expands the current public record exemption for voter registration information. It provides that the e-mail address of a voter registration applicant or voter is confidential and exempt from public record requirements.

Current law provides for retroactive application of the public record exemption. As such, the exemption for e-mail addresses also will apply retroactively.

The bill provides for repeal of the exemption on October 2, 2018, unless reviewed and saved from repeal by the Legislature.

The bill provides a statement of public necessity as required by the State Constitution.

The bill provides that the exemption will take effect on the same date as House Bill 247 or similar legislation if such legislation is adopted in the same legislative session, or an extension thereof, and becomes law.

#### B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

**Section 1:** Amends s. 97.0585, F.S., providing an exemption from public records requirements for the e-mail addresses of voter registration applicants and voters; providing for future legislative review and repeal of the exemption under the Open Government Sunset Review Act.

Section 2: Provides a public necessity statement.

Section 3: Provides a contingent effective date.

## **II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

#### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

#### 1. Revenues:

#### None.

<sup>8</sup> Section 97.0585(2), F.S.

<sup>9</sup> Section 97.0585(4), F.S.

STORAGE NAME: h0249.GVOPS.DOCX DATE: 3/4/2013 2. Expenditures:

See FISCAL COMMENTS.

- B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:
  - 1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

See FISCAL COMMENTS.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The bill likely could create a minimal fiscal impact on agencies, because staff responsible for complying with public record requests could require training related to expansion of the public record exemption. In addition, those agencies could incur costs associated with redacting the confidential and exempt information prior to releasing a record. The costs, however, would be absorbed, as they are part of the day-to-day responsibilities of the agency.

#### III. COMMENTS

#### A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable. This bill does not appear to require counties or muhicipalities to spend funds or take an action requiring the expenditure of funds; reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenues in the aggregate; or reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

#### 2. Other:

#### Vote Requirement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a newly created or expanded public record or public meeting exemption. The bill expands the current public record exemption for voter information; thus, it requires a two-thirds vote for final passage.

#### Public Necessity Statement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a public necessity statement for a newly created or expanded public record or public meeting exemption. The bill expands the current public record exemption for voter information; thus, it includes a public necessity statement.

#### **Breadth of Exemption**

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a newly created public record or public meeting exemption to be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law. The bill creates a public record exemption limited to the e-mail address of a voter or voter registration applicant. The exemption does not appear to be in conflict with the constitutional requirement that the exemption be no broader than necessary to accomplish its purpose.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

This bill does not appear to create a need for rulemaking or rulemaking authority.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

## IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

2013

1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to public records; amending s.
3	97.0585, F.S.; providing an exemption from public
4	records requirements for the e-mail addresses of voter
5	registration applicants and voters; providing for
6	future legislative review and repeal of the exemption
7	under the Open Government Sunset Review Act; providing
8	a statement of public necessity; providing a
9	contingent effective date.
10	
11	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
12	
13	Section 1. Section 97.0585, Florida Statutes, is amended to
14	read:
15	97.0585 Public records exemption; information regarding
16	voters and voter registration; confidentiality
17	(1) The following information held by an agency as defined
18	in s. 119.011 is confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1) and
19	s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution and may be used only
20	for purposes of voter registration:
21	(a) All declinations to register to vote made pursuant to
22	ss. 97.057 and 97.058.
23	(b) Information relating to the place where a person
24	registered to vote or where a person updated a voter
25	registration.
26	(c) The social security number, driver's license number,
27	and Florida identification number of a voter registration
28	applicant or voter.
1	Page 1 of 3

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

hb0249-00

29

30

(d) The e-mail address of a voter registration applicant or voter.

31 (2) The signature of a voter registration applicant or a 32 voter is exempt from the copying requirements of s. 119.07(1) 33 and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.

34 (3)The names, addresses, and telephone numbers of persons 35 who are victims of stalking or aggravated stalking are exempt 36 from s. 119.071(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State 37 Constitution in the same manner that the names, addresses, and 38 telephone numbers of participants in the Address Confidentiality 39 Program for Victims of Domestic Violence which are held by the 40 Attorney General under s. 741.465 are exempt from disclosure, 41 provided that the victim files a sworn statement of stalking 42 with the Office of the Attorney General and otherwise complies 43 with the procedures in ss. 741.401-741.409.

(4) This section applies to information held by an agency
45 before, on, or after the effective date of this exemption.

46 (5) (a) Subsection (3) is subject to the Open Government
47 Sunset Review Act in accordance with s. 119.15 and shall stand
48 repealed on October 2, 2015, unless reviewed and saved from
49 repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

50 Paragraph (d) of subsection (1) is subject to the Open (b) 51 Government Sunset Review Act in accordance with s. 119.15 and 52 shall stand repealed on October 2, 2018, unless reviewed and 53 saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature. 54 Section 2. The Legislature finds that it is a public 55 necessity that the e-mail address of a voter registration 56 applicant or voter that is held by an agency be made

#### Page 2 of 3

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2013

2013

57	confidential and exempt from public record requirements. E-mail
58	addresses are personal information that could be misused and
59	could result in voter fraud if released. A voter may request an
60	absentee ballot using an e-mail address. Public access to that
61	e-mail address could make others aware of those voters intending
62	to vote using an absentee ballot and could result in
63	confiscation and misuse of a mailed absentee ballot by a person
64	other than the registered voter before the registered voter
65	receives the requested absentee ballot. In addition, collection
66	of the e-mail address of a voter registration applicant or a
67	registered voter would allow the supervisors of elections to
68	send sample ballots electronically, thereby saving counties
69	money. If a voter registration applicant or a registered voter
70	knows that his or her e-mail address is subject to public
71	disclosure, he or she may be less willing to provide the address
72	to the supervisor of elections. Accordingly, the effective and
73	efficient administration of a government program would be
74	significantly impaired.
75	Section 3. This act shall take effect on the same date
76	that HB 247 or similar legislation takes effect, if such
77	legislation is adopted in the same legislative session or an
78	extension thereof and becomes law.

Page 3 of 3

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

.

& ·

• •

.

·

.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 307 Preference in Award of State Contracts SPONSOR(S): Tobia TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 684

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Government Operations Subcommittee		Harrington	Williamson Wall
2) Local & Federal Affairs Committee			
3) Government Operations Appropriations Subcommittee		-	
4) State Affairs Committee			

#### SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Current law requires each state agency, university, college, school district, or other political subdivision of this state to award a preference to Florida based businesses for the purchase of personal property, through competitive solicitation, when the lowest responsible and responsive bid, proposal, or reply is by a vendor whose principal place of business is another state, or political subdivision of that state. If the out-of-state bidder's home state offers an in-state preference, then the preference given to Florida based vendors is limited to the preference provided by the out-of-state bidder's home state. In a competitive solicitation in which the lowest bid is submitted by a vendor whose principal place of business is located outside the state and the out of state bidder's home state does not grant a preference in competitive solicitation to vendors having a principal place of business in that state, a 5 percent preference is given to the lowest responsible and responsive vendor having a principal place of business in Florida.

The bill expands the preference provided in current law to include counties and municipalities, as well as construction services. It provides that for a competitive solicitation in which payment is to be made, in whole or in part, from funds appropriated by the state, Florida's preference preempts and supersedes any local ordinance or regulation based upon specified criteria. The bill also provides that other than the requirements imposed for solicitations involving state funds, a university, college, county, municipality, school district, or other political subdivision of the state is not prevented from awarding a contract to any vendor in accordance with the applicable state laws or local ordinances or regulations.

The bill may have an indeterminate fiscal impact on state and local governments. See Fiscal Comments section for further discussion.

This bill may be a county or municipal mandate. See Section III.A.1. of the analysis.

#### FULL ANALYSIS

## I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

#### Background

#### Procurement of Commodities and Services

Chapter 287, F.S., regulates state agency<sup>1</sup> procurement of personal property and services. The Department of Management Services (department) is responsible for overseeing state purchasing activity, including professional and construction services, as well as commodities needed to support agency activities, such as office supplies, vehicles, and information technology.<sup>2</sup> The department establishes statewide purchasing rules and negotiates contracts and purchasing agreements that are intended to leverage the state's buying power.<sup>3</sup>

Depending on the cost and characteristics of the needed goods or services, agencies may utilize a variety of procurement methods, which include:<sup>4</sup>

- Single source contracts, which are used when an agency determines that only one vendor is available to provide a commodity or service at the time of purchase;
- Invitations to bid, which are used when an agency determines that standard services or goods will meet needs, wide competition is available, and the vendor's experience will not greatly influence the agency's results;
- Requests for proposal, which are used when the procurement requirements allow for consideration of various solutions and the agency believes more than two or three vendors exist who can provide the required goods or services; and
- Invitations to negotiate, which are used when negotiations are determined to be necessary to
  obtain the best value and involve a request for highly complex, customized, mission-critical
  services.

For contracts for commodities or services in excess of \$35,000, agencies must utilize a competitive solicitation process.<sup>5</sup> Section 287.012(6), F.S., provides that competitive solicitation means "the process of requesting and receiving two or more sealed bids, proposals, or replies submitted by responsive vendors in accordance with the terms of a competitive process, regardless of the method of procurement."

Local governmental units are not subject to the provisions of chapter 287, F.S.

#### Florida In-state Preference

State agencies, universities, colleges, school districts, and other political subdivisions are required to grant a preference in the award for contracts for the purchase of personal property, when competitive solicitation is required and when the lowest responsible and responsive bid, proposal, or reply is by a vendor whose principal place of business is in another state, or political subdivision of that state.<sup>6</sup> The preference is mandatory and is utilized by the procuring entity to award a preference to the lowest responsible and responsive vendor having a principal place of business in this state. The preference awarded is the same preference provided by the out-of-state bidder's home state.

- <sup>2</sup> See ss. 287.032 and 287.042, F.S.
- <sup>3</sup> Id.

<sup>6</sup> Section 287.084(1)(a), F.S.

STORAGE NAME: h0307.GVOPS.docx DATE: 3/4/2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 287.012(1), F.S., defines agency as "any of the various state officers, departments, boards, commissions, divisions, bureaus, and councils and any other unit of organization, however designated, of the executive branch of state government. 'Agency' does not include the university and college boards of trustees or the state universities and colleges."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See ss. 287.012(6) and 287.057, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 287.057(1), F.S., requires all projects that exceed the Category Two (\$35,000) threshold contained in s. 287.017, F.S., to be competitively bid.

If the lowest responsible and responsive bid, proposal, or reply is by a vendor whose principal place of business is another state, or political subdivision of that state, and that state does not award a preference for in-state vendors, state agencies, universities, colleges, school districts, and other political subdivisions must award a 5 percent preference to Florida based vendors.<sup>7</sup>

A vendor whose principal place of business is outside of this state must submit with the bid, proposal, or reply documents a written opinion of an attorney at law licensed to practice law in that foreign state as to the preferences, if any, granted by the law of that state to a business entity whose principal place of business is in that foreign state.<sup>8</sup>

Florida's preference law does not apply to transportation projects for which federal aid funds are available,<sup>9</sup> or to counties or cities.<sup>10</sup> It also does not apply in the award of contracts for the purchase of construction services.

#### Procurement of Construction Services

Chapter 255, F.S., specifies the procedures to be followed in the procurement of construction services for public property and publicly owned buildings. The department is responsible for establishing by rule the following:<sup>11</sup>

- Procedures for determining the qualifications and responsibility of potential bidders prior to advertisement for and receipt of bids for building construction contracts;
- Procedures for awarding each state agency construction project to the lowest qualified bidder;
- Procedures to govern negotiations for construction contracts and contract modifications when such negotiations are determined to be in the best interest of the state; and
- Procedures for entering into performance-based contracts for the development of public facilities those contracts are determined to be in the best interest of the state.

State contracts for construction projects that are projected to cost in excess of \$200,000 must be competitively bid.<sup>12</sup> Counties, municipalities, special districts, or other political subdivisions seeking to construct or improve a public building must competitively bid the project if the projected cost is in excess of \$300,000.<sup>13</sup>

Section 255.0525, F.S., requires the solicitation of competitive bids or proposals for any state construction project that is projected to cost more than \$200,000 to be publicly advertised in the Florida Administrative Weekly<sup>14</sup> at least 21 days prior to the established bid opening. If the construction project is projected to exceed \$500,000, the advertisement must be published at least 30 days prior to the bid opening in the Florida Administrative Weekly, and at least once 30 days prior to the bid opening in a newspaper of general circulation in the county where the project is located.<sup>15</sup>

#### Florida Preference to State Residents

Florida law provides a preference for the employment of state residents in construction contracts funded by money appropriated with state funds. Such contracts must contain a provision requiring the contractor to give preference to the employment of state residents in the performance of the work if

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 287.084(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Section 287.084(1)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Section 287.084(1)(c), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Section 255.29, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See chapters 60D-5.002 and 60D-5.0073, F.A.C.; see also s. 255.0525, F.S.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See s. 255.20(1), F.S. For electrical work, local governments must competitively bid projects estimated to cost more than \$75,000.
 <sup>14</sup> The Florida Administrative Weekly was renamed the Florida Administrative Register during the 2012 Session. Chapter 2012-63,

L.O.F.

state residents have substantially equal qualifications<sup>16</sup> to those of non-residents.<sup>17</sup> If a construction contract is funded by local funds, the contract may contain such a provision.<sup>18</sup> In addition, the contractor required to employ state residents must contact the Department of Economic Opportunity to post the contractor's employment needs in the state's job bank system.<sup>19</sup>

#### Effect of the Bill

The bill expands the preference provided in chapter 287, F.S., to include counties and municipalities, as well as construction services. Currently, the preference is required only when personal property is required to be purchased through competitive solicitation by an agency, university, college, school district, or other political subdivision of the state.

When payment for the purchase of personal property or construction services is to be in whole or in part from state appropriated funds, the bill provides a preemption of any local ordinance or regulation that restricts a contractor certified under s. 489.105(8), F.S.,<sup>20</sup> from competing for an award based upon:

- The vendor maintaining an office or place of business within a particular local jurisdiction;
- The vendor hiring employees or subcontractors from within a particular local jurisdiction; or
- The vendor's prior payment of local taxes, assessments, or duties within a particular local jurisdiction.

When payment for the purchase of personal property or construction services is to be in whole or in part from state appropriated funds, a university, college, county, municipality, school district, or other political subdivision must disclose in the solicitation document the funding source as well as the amount of such funds or the percentage of such funds as compared to the anticipated total cost of the purchase.

The bill provides that except for when state appropriated funds are used for the purchase of personal property or construction services, a university, college, county, municipality, school district, or other political subdivision is not prevented from awarding a contract to any vendor in accordance with applicable state laws or local ordinances or regulations.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2013.

## B. SECTION DIRECTORY: .

Section 1. amends s. 287.084, F.S., expanding provisions that require an agency, university, college, school district, or other political subdivision of the state to provide preferential consideration to a Florida business in awarding competitively bid contracts to purchase personal property to include the purchase of construction services; requiring counties and municipalities to provide such preferential consideration; providing that for specified competitive solicitations the authority to grant a preference supersedes any local ordinance or regulation that restricts specified contractors from competing for an award based upon certain conditions; requiring a university, college, county, municipality, school district, or other political subdivision to make specified disclosures in competitive solicitation documents.

Section 2. provides an effective date of July 1, 2013.

<sup>20</sup> Section 489.105(8), F.S., defines certified contractor as a contractor who possess a certificate of competency issued by the Department of Business and Professional Regulation, and who is authorized to contract statewide. **STORAGE NAME**: h0307.GVOPS.docx

DATE: 3/4/2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Section 255.099(1)(a), F.S., defines substantially equal qualifications as the "qualifications of two or more persons among whom the employer cannot make a reasonable determination that the qualifications held by one person are better suited for the position than the qualifications held by the other person or persons."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Section 255.099(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Section 255.099(1)(b), F.S.

## **II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

## A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

See Fiscal Comments.

2. Expenditures:

See Fiscal Comments.

## B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

See Fiscal Comments.

2. Expenditures:

See Fiscal Comments.

## C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

This bill could result in more business being awarded to in-state vendors as a result of the state preference being given for construction services.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The bill may have an unknown negative fiscal impact on both the state and local governments. The bill may have a negative effect as the state and local governments may experience increased expenditures with the possibility of higher contract prices for construction services as a result of the preference. The bill may also have an operational impact as the statute would preempt local ordinances or regulations in certain circumstances.

## **III. COMMENTS**

## A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The mandates provision of Art. VII, s. 18 of the State Constitution may apply because this bill requires cities and counties to spend money or take an action that requires the expenditure of money; however, an exemption may apply if the bill results in an insignificant fiscal impact to county or municipal governments. The exceptions to the mandates provision of Art. VII, s. 18 of the State Constitution appear to be inapplicable because the bill does not articulate a threshold finding of serving an important state interest.

## 2. Other:

## Equal Protection Clause

The United States Constitution provides that "[n]o State shall...deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws."<sup>21</sup> The expansion of the in-state preference provisions in this bill may constitute an equal protection violation. If such legislation is challenged, the court would use a rational basis test to determine the constitutionality of the alleged discriminatory treatment.<sup>22</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> U.S. Const. amend. XIV, s. 1; see also FLA. Const. art. I, s. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Nordlinger v. Hahn, 505 U.S. 1, 33-34 (1992) (stating that a "classification rationally furthers a state interest when there is some fit between the disparate treatment and the legislative purpose.") STORAGE NAME: h0307,GVOPS.docx PAGE: 5

Under the rational basis test, a court must uphold a state statute so long as the classification bears a rational relationship to a legitimate state interest.<sup>23</sup>

#### Commerce Clause

The U.S. Constitution provides that Congress shall have the power to "regulate commerce…among the states."<sup>24</sup> The Commerce Clause acts not only as a positive grant of powers to Congress, but also as a negative constraint upon the states.<sup>25</sup> When a state or local government is acting as a "market participant" rather than a "market regulator," it is not subject to the limitations of the Commerce Clause.<sup>26</sup> A state is considered to be a "market participant" when it is acting as an economic actor such as a purchaser of goods and services.<sup>27</sup> Since the state is acting as a "market participant" under this bill, the in-state preference provisions herein are likely to be upheld as an exception to the Commerce Clause.

#### B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The bill does not provide rulemaking authority for the Department of Management Services; however, the department may need to adopt rules for purposes of implementing the bill. The department does not appear to have a general grant of rulemaking authority in chapter 287, F.S., which may be needed if the department determines that rulemaking is necessary.

## C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

#### Drafting Issues: Relating to Clause

The relating to clause for the bill provides that it is "[a]n act relating to preference in award of state contracts"; however, the bill creates provisions applicable to state and local contracts. As such, the sponsor may want to consider an amendment to correct the drafting error in the relating to clause to provide that the bill is an act relating to "preference in award of governmental entity contracts."

#### **Drafting Issues: Construction Services**

The bill amends s. 287.084, F.S., to expand the in-state preference in current law to include the purchase of construction services; however, chapter 287, F.S., regulates state agency procurement of personal property and services. Chapter 255, F.S., relates to public property and publicly owned buildings and regulates contracts pertaining to construction services. As such, the sponsor may want to consider an amendment to remove the reference to "construction services" in s. 287.084, F.S., and instead create the same preference for construction services in chapter 255, F.S.

#### Drafting Issues: Technical Error

On lines 19 and 20, the provision "providing for construction" appears to be unnecessary and can be removed.

#### Other Comments: Principal Place of Business

Current law does not provide for a definition of "principal place of business." There are two competing tests to determine where a company's principal place of business is located.

The first is the "substantial predominance" test, which analyzes the following criteria: the location of its employees, where sales took place, its production activities, its tangible property, its sources of income, the value of land owned and leased, and the replacement cost of assets located in a certain state.<sup>28</sup>

<sup>26</sup> National Collegiate Athletic Ass'n v. Associated Press, 18 So.3d 1201, 1211-1212 (Fla. 1st DCA 2009) (citing Brown-Forman Distillers Corp. v. New York State Liquor Authority, 476 U.S. 573, 578-579).

STORAGE NAME: h0307.GVOPS.docx

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> U.S. Const. art. I, s. 8, cl. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> See Gibbons v. Ogden, 22 U.S. 1 (1824).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Ghaderi v. United Airlines, Inc., 136 F.Supp.2d 1041, 1044-46 (N.D. Cal 2001).

The second test is the "nerve center test." Under this test, a company's principal places of business refers to the place where the corporation's high level officers direct, control, and coordinate the corporation's activities.<sup>29</sup> The Department of Management Services has previously utilized the "nerve center" test to determine the company's principal place of business.<sup>30</sup>

## **IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES**

<sup>30</sup> In a 2010 memorandum to purchasing directors, the department indicated it intended to use the nerve center test when applying the Florida based business preference found in s. 49 of ch. 2010-151, L.O.F., to both state term contracts and other department issued solicitations. Memorandum to Purchasing Directors, Department of Management Services, September 2, 2010 at 3 (on file with the Government Operations Subcommittee). STORAGE NAME: h0307.GVOPS.docx

DATE: 3/4/2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Hertz Corp v. Friend et al., 130 S.Ct. 1181 (2010).

		2.01
1	A bill to be entitled	
2	An act relating to preference in award of state	
3	contracts; amending s. 287.084, F.S.; expanding	
4	provisions that require an agency, university,	
5	college, school district, or other political	
6	subdivision of the state to provide preferential	
7	consideration to a Florida businéss in awarding	
8	competitively bid contracts to purchase personal	
9	property to include the purchase of construction	
10	services; requiring counties and municipalities to	
11	provide such preferential consideration; providing	
12	that for specified competitive solicitations the	
13	authority to grant preference supersedes any local	
14	ordinance or regulation that restricts specified	
15	contractors from competing for an award based upon	
16	certain conditions; requiring a university, college,	
17	county, municipality, school district, or other	
18	political subdivision to make specified disclosures in	
19	competitive solicitation documents; providing for	
20	construction; providing an effective date.	
21		
22	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:	
23		
24	Section 1. Subsection (1) of section 287.084, Florida	
25	Statutes, is amended to read:	
26	287.084 Preference to Florida businesses	
27	(1)(a) When an agency, university, college, school	
28	district, or other political subdivision of the state is	
I	Page 1 of 3	

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

hb0307-00

2013

29 required to make purchases of personal property or construction 30 services through competitive solicitation and the lowest 31 responsible and responsive bid, proposal, or reply is by a 32 vendor whose principal place of business is in a state or 33 political subdivision thereof which grants a preference for the 34 purchase of such personal property or construction services to a 35 person whose principal place of business is in such state, then 36 the agency, university, college, school district, or other 37 political subdivision of this state shall award a preference to 38 the lowest responsible and responsive vendor having a principal 39 place of business within this state, which preference is equal 40 to the preference granted by the state or political subdivision 41 thereof in which the lowest responsible and responsive vendor 42 has its principal place of business. In a competitive 43 solicitation in which the lowest bid is submitted by a vendor 44 whose principal place of business is located outside the state 45 and that state does not grant a preference in competitive 46 solicitation to vendors having a principal place of business in 47 that state, the preference to the lowest responsible and 48 responsive vendor having a principal place of business in this 49 state shall be 5 percent.

50 (b) Paragraph (a) does not apply to transportation 51 projects for which federal aid funds are available.

52 (c)1. For a competitive solicitation in which payment for 53 the personal property or construction services is to be made in 54 whole or in part from funds appropriated by the state, this 55 section preempts and supersedes any local ordinance or

#### Page 2 of 3

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

hb0307-00

2013

2013

56	regulation that restricts a contractor certified under s.
57	489.105(8) from competing for an award based upon:
58	a. The vendor maintaining an office or place of business
59	within a particular local jurisdiction;
60	b. The vendor hiring employees or subcontractors from
61	within a particular local jurisdiction; or
62	c. The vendor's prior payment of local taxes, assessments,
63	or duties within a particular local jurisdiction.
64	2. In any competitive solicitation subject to this
65	section, a university, college, county, municipality, school
66	district, or other political subdivision shall disclose in the
67	solicitation document whether payment will come from funds
68	appropriated by the state and, if known, the amount of such
69	funds or the percentage of such funds as compared to the
70	anticipated total cost of the personal property or construction
71	services.
72	3. Except as provided in subparagraph 1., this section
73	does not prevent a university, college, county, municipality,
74	school district, or other political subdivision of this state
75	from awarding a contract to any vendor in accordance with
76	applicable state laws or local ordinances or regulations.
77	(c) As used in this section, the term "other political
78	subdivision of this state" does not include counties or
79	municipalities.
80	Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2013.
1	Page 3 of 3

## Page 3 of 3

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

hb0307-00



Bill No. HB 307 (2013)

Amendment No.

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE	ACTION
ADOPTED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
OTHER	

Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Government Operations 1 2 Subcommittee 3 Representative Tobia offered the following: 4 Amendment (with title amendment) 5 6 Remove everything after the enacting clause and insert: 7 Section 1. Section 255.0991, Florida Statutes, is created 8 to read: 9 255.0991 Preference to Florida businesses.-10 (1) (a) When an agency, university, college, school district, or other political subdivision of the state is 11 12 required to make purchases of construction services through 13 competitive solicitation and the lowest responsible and 14 responsive bid, proposal, or reply is by a vendor whose 15 principal place of business is in a state or political 16 subdivision thereof which grants a preference for the purchase 17 of such construction services to a person whose principal place 18 of business is in such state, then the agency, university, 19 college, school district, or other political subdivision of this 20 state shall award a preference to the lowest responsible and 443985 - HB 307.strike-all amendment.Tobia.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 3:13:50 PM

Page 1 of 6



COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 307 (2013)

this state, which preference is equal to the preference granted by the state or political subdivision thereof in which the lowest responsible and responsive vendor has its principal place of business. In a competitive solicitation in which the lowest bid is submitted by a vendor whose principal place of business is located outside the state and that state does not grant a
24 lowest responsible and responsive vendor has its principal place 25 of business. In a competitive solicitation in which the lowest 26 bid is submitted by a vendor whose principal place of business
25 of business. In a competitive solicitation in which the lowest 26 bid is submitted by a vendor whose principal place of business
26 bid is submitted by a vendor whose principal place of business
27 is located outside the state and that state does not grant a
Is located outside the state and that state does not grant a
28 preference in competitive solicitation to vendors having a
29 principal place of business in that state, the preference to the
30 lowest responsible and responsive vendor having a principal
31 place of business in this state shall be 5 percent.
32 (b) Paragraph (a) does not apply to transportation
33 projects for which federal aid funds are available.
34 (c)1. For a competitive solicitation in which payment for
35 the construction services is to be made in whole or in part from
36 funds appropriated by the state, this section preempts and
37 supersedes any local ordinance or regulation that restricts a
38 contractor certified under s. 489.105(8) from competing for an
39 award based upon:
40 a. The vendor maintaining an office or place of business
41 within a particular local jurisdiction;
42 b. The vendor hiring employees or subcontractors from
43 within a particular local jurisdiction; or
44 c. The vendor's prior payment of local taxes, assessments,
45 or duties within a particular local jurisdiction.
46 2. In any competitive solicitation subject to this
47 <u>section, a university, college, county, municipality, school</u>
48 district, or other political subdivision shall disclose in the
 443985 - HB 307.strike-all amendment.Tobia.docx
Published On: 3/5/2013 3:13:50 PM Page 2 of 6

Page 2 of 6

## 

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 307 (2013)

49	Amendment No. solicitation document whether payment will come from funds
50	appropriated by the state and, if known, the amount of such
51	funds or the percentage of such funds as compared to the
52	anticipated total cost of the construction services.
53	3. Except as provided in subparagraph 1., this section
54	does not prevent a university, college, county, municipality,
55	school district, or other political subdivision of this state
56	from awarding a contract to any vendor in accordance with
57	applicable state laws or local ordinances or regulations.
58	(2) A vendor whose principal place of business is outside
59	this state must accompany any written bid, proposal, or reply
60	documents with a written opinion of an attorney at law licensed
61	to practice law in that foreign state, as to the preferences, if
62	any or none, granted by the law of that state to its own
63	business entities whose principal places of business are in that
64	foreign state in the letting of any or all public contracts.
65	Section 2. Subsection (1) of section 287.084, Florida
66	Statutes, is amended to read:
67	287.084 Preference to Florida businesses
68	(1)(a) When an agency, university, college, school
69	district, or other political subdivision of the state is
70	required to make purchases of personal property through
71	competitive solicitation and the lowest responsible and
72	responsive bid, proposal, or reply is by a vendor whose
73	principal place of business is in a state or political
74	subdivision thereof which grants a preference for the purchase
75	of such personal property to a person whose principal place of
76	business is in such state, then the agency, university, college,
l 4	443985 - HB 307.strike-all amendment.Tobia.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 3:13:50 PM

Published On: 3/5/2013 3:13:50 PM

Page 3 of 6

.

# 

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 307 (2013)

77	Amendment No. school district, or other political subdivision of this state
78	shall award a preference to the lowest responsible and
79	responsive vendor having a principal place of business within
80	this state, which preference is equal to the preference granted
81	by the state or political subdivision thereof in which the
82	lowest responsible and responsive vendor has its principal place
83	of business. In a competitive solicitation in which the lowest
84	bid is submitted by a vendor whose principal place of business
85	is located outside the state and that state does not grant a
86	preference in competitive solicitation to vendors having a
87	principal place of business in that state, the preference to the
88	lowest responsible and responsive vendor having a principal
89	place of business in this state shall be 5 percent.
90	(b) Paragraph (a) does not apply to transportation
91	projects for which federal aid funds are available.
92	(c)1. For a competitive solicitation in which payment for
93	the personal property is to be made in whole or in part from
94	funds appropriated by the state, this section preempts and
95	supersedes any local ordinance or regulation that grants
96	preference to a vendor based upon:
97	a. The vendor maintaining an office or place of business
98	within a particular local jurisdiction;
99	b. The vendor hiring employees or subcontractors from
100	within a particular local jurisdiction; or
101	c. The vendor's prior payment of local taxes, assessments,
102	or duties within a particular local jurisdiction.
103	2. In any competitive solicitation subject to this
104	section, a university, college, county, municipality, school

443985 - HB 307.strike-all amendment.Tobia.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 3:13:50 PM

Page 4 of 6

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 307 (2013)

	DIII NO. ND SU/ (2013
105	Amendment No. district, or other political subdivision shall disclose in the
106	solicitation document whether payment will come from funds
107	appropriated by the state and, if known, the amount of such
108	funds or the percentage of such funds as compared to the
109	anticipated total cost of the personal property.
110	3. Except as provided in subparagraph 1., this section
111	does not prevent a university, college, county, municipality,
112	school district, or other political subdivision of this state
113	from awarding a contract to any vendor in accordance with
114	applicable state laws or local ordinances or regulations.
115	(c) As used in this section, the term "other political
116	subdivision of this state" does not include counties or
117	municipalities.
118	Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2013.
119	
120	
121	
122	TITLE AMENDMENT
123	Remove everything before the enacting clause and insert:
124	An act relating to preference in award of governmental
125	entity contracts; creating s. 255.0991, F.S.; authorizing
126	an agency, university, college, school district, or other
127	political subdivision of the state to provide preferential
128	consideration to a Florida business in awarding
129	competitively bid contracts to purchase construction
130	services; providing that for specified competitive
131	solicitations the authority to grant preference supersedes
132	
192	any local ordinance or regulation which grants preference
1	442005 UD 207 stations all smartheast making door

443985 - HB 307.strike-all amendment.Tobia.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 3:13:50 PM

Page 5 of 6



COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 307 (2013)

Amendment No.

100	Amenament No.
133	to specified vendors; requiring an agency, university
134	college, school district, or other political subdivision to
135	make specified disclosures in competitive solicitation
136	documents; requiring legal written opinions to accompany
137	specified bids, proposals, and reply documents; amending s.
138	287.084, F.S.; expanding provisions that require an agency,
139	university, college, school district, or other political
140	subdivision of the state to provide preferential
141	consideration to a Florida business in awarding
142	competitively bid contracts to purchase personal property
143	to require counties and municipalities to provide such
144	preferential consideration; providing that for specified
145	competitive solicitations the authority to grant preference
146	supersedes any local ordinance or regulation which grants
147	preference to specified vendors; requiring a university,
148	college, county, municipality, school district, or other
149	political subdivision to make specified disclosures in
150	competitive solicitation documents; providing an effective
151	date.
152	

443985 - HB 307.strike-all amendment.Tobia.docx Published On: 3/5/2013 3:13:50 PM Page 6 of 6

.

•

·

.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:PCB GVOPS 13-02OGSR Victim of Domestic or Sexual ViolenceSPONSOR(S):Government Operations SubcommitteeTIED BILLS:IDEN./SIM. BILLS:SB 304

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or	
		$\cap$	BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF	
Orig. Comm.: Government Operations Subcommittee		Williamson	WWilliamsor WW	

#### SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The Open Government Sunset Review Act requires the Legislature to review each public record and each public meeting exemption five years after enactment. If the Legislature does not reenact the exemption, it automatically repeals on October 2nd of the fifth year after enactment.

Current law requires an employer to permit an employee to request and take up to three workings days of leave from work in any 12-month period if the employee, or a family or household member of an employee, is the victim of domestic or sexual violence. This applies to public or private employers with 50 or more employees and to employees who have been employed by an employer for at least three months. An employee must provide sufficient documentation of the act of domestic violence or sexual violence as well as advance notice of the leave, except in cases of imminent danger to the employee or the employee's family. Additionally, the employee must use all available annual or vacation leave, personal leave, and sick leave, unless this requirement is waived by the employer.

Current law provides a public record exemption for certain information documenting an act of domestic violence or sexual violence submitted to an agency by an agency employee. Specifically, personal identifying information that is contained in records documenting an act of domestic or sexual violence and that is submitted to an agency by an agency employee is confidential and exempt from public record requirements. In addition, a written request for leave that is submitted by an agency employee, and any agency timesheet that reflects such a request, are confidential and exempt until one year after the leave has been taken.

The bill reenacts this public record exemption, which will repeal on October 2, 2013, if this bill does not become law.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local governments.

## FULL ANALYSIS

## I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

#### Background

#### Open Government Sunset Review Act

The Open Government Sunset Review Act<sup>1</sup> sets forth a legislative review process for newly created or substantially amended public record or public meeting exemptions. It requires an automatic repeal of the exemption on October 2nd of the fifth year after creation or substantial amendment, unless the Legislature reenacts the exemption.

The Act provides that a public record or public meeting exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose. In addition, it may be no broader than is necessary to meet one of the following purposes:

- Allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, which administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption.
- Protects sensitive personal information that, if released, would be defamatory or would jeopardize an individual's safety; however, only the identity of an individual may be exempted under this provision.
- Protects trade or business secrets.

If, and only if, in reenacting an exemption that will repeal, the exemption is expanded (essentially creating a new exemption), then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are required.<sup>2</sup> If the exemption is reenacted with grammatical or stylistic changes that do not expand the exemption, if the exemption is narrowed, or if an exception to the exemption is created<sup>3</sup> then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are not required.

#### Employee Leave for Domestic Violence or Sexual Violence

Current law requires an employer to permit an employee to request and take up to three workings days of leave from work in any 12-month period if the employee, or a family or household member of an employee, is the victim of domestic or sexual violence.<sup>4</sup> This applies to public or private employers with 50 or more employees and to employees who have been employed by an employer for at least three months.<sup>5</sup>

An employee may use the leave from work to:

- Seek an injunction for protection against domestic violence or an injunction for protection in cases of repeat violence, dating violence, or sexual violence;
- Obtain medical care or mental health counseling, or both, for the employee or a family or household member to address physical or psychological injuries resulting from the act of domestic violence or sexual violence;
- Obtain services from a victim services organization, including, but not limited to, a domestic violence shelter or program or a rape crisis center as a result of the act of domestic violence or sexual violence;
- Make the employee's home secure from the perpetrator of the domestic violence or sexual violence or to seek new housing to escape the perpetrator; or

<sup>5</sup> Section 741.313(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 119.15, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 24(c), Art. I of the State Constitution

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> An example of an exception to a public record exemption would be allowing another agency access to confidential or exempt records.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The leave may be with or without pay, at the discretion of the employer. Section 741.313(2)(a), F.S.

 Seek legal assistance in addressing issues arising from the act of domestic violence or sexual violence or to attend and prepare for court-related proceedings arising from the act of domestic violence or sexual violence.<sup>6</sup>

An employee must provide sufficient documentation of the act of domestic or sexual violence as well as advance notice of the leave, except in cases of imminent danger to the employee or the employee's family.<sup>7</sup> Additionally, the employee must use all available annual or vacation leave, personal leave, and sick leave, unless this requirement is waived by the employer.<sup>8</sup>

#### Public Record Exemption under Review

In 2007, the Legislature created a public record exemption for certain information documenting an act of domestic violence submitted to an agency<sup>9</sup> by an agency employee.<sup>10</sup> Specifically, personal identifying information that is contained in records documenting an act of domestic violence and that is submitted to an agency by an agency employee is confidential and exempt<sup>11</sup> from public record requirements.<sup>12</sup> In addition, a written request for leave that is submitted by an agency employee, and any agency timesheet that reflects such a request, are confidential and exempt until one year after the leave has been taken.<sup>13</sup>

In 2008, the public record exemption was amended to include victims of sexual violence.<sup>14</sup>

Pursuant to the Open Government Sunset Review Act, the public record exemption will repeal on October 2, 2013, unless reenacted by the Legislature.

During the 2012 interim, subcommittee staff sent questionnaires to state and local government agencies as part of the Open Government Sunset Review process. In addition, those organizations representing victims of domestic violence or sexual violence were contacted for input regarding the public record exemption under review. Those contacted indicated that there is a public necessity to continue to protect the confidential and exempt information, and recommended reenactment of the public record exemption under review.

## Effect of the Bill

The bill removes the repeal date, thereby reenacting the public record exemption for personal identifying information contained in agency records documenting an act of domestic violence or sexual violence, and reenacting the public record exemption for a written request for leave and any agency time sheet reflecting such a request. The bill also makes editorial changes.

<sup>10</sup> Chapter 2007-108, L.O.F.; codified as s. 741.313(7), F.S.

<sup>11</sup> There is a difference between records the Legislature designates as exempt from public record requirements and those the Legislature deems confidential and exempt. A record classified as exempt from public disclosure may be disclosed under certain circumstances. (*See WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole*, 874 So.2d 48, 53 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004), review denied 892 So.2d 1015 (Fla. 2004); *City of Riviera Beach v. Barfield*, 642 So.2d 1135 (Fla. 4th DCA 1994); *Williams v. City of Minneola*, 575 So.2d 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991) If the Legislature designates a record as confidential and exempt from public disclosure, such record may not be released, by the custodian of public records, to anyone other than the persons or entities specifically designated in the statutory exemption. (*See* Attorney General Opinion 85-62, August 1, 1985).

STORAGE NAME: pcb02.GVOPS.DOCX DATE: 3/4/2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 741.313(2)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Section 741.313(4)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 741.313(4)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> For purposes of the public record exemption, "agency" means an agency as defined in chapter 119, F.S. Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines "agency" to mean "any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Section 741.313(7)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Section 741.313(7)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Chapter 2008-254, L.O.F.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 amends s. 741.313, F.S., to save from repeal the public record exemption for certain information submitted to an agency by an agency employee that documents an act of domestic violence or sexual violence.

Section 2 provides an effective date of October 1, 2013.

#### **II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

## B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

- C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR: None.
- D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

## **III. COMMENTS**

- A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:
  - 1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not Applicable. This bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to spend funds or take an action requiring the expenditure of funds; reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenues in the aggregate; or reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

## IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

2013 PCB GVOPS 13-02 ORIGINAL 1 A bill to be entitled 2 An act relating to a review under the Open Government 3 Sunset Review Act; amending s. 741.313, F.S., relating 4 to an exemption from public record requirements for 5 certain information submitted to an agency by an 6 agency employee who is a victim of domestic violence 7 or sexual violence; making clarifying changes; 8 removing the scheduled repeal of the exemption; 9 providing an effective date. 10 11 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 12 13 Subsection (7) of section 741.313, Florida Section 1. 14 Statutes, is amended to read: 15 741.313 Unlawful action against employees seeking 16 protection.-17 (7)(a) Personal identifying information that is contained 18 in records documenting an act of domestic violence or sexual 19 violence, and that is submitted by an agency employee to an 20 agency, as defined in chapter 119, by an agency employee under 21 the requirements of this section is confidential and exempt from 22 s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution. 23 A written request for leave that is submitted by an (b) 24 agency employee under the requirements of this section, and any 25 agency time sheet that reflects such a request, are confidential 26 and exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State 27 Constitution until 1 year after the leave has been taken. 28 (c) This subsection is subject to the Open Government

Page 1 of 2 PCB GVOPS 13-02 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

۷

PCB GVOPS 13-02 2013 ORIGINAL 29 Sunset Review Act in accordance with s. 119.15, and shall stand 30 repealed on October 2, 2013, unless reviewed and saved from 31 repeal through reenactment by the Legislature. 32 Section 2. This act shall take effect October 1, 2013.

Page 2 of 2 PCB GVOPS 13-02 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

V

**x** 

Ę,

••

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:PCB GVOPS 13-03OGSR Organ and Tissue Donor RegistrySPONSOR(S):Government Operations SubcommitteeTIED BILLS:IDEN./SIM. BILLS:SB 452

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
Orig. Comm.: Government Operations Subcommittee		Williamson	D Williamson WWW

#### SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The Open Government Sunset Review Act requires the Legislature to review each public record and each public meeting exemption five years after enactment. If the Legislature does not reenact the exemption, it automatically repeals on October 2nd of the fifth year after enactment.

Current law establishes the Joshua Abbott Organ and Tissue Registry (donor registry), which is an interactive web-based organ and tissue donor registry that allows for online organ donor registration. Donate Life Florida runs the donor registry and maintains donor records on behalf of the state.

Current law provides a public record exemption for certain information held in the donor registry. Specifically, information that identifies a donor is confidential and exempt from public record requirements. Such information may be disclosed to procurement organizations that have been certified by the agency for purposes of ascertaining or effectuating the existence of a gift, and to persons engaged in bona fide research who agree to certain requirements.

The bill reenacts this public record exemption, which will repeal on October 2, 2013, if this bill does not become law.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local governments.

## FULL ANALYSIS

## I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

## A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

## Background

## Open Government Sunset Review Act

The Open Government Sunset Review Act<sup>1</sup> sets forth a legislative review process for newly created or substantially amended public record or public meeting exemptions. It requires an automatic repeal of the exemption on October 2nd of the fifth year after creation or substantial amendment, unless the Legislature reenacts the exemption.

The Act provides that a public record or public meeting exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose. In addition, it may be no broader than is necessary to meet one of the following purposes:

- Allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, which administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption.
- Protects sensitive personal information that, if released, would be defamatory or would jeopardize an individual's safety; however, only the identity of an individual may be exempted under this provision.
- Protects trade or business secrets.

If, and only if, in reenacting an exemption that will repeal, the exemption is expanded (essentially creating a new exemption), then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are required.<sup>2</sup> If the exemption is reenacted with grammatical or stylistic changes that do not expand the exemption, if the exemption is narrowed, or if an exception to the exemption is created<sup>3</sup> then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are not required.

## **Organ Donations**

Organ recovery and allocation is regulated by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, a division of the United States Department of Health and Human Services. Florida has four federally designated, non-profit organ procurement organizations that are exclusively responsible for facilitating the process.<sup>4</sup> Each organization serves a different region of the state.<sup>5</sup> In addition to federal certification by the United States Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, the Agency for Health Care Administration also certifies the organizations.<sup>6</sup>

## Joshua Abbott Organ and Tissue Registry<sup>7</sup>

In 2008, the Legislature found that there was a shortage of organ and tissue donors in Florida, and found that there was a need to encourage the various minority populations of Florida to donate organs and tissue. As such, the Legislature directed the Agency for Health Care Administration (agency) and the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles (department) to competitively procure and jointly

http://organdonor.gov/materialsresources/materialsopolist.html (last visited March 3, 2013).

STORAGE NAME: pcb03.GVOPS.DOCX

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 119.15, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 24(c), Art. I of the State Constitution

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> An example of an exception to a public record exemption would be allowing another agency access to confidential or exempt records.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> FAQs About Donation, Donate Life Florida, available at: http://www.donatelifeflorida.org/content/about/facts/faq/#faq\_47 (last visited March 3, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> LifeLink of Florida serves west Florida, LifeQuest Organ Recovery Services serves north Florida, TransLife Organ and Tissue Donation Services serves eat Florida, and LifeAlliance Organ Recovery Services serves south Florida. Available at:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See s. 765.541, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Section 765.5155(5), F.S., designates the donor registry as the Joshua Abbott Organ and Tissue Registry.

contract for the operation of a donor registry and education program.<sup>8</sup> The agency and department selected Donate Life Florida to run the donor registry and maintain donor records. Donate Life Florida is responsible, in part, for maintaining an interactive web-based organ and tissue donor registry that allows for online organ donor registration.<sup>9</sup>

#### Public Record Exemption under Review

In 2008, the Legislature created a public record exemption for certain information held in the donor registry.<sup>10</sup> Specifically, information that identifies a donor is confidential and exempt<sup>11</sup> from public record requirements.<sup>12</sup> Such information may be disclosed to procurement organizations that have been certified by the agency for purposes of ascertaining or effectuating the existence of a gift, and to persons engaged in bona fide research who agree to certain requirements<sup>13</sup>.<sup>14</sup>

Pursuant to the Open Government Sunset Review Act, the public record exemption will repeal on October 2, 2013, unless reenacted by the Legislature.

During the 2012 interim, subcommittee staff sent questionnaires to the agency, department, and four organ and tissue procurement agencies as part of the Open Government Sunset Review process. Those responding to the questionnaire recommended reenactment of the public record exemption under review.

#### Effect of the Bill

The bill removes the repeal date, thereby reenacting the public record exemption for information that identifies a donor and that is held in the donor registry.

#### **B. SECTION DIRECTORY:**

Section 1 amends s. 765.51551, F.S., to save from repeal the public record exemption for certain information held in the donor registry.

Section 2 provides an effective date of October 1, 2013.

## II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

## A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

<sup>12</sup> Section 765.51551(1), F.S.

<sup>13</sup> Persons engaged in bona fide research must agree to:

- Submit a research plan to the agency that specifies the exact nature of the information requested and the intended use of the information;
- Maintain the confidentiality of the records or information if personal identifying information is made available to the researcher;
- Destroy any confidential records or information obtained after the research is concluded; and
- Not directly or indirectly contact any donor or done.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 765.5155(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Section 765.5155(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Chapter 2008-222, L.O.F.; codified as s. 765.51551, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> There is a difference between records the Legislature designates as exempt from public record requirements and those the Legislature deems confidential and exempt. A record classified as exempt from public disclosure may be disclosed under certain circumstances. (*See WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole*, 874 So.2d 48, 53 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004), review denied 892 So.2d 1015 (Fla. 2004); *City of Riviera Beach v. Barfield*, 642 So.2d 1135 (Fla. 4th DCA 1994); *Williams v. City of Minneola*, 575 So.2d 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991) If the Legislature designates a record as confidential and exempt from public disclosure, such record may not be released, by the custodian of public records, to anyone other than the persons or entities specifically designated in the statutory exemption. (*See* Attorney General Opinion 85-62, August 1, 1985).

1. Revenues:

None.

- 2. Expenditures:
  - None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

- 1. Revenues: None.
- 2. Expenditures:

None.

- C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR: None.
- D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

# III. COMMENTS

- A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:
  - Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision: Not Applicable. This bill does not appear to affect county or municipal government.
  - 2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS: None.

# IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

	PCB GVOPS 13-03 ORIGINAL	2013
1	A bill to be entitled	
2	An act relating to a review under the Open Government	
3	Sunset Review Act; amending s. 765.51551, F.S.,	
4	relating to an exemption from public record	
5	requirements for information identifying a donor that	
6	is held in the organ and tissue donor registry;	
7	removing the scheduled repeal of the exemption;	
8	providing an effective date.	
9		
10	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:	
11		
12	Section 1. Subsection (3) of section 765.51551, Florida	
13	Statutes, is repealed.	
14	Section 2. This act shall take effect October 1, 2013.	
ļ		

Page 1 of 1 PCB GVOPS 13-03 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

۷