

Agriculture & Natural Resources Appropriations Subcommittee

March 24, 2015 10:00 AM – Noon Reed Hall



The Florida House of Representatives

Appropriations Committee

Agriculture & Natural Resources Appropriations Subcommittee

Steve Crisafulli Speaker Ben Albritton Chair

March 24, 2015

AGENDA 10:00 AM - 12:00 PM Reed Hall

- I. Call to Order/Roll Call
- II. HB 7021—Fish & Wildlife Conservation Commission by Sullivan
- III. CS/HB 841—Contaminated Sites by Drake
- IV. CS/HB 917—Cattle Market Development by Combee, Albritton
- V. Closing/Adjourn

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

CS/HB 841

Contaminated Sites

SPONSOR(S): Agriculture & Natural Resources Subcommittee; Drake

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1302

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Agriculture & Natural Resources Subcommittee	9 Y, 0 N, As CS	Gregory	Blalock
Agriculture & Natural Resources Appropriations Subcommittee		Helpling t	Massengale SM
3) State Affairs Committee			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

In 2003, the Legislature created the "Global Risk-Based Corrective Action" or "Global RBCA" statute, requiring risk-based corrective action (RBCA) to be applied to all contaminated sites in Florida. RBCA is a process that bases remedial action for contaminated sites on potential human health effects resulting from exposure to chemical compounds. RBCA utilizes site-specific data, modeling results, risk assessment studies, institutional controls (i.e., deed restrictions limiting future use to industrial), engineering controls (such as placing an impervious surface over contaminated soils to prevent human exposure), or any combination thereof. The goal of RBCA in Florida is to provide for a flexible site-specific cleanup process that reflects the intended use of the property following cleanup, while maintaining adequate protection of human health, safety, and the environment. Persons Responsible for Site Rehabilitation must follow the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP's) RBCA procedure when rehabilitating a contaminated site.

This bill amends the Global RBCA statute to:

- Add a definition of "background concentration" to include concentrations of contaminants that are naturally occurring or the result of anthropogenic (human) impacts unrelated to the discharge of pollutants or hazardous substances at the contaminated site undergoing rehabilitation. Currently, DEP may not require site rehabilitation to achieve a contamination target level (CTL) for any contaminant more stringent than the naturally occurring background contamination. Under this change, responsible parties would not be required to achieve a CTL for any contaminant more stringent than any background contamination naturally occurring or resulting from the anthropogenic impacts unrelated to the discharge of pollutants or hazardous substances at the contaminated site undergoing rehabilitation;
- Require DEP's Global RBCA rule to include protocols for long-term natural attenuation for site rehabilitation:
- Require DEP to consider the interactive effects of contaminants, including additives, synergistic, and antagonistic effects when determining what constitutes a rehabilitation program task;
- Create an exception when applying state water quality standards for determining what constitutes a rehabilitation program task;
- Allow the use of risk assessment modeling and probabilistic risk assessment to create site-specific alternative cleanup target levels (CTLs); and
- Allow the use of alternative CTLs without institutional controls if certain conditions exist.

The bill appears to have an insignificant negative fiscal impact on the state, which can be absorbed within existing resources; an indeterminate positive fiscal impact on the private sector; and no fiscal impact on local governments. See Fiscal Analysis & Economic Impact Statement for more detail.

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Present Situation

Global RBCA

Prior to 2003, Florida used Risk Based Corrective Action (RBCA) at contaminated sites under the following Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) programs: the Petroleum Restoration Program, the Brownfield Program, and the Drycleaning Facility Restoration Program (collectively "program sites"). The program sites made up approximately 90 percent of all of the contaminated sites in Florida.²

RBCA is a process that bases remedial action for contaminated sites on potential human health effects resulting from exposure to chemical compounds.³ RBCA utilizes site-specific data, modeling results, risk assessment studies, institutional controls (such as deed restrictions limiting future use to industrial), engineering controls (such as placing an impervious surface over contaminated soils to prevent human exposure), or any combination thereof.⁴

DEP managed non-program sites under the Contamination Assessment Plan/Remedial Action Plan process (CAP/RAP) set forth in the Model Corrective Action for Contaminated Site Cases guidance document.⁵ These sites were required to be remediated to default cleanup target levels (CTLs).⁶ A CTL is the concentration of a contaminant identified by an applicable analytical test method, in the medium of concern (e.g., soil or water), at which a site rehabilitation program is deemed complete.⁷ DEP developed the CTLs based on human health and aesthetic factors.⁸ Aesthetic considerations include altered taste, odor, or color of the water.⁹ This approach offered little flexibility to provide site-specific remediation strategies, was inefficient,¹⁰ and created a significant expense.¹¹

In 2003, the Legislature created s. 376.30701, F.S., commonly referred to as "Global Risk-Based Corrective Action" or "Global RBCA," which required RBCA to be applied to all contaminated sites in Florida to meet CTLs. 12 Chapter 62-777, F.A.C., provides the default CTLs and a methodology for RBCA. 13

¹ Charles F. Mills III, Global RBCA: Its Implementation, Foundation in Risk-Based Theory, and Implication, 22 J. Land Use & Envtl. L. 101, 116 (Fall 2006).

² Id. at 117.

³ Id. at 102 (Fall 2006).

⁴ Ralph A. DeMeo, Michael P. Petrovich, Christopher M. Teal, *Risk-Based Corrective Action In Florida: How Is It Working?*, the Florida Bar Journal, January 2015, at 47.

⁵ Mills, *supra* note 1, at 118. In 2005, the Fifth District Court of Appeals found this guidance document to be an unpromulgated rule, and therefore invalid. <u>Kerper v. Department of Environmental Protection</u>, 894 So.2d 1006 (Fla. 5th DCA 2005).

⁶ DeMeo, supra note 4, at 47.

⁷ Section 376.301(7), F.S.

⁸ Florida Department of Environmental Protection, *Technical Report: Development of Cleanup Target Levels (CTLs) For Chapter 62-777, F.A.C.*, at 7, incorporated by reference in Rule 62-777.100, F.A.C.
⁹ Id

DeMeo, supra note 4, at 47.

¹¹ Mills, *supra* note 1, at 133.

¹² Id. at 102.

¹³ ld. at 118.

In 2005, DEP adopted rules to implement Global RBCA.¹⁴ The goal was to provide for a flexible site-specific cleanup process that reflected the intended use of the property following cleanup, while maintaining adequate protection of human health, safety, and the environment.¹⁵

The ultimate goal for any contaminated site is for DEP to issue it a No Further Action (NFA) order. Upon discovery of a contaminant, DEP must be notified. The Person Responsible for Site Rehabilitation (responsible party) must commence site assessment within 60 days of discovery of a discharge to determine the extent of contamination and facilitate selection of an appropriate remediation strategy. This includes establishing any background concentrations of contaminations. Background concentrations are concentrations of contaminants that are naturally occurring in the groundwater, surface water, soil, or sediment in the vicinity of the site. DEP cannot require site rehabilitation to achieve a CTL for any contaminant more stringent than the naturally occurring background contamination.

Once a responsible party completes a site assessment, it has several Risk Management Options (RMOs) to achieve NFA. Under the RMO options, the responsible party must either rehabilitate the site to the default CTLs established in chapter 62-777, F.A.C., or to the alternative CTLs established through a Risk Assessment. For alternative CTLs, future site use and exposure characteristics differ greatly from those utilized to calculate the default CTLs such that the default CTLs "do not accurately correspond to the risk goals for that site."

Under RMO I, DEP will issue a NFA order without institutional controls or without institutional and engineering controls if the Exposure Point Concentration (EPC) for all detected chemicals do not exceed the less stringent of their corresponding default residential CTLs or their background concentration.²² Under RMO II, DEP will grant a NFA order, subject to institutional controls, if the EPCs for all detected chemicals do not exceed default commercial/industrial CTLs or alternative CTLs adjusted for site-specific geologic or hydrogeologic conditions.²³ Under RMO III, DEP will grant a NFA order, subject to institutional controls, if the EPCs for all detected chemicals do not exceed alternative CTLs adjusted for site-specific exposure scenarios determined in the exposure assessment.²⁴

Several methods may be used to achieve site rehabilitation. Section 376.30701(2), F.S., requires DEP's rule to include protocols for natural attenuation as a method for site rehabilitation. Natural attenuation allows natural processes to contain the spread of contamination and reduce the concentrations of contaminants in contaminated groundwater and soil. Natural attenuation processes may include sorption, biodegradation, chemical reactions with subsurface materials, diffusion, dispersion, and volatilization. This practice may be used depending on individual site characteristics, current and projected use of the land and groundwater, the exposed population, the location of the contamination plume, the degree and extent of contamination, the rate of migration of the plume, the apparent or potential rate of degradation of contaminants through natural attenuation, and the potential

¹⁴ DeMeo, *supra* note 4, at 47.

¹⁵ ld.

¹⁶ Rule 62-780.210, F.A.C.

¹⁷ Rule 62-780.600, F.A.C.

¹⁸ Rule 62-780.600(3)(d), F.A.C.

¹⁹ Rule 62-780.200(3), F.A.C.

²⁰ Section 376.30701(2)(g)1., F.S.

²¹ Florida Department of Environmental Protection, *Technical Report: Development of Cleanup Target Levels (CTLs) For Chapter 62-777, F.A.C.*, at 43-44, incorporated by reference in Rule 62-777.100, F.A.C.

²² Mills, *supra* note 1, at 125; Rule 62-780.680(1), F.A.C.

²³ Id.; Rule 62-780.680(2), F.A.C.

²⁴ Id., Rule 62-780.680(3), F.A.C.

²⁵ Section 376.301(24), F.S.

²⁶ Id.

for further migration in relation to the site's property boundary.²⁷ DEP may approve natural attenuation if:

- Free product is not present or free product removal is not feasible;
- Contaminated soil is not present in the unsaturated zone;
- Contaminations present in the groundwater above background concentrations or applicable CTLs are not migrating beyond the temporary point of compliance or vertically;
- The characteristics of the contaminant and its transformation products are conducive to natural attenuation; and
- One of the following is met:
 - The contaminated site is anticipated to meet NFA criteria in 5 years or less as a result
 of natural attenuation, the background concentrations or applicable CTLs are not
 exceeded at the temporary point of compliance, and contaminant concentrations do not
 meet certain criteria; or
 - The appropriateness of natural attenuation is demonstrated by:
 - A technical evaluation of the groundwater and soil; and
 - A scientific evaluation of the contamination plume migration, an estimate of the annual reduction in contaminant concentrations, and the estimated time to meet NFA.²⁸

Contaminated Site Liability

Under s. 376.308(1)(a), F.S., DEP may hold a person liable for any discharge or polluting condition if the person caused the discharge or polluting condition or owned the facility at the time the discharge occurred. Under ss. 376.308(1)(b) and 403.707(4), F.S., the following persons can be held liable for all costs of removal or remedial action incurred by DEP and damages for injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources, including the reasonable costs of assessing such injury, destruction, or loss resulting from the release or threatened release of a hazardous substance:

- Owners and operators of a facility;
- Persons who at the time of disposal of any hazardous substance owned or operated any facility at which such hazardous substance was disposed of;
- Any person who by contract arranged for the disposal of a hazardous substance; and
- Any person who accepts or has accepted any hazardous substances for transport to disposal or treatment facilities or sites.

DEP does not need to plead or prove negligence in any form or matter in these cases.²⁹ DEP must only plead and prove that the prohibited discharge or other polluting condition occurred.³⁰ Thus, this is a strict liability statute.

Persons potentially liable for a discharge, polluting condition, or release may only use the defenses set forth in the statutes.³¹ To avoid liability persons must plead and prove the occurrence was solely the result of:

- An act of war;
- An act of government;
- An act of God; or
- An act or omission of a third party.³²

While the first three defenses are straight forward to plead and prove, the third party defense may only be used when the defendant proves by a preponderance of the evidence that:

STORAGE NAME: h0841b.ANRAS.DOCX

²⁷ Rule 62-780.690(1), F.A.C.

²⁸ Rule 62-780.690(1), F.A.C.

²⁹ Section 376.308(1), F.S.

³⁰ ld.

³¹ Id.; Section 403.727(4), F.S.

³² Sections 376.308(2) and 403.727(5), F.S.

- The defendant exercised due care with respect to the hazardous waste concerned, taking into
 consideration the characteristics of such biomedical or hazardous waste, in light of all relevant
 facts and circumstances; and
- The defendant took precautions against foreseeable acts or omissions of any such third party and against the consequences that could foreseeably result from such acts or omissions.

These requirements are imposed on owners of contaminated sites because they are in the best position to protect themselves from the indemnities of the seller through pre-purchase due diligence and negotiation.³³

In addition to these defenses, in the case of a discharge of petroleum, petroleum products, or drycleaning solvents, the owner of the facility may escape liability by demonstrating that he or she did not cause or contribute to the discharge, and that he or she did not know of the polluting condition at the time the owner acquired title.³⁴ Under this "innocent landowner defense," the defendant must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that that he or she undertook, at the time of acquisition, all appropriate inquiry into the previous ownership and use of the property consistent with good commercial or customary practice in an effort to minimize liability.³⁵ When considering whether to apply the innocent landowner defense, a judge must take into account:

- Any specialized knowledge or experience on the part of the defendant;
- The relationship of the purchase price to the value of the property if uncontaminated;
- Commonly known or reasonably ascertainable information about the property;
- The obviousness of the presence or likely presence of contamination at the property; and
- The ability to detect such contamination by appropriate inspection.³⁶

Effect of Proposed Changes

This bill makes several revisions to the Global Risk-Based Corrective Action statutes.

The bill amends s. 376.301, F.S., to add a definition of "background concentration." This definition includes concentrations of contaminants that are naturally occurring <u>or the result of anthropogenic</u> (<u>human</u>) <u>impacts</u> unrelated to the discharge of pollutants or hazardous substances at the contaminated site undergoing rehabilitation. The bill also makes corresponding changes in ss. 376.30701(2)(g)1. and 376.30701(2)(i)1., F.S., to remove references to "naturally occurring" in front of "background concentration."

Currently, these provisions prohibit DEP from requiring site rehabilitation to achieve a CTL for any contaminant more stringent than the background contamination. As discussed above, the rule only includes naturally occurring concentrations of contaminants in its definition of "background concentration." Under the proposed change, human-created contamination may be treated as background contamination as well as naturally occurring contaminants. The change is similar to the EPA's policy for addressing background concentrations. In certain situations, the EPA will not require rehabilitation below naturally occurring or anthropogenic background concentrations.³⁷ The EPA guidance requires that the anthropogenic background contamination be unrelated to the release of hazardous substances at the contaminated cite.³⁸ Under the proposed change, responsible parties

³⁶ ld.

STORAGE NAME: h0841b.ANRAS.DOCX DATE: 3/13/2015

³³ Aramark Uniform and Career Apparel, Inc., et al. vs. Easton, 894 So. 2d 20, 25 (Fla. 2004)

³⁴ Section 376.308(1)(c), F.S.

³⁵ ld.

³⁷ See Environmental Protection Agency, *Transmittal of Policy Statement: "Role of Background in CERCLA Cleanup Program"* OSWER 9285.6-07P (May 2002), available at http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/pdf/role.pdf (last visited March 2, 2015); Environmental Protection Agency, *Guidance for Comparing Background and Chemical Concentrations in Soil for CERCLA Sites OSWER 9285.7-41* (September 2002), available at http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/pdf/background.pdf (last visited March 2, 2015).

³⁸ Id.

would only be required to rehabilitate their contaminated sites for the discharge or pollutants or hazardous substances at the contaminated site undergoing rehabilitation.

The bill also amends s. 376.30701(2), F.S., to require DEP's Global RBCA rules to include protocols for long-term natural attenuation. The bill also makes a corresponding change to s. 376.301, F.S., to add a definition of "long-term natural attenuation" to mean natural attenuation approved by DEP as a site rehabilitation program task that lasts more than five years. As discussed above, Rule 62-780.690, F.A.C., limits natural attenuation to a five-year period. However, natural attenuation may be permitted if the appropriateness of natural attenuation is demonstrated through technical and scientific evaluation. Thus, this change would appear to be consistent with the rule.

The bill amends s. 376.30701(2)(e), F.S., to require DEP to consider the interactive effects of contaminants, including additive, synergistic, and antagonistic effects when determining what constitutes a rehabilitation program task. Rule 62-780.650(1)(c)3., F.A.C., allows this methodology when creating a risk characterization as part of a risk assessment. Thus, this change would appear to be consistent with the rule.

The bill amends s. 376.30701(2)(g)2., F.S., to create an exception when applying state water quality standards in determining what constitutes a rehabilitation program task. Currently, the statute requires that when surface waters are exposed to contaminated groundwater, the more protective groundwater or surface water standard CTL must be applied. The bill waives this requirement when it has been demonstrated that contaminants do not cause or contribute to the exceedance of the applicable surface water criteria.

The bill amends ss. 376.30701(2)(g)3. and 376.30701(2)(i)3., F.S., to allow the use of risk assessment modeling and probabilistic risk assessment (PRA) to create site-specific alternative CTLs. PRA is a risk assessment that yields a probability distribution for risk, generally by assigning a probability distribution to represent variability or uncertainty in one or more inputs to the risk equation.³⁹ This method is different from the point estimate risk assessment for single values because it uses multiple variables. 40 The EPA uses this new method of risk assessment when evaluating risk at contaminated sites it regulates. 41 Rule 62-780.650(3), F.A.C., allows the use of PRA to perform risk assessment when establishing alternative CTLs. Thus, this change would appear to be consistent with the rule.

The bill also amends s. 376.30701(2)(g)3., F.S., to allow the use of alternative CTLs without institutional controls if:

- The only CTLs exceeded are the groundwater CTLs derived from nuisance, organoleptic,⁴² or aesthetic considerations;
- Concentrations of all contaminants meet the state water quality standards or the minimum criteria, based on the protection of human health, public safety, and the environment;
- All of the established groundwater CTLs for the contaminated site are met at the property boundary;
- The responsible party demonstrated that the contaminants will not migrate beyond the property boundary at concentrations that exceed the groundwater CTLs established for the contaminated
- The property has access to and is using an offsite water supply, and an unplugged private well is not used for domestic purposes; and

³⁹ Environmental Protection Agency, Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Volume III - Part A, Process for Conducting Probabilistic Risk Assessment at 1-3 (December 2001) available at http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/rags3adt/ (last visited March 2, 2015). ⁴⁰ ld. at 1-7.

⁴¹ See Id.

⁴² "Organoleptic" is defined as being, affecting, or relating to qualities (as taste, color, odor, and feel) of a substance (as a food or drug) that stimulate the sense organs. STORAGE NAME: h0841b.ANRAS.DOCX

• The property owner does not object to the NFA proposal to DEP or the local pollution control program.

Section 376.81(1)(g)3., F.S., already allows use of this procedure for Brownfield contaminated site. This change may require amendment of Rule 62-780.680, F.A.C.

Lastly, the bill amends s. 287.0595(1)(a), F.S., to update a reference to the new numbering in s. 376.301, F.S.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

- Section 1. Amending s. 376.301, F.S., relating to definitions used in ss. 376.30-376.317, 376.70, and 376.75. F.S.
- Section 2. Amending s. 376.30701, F.S., relating to application of risked-based corrective action principles to contaminated sites.
- Section 3. Amending s. 287.0595, F.S., relating to pollution response action contracts.
- Section 4. Providing an effective date of July 1, 2015.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

The bill appears to have an insignificant negative fiscal impact on DEP because the department will likely need to revise their rules as a result of the statutory changes in the bill. The impact can be absorbed by existing agency resources.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The bill will likely have an indeterminate positive economic impact on persons or entities that must rehabilitate a contaminated site. The amounts and types of contaminates, as well as the underlying geology, vary at each site resulting in a wide range of costs associated with site rehabilitation. However, property owners will no longer be required to rehabilitate a site for background concentrations caused by human activities unrelated to the discharge of pollutants or hazardous substances at the contaminated site undergoing rehabilitation. Further, these property owners will not be required to use institutional controls when an alternative CTL is used for site remediation in certain situations. Therefore, there will likely be a reduced cost associated with site cleanup.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

DEP has sufficient rulemaking authority to amend chapter 62-780, F.A.C., to conform to changes made in the statute.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

On March 10, 2015, the Agriculture & Natural Resources Subcommittee adopted an amendment to the bill and reported the bill favorably as a committee substitute. The amendment revised the bill to amend s. 376.301, F.S., to change the definition of "background contamination" to include concentrations of contaminants that are naturally occurring or the result of anthropogenic (human) impacts unrelated to the discharge of pollutants or hazardous substances at the contaminated site undergoing rehabilitation. This change appears to be consistent with EPA guidance.

This analysis is drafted to the bill as amended and passed by the Agriculture & Natural Resources Subcommittee.

STORAGE NAME: h0841b.ANRAS.DOCX

A bill to be entitled An act relating to contaminated sites; amending s. 376.301, F.S.; defining the terms "background concentration" and "long-term natural attenuation"; amending s. 376.30701, F.S.; requiring the Department of Environmental Protection to include protocols for the use of long-term natural attenuation where site conditions warrant; requiring specified interactive effects of contaminants to be considered as cleanup criteria; revising how cleanup target levels are applied where surface waters are exposed to contaminated groundwater; authorizing the use of relevant data and information when assessing cleanup target levels; providing that institutional controls are not required under certain circumstances if using alternative cleanup target levels; amending s. 287.0595, F.S.; conforming a cross-reference; providing an effective date.

19 20

18

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

1617

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

2122

23

24

2526

Section 1. Present subsections (4) through (22) of section 376.301, Florida Statutes, are redesignated as subsections (5) through (23), respectively, present subsections (23) through (48) of that section are redesignated as subsections (25) through (50), respectively, and new subsections (4) and (24) are

Page 1 of 13

added to that section, to read:

27 l

376.301 Definitions of terms used in ss. 376.30-376.317, 376.70, and 376.75.—When used in ss. 376.30-376.317, 376.70, and 376.75, unless the context clearly requires otherwise, the term:

- (4) "Background concentration" means the concentration of contaminants naturally occurring or resulting from anthropogenic impacts unrelated to the discharge of pollutants or hazardous substances at a contaminated site undergoing rehabilitation.
- (24) "Long-term natural attenuation" means natural attenuation approved by the department as a site rehabilitation program task for a period of more than 5 years.

Section 2. Subsection (2) of section 376.30701, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

376.30701 Application of risk-based corrective action principles to contaminated sites; applicability; legislative intent; rulemaking authority; contamination cleanup criteria; limitations; reopeners.—

(2) INTENT; RULEMAKING AUTHORITY; CLEANUP CRITERIA.—It is the intent of the Legislature to protect the health of all people under actual circumstances of exposure. By July 1, 2004, the secretary of the department shall establish criteria by rule for the purpose of determining, on a site-specific basis, the rehabilitation program tasks that comprise a site rehabilitation program, including a voluntary site rehabilitation program, and the level at which a rehabilitation program task and a site rehabilitation program may be deemed completed. In establishing

Page 2 of 13

53

54 55

56

57

58

59

60 61

62 63

64

65

66

67 68

69 70

7172

73

74

75

76

77

78

these rules, the department shall apply, to the maximum extent feasible, a risk-based corrective action process to achieve protection of human health and safety and the environment in a cost-effective manner based on the principles set forth in this subsection. These rules shall prescribe a phased risk-based corrective action process that is iterative and that tailors site rehabilitation tasks to site-specific conditions and risks. The department and the person responsible for site rehabilitation are encouraged to establish decision points at which risk management decisions will be made. The department shall provide an early decision, when requested, regarding applicable exposure factors and a risk management approach based on the current and future land use at the site. These rules must shall also include protocols for the use of natural attenuation, including long-term natural attenuation where site conditions warrant, the use of institutional and engineering controls, and the issuance of "No Further Action" orders. The criteria for determining what constitutes a rehabilitation program task or completion of a site rehabilitation program task or site rehabilitation program, including a voluntary site rehabilitation program, must:

(a) Consider the current exposure and potential risk of exposure to humans and the environment, including multiple pathways of exposure. The physical, chemical, and biological characteristics of each contaminant must be considered in order to determine the feasibility of a risk-based corrective action

Page 3 of 13

assessment.

79

80

81

82 83

8485

86 87

88

89 90

91

92

93

94 95

96

97

98 99

100

101

102

103

104

(b) Establish the point of compliance at the source of the contamination. However, the department is authorized to temporarily move the point of compliance to the boundary of the property, or to the edge of the plume when the plume is within the property boundary, while cleanup, including cleanup through natural attenuation processes in conjunction with appropriate monitoring, is proceeding. The department may also is authorized, pursuant to criteria provided in this section, to temporarily extend the point of compliance beyond the property boundary with appropriate monitoring, if such extension is needed to facilitate natural attenuation or to address the current conditions of the plume, provided human health, public safety, and the environment are protected. When temporarily extending the point of compliance beyond the property boundary, it cannot be extended further than the lateral extent of the plume, if known, at the time of execution of a cleanup agreement, if required, or the lateral extent of the plume as defined at the time of site assessment. Temporary extension of the point of compliance beyond the property boundary, as provided in this paragraph, must include actual notice by the person responsible for site rehabilitation to local governments and the owners of any property into which the point of compliance is allowed to extend and constructive notice to residents and business tenants of the property into which the point of compliance is allowed to extend. Persons receiving

Page 4 of 13

notice pursuant to this paragraph shall have the opportunity to comment within 30 days after receipt of the notice. Additional notice concerning the status of natural attenuation processes shall be similarly provided to persons receiving notice pursuant to this paragraph every 5 years.

- (c) Ensure that the site-specific cleanup goal is that all contaminated sites being cleaned up pursuant to this section ultimately achieve the applicable cleanup target levels provided in this subsection. In the circumstances provided in this subsection, and after constructive notice and opportunity to comment within 30 days after receipt of the notice to local government, owners of any property into which the point of compliance is allowed to extend, and residents of any property into which the point of compliance is allowed to extend, the department may allow concentrations of contaminants to temporarily exceed the applicable cleanup target levels while cleanup, including cleanup through natural attenuation processes in conjunction with appropriate monitoring, is proceeding, if human health, public safety, and the environment are protected.
- (d) Allow the use of institutional or engineering controls at contaminated sites being cleaned up pursuant to this section, where appropriate, to eliminate or control the potential exposure to contaminants of humans or the environment. The use of controls must be preapproved by the department and only after constructive notice and opportunity to comment within 30 days after receipt of notice is provided to local governments, owners

Page 5 of 13

of any property into which the point of compliance is allowed to extend, and residents on any property into which the point of compliance is allowed to extend. When institutional or engineering controls are implemented to control exposure, the removal of the controls must have prior department approval and must be accompanied by the resumption of active cleanup, or other approved controls, unless cleanup target levels under this section have been achieved.

- (e) Consider the <u>interactive</u> additive effects of contaminants, including additive, synergistic, and antagonistic <u>effects</u>. The synergistic and antagonistic effects shall also be considered when the scientific data become available.
- characteristics, which shall include, but not be limited to, the current and projected use of the affected groundwater and surface water in the vicinity of the site, current and projected land uses of the area affected by the contamination, the exposed population, the degree and extent of contamination, the rate of contaminant migration, the apparent or potential rate of contaminant degradation through natural attenuation processes, the location of the plume, and the potential for further migration in relation to site property boundaries.
 - (g) Apply state water quality standards as follows:
- 1. Cleanup target levels for each contaminant found in groundwater shall be the applicable state water quality standards. Where such standards do not exist, the cleanup target

Page 6 of 13

levels for groundwater shall be based on the minimum criteria specified in department rule. The department shall apply the following, as appropriate, in establishing the applicable cleanup target levels: calculations using a lifetime cancer risk level of 1.0E-6; a hazard index of 1 or less; the best achievable detection limit; and nuisance, organoleptic, and aesthetic considerations. However, the department may not shall not require site rehabilitation to achieve a cleanup target level for any individual contaminant that is more stringent than the site-specific, naturally occurring background concentration for that contaminant.

- 2. Where surface waters are exposed to contaminated groundwater, the cleanup target levels for the contaminants <u>must shall</u> be based on the more protective of the groundwater or surface water standards as established by department rule, <u>unless it has been demonstrated that the contaminants do not cause or contribute to the exceedance of applicable surface water quality criteria. <u>In such circumstance</u>, the point of measuring compliance with the surface water standards shall be in the groundwater immediately adjacent to the surface water body.</u>
- 3. Using risk-based corrective action principles, the department shall approve alternative cleanup target levels in conjunction with institutional and engineering controls, if needed, based upon an applicant's demonstration, using sitespecific or other relevant data and information, risk assessment

Page 7 of 13

183

184

185

186

187 188

189

190

191

192193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

modeling results, including results from probabilistic risk assessment modeling, risk assessment studies, risk reduction techniques, or a combination thereof, that human health, public safety, and the environment are protected to the same degree as provided in subparagraphs 1. and 2. Where a state water quality standard is applicable, a deviation may not result in the application of cleanup target levels more stringent than the standard. In determining whether it is appropriate to establish alternative cleanup target levels at a site, the department must consider the effectiveness of source removal, if any, that has been completed at the site and the practical likelihood of the use of low yield or poor quality groundwater, the use of groundwater near marine surface water bodies, the current and projected use of the affected groundwater in the vicinity of the site, or the use of groundwater in the immediate vicinity of the contaminated area, where it has been demonstrated that the groundwater contamination is not migrating away from such localized source, provided human health, public safety, and the environment are protected. Groundwater resource protection remains the ultimate goal of cleanup, particularly in light of the state's continued growth and consequent demands for drinking water resources. The Legislature recognizes the need for a protective yet flexible cleanup approach that risk-based corrective action provides. Only where it is appropriate on a site-specific basis, using the criteria in this paragraph and careful evaluation by the department, shall proposed alternative

Page 8 of 13

cleanup target levels be approved. <u>If alternative cleanup target</u> levels are used, institutional controls are not required if:

a. The only cleanup target levels exceeded are the groundwater cleanup target levels derived from nuisance, organoleptic, or aesthetic considerations;

- b. Concentrations of all contaminants meet the state water quality standards or the minimum criteria, based on the protection of human health, public safety, and the environment, as provided in subparagraph 1.;
- c. All of the groundwater cleanup target levels established pursuant to subparagraph 1. are met at the property boundary;
- d. The person responsible for site rehabilitation has demonstrated that the contaminants will not migrate beyond the property boundary at concentrations that exceed the groundwater cleanup target levels established pursuant to subparagraph 1.;
- e. The property has access to and is using an offsite water supply, and an unplugged private well is not used for domestic purposes; and
- f. The property owner does not object to the "No Further Action" proposal to the department or the local pollution control program.
- (h) Provide for the department to issue a "No Further Action" order, with conditions, including, but not limited to, the use of institutional or engineering controls where appropriate, when alternative cleanup target levels established

Page 9 of 13

pursuant to subparagraph (g)3. have been achieved or when the person responsible for site rehabilitation can demonstrate that the cleanup target level is unachievable with the use of available technologies. Before Prior to issuing such an order, the department shall consider the feasibility of an alternative site rehabilitation technology at the contaminated site.

- (i) Establish appropriate cleanup target levels for soils. Although there are existing state water quality standards, there are no existing state soil quality standards. The Legislature does not intend, through the adoption of this section, to create such soil quality standards. The specific rulemaking authority granted pursuant to this section merely authorizes the department to establish appropriate soil cleanup target levels. These soil cleanup target levels shall be applicable at sites only after a determination as to legal responsibility for site rehabilitation has been made pursuant to other provisions of this chapter or chapter 403.
- 1. In establishing soil cleanup target levels for human exposure to each contaminant found in soils from the land surface to 2 feet below land surface, the department shall apply the following, as appropriate: calculations using a lifetime cancer risk level of 1.0E-6; a hazard index of 1 or less; and the best achievable detection limit. However, the department may shall not require site rehabilitation to achieve a cleanup target level for an individual contaminant that is more stringent than the site-specific, naturally occurring background

Page 10 of 13

concentration for that contaminant. Institutional controls or other methods shall be used to prevent human exposure to contaminated soils more than 2 feet below the land surface. Any removal of such institutional controls shall require such contaminated soils to be remediated.

- 2. Leachability-based soil cleanup target levels shall be based on protection of the groundwater cleanup target levels or the alternate cleanup target levels for groundwater established pursuant to this paragraph, as appropriate. Source removal and other cost-effective alternatives that are technologically feasible shall be considered in achieving the leachability soil cleanup target levels established by the department. The leachability goals are shall not be applicable if the department determines, based upon individual site characteristics, and in conjunction with institutional and engineering controls, if needed, that contaminants will not leach into the groundwater at levels that pose a threat to human health, public safety, and the environment.
- 3. Using risk-based corrective action principles, the department shall approve alternative cleanup target levels in conjunction with institutional and engineering controls, if needed, based upon an applicant's demonstration, using site-specific or other relevant data and information, risk assessment modeling results, including results from probabilistic risk assessment modeling, risk assessment studies, risk reduction techniques, or a combination thereof, that human health, public

Page 11 of 13

safety, and the environment are protected to the same degree as provided in subparagraphs 1. and 2.

289290

291

292

293

294

295

296

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

287

288

The department shall require source removal as a risk reduction measure if warranted and cost-effective. Once source removal at a site is complete, the department shall reevaluate the site to determine the degree of active cleanup needed to continue. Further, the department shall determine if the reevaluated site qualifies for monitoring only or if no further action is required to rehabilitate the site. If additional site rehabilitation is necessary to reach "No Further Action" status,

rehabilitation is necessary to reach "No Further Action" status
the department is encouraged to utilize natural attenuation
monitoring, including long-term natural attenuation and
monitoring, where site conditions warrant.

Section 3. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1) of section

287.0595, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

287.0595 Pollution response action contracts; department rules.—

- (1) The Department of Environmental Protection shall establish, by adopting administrative rules as provided in chapter 120:
- (a) Procedures for determining the qualifications of responsible potential vendors <u>before</u> prior to advertisement for and receipt of bids, proposals, or replies for pollution response action contracts, including procedures for the rejection of unqualified vendors. Response actions are those

Page 12 of 13

313 activities described in s. 376.301(41) s. 376.301(39).

314 Section 4. This act shall take effect July 1, 2015.

Page 13 of 13

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

CS/HB 917 Cattle Market Development Act

SPONSOR(S): Agriculture & Natural Resources Subcommittee; Combee and others

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1220

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Agriculture & Natural Resources Subcommittee	11 Y, 0 N, As CS	Gregory	Blalock
Agriculture & Natural Resources Appropriations Subcommittee		Lolley of	Massengale
3) State Affairs Committee			-

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

In 2004, the Legislature passed SB 1770, which created the Beef Market Development Act (Act) to promote the growth of the cattle industry in the state. The Act also created the Florida Beef Council, Inc. (Council), a not-for-profit corporation organized to operate as a direct-support organization under the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (DACS). In addition, the Act authorized the Council to impose a \$1 maximum assessment on each head of cattle sold in the state if the imposition of the assessment is approved by referendum as described below. However, SB 1770 provided that the \$1 assessment established under the Act would not be imposed unless the national beef assessment program was repealed, stayed, or enjoined by the U.S. Congress, by a court, or by other operation of law. The U.S. Supreme Court ruled that the national program was constitutional, and therefore, the \$1 assessment established in the Act has never been implemented.

The bill amends current law to rename the Act to the Cattle Market Development Act, and for purposes of the Act, replace the Florida Beef Council, Inc. (Council), with the Florida Cattle Enhancement Board, Inc. (Board), a direct-support organization for DACS.

The bill:

- Establishes procedures for the Board to administer a state beef assessment program that charges an
 assessment of up to \$1 on each head of cattle sold in the state if the program is approved by a simple
 majority vote of the cattle producers. This assessment will be in addition to the \$1 assessment by the
 national beef program;
- Requires the Board to use the proceeds from the assessment to promote beef and beef products;
- Sets forth criteria to be a Board member;
- Sets forth powers and duties of the Board:
- Directs adoption of bylaws to govern the day-to-day operations of the Board;
- Establishes procedures to hold referenda to approve the assessment, modify the assessment, raise the assessment above \$1, and continue the assessment;
- Establishes procedures to collect the assessment;
- Establishes procedures to refund the assessments on request:
- · Authorizes the Board to accept grants and gifts; and
- Authorizes the Board to make payments to organizations for services performed.

The bill appears to have no impact on state or local government, but does have an impact on the private sector. See Fiscal Analysis & Economic Impact Statement.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h0917b.ANRAS.DOCX

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Present Situation

Beef Market Development Act

In 2004, the Legislature passed SB 1770, creating the Beef Market Development Act (Act).¹ The Act provides that it is the intent of the Legislature for the Act to:

- Promote the growth of the cattle industry in the state;
- Assure the public an adequate and wholesome food supply;
- Provide for the general economic welfare of producers and consumers of beef and the state;
 and
- Authorize the beef cattle production and feeding industry of the state with the authority to
 establish a self-financed, self-governed program to help develop, maintain, and expand the
 state, national, and foreign markets for beef and beef products that are produced, processed, or
 manufactured in this state.²

The Legislature created the Act in response to a ruling by the U.S. Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals, which held that the national beef assessment program is unconstitutional because it violates the First Amendment by compelling individuals to support financially private speech.³ The national beef assessment program charges a \$1 assessment for each head of cattle sold.⁴ Funds from the national beef program are expended on advertising, marketing, education, and research programs all aimed at stimulating beef sales.⁵

Section 2 of SB 1770 (2004) included an effective date which provided that the \$1 assessment established under the Act would not be imposed until the national beef program was repealed, stayed, or enjoined by the U.S. Congress, by a court, or by other operation of law.⁶ The U.S. Supreme Court later held the national beef program to be constitutional because the type of speech of which it compelled financial support was not private speech, but government speech.⁷ Compelled funding of government speech is constitutional because, as a general rule, government may support valid programs and policies by taxes or other exactions binding on protesting parties.⁸ Thus, the Florida beef assessment program has never been implemented.

The Florida Beef Council, Inc., (Council) created by the Act did not perform the powers of the Act because the Florida beef assessment program was never implemented. The Council does implement the national beef program. Under this program, \$.50 of the national beef assessment goes to state programs while \$.50 of the assessment goes to national programs.

STORAGE NAME: h0917b.ANRAS.DOCX

¹ Section 570.83, F.S.

² Section 570.83(2), F.S.

³ Senate Staff Analysis and Economic Impact Statement, Senate Bill 1770 p. 2 (April 13, 2004); See <u>Livestock Marketing</u>
Ass'n v. U.S. Dep't of Agriculture, 335 F.3d 711 (8th Cir. 2003).

⁴ 7 U.S.C. § 2904.

⁵ ld.

⁶ Chapter 2004-65, Laws of Fla.

⁷ Johanns v. Livestock Marketing Ass'n, 544 U.S. 550 (2005).

⁸ Id. at 559

⁹ Beef Board, State Beef Councils, http://www.beefboard.org/qsbc.asp (last visited February 25, 2015).

¹⁰ 7 U.S.C. § 2904; Beef Board, *Understanding You Beef Checkoff Program*, p. 5 available at http://www.beefboard.org/ (last visited February 25, 2015).

If the national beef assessment program is ever repealed, stayed, or enjoined, the Council could implement the Florida beef assessment program, discussed below.

Florida Beef Assessment Program

Florida Beef Council, Inc.

The Act creates the Florida Beef Council, Inc. (Council), a not-for-profit corporation organized to operate as a direct-service organization under the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (DACS). In addition, the Act authorizes the Council to impose a \$1 maximum assessment on each head of cattle sold in the state if the imposition of the assessment is approved by referendum as described below.¹¹

The Act defines the following terms used in the Act:

- "Beef" or "beef products" means products of beef intended for human consumption which are derived from any bovine animal, regardless of age, including, but not limited to, veal.
- "Cattle" means animals so designated by federal law, which includes all bovine animals. A cow and nursing calf sold together are considered one unit.
- "Council" means the Florida Beef Council, Inc.
- "Department" means the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services.
- "Collection agent" means a person who sells, offers for sale, markets, distributes, trades, or
 processes cattle that have been purchased or acquired from a producer or that are marketed on
 behalf of a producer and also includes meatpacking firms and their agents which purchase or
 consign to purchase cattle.
- "Person" means any natural person, partnership, corporation, company, association, society, trust, or other business unit or organization.
- "Producer" means a person that has owned or sold cattle in the previous calendar year or presently owns cattle.

The Act also requires the Council to:

- Establish the amount of the assessment at not more than \$1 per head of cattle;
- Develop, implement, and monitor a collection system for the assessment;
- Coordinate the collection of the assessment with other states;
- Establish refund procedures;
- Conduct referenda on the assessment:
- Plan, implement, and conduct programs of promotion, research, and consumer information or industry information to strengthen the cattle industry in the state and in the nation and to maintain and expand domestic and foreign markets and expand uses for beef and beef products;
- Use the proceeds of the assessment for funding cattle production and beef research, education, promotion, and consumer and industry information in the state and in the nation;
- Plan and implement a cattle and beef industry feedback program in the state;
- Coordinate research, education, promotion, industry, and consumer information programs with any national programs or programs of other states;
- Develop new uses and markets for beef and beef products;
- Develop and improve methods of distributing beef and beef products to the consumer;
- Develop methods of improving the quality of beef and beef products for the benefit of consumers;
- Inform and educate the public concerning the nutritive and economic values of beef and beef products;

STORAGE NAME: h0917b.ANRAS.DOCX

¹¹ Section 570.83(4), F.S.

¹² Section 570.83(3), F.S.

- Serve as liaison within the beef and other food industries of the state and elsewhere in matters that would increase efficiencies that ultimately benefit both consumers and industry;
- Buy, sell, mortgage, rent, or improve, in any manner that the Council considers expedient, real
 property or personal property, or both;
- Publish and distribute information as the board of directors deems appropriate;
- Do all other acts necessary or expedient to achieve the purposes of the Council; and
- Approve an annual plan, budget, and audit.¹³

The Council is prohibited from:

- · Participating in a political campaign;
- Using receipts to benefit directors, officers, or other private persons, except that the Council
 may pay reasonable compensation for services rendered by staff employees and may make
 payments and distributions to further the purposes of the Act;
- · Participating in activities prohibited for not for profit corporations under federal tax law; or
- Pursuing any activities that are not in furtherance of the Council's specific and primary purposes.¹⁴

Governing Board

The Act establishes the Council's governance structure. The Act creates a 13-member board of directors composed of:

- Eight representatives of the Florida Cattlemen's Association, of whom one must represent the Florida Association of Livestock Markets, and one must represent practicing order buyers;
- One representative of the Dairy Farmers, Inc.;
- One representative of Florida CattleWomen, Inc.;
- One representative of the Florida Farm Bureau Federation;
- · One representative of an allied-industry; and
- One representative of the Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS).¹⁵

The Commissioner of Agriculture (Commissioner) may appoint an ex-officio, nonvoting member to the board. 16

The term of each member of the board of directors is three years with a limit of two consecutive terms. The members are required to be Florida residents who have been cattle producers for the immediately preceding five years, except for the last three representatives mentioned above. Members can be reimbursed for travel, but are not entitled to a salary. A director may be removed if he or she misses three meetings of the board. The statute requires the board to adopt bylaws to establish the Council's officers and to establish duties and responsibilities.

Referenda on Assessments

To determine whether the cattle producers would like to impose an assessment that is funded through mandatory, but refundable, contributions, the Act requires that there be a referendum in which each cattle producer is entitled to one vote by secret ballot.²² The referenda are required to be conducted at

¹³ Section 570.83(4)(b)&(c), F.S.

¹⁴ Section 570.83(4)(d), F.S.

¹⁵ Section 570.83(5)(a), F.S.

¹⁶ Section 570.83(5)(c), F.S.

¹⁷ Section 570.83(5)(b), F.S.

¹⁸ ld.

¹⁹ ld.

²⁰ Section 570.83(5)(d), F.S.

²¹ Section 570.83(5)(c), F.S.

²² Section 570.83(6), F.S.

the extension offices of IFAS or the United States Department of Agriculture. Any issue subject to referendum must be determined by a simple majority of the votes cast.²³ Notice of a referendum is required to be given at least once in trade publications, the public press, and statewide newspapers at least 30 days before the referendum is held.²⁴ Additional referenda can be held to authorize the Council to increase the assessment to more than \$1 per head of cattle.²⁵ Referenda cannot be held more often than once every three years.²⁶

Powers and Duties of the Council

The Council is required to establish an office in the state, to receive and disburse funds to be used in implementing the programs, to keep books and records maintained in the ordinary course of business, to prepare reports as required, and to appoint a banking institution to receive the program funds and handle distribution.²⁷

The Council is authorized to conduct or contract for research programs, disseminate information benefiting the consumer and the beef industry, and respond to requests from government bodies concerning beef. It may also sue and be sued as a Council without individual members being liable for acts within the scope of the powers of the Act. The Council may borrow money and maintain emergency reserves in amounts not to exceed 50 percent of the anticipated annual income of the Council. The Council is also authorized to appoint advisory groups, hire and administer a staff of employees, and cooperate with other entities having similar objectives. The Council may send an authorized agent upon the premises of any market agency or agent, or collection agency or agent, to examine the accounts to ensure the payment of assessments due, and perform all other acts to further its objectives not prohibited by law.²⁸

Acceptance of Grants and Gifts

The Council is authorized to receive grants and donations provided that there were no restrictions that it considers to be inconsistent with the objectives of the Florida beef assessment program.²⁹

Payments to Organizations

The Council is authorized to fund other organizations for services rendered through a written agreement consistent with the objectives of the Florida beef assessment program.³⁰

Collection of Moneys at Time of Marketing

The Act provides procedures for the collection and remission of assessments at the time of sale by a collection agent. The Council is required to maintain a separate accounting of all assessments. The Council can cooperate with other beef councils to collect the assessment for cattle from other states sold in Florida or from Florida cattle sold in other states. If a person fails to pay the assessment, the Council can bring a civil action against that person in the circuit court of any county and can add a penalty in the amount of the sum of 10 percent of the assessment owed the cost of enforcing the collection of the assessment, court costs, and reasonable attorney's fees.³¹

STORAGE NAME: h0917b.ANRAS.DOCX

²³ Section 570.83(6)(e), F.S.

²⁴ Section 570.83(6)(b), F.S.

²⁵ Section 570.8396)(c). F.S.

²⁶ Id

²⁷ Section 570.83(7)(a), F.S.

²⁸ Section 570.83(8)(b), F.S.

²⁹ Section 570.83(8), F.S.

³⁰ Section 570.83(9), F.S.

³¹ Section 570.83(10), F.S.

Refunds

A producer of cattle may obtain a full refund upon request within 45 days after the sale transaction takes place, and any disputes will be settled in the same manner as collection disputes. The Council is required to take action on refund requests within 30 calendar days from the date of receipt of the request.³²

Vote on Continuing the Assessment

A referendum to vote to continue the Act may be held once in a three-year period if the Council receives petitions from at least 1,800 producers or 10 percent of Florida's producers as determined by DACS, whichever is less. Petitioners are required to collect the signatures within a 12-month period. Within 90 days of receiving the petitions, the Council must conduct a referendum to determine whether a majority of the producers voting support the continuation of the Act.³³

Bylaws

The Council is directed to adopt bylaws to carry out the intent and purposes of the Act. The statute also provided procedures for amending the bylaws.³⁴

Repeal

Lastly, the statute provides that it would be repealed on October 1, 2019, if not reviewed and saved by the Legislature.³⁵ The Legislature added this provision in 2014 as part of a comprehensive effort to create new reporting and transparency requirements for each citizen support organization (CSO) and direct support organization (DSO) that aids an executive agency.³⁶

Effect of Proposed Changes

Cattle Market Development Act

This bill amends s. 570.83, F.S., to give effect to the current law by establishing a new Florida beef assessment program and includes various revisions to the Act. The bill:

- Renames the statute the "Cattle Market Development Act:"
- Creates the Florida Cattle Enhancement Board, Inc.; and
- Creates a new Florida beef assessment program that is separate from and in addition to the national beef assessment program.

The bill amends the definition of "cattle" in s. 570.83(3), F.S., to eliminate the provision that treats a cow and a calf sold together as one unit. Thus, under the new Florida beef assessment program, a producer will pay an assessment for both the cow and the calf.

The bill amends s. 570.83(4), F.S., to create the Florida Cattle Enhancement Board (Board), a not-for-profit corporation organized to operate as a direct-service organization under DACS. Activities of the Board are to be financed by an assessment of not more than \$1 on each head of cattle sold in the state. This assessment is in addition to the \$1 assessment for the national beef assessment program. This assessment must be approved by a referendum of cattle producers.

³² Section 570.83(11), F.S.

³³ Section 507.83(12), F.S.

³⁴ Section 507.83(13), F.S.

³⁵ Section 507.83(14), F.S.

³⁶ Senate Bill Analysis and Fiscal Impact Statement, Senate Bill 1194 p. 1 (March 27, 2014). STORAGE NAME: h0917b.ANRAS.DOCX

The bill grants the Board the same powers as the Council by amending ss. 570.83(4) and (7), F.S., to consolidate the duties and powers into subsection (7) and eliminating some duplicative powers. The bill also prohibits the Board from exercising certain powers in the same manner that the Beef Market Development Act prohibited the Council from exercising certain powers. Notably, the bill does not grant the Board the power to sue or be sued. Nor does the bill protect Board directors from personal liability when acting within the scope of powers set forth in the Cattle Market Development Act. This may be because the Board will be a not-for-profit corporation. Not-for-profit corporations may sue or be sued under s. 617.0302(2), F.S. Further, directors of not-for-profit corporations are already afforded liability protection under s. 617.0834, F.S.

Under s. 570.83(5), F.S., the Board will be composed of the same group of representatives as the Council, except the Commissioner will appoint a representative from DACS instead of appointing an ex officio nonvoting member. The initial board of directors will be appointed by the Commissioner for staggered terms of 1 year for three members, 2 years for three members, 3 years for four members, and 4 years for four members. Board directors must meet the same qualifications as Council directors. The Board must create bylaws and will not be compensated except for travel. Similar to the Council, vacancies will be filled as provided in the bylaws, directors will serve 3-year terms, not to exceed two terms, and missing three meetings will be grounds to declare the seat vacant.

The bill amends s. 507.83(6), F.S., to require that the Florida beef assessment be approved by a referendum of cattle producers in the same manner as the Beef Market Development Act. Also like the Beef Market Development Act, the assessment may be increased to be more than \$1 and continued by referendum of the cattle producers. The bill requires the first referendum to be held within 180 days of July 1, 2015, and provides it may not be held more often than once every 3 years. The Commissioner must provide notice of a referendum 90 days in advance. Notice of a referendum must be given at least once in trade publications, the public press, and statewide newspapers at least 30 days before the referendum is held. The Commissioner may designate the referendum to take place for at least 5 days, but not more than 10 days. A simple majority vote will determine any issue that requires a referendum.

Under s. 570.83(10), F.S., the assessment collection procedure of the Cattle Market Development Act will be similar to the Beef Market Development Act. The only notable differences are that collection agents must forward the money to the Board by the 15th of each month and collection agents will not be entitled to deduct 2.5 percent of the amount collected to retain as a reasonable collection allowance prior to remitting the funds to the Board.

Under s. 507.83(11), F.S., cattle producers will be entitled to an unconditional refund of the assessment if requested.

Lastly, the bill amends s. 570.83(13), F.S., to change the provision requiring the Act to be repealed by October 1, 2019 if not reviewed and saved by the Legislature to reflect that the bill creates a new DSO and must be reviewed and saved by the Legislature by October 1, 2020.

The Board will be subject to the oversight, reporting, and audit requirements of ss. 20.058 and 215.981, F.S., because it is a direct support organization.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Amends s. 570.83, F.S., creating the Cattle Market Development Act.

Section 2. Providing an effective date of July 1, 2015.

STORAGE NAME: h0917b.ANRAS.DOCX

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The economic impact of the bill on cattle producers is indeterminate. If an assessment is approved by referendum of 1,800 producers or 10 percent, whichever is less, each producer will be assessed \$1 for every head of cattle sold, including both cow and calf. While the bill initially limits the assessment to not more than \$1 per head of cattle sold, the assessment may be raised by referendum. However, a producer is entitled to a full refund on request.

The bill eliminates the 2.5 percent collection allowance to collection agents.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

As discussed above, the U.S. Supreme Court held that the national beef assessment program did not violate the First Amendment because it compelled financial support of government speech, which is fundamentally different from compelled funding of private speech.³⁷ Compelled funding of government speech is constitutional because, as a general rule, government may support valid programs and policies by taxes or other exactions binding on protesting parties.³⁸ The U.S. Supreme Court found the national beef assessment program was government speech because the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) controlled the message coming from the Beef Board by

STORAGE NAME: h0917b.ANRAS.DOCX

³⁷ <u>Jo</u>hanns, at 559.

[ಿ] ld.

having the power to appoint and remove the Beef Board's Operating Committee, specifying what the message may be and the elements of the message, and maintaining final approval authority over the message.³⁹

The bill does not have the same control mechanisms as the national beef assessment program. However, ss. 20.058 and 215.981, F.S., subjects DSOs (like the proposed Florida Cattle Enhancement Board) to governmental oversight and auditing. DSOs must report to their parent agency every year, are subject to modification or termination every year, and must be audited on a regular basis by their parent agency.⁴⁰ Thus, one could argue this oversight is sufficient to demonstrate that the proposed Florida beef assessment program is government speech, and therefore, may be found constitutional.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

On March 10, 2015, the Agriculture & Natural Resources Subcommittee adopted three amendments and reported the bill favorably as a committee substitute. The amendments made the following revisions to the bill:

- Amends s. 570.83(13), F.S., to change the provision requiring the Act to be repealed in 2019 if not reviewed and saved by the Legislature to reflect that the bill creates a new DSO and must be reviewed and saved by the Legislature in 2020;
- Corrects a drafting error to change "council" to "board" in s. 570.83(7)(a)11., F.S.; and
- Authorizes the Board to accept grants and gifts and make payments to organizations for services performed.

This analysis is drafted to the bill as amended and passed by the Agriculture & Natural Resources Subcommittee.

³⁹ Id. at 560 – 561.

⁴⁰ Section 20.058 and 215.981, F.S. **STORAGE NAME**: h0917b.ANRAS.DOCX

CS/HB 917 2015

1 A bill to be entitled 2 An act relating to the Cattle Market Development Act; 3 amending s. 570.83, F.S.; renaming the Beef Market 4 Development Act as the Cattle Market Development Act; 5 renaming the Florida Beef Council, Inc., as the 6 Florida Cattle Enhancement Board, Inc.; conforming 7 intent and definitions; removing a provision that 8 deems a cow and nursing calf sold together as one 9 unit; authorizing the Cattle Enhancement Board to 10 impose additional assessments; limiting referenda on per-head-of-cattle assessments to once every 3 years; 11 providing for the Commissioner of Agriculture to 12 13 appoint a voting member rather than an ex officio, 14 nonvoting member to the governing board of the Cattle 15 Enhancement Board; providing for staggered terms of governing board members; providing for initial and 16 17 subsequent appointment of governing board members; 18 authorizing the commissioner to initiate a referendum 19 on assessments with certain notice; directing the commissioner to designate a specified number of days 20 21 for a referendum to take place; removing provisions 22 requiring the board to maintain frequent communication 23 with officers and industry representatives at the 24 state and national levels; removing provisions 25 authorizing the board to sue and be sued without 26 individual liability of the members, to maintain a

Page 1 of 19

CS/HB 917 2015

financial reserve for emergency use, and to appoint advisory groups; specifying a date by which collection agents must collect and forward assessments to the board; removing provisions entitling collection agents to deduct a fee from the amount of assessments collected; revising the date of the scheduled repeal of the act; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 570.83, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

570.83 <u>Cattle Beef Market Development Act; definitions;</u>
Florida <u>Cattle Enhancement Board Beef Council</u>, Inc., creation, purposes, governing board, powers, and duties; referendum on assessments imposed on gross receipts from cattle sales; payments to organizations for services; collecting and refunding assessments; vote on continuing the act; board council bylaws.—

(1) SHORT TITLE POPULAR NAME.—This section act may be cited as the "Cattle Beef Market Development Act."

(2) LEGISLATIVE INTENT.—The Legislature intends by this act to promote the growth of the cattle industry in this state; to assure the public an adequate and wholesome food supply; to provide for the general economic welfare of producers and consumers of beef and the state; and to provide the beef cattle production and feeding industry of this state with the authority

Page 2 of 19

to establish a self-financed, self-governed program to help develop, maintain, and expand the state, national, and foreign markets for beef and beef products that are produced, processed, or manufactured in this state.

- (3) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this <u>section</u> act, the term:
- (a) "Beef" or "beef products" means the products of beef intended for human consumption which are derived from any bovine animal, regardless of age, including, but not limited to, veal.
- (b) (c) "Board" or "Florida Cattle Enhancement Board"
 "Council" means the Florida Cattle Enhancement Board Beef
 Council, Inc.
- (c) (b) "Cattle" means such animals as are so designated by federal law, including any marketing, promotion, and research orders as are in effect. Unless such federal law provides to the contrary, the term "cattle" includes all bovine animals, regardless of age, including, but not limited to, calves. A cow and nursing calf sold together are considered one unit.
- (d) (e) "Collection agent" means a person who sells, offers for sale, markets, distributes, trades, or processes cattle that have been purchased or acquired from a producer or that are marketed on behalf of a producer. The term also includes meatpacking firms and their agents that purchase or consign to purchase cattle.
- $\underline{\text{(e)}}$ "Department" means the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services.
 - (f) "Person" means any natural person, partnership,

Page 3 of 19

corporation, company, association, society, trust, or other business unit or organization.

79

80

8182

83 84

85

86 87

88 89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

103104

- (g) "Producer" means a person that has owned or sold cattle in the previous calendar year or presently owns cattle.
- (4) FLORIDA <u>CATTLE ENHANCEMENT BOARD</u> <u>BEEF COUNCIL</u>, INC.; CREATION; PURPOSES.—
- (a) There is created the Florida <u>Cattle Enhancement Board</u>

 Beef Council, Inc., a not-for-profit corporation organized under the laws of this state <u>for the purpose of and operating as a direct-support organization to of the department pursuant to this section.</u>
- (b) The <u>board may council is authorized to</u> impose an <u>initial</u> assessment, in addition to any other assessment provided <u>by law</u>, of not more than \$1 on each head of cattle sold in the state if the imposition of the assessment is approved by referendum pursuant to subsection (6). The proceeds of the assessment shall be used to fund the activities of the <u>board</u> council. The council shall:
- 1. Establish the amount of the assessment at not more than \$1 per head of cattle.
- 2. Develop, implement, and monitor a collection system for the assessment.
- 3. Coordinate the collection of the assessment with other
 102 states.
 - 4. Establish refund procedures.
 - 5. Conduct referenda under subsections (6) and (12).

Page 4 of 19

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

hb0917-01-c1

105	(e) The council shart:
106	1. Plan, implement, and conduct programs of promotion,
107	research, and consumer information or industry information which
108	are designed to strengthen the cattle industry's market position
109	in this state and in the nation and to maintain and expand
110	domestic and foreign markets and expand uses for beef and beef
111	products.
112	2. Use the proceeds of the assessment for the purpose of
113	funding cattle production and beef research, education,
114	promotion, and consumer and industry information in this state
115	and in the nation.
116	3. Plan and implement a cattle and beef industry feedback
117	program in this state.
118	4. Coordinate research, education, promotion, industry,
119	and consumer information programs with any national programs or
120	programs of other states.
121	5. Develop new uses and markets for beef and beef
122	products.
123	6. Develop and improve methods of distributing beef and
124	beef products to the consumer.
125	7. Develop methods of improving the quality of beef and
126	beef products for the benefit of consumers.
127	8. Inform and educate the public concerning the nutritive
128	and economic values of beef and beef products.
129	9. Serve as a liaison within the beef and other food
130	industries of the state and elsewhere in matters that would

Page 5 of 19

131 increase efficiencies that ultimately benefit both consumers and 132 industry. 133 10. Buy, sell, mortgage, rent, or improve, in any manner 134 that the council considers expedient, real property or personal 135 property, or both. 136 11. Publish and distribute such papers or periodicals as 137 the board of directors considers necessary to encourage and 138 accomplish the purposes of the council. 139 12. Do all other acts necessary or expedient for the 140 administration of the affairs and attainment of the purposes of 141 the council. 142 13. Approve an annual plan, budget, and audit for the council. 143 144 (c) (d) 1. The board council may not participate in or intervene in any political campaign on behalf of or in 145 146 opposition to any candidate for public office. This restriction 147 includes, but is not limited to, a prohibition against 148 publishing or distributing any statements. 149 (d) 2. No part of The net receipts of the board may not 150 council shall inure to the benefit of or be distributable to its directors, its officers, or other private persons, except that 151 152 the board council may pay reasonable compensation for services rendered by staff employees and may make payments and 153 154 distributions in furtherance of the purposes of this section 155 act.

Page 6 of 19

(e) 3. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

156

157 board council may not carry on any other activities prohibited 158 for not permitted to be carried on: 159 1.a. By A corporation exempt from federal income tax under 160 s. 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended; 161 162 2.b. By A corporation to which contributions are 163 deductible under s. 170(c)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 164 1986, as amended. 165 (f) 4. Notwithstanding any other statement of the purposes 166 and responsibilities of the board council, the board council may 167 not engage in any activities or exercise any powers that are not 168 in furtherance of its specific and primary purposes. 169 (5) GOVERNING BOARD. 170 The Florida Cattle Enhancement Board Beef Council, 171 Inc., shall be governed by a board of directors composed of 14 172 13 members as follows: 173 1. Eight, including 8 representatives of the Florida 174 Cattlemen's Association, of whom one is a representative of the Florida Association of Livestock Markets and one is a practicing 175 176 order buyer.+ 177 One a representative of the Dairy Farmers, Inc.+ 2. 178 One a representative of the Florida CattleWomen, Inc.+

6. One representative of the department appointed by the

One a representative of the Florida Farm Bureau

Page 7 of 19

5. One representative of an allied-industry.

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

179

180

181

182

4.

Federation.+

Commissioner of Agriculture. representative; and

183

184

185

186187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

- 7. One <u>representative of the</u> an Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences representative.
- The initial board of directors shall be appointed by the Commissioner of Agriculture for staggered terms a term of 1 year for three members, 2 years for three members, 3 years for four members, and 4 years for four members. Each subsequent vacancy shall be filled in accordance with the bylaws of the Florida Cattle Enhancement Board council. Thereafter, each board member of the board of directors shall be appointed by the Florida Cattle Enhancement Board to serve a 3-year term and may be reappointed to serve an additional consecutive term. A member may not serve more than two consecutive terms. A member must be a resident of this state and must be a producer who has been a producer for at least the 5 years immediately preceding the first day of his or her service on the board, except that the representative of the Florida Farm Bureau Federation, the allied-industry representative, the department representative, and the Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences representative need not be producers. All members of the beef council board of directors positions shall serve without compensation but be unsalaried; however, the board members are entitled to reimbursement as provided in s. 112.061 for travel and other expenses incurred in carrying out the intents and purposes of this section act.
 - (c) The Florida Cattle Enhancement Board council shall

Page 8 of 19

provide for its officers through its bylaws, including the ability to set forth offices and responsibilities and form committees necessary for the implementation of this section act.

The Commissioner of Agriculture may designate an ex officion nonvoting member of the board of directors.

- (d) If a member of the board <u>of directors</u> misses three consecutive, officially called meetings, the board of directors may declare that position vacant.
 - (6) REFERENDUM ON ASSESSMENTS.-

- (a) All producers in this state shall have the opportunity to vote in a referendum to determine whether the Florida Cattle Enhancement Board may council shall be authorized to impose an assessment of not more than \$1 per head on cattle sold in the state. The referendum shall pose the question: "Do you approve of a Florida an assessment program, up to \$1 per head of cattle pursuant to section 570.83, Florida Statutes, to be funded through specific contributions that are mandatory and refundable upon request?" The initial referendum under this paragraph shall take place within 180 days after July 1, 2015. Such referendum may not be held more often than once every 3 years.
- (b) Additional referenda may be held to authorize the board to increase the assessment to more than \$1 per head of cattle if the board receives petitions from at least 1,800 producers or 10 percent of Florida's producers as determined by the department, whichever is less, requesting an increase in the assessment or if the board, by a two-thirds vote of its voting

Page 9 of 19

members, approves a motion to increase the assessment. All petition signatures must be collected within a consecutive 12-month period. The referendum shall pose the question: "Do you approve of granting the Florida Cattle Enhancement Board, Inc., authority to increase the per-head-of-cattle assessment pursuant to section 570.83, Florida Statutes, from ...(present rate)... to up to a maximum of ...(proposed rate)... per head?" Such referendum may not be held more often than once every 3 years.

- producers or 10 percent of Florida's producers as determined by the department, whichever is less, asking, "Shall the assessment authorized by the Cattle Market Development Act continue?" the board shall, within 90 days, conduct a referendum to determine whether a majority of the producers voting in the referendum support the continuation of the Cattle Market Development Act.

 All petition signatures must be collected within a consecutive 12-month period. Such referendum may not be held more often than once every 3 years.
- (d) The Commissioner of Agriculture may initiate a referendum with a 90-day notice, but not more often that once every 3 years.
- (e) (a) A referendum held under this <u>subsection</u> section must be conducted by secret ballot at extension offices of the Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences of the University of Florida or at offices of the United States Department of Agriculture with the cooperation of the department to ensure

Page 10 of 19

fairness in the referendum process.

- (f) The Commissioner of Agriculture shall designate at least 5 but not more than 10 consecutive business days for a referendum to take place.
- (g)(b) Notice of a referendum to be held under this act must be given at least once in trade publications, the public press, and statewide newspapers at least 30 days before the referendum is held.
- (c) Additional referenda may be held to authorize the council to increase the assessment to more than \$1 per head of cattle. Such referendum shall pose the question: "Do you approve of granting the Florida Beef Council, Inc., authority to increase the per-head-of-cattle assessment pursuant to section 570.83, Florida Statutes, from ...(present rate)... to up to a maximum of ...(proposed rate)... per head?" Referenda may not be held more often than once every 3 years.
- (h)(d) Each cattle producer is entitled to only one vote in a referendum held under this <u>subsection</u> section. Proof of identification and cattle ownership must be presented before voting.
- $\underline{\text{(i)}}$ (e) A simple majority of those casting ballots shall determine any issue that requires a referendum under this subsection section.
 - (7) POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE BOARD COUNCIL.-
 - (a) The board council shall:
 - 1. Establish the amount of the assessment at not more than

Page 11 of 19

287 \$1 per head of cattle.

- 2. Develop, implement, and monitor a collection system for the assessment.
- 3. Coordinate the collection of the assessment with other states.
 - 4. Establish refund procedures.
 - 5. Conduct referenda under subsection (6).
- 6. Plan, implement, and conduct programs of promotion, research, and consumer information or industry information which are designed to strengthen the market position of the cattle industry in this state and in the nation and to maintain and expand domestic and foreign markets and expand uses for beef and beef products.
- 7. Use the proceeds of the assessment for the purpose of funding cattle production and beef research, education, promotion, and consumer and industry information in this state and in the nation.
- 8. Plan and implement a cattle and beef industry feedback program in this state.
- 9. Coordinate research, education, promotion, industry, and consumer information programs with any national programs or programs of other states.
- 10. Serve as a liaison within the beef and other food industries of the state and elsewhere in matters that would increase efficiencies that ultimately benefit consumers and industry.

Page 12 of 19

313	11. Buy, sell, mortgage, rent, or improve, in any manner				
314	that the board considers expedient, real property or personal				
315	property, or both.				
316	12. Publish and distribute such papers or periodicals as				
317	the board of directors considers necessary to encourage and				
318	accomplish the purposes of the Florida Cattle Enhancement Board.				
319	13.1. Receive and disburse funds, pursuant to as				
320	prescribed elsewhere in this section act, to be used in				
321	administering and implementing this section the act.				
322	2. Maintain a permanent record of its business				
323	proceedings.				
324	3. Maintain a permanent, detailed record of its financial				
325	dealings.				
326	4. Prepare periodic reports and an annual report of its				
327	activities for the fiscal year, for review by the beef industry				
328	in this state, and file its annual report with the department.				
329	14.5. Prepare, for review by the beef industry in this				
330	state, periodic reports and an annual accounting for each fiscal				
331	year of all receipts and expenditures to be filed with the				
332	department, and shall retain a certified public accountant for				
333	this purpose.				
334	15.6. Appoint a licensed banking institution to serve as				
335	the depository for program funds and to handle disbursements of				
336	those funds.				
337	7. Maintain frequent communication with officers and				
220	industry representatives at the state and national levels				

Page 13 of 19

including the department.

- 16.8. Maintain an office in this state.
- 17. Do all other acts necessary permitted by law to further the intent of this section.
 - (b) The board council may:
- 1. Conduct or contract for scientific research with any accredited university, college, or similar institution, and enter into other contracts or agreements that will aid in carrying out the purposes of the program, including contracts for the purchase or acquisition of facilities or equipment necessary to carry out the purposes of the program.
- 2. Disseminate reliable information benefiting the consumer and the beef industry on subjects such as, but not limited to, the purchase, identification, care, storage, handling, cookery, preparation, serving, and nutritive value of beef and beef products.
- 3. Provide to government bodies, on request, information relating to subjects of concern to the beef industry, and may Act jointly or in cooperation with the state or Federal Government, and agencies thereof, in the development or administration of programs that the board council considers to be consistent with the objectives of the program.
- 4. Sue and be sued as a council without individual liability of the members for acts of the council when acting within the scope of the powers of this act and in the manner prescribed by the laws of this state.

Page 14 of 19

365 <u>4.5.</u> Borrow from licensed lending institutions money in amounts that are not cumulatively greater than 50 percent of the board's council's anticipated annual income.

6. Maintain a financial reserve for emergency use, the total of which must not exceed 50 percent of the council's anticipated annual income.

371

372

373374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382 383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

- 7. Appoint advisory groups composed of representatives from organizations, institutions, governments, or businesses related to or interested in the welfare of the beef industry and the consuming public.
- 5.8. Employ staff subordinate officers and employees of the council, prescribe their duties, and fix their compensation and terms of employment.
- $\underline{6.9.}$ Cooperate with any local, state, regional, or nationwide organization or agency engaged in work or activities consistent with the objectives of the program.
- 7.10. Cause any duly authorized agent or representative to enter upon the premises of any market agency, market agent, collection agency, or collection agent and examine or cause to be examined, only by the authorized agent, only books, papers, and records that deal with the payment of the assessment provided for in this section act or with the enforcement of this section act.
- 11. Do all other things necessary to further the intent of this act which are not prohibited by law.
 - (8) ACCEPTANCE OF GRANTS AND GIFTS.—The board council may

Page 15 of 19

accept grants, donations, contributions, or gifts from any source if the use of such resources is not restricted in any manner that the <u>board council</u> considers to be inconsistent with the objectives of the program.

(9) PAYMENTS TO ORGANIZATIONS.-

- (a) The $\underline{\text{board}}$ $\underline{\text{council}}$ may pay funds to other organizations for work or services performed which are consistent with the objectives of the program.
- (b) Before making payments <u>pursuant to</u> described in this subsection, the <u>board</u> <u>eouncil</u> must secure a written agreement that the organization receiving payment will:
- 1. Furnish at least annually, or more frequently on request of the <u>board council</u>, written or printed reports of program activities and reports of financial data that are relative to the <u>board's council's</u> funding of such activities; and
- 2. Agree to have appropriate representatives attend business meetings of the <u>board council</u> as reasonably requested by the chairperson of the board council.
- (c) The <u>board</u> council may require adequate proof of security bonding on <u>such</u> said funds to any individual, business, or other organization.
 - (10) COLLECTION OF MONEYS AT TIME OF MARKETING.-
- (a) Each collection agent <u>shall</u> <u>may</u> deduct from the gross receipts of the producer, at the time of sale, the assessment imposed by the board <u>council</u>.

Page 16 of 19

(b) The collection agent shall collect all such moneys and forward them to the <u>board by the 15th day of each council</u> periodically, at least once a month., and The <u>board council</u> shall provide appropriate business forms for the convenience of the collecting agent in executing this duty.

- (c) The $\underline{\text{board}}$ $\underline{\text{council}}$ shall maintain within its financial records a separate accounting of all moneys received under this section $\underline{\text{subsection}}$.
- (d) The assessment is due and payable upon the sale of cattle in this state. The assessment constitutes a personal debt of the producer who is so assessed or who otherwise owes the assessment. If a producer fails to remit any properly due assessment, the board council may bring a civil action against that person in the circuit court of any county for the collection thereof, and may add a penalty in the amount of 10 percent of the assessment owed, the cost of enforcing the collection of the assessment, court costs, and reasonable attorney attorney's fees. The action shall be tried and judgment rendered as in any other cause of action for debts due and payable. All assessments, penalties, and enforcement costs are due and payable to the board council.
- (e) The <u>board council</u> may adopt reciprocal agreements with other beef councils or similar organizations relating to moneys collected <u>by at Florida collection agents on cattle from other states and to Florida cattle sold at other state markets.</u>
 - (f) The collection agents shall be entitled to deduct 2.5

Page 17 of 19

percent of the amount collected to retain as a reasonable collection allowance prior to remitting the funds to the council.

(11) REFUNDS.-

443

444

445

446

447448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464465

466

467

468

- (a) A producer who has had moneys deducted from his or her gross sales receipts under this $\underline{\text{section}}$ $\underline{\text{aet}}$ is entitled to a prompt and full refund on request.
- (b) The <u>board council</u> shall make available to all collection agents business forms <u>for requesting refunds</u>

 permitting request for refund, which forms are to be submitted by the objecting producer within 45 days after the sale transaction takes place.
- (c) A refund claim must include the claimant's signature, date of sale, place of sale, number of cattle, and amount of assessment deducted, and must have attached thereto proof of the assessment deducted.
- (d) If the <u>board</u> council has reasonable doubt that a refund claim is valid, it may withhold payment and take such action as it considers necessary to determine the validity of the claim. Any dispute arising under this subsection shall be determined as specified in paragraph (10)(d).
- (e) The $\underline{\text{board }}$ council shall take action on refund requests within 30 calendar days following the date of receipt of the request.
 - (f) Only the producer may initiate a request for refund.
 - (12) VOTE ON CONTINUING THE ASSESSMENT.-Upon the delivery

Page 18 of 19

by certified mail to the Florida Beef Council office of petitions from at least 1,800 producers or 10 percent of Florida's producers as determined by the department, whichever is less, and stating "Shall the assessment authorized by the Beef Market Development Act continue?" the council shall, within 90 days, conduct a referendum to determine whether a majority of the producers voting in the referendum support the continuation of the Beef Market Development Act. All signatures must be collected within a 12-month period. A referendum held under this subsection may not be held more than one time in a 3-year period. Qualifications for signature and vote are the same as those required in subsection (6).

(12)(13) BYLAWS.—The Florida Cattle Enhancement Board Beef Council shall, within 90 days after the governing board is appointed this act becomes a law, adopt bylaws to carry out the intents and purposes of this section act. The These bylaws may be amended with a 30-day notice to governing board members at any regular or special meeting called for such this purpose. The bylaws must conform to the requirements of this section act but may also address any matter not in conflict with the general laws of this state.

(13) (14) REPEAL.—This section is repealed October 1, 2020 2019, unless reviewed and saved from repeal by the Legislature. Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2015.

Page 19 of 19

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 7021 PCB ANRS 15-02 Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission

SPONSOR(S): Agriculture & Natural Resources Subcommittee, Sullivan

TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS:

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
Orig. Comm.: Agriculture & Natural Resources Subcommittee	12 Y, 0 N	Gregory	Blalock
Agriculture & Natural Resources Appropriations Subcommittee		Massengale	Massengale Sm
2) State Affairs Committee			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

In 1998, voters amended the Florida Constitution to create the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC or commission). Generally, FWC has the power to adopt rules regulating wildlife and fresh water aquatic life without a grant of authority from the Legislature. FWC may also adopt rules regulating marine life without a legislative grant of authority, but only to the extent such rulemaking authority was held by the Marine Fisheries Commission on March 1, 1998.

HB 7021 revises various statutes governing fish and wildlife as follows:

Modify Tarpon Tag Requirements

In 2013, FWC modified its rules through its constitutional authority to restrict tarpon to a catch-and-release only fishery unless an angler is pursuing an International Game Fish Association (IGFA) record. In those cases, anglers must first purchase a \$50 tarpon tag to possess the tarpon. The bill eliminates angler reporting requirements for the tarpon tag because FWC may obtain the same information from the IGFA. In addition, the bill modifies the effective and expiration dates of tarpon tags so that each tag is valid for a full calendar year. This change allows anglers to use one tarpon tag during the height of the tarpon fishing season and then renew at the end of the calendar year.

Repeal Restricted Species Endorsement Regulations from Statute

Current law requires a commercial saltwater fisher to obtain a free restricted species (RS) endorsement to commercially harvest and sell the 32 groups of species designated as "restricted" by FWC. In June 2014, the same RS endorsement regulations were adopted into rule by FWC pursuant to its constitutional authority. The bill removes RS endorsement regulations from statute, but does not remove the requirement to obtain a RS endorsement. The removal of the statutory regulations eliminates potential future conflicts between the statutes and FWC rules.

Modify Alligator Statutes

The bill provides certain exemptions from alligator trapping and alligator trapping agent licenses for children under 16 years of age, military and disabled veterans during an FWC-sponsored event, and contracted nuisance alligator trappers. In addition, totally and permanently disabled residents are exempt from paying the fee for an alligator trapping license and trapping agent license. The bill also repeals sections of statutes that have been incorporated into FWC's rules or that are obsolete, and clarifies a funding transfer to the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services for marketing and education services for alligator products.

Modify Penalties for Violations of Wildlife Feeding Rules

FWC rules prohibit the feeding of bears, alligators/crocodilia, foxes, raccoons, sandhill cranes, pelicans, and bald eagles. The bill modifies statutory penalties for violating those wildlife feeding rules. Under current law, it is a 2nd degree misdemeanor for the first violation of FWC rules governing feeding of fish or wildlife species. However, wildlife officers are generally hesitant to issue a criminal citation to a first time offender for feeding animals illegally, so they usually just issue a warning. The bill reduces the first time offender penalty to a non-criminal infraction with a \$100 mandatory fine, but makes a second violation a 2nd degree misdemeanor, and imposes more serious criminal penalties up to a 3rd degree felony for repeat offenders who feed bears and alligators/crocodilia.

The bill appears to have a fiscal impact on state and local government, and the private sector. See Fiscal Analysis & Economic Impact section below.

The bill is effective upon becoming a law.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h7021.ANRAS.DOCX

FULL ANALYSIS I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background

In 1998, voters amended the Florida Constitution to create the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC or commission).¹ The amendment eliminated the Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission and the Marine Fisheries Commission.² The powers of these two agencies were consolidated into FWC.

Article IV, Section 9 of the Florida Constitution provides FWC with the authority to "exercise the regulatory and executive powers of the state with respect to wild animal life and fresh water aquatic life," and to "exercise regulatory and executive powers of the state with respect to marine life. . . ." Further, Article IV, Section 9 provides "the legislature may enact laws in aid of the Commission, not inconsistent with this section. . . ." This section of the Constitution must also be read in conjunction with Article XII, Section 23 of the Constitution, which states, "The jurisdiction of the marine fisheries commission as set forth in statutes in effect on March 1, 1998, shall be transferred to the fish and wildlife conservation commission. The jurisdiction of the marine fisheries commission transferred to the commission shall not be expanded except as provided by general law."

Generally, FWC has the constitutional authority to adopt rules regulating wildlife and fresh water aquatic life without a grant of authority from the Legislature.³ FWC also possesses the constitutional authority to adopt rules related to marine life without a legislative grant of authority, but only to the extent such rulemaking authority was held by the Marine Fisheries Commission on March 1, 1998. It appears that the Marine Fisheries Commission possessed full rulemaking authority over marine life, with the exception of endangered species.⁴ The specific areas under the Marine Fisheries Commission's authority included:

- Gear Specification; Prohibited Gear; Bag Limits; Size Limits; species that may not be sold; Protected Species; Closed Areas; Quality Control, except for oysters, clams, mussels, and crabs; Seasons; and special considerations relating to egg bearing females;⁵
- Designation of Restricted Species;⁶
- Marine Life Fishing Endorsements:⁷
- Saltwater Fishing Licenses;⁸
- Limiting Tarpon Harvest;⁹
- Crawfish Harvest: 10
- Prohibiting the use of certain fish nets;¹¹
- Traps used to take saltwater products; 12
- Regulation of Snook; 13 and
- Spiny Lobster Trap Reduction.¹⁴

¹ Caribbean Conservation Corp., Inc. v. Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Com'n, 838 So.2d 492, 494 (Fla. 2003).

Caribbean Conservation Corp., at 494.

³ Wakulla Commercial Fisherman's Ass'n, Inc. v. Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Com'n, 951 So. 2d 8, 9 (Fla. 1st DCA 2007) (citing Whitehead v. Rogers, 223 So.2d 330 (Fla. 1969)).

Section 370.027(1), F.S. (1997).

⁵ Id.

⁶ Section 370.01(20), F.S. (1997).

⁷ Section 370.06(2)(d)1. (1997).

⁸ Sections 370.0605(1)(a)&(6)(b) and 370.0615(1) F.S. (1997).

⁹ Section 370.062(1)&(2), F.S. (1997).

¹⁰ Section 370.063, F.S. (1997).

¹¹ Section 370.093, F.S. (1997).

¹² Section 370.1107, F.S. (1997).

¹³ Section 370.1111, F.S. (1997).

Tarpon Tag Requirements

Present Situation

Tarpon are a popular sport fish found throughout Florida's coastal environment. In June 2013, FWC approved a series of changes to the tarpon tag rules.¹⁵ Previously, individuals could harvest two tarpon per day.¹⁶ The rule amendments restricted tarpon to a catch-and-release only fishery.¹⁷ FWC's rule does allow for the temporary possession of tarpon for the purpose of photography, measuring length and girth, and taking scientific samples.¹⁸ However, tarpon greater than 40 inches in length must remain in the water at all times during temporary possession.¹⁹

Under FWC rules, individuals may harvest tarpon only when in pursuit of an International Game Fish Association (IGFA) record.²⁰ Further, individuals may not possess or harvest a tarpon without first purchasing a tarpon tag and securely attaching the tag through the lower jaw of the tarpon.²¹ Each tarpon tag costs \$50.²² A person may not use more than one tarpon tag during a single license year.²³ Tarpon tags are valid from July 1 through June 30,²⁴ making the expiration fall during the summer, which is the height of tarpon season.

An individual who harvests a tarpon must submit a form to FWC indicating the length, weight, and physical condition of the tarpon when caught; the date and location of where the fish was caught; and any other pertinent information which may be required by the commission.²⁵ FWC may refuse to issue new tags to an individual or guide who fails to provide the required information.

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 379.357, F.S., to eliminate angler reporting requirements found in statute for each harvested tarpon. This requirement is no longer necessary because, under FWC rules, the tarpon fishery is catch-and-release only²⁶ and the FWC states that it can collect the same data from IGFA due to the limited harvest requirement.²⁷ In addition, the bill modifies the effective and expiration dates of tarpon tags so that the tags are valid for an entire calendar year rather than the period from July 1 to June 30. This change allows anglers to use one tarpon tag during the height of the tarpon fishing season and renew the tag at the end of the calendar year. Lastly, the bill removes the requirement for tax collectors to return unused tarpon tags to FWC. This requirement was added to FWC rules.²⁸

The power to enact rules to regulate the number of tarpon that may be harvested was held by the Marine Fisheries Commission on March 1, 1998.²⁹ Thus, it appears FWC does not need statutory authority to limited tarpon harvest.

¹⁴ Section 370.142, F.S. (1997).

¹⁵ 39 Fla. Admin. R. 94 (May 14, 2013).

¹⁶ Rule 68B-32.004, F.A.C. (2005).

¹⁷ Rule 68B-32.001, F.A.C.

¹⁸ Rule 68B-32.004(2), F.A.C.

¹⁹ Rule 68B-32.004(3), F.A.C.

²⁰ Rule 68B-32.009(1)(a), F.A.C.

²¹ Rule 68B-32.009(1)(b), F.A.C.

²² Section 379.361(1), F.S.

²³ Rule 68B-32.009(1)(c), F.A.C.

²⁴ Section 379.357(1), F.S.

²⁵ Section 379.357(3), F.S.

²⁶ Rule 68B-32.001, F.A.C.

²⁷ Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Summary of FWC Proposals for 2015 Session, p. 1 (September 10, 2014).

²⁸ Rule 68B-32.009(5), F.A.C.

²⁹ Section 370.062, F.S. (1997)

Restricted Species Endorsement

Present Situation

Under current law, persons who wish to commercially harvest and sell "restricted species" to a licensed wholesale dealer must possess a restricted species (RS) endorsement.³⁰ "Restricted species" are any species of saltwater products which the state by law, or FWC by rule, has found it necessary to so designate.³¹ The purpose of the RS endorsement is to help ensure the sustainability of the state's most important commercially harvested species and to ensure that the higher bag limits are being harvested for commercial purposes.³² The RS endorsement may be issued to any person who is at least 16 years old or a firm who certifies that over twenty-five percent or \$5000 of its income, whichever is less, is attributed to the sale of saltwater products pursuant to a saltwater products license.³³ The RS endorsement may be issued to any for-profit corporation who certifies that at least \$5000 of its income is attributed to the sale of saltwater products pursuant to a saltwater products license.³⁴ There is no charge to receive an RS endorsement. Current law also provides the following exceptions from the income requirements:

- An RS endorsement must be available to persons age 62 and older who have been qualified for the RS endorsement for at least 3 of the last 5 years;
- Active military duty time must be excluded from consideration of time necessary to qualify for the RS endorsement;
- A purchaser of a used commercial fishing vessel that possesses or is eligible for an RS
 endorsement is exempt from the qualifying income requirement for a complete license year after
 purchase of the vessel;
- Upon the death or permanent disability of a person possessing an RS endorsement, an immediate family member wishing to carry on the fishing operation is exempt from the qualifying income requirement for a complete license year;
- A person age 62 or older who documents that at least \$2,500 of such person's income is attributable to the sale of saltwater products may be issued an endorsement;
- A permanent RS endorsement may be issued to persons age 70 and older who have held a saltwater products license for at least 3 of the last 5 years;
- Any resident³⁵ who is certified to be totally and permanently disabled is exempted from the income requirements if he or she also has held a saltwater products license for at least 3 of the last 5 years before the date of the disability;
- An honorably discharged, resident military veteran certified to have a service-connected permanent disability rating of 10 percent or higher is not required to provide documentation for the income requirement with his or her initial application for an RS endorsement; and
- Beginning July 1, 2014, a resident military veteran who applies to the commission within 48 months after receiving an honorable discharge from any branch of the United States Armed Forces, the United States Coast Guard, the military reserves, the Florida National Guard, or the United States Coast Guard Reserve is not required to provide documentation for the income requirement with his or her initial application for an RS endorsement.³⁶

³⁰ Section 379.361(2)(b), F.S.

³¹ Section 379.101(32), F.S.; There are currently 32 groups of restricted species. A complete list can be found at: http://myfwc.com/license/saltwater/commercial-fishing/restricted-species/. (Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Commercial Food and Bait Species, last visited Dec. 5, 2014).

³² 40 Fla. Admin. R. 144 (July 25, 2014).

³³ Section 379.361(2)(b)1., F.S.

³⁴ Id.

³⁵ "Resident" is defined for chapter 379, F.S., in section 379.101(30), F.S.

³⁶ Section 379.361(2)(b)5., F.S.

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 379.361, F.S., to remove the RS endorsement requirements from statute. In June 2014, FWC adopted the RS endorsement regulations into Rule 68B-2.006, F.A.C., through its constitutional authority.³⁷ The rule is nearly identical to the statute. FWC adopted the RS endorsement requirements into rule to more timely respond to stakeholder needs or requests for changes.³⁸ The repeal of the statutory language would eliminate potential future conflicts should rule requirements change.³⁹ The requirement to possess an RS endorsement in order to commercially fish such species is retained in the statute. According to FWC, the industry requested that this requirement remain in statute.

The power to enact rules to regulate restricted species was held by the Marine Fisheries Commission on March 1, 1998.⁴⁰ Thus, it appears FWC does not need statutory authority to implement the RS endorsement requirements.

Regulation of Alligator Harvest

Present Situation

Each year, FWC establishes alligator management units and surveys the population of alligators in a given area to establish quotas to provide recreational opportunities for the public to harvest alligators within the alligator management units. Persons wishing to take an alligator or the eggs of an alligator must obtain an alligator trapping permit and license from FWC. Applicants must first apply for an alligator harvest permit. Applicants for an alligator harvest permit must be 18 years of age and not convicted of any violation of the laws governing alligator or alligator egg harvesting or the rule relating to illegally taking of any crocodilian species. There is no cost to apply for a permit.

Participants in the annual alligator harvest are selected at random to receive permits. Once selected, FWC assigns participants to a specific one-week harvest period during the annual season and a specific location. In 2014, FWC conducted the annual harvest between August 15th and November 1st. Harvest permits are only valid for a particular management unit and are not transferable. Participants who receive a permit must obtain an alligator trapping license by paying a \$250 license fee for Florida residents or a \$1,000 license fee for nonresidents. Participants are not required to possess a recreational hunting license.

Those who do not receive an alligator trapping license may apply for an alligator trapping agent's license. Such individuals may act as an agent to the individual holding the alligator trapping license. An alligator trapping agent may only take an alligator in the presence of the alligator trapping permit

³⁷ 40 Fla. Admin. R. 144 (July 25, 2014).

³⁸ Id.

³⁹ Id.

⁴⁰ Section 370.06, F.S. (1997).

⁴¹ Section 379.3013, F.S.; Rule 68A-25.042, F.A.C.

⁴² Section 379.3751(1), F.S.

⁴³ Sections 379.3015 and 379.409, F.S.; while minors under the age of 18 may not obtain an alligator harvest permit, they may obtain an alligator trapping agent's license to assist in the harvest of alligators.

⁴⁴ Section 379.3751(1)(c), F.S.; Rule 68A-25.042(2)(b), F.A.C.

⁴⁵ Rule 68A-25.042(2)(e), F.A.C.; Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, <u>2014 Statewide Alligator Harvest Training and Orientation Manual</u>, p. 11. Available at http://myfwc.com/wildlifehabitats/managed/alligator/harvest/ (last visited January 29, 2015).

⁴⁶ ld.

⁴⁷ Rule 25.042(2)(e), F.A.C.

⁴⁸ Section 379.3751(2), F.S.

⁴⁹ Section 379.3751(2)(c), F.S.

STORAGE NAME: h7021.ANRAS.DOCX

DATE: 3/13/2015

holder.⁵⁰ Alligator trapping permit holders may use an unlimited number of alligator trapping agents. The fee to receive an alligator trapping agent's license is \$50.51

The exceptions available for other forms of hunting licenses (minors under 16, disabled veterans in FWC sponsored events and permanently disabled residents) are not available for alligator trapping licenses or alligator trapping agent's licenses.

FWC issues Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES) tags with each alligator trapper license. 52 After an alligator is killed, the trapper must attach a CITES tag 6 inches from the tip of the alligator's tail. 53 The statutes authorize the FWC to assess a fee up to \$30 for each CITES tag issued.⁵⁴ Currently, FWC charges a \$10 or \$30 fee for each CITES tag.⁵⁵ Irrespective of whether a fee is assessed, \$5 per validated hide must be transferred from FWC to the General Inspection Trust Fund. 56 Further, FWC may assess a fee up to \$5 for each egg collected under an alligator egg collection permit.⁵⁷ Irrespective of whether a fee is assessed, \$1 per egg collected and retained, excluding eggs collected on private wetland management areas, must be transferred from FWC to the General Inspection Trust Fund. 58 The Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services administers this fund for the purpose of providing marketing and education services with respect to alligator products produced in this state.59

Alternatively, land owners may apply to harvest alligators on their land. 60 FWC issues permits to landowners who meet the criteria in FWC rules. 61 FWC will review data of the alligator population on the lands and recommends a quota for the number of alligators that may be taken. 62 Upon approval of the harvest quota, FWC issues a harvest permit and CITES tags for each alligator that may be taken in the approved area. 63 Individuals must still possess an alligator trapping license or alligator trapping agent's license to hunt on such lands.64

FWC also regulates the trade of alligator products by:

- Regulating the marketing and sale of alligators, their eggs, hide, meat, and byproducts. including the development and maintenance of a state sanctioned sale;
- Regulating the handling and processing of alligators, their eggs, hide, meat, and byproducts;
- Regulating commercial alligator farming facilities and operations; and
- Providing hide grading services.⁶⁵

FWC regulations of the trade of alligator products may not supersede the lawful responsibilities of the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, the Department of Health, or local governmental entities.66

```
<sup>50</sup> Rule 68A-25.042(3)(g), F.A.C.
```

⁵¹ Id.

⁵² Rule 68A-25.042(2)(d), F.A.C.

⁵³ Rule 68A-25.042(3)(h), F.A.C.

⁵⁴ Section 379.3752(2), F.S.

⁵⁵ Rule 68A-25.042(2)(a), F.A.C.; the \$10 charge is for individuals who are paying for an alligator trapping license at the same time as paying for the CITES tag. The \$30 charge is for individuals who already posses a valid alligator trapping license at the time they purchase a CITES tag.
⁵⁶ Section 379.3752(2), F.S.

⁵⁷ Section 379.3751(4), F.S.

⁵⁹ Id.; Section 379.3752(2), F.S.

⁶⁰ Rule 68A-25.032(1), F.A.C.

⁶¹ Rule 68A-25.032, F.A.C.

⁶² Rule 68A-25.032(2), F.A.C.

⁶³ Id.

⁶⁴ Id.

⁶⁵ Section 379.3012(1), F.S.

Under s. 379.3016, F.S., it is a first degree misdemeanor for persons to sell alligator products in the form of a stuffed baby alligator or crocodile or to sell alligator products from a species declared endangered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service or FWC. It is also a misdemeanor offense for a person to use the words "alligator" or "gator" when selling a product derived or made from the skin of a crocodile or in connection with the sale of other crocodiles. 67

Persons who engage in the business of a dealer or buyer of alligator hides must possess a license from FWC.⁶⁸ The annual fee for such license is \$100 for residents⁶⁹ and \$500 for nonresidents.⁷⁰ Every two weeks during open season, dealers and buyers must report to FWC the number and kind of hides bought, the name of the trapper they bought from, and the trapper's license number or exemption.⁷¹

Lastly, FWC regulates the control of nuisance alligators. Individuals with concerns about an alligator may contact FWC's Nuisance Alligator Hotline at 1-866-FWC-GATOR (866-392-4286).⁷² An alligator may be deemed a nuisance if it is at least 4 feet long and the caller believes it poses a threat to people, pets, or property.⁷³ Only individuals under contract with FWC and who possess an alligator trapper license may take, possess, and kill a nuisance alligator.⁷⁴ Individuals may apply for a nuisance alligator contract by submitting a Nuisance Alligator Trapper Application.⁷⁵ FWC issues a CITES tag to the nuisance alligator trapper when an alligator must be removed. Once the nuisance alligator is removed, it becomes the property of the nuisance alligator trapper.⁷⁶

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill repeals or amends sections of statutes that have been incorporated into FWC's alligator rules or that are obsolete. The bill:

- Deletes subsections (1) and (2) of s. 379.3012, F.S., that granted FWC the power to regulate the trade, marketing, and farming of alligator products, such as hides, eggs, and meat. FWC adopted most of these statutory provisions in rule pursuant to their constitutional authority, 77 while other portions of the statute are being deleted because they are obsolete. The only portion of s. 379.3012, F.S., that remains is the provision specifying that FWC's powers to implement the Alligator Management Program may not supersede the responsibilities of the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, the Department of Health, and local government entities.
- Amends s. 379.364, F.S., to specify the type of alligator hides for which a person must have a
 license in order to deal in, and remove the requirement for dealers and buyers to report to FWC
 the number and kind of hides bought as well as the name of the trapper from whom bought and
 the trapper's license number or exemption. FWC adopted the requirement for reporting in
 Rule 68A-24.004(2), F.A.C.
- Amends s. 379.3751, F.S., to:

```
<sup>66</sup> Section 379.3012(2), F.S.
```

⁶⁷ Section 379.3017, F.S.

⁶⁸ Section 379.364(1), F.S.

⁶⁹ Section 379.364(2), F.S.

⁷⁰ Section 379.364(3), F.S.

⁷¹ Section 379.364(4), F.S.

⁷² Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Statewide Nuisance Alligator Program,

http://myfwc.com/wildlifehabitats/managed/alligator/nuisance/ (last visited January 29, 2015).

⁷³ Id

⁷⁴ Rule 68A-25.003(1), F.A.C.

⁷⁵ Rule 68A-25.003(2), F.A.C.

[″] Id

⁷⁷ See Rules 68A-25.042 and 68A-25.052, F.A.C.

⁷⁸ Section 379.364(4), F.S.

- Remove from statute FWC's power to limit the number of participants engaged in the taking
 of alligators or their eggs in the wild. FWC adopted this provision in Rule 68A-25.002(1),
 F.A.C.
- Remove from statute the requirement to spend one-third of the revenue collected from issuance of the alligator hatching tag for alligator husbandry research. FWC states that it directs this money to the area of research of most need.
- Exempt persons taking alligators who are contracted with FWC to take nuisance alligators from obtaining an alligator trapping license. These individuals will still be required to possess the appropriate alligator related license when taking part in other alligator management activities. Persons assisting contracted nuisance alligator trappers will still be required to possess an alligator trapping agent's license, unless exempt under statute.
- o Exempt minors under the age of 16 from obtaining an alligator trapping agent's license.
- Exempt any person taking alligators under a Commission Military/Disabled Veterans Event
 Permit issued by FWC from obtaining an alligator trapping license or alligator trapping agent
 license.
- Exempt disabled residents from paying the alligator trapping license and alligator trapping agent license fee, but will still be required to possess an alligator trapping license or alligator trapping agent's license.
- Exempt any person engaged in the taking of alligators under any permit issued by FWC authorizing the take of alligators from possessing a management area permit.
- Specifies that the \$1 per egg fee and \$5 per hide fee for marketing and education services regarding alligator products will be transferred to the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services when the Legislature appropriates the transfer.
- Amends s. 379.3752, F.S., to:
 - Remove from statute FWC's authority to require CITES tags to be affixed to the hide of any alligator taken from the wild. This requirement is now found throughout Chapter 68A-25, F.A.C.
 - Remove from statute the requirement that the number of CITEs tags available for alligators taken pursuant to a collection permit be limited to the number of tags determined by FWC to equal the safe yield of alligators. FWC adopted this provision in Rule 68A-25.042, F.A.C.
 - Provide that funding transfers to the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services for each alligator hide will be made when appropriated by the Legislature.
- Repeals s. 379.3011, F.S., containing the definitions for "alligator," "alligator hatchling," and "process" or "processing." FWC adopted the definition of "alligator hatchling" in Rule 68A-1.004(4), F.A.C. According to FWC, the remaining definitions are no longer necessary.
- Repeals s. 379.3013, F.S., requiring FWC to study portions of the state that it intends to open to alligator collection permits. FWC adopted this requirement in Rule 68A-25.042, F.A.C.
- Repeals s. 379.3016, F.S., making it a first-degree misdemeanor to sell alligator products in the form of a stuffed baby alligator or other baby crocodile and selling alligator product manufactured from a species that has been declared to be endangered by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service or FWC. These provisions are now found in Rule 68A-25.002(2), F.A.C., and s. 379.401(2) (a) 9. F.S. A first time violation of these prohibitions will be a second degree misdemeanor (as opposed to a first degree misdemeanor) and will escalate based on repeat offenses.
- Repeals s. 379.3017, F.S., which made it a misdemeanor to use the words "alligator" or "gator" in connection with the sale of any product derived or made from the skin of other crocodiles or in connection with the sale of other crocodiles. These prohibitions are now found in Rule 68A-25.002(4), F.A.C., and s. 379.401(2)(a)9., F.S. A first time violation of this prohibition will be a second-degree misdemeanor and will escalate based on repeat offenses.

Alligators are a fresh water aquatic species. Thus, it appears FWC does not require statutory authority to regulate alligator management, except for the power to set license fees and penalties.

Penalties for Violations of Wildlife Feeding Rules

Present Situation

FWC adopted rules to prohibit intentionally feeding bears, foxes, and raccoons;⁷⁹ pelicans;⁸⁰ sandhill cranes;⁸¹ bald eagles;⁸² and alligators and crocodiles.⁸³ FWC designed these rules to protect both species and people. According to FWC, feeding an animal may reduce the animal's natural fear of people, resulting in more frequent contact.⁸⁴ Such behavior may result in nuisance or aggressive behavior. Further, animals fed by humans spend more time in developed areas. This may lead to increased vehicles strikes, sickness from disrupted natural diets and behavior, killing by the public, euthanizing by FWC to protect human safety, and killing by domesticated pets.

Section 379.401(2)(a)4., F.S., makes it a level two violation to violate rules or orders of FWC relating to feeding wildlife, freshwater fish, or saltwater fish (there are currently no rules prohibiting the feeding of freshwater fish). Section 379.401(2)(a)20., F.S., makes it a level two violation to violate rules or orders of FWC relating to feeding or enticing alligators or crocodiles.

The current penalty structure is as follows:

Current Penalties for Violating Wildlife Feeding Rules

Past Violations	Penalties
No convictions within past 3 years	2nd Degree Misdemeanor (up to \$500 fine and/or up to 60 days in jail) ⁸⁵
Convicted of a Level	
Two violation or	1st Degree Misdemeanor (up to a \$1,000 fine and/or up to a year in jail)
higher in the past 3	Minimum fine of \$250 ⁸⁶
years	
Convicted of 2 Level	1st Degree Misdemeanor (up to a \$1,000 fine and/or up to a year in jail)
Two violations or	Minimum fine of \$500
higher in the past 5	1 year suspension of any recreational license, including the ability to use any
years	exemption from license or exemption from license fee ⁸⁷
Convicted of 3 Level	1st Degree Misdemeanor (up to a \$1,000 fine and/or up to a year in jail)
Two violations or	Minimum fine of \$750
higher in the past 10	3 year suspension of any recreational license, including the ability to use any
years	exemption from license or exemption from license fee ⁸⁸

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill creates s. 379.412, F.S., to revise the penalty structure for violations of the wildlife and freshwater fish feeding rules. The violations of the saltwater fish feeding rules will remain unchanged.

⁷⁹ Rule 68A-4.001(3), F.A.C.

⁸⁰ Rule 68A-4.001(4), F.A.C.

⁸¹ Rule 68A-4.001(5), F.A.C.

⁸² Rule 68A-16.002(1), F.A.C.

⁸³ Rule 68A-25.001, F.A.C.

⁸⁴ Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, 2015 Legislative Proposal Wildlife Feeding Rule Penalties, p. 2 (September 10, 2014).

⁸⁵ Section 379.401(2)(b)1., F.S.

⁸⁶ Section 379.401(2)(b)2., F.S.

⁸⁷ Section 379.401(2)(b)3., F.S.

⁸⁸ Section 379.401(2)(b)4., F.S.

The new penalty structure created by the bill is as follows:

Proposed Penalties for Violating Wildlife Feeding Rules

	Bears, Alligators, and other Crocodilla	All Other Species of Wildlife or Freshwater Fish
1 st Offense	Noncriminal Infraction (\$100 fine)	Noncriminal Infraction (\$100 fine)
Sounding.	2nd Degree Misdemeanor (up to	2nd Degree Misdemeanor (up to
2 nd Offense	\$500 fine and/or up to 60 days in	\$500 fine and/or up to 60 days in
6	jail)	jail)
	1st Degree Misdemeanor (up to a	2nd Degree Misdemeanor (up to
3 rd Offense	\$1,000 fine and/or up to a year in	\$500 fine and/or up to 60 days in
	jail)	jail)
4 th or Subsequent Offense	3rd Degree Felony (up to \$5,000 fine and/or up to five years in prison)	2nd Degree Misdemeanor (up to \$500 fine and/or up to 60 days in jail)

The proposed penalties will not apply to rules or orders of FWC relating to:

- Animals held in captivity,
- Restricting the taking or hunting of species over bait or intentionally placed or deposited food; or
- Restricting the taking or hunting of species in proximity to feeding stations.

According to FWC, the changes are designed to deter individuals from feeding wildlife. Between 2007 and 2013, Assistant State Attorneys (ASAs) rejected 28 percent of the citations for violations of the feeding rules while 25 percent of those charged had their adjudication withheld (no criminal misdemeanor finding, but fines are assessed). Communications with ASAs revealed that some believe that the current criminal penalty for first time offenders is too severe.

FWC believes the new penalty structure will likely result in fewer criminal citations. More severe penalties will be imposed for those who continually violate the law despite receiving education, warnings, and civil penalties. While FWC intends for law enforcement to continue to rely heavily on education before regulation, the revised penalty structure will provide an effective tool in the form of a civil penalty for first time offenders. Once issued a civil penalty, first time offenders should better understand the serious nature of violating the feeding rules. Therefore, these individuals will be less likely to incur criminal violations for future violations.

FWC believes there may be an initial increase in the number of citations issued following the implementation of this proposal. However, the agency believes the number of citations issued will decrease over time as the public becomes aware of the consequences of feeding wildlife.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Amends s. 379.3012, F.S., pertaining to the alligator management and trapping program.

Section 2. Amends s. 379.357, F.S. pertaining to FWC's license program for tarpon.

Section 3. Amends s. 379.361, F.S., pertaining to the RS endorsement.

Section 4. Amends s. 379.364, F.S., pertaining licenses required for fur and hide dealers.

STORAGE NAME: h7021.ANRAS.DOCX

⁸⁹ Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, 2015 Legislative Proposal Wildlife Feeding Rule Penalties, p. 3 (September 10, 2014).

⁹⁰ ld. at 4.

- Section 5. Amends s. 379.3751, F.S., pertaining to the taking and possession of alligators and trapping licenses.
- Section 6. Amends s. 379.3752, F.S., pertaining to the tagging of alligators and hides.
- Section 7. Amends s. 379.401, F.S., pertaining to penalties for violating certain FWC rules or orders.
- Section 8. Creates s. 379.412, F.S., to create new penalties for violations of the wildlife and freshwater fish feeding rules.
- Section 9. Repeals ss. 379.3011, 379.3013, 379.3016, and 379.3017, F.S., pertaining to the alligator trapping program, alligator study requirements, unlawful selling of alligator products, and use of the word "alligator" or "gator."
- Section 10. Provides an effective date of upon becoming law.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

The bill appears to have an indeterminate, but likely insignificant, positive fiscal impact on FWC's revenues by increasing certain civil penalties for feeding wildlife.

The bill appears to have an insignificant, negative fiscal impact on FWC's revenues by decreasing the number of people required to obtain an alligator trapping license and an alligator agent's license. FWC estimates that it will sell ninety less resident alligator trapping licenses and one hundred less alligator trapping agent's licenses, resulting in a \$27,500 reduction in revenues for FWC.⁹¹

2. Expenditures:

On March 12, 2015, the Criminal Justice Impact Conference estimated that the new felony created in the bill would have an insignificant negative fiscal impact (increase of 10 or fewer prison beds) on the state.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

Fines assessed for conviction of violations of wildlife feeding rules are deposited in the Clerk of the Circuit Court Fine and Forfeiture Fund. There may be an indeterminate, but likely insignificant, negative fiscal impact on this fund initially because the fine for a first time violation will be reduced from \$500 to \$100.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

Alligator Trapping Licenses

Children less than sixteen years old will no longer be required to pay \$50 for the Alligator Trapping Agent's License.

STORAGE NAME: h7021.ANRAS.DOCX

⁹¹ Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, 2015 Legislative Proposal Alligator Statutes, p.20 (September 10, 2014).

⁹² Section 142.01, F.S.

Current military and disabled veterans taking alligators as part of a FWC sanctioned event will no longer be required to pay \$250 for the Alligator Trapping License or \$50 for the Alligator Trapping Agent's License.

Disabled residents will no longer be required to pay \$250 for the Alligator Trapping License or \$50 for the Alligator Trapping Agent's License.

Contracted nuisance alligator trappers will no longer be required to pay \$250 for the Alligator Trapping License when trapping nuisance alligators at the request of FWC.

Wildlife Feeding Violations

There may be an indeterminate, but likely positive, fiscal impact on individuals or companies that violate feeding prohibitions initially because the fine for a first time violation will be reduced from \$500 to \$100. Repeat offenders may experience negative fiscal impacts because penalties increase for subsequent violations.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

Not applicable.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

N/A

STORAGE NAME: h7021.ANRAS.DOCX

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

A bill to be entitled An act relating to the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission; amending s. 379.3012, F.S.; conforming provisions relating to implementation of the alligator management and trapping program to changes made by the act; amending s. 379.357, F.S.; revising the time period for which tarpon tags are valid; removing provisions requiring tax collectors to submit unissued tarpon tags and audit reports to the commission; removing provisions requiring individuals to submit information regarding landed tarpon to the commission; amending s. 379.361, F.S.; removing criteria for issuance of restricted species endorsements on saltwater products licenses; amending s. 379.364, F.S.; removing provisions requiring dealers and buyers of certain hides and furs to submit reports to the commission; removing provisions prohibiting the shipment of hides or furs without specified information; amending s. 379.3751, F.S.; removing provisions authorizing the commission to limit the number of participants engaged in the taking of alligators or their eggs; exempting certain persons from alligator trapping license requirements and fees; providing that certain permitholders engaged in the taking of alligators are not required to possess management area permits; amending s. 379.3752, F.S.;

Page 1 of 22

27

28 29

30

31 32

33

3435

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

51

52

removing provisions requiring alligator hide validation tags to be affixed to the hide of any alligator taken from the wild; revising provisions requiring the commission to transfer certain revenues for alligator husbandry research; requiring the commission to transfer funds, contingent upon certain appropriations, from the alligator management program to the General Inspection Trust Fund for the purpose of providing marketing and education services regarding alligator products produced in this state; removing provisions authorizing the commission to limit the number of tags available for alligators taken pursuant to a collection permit; amending s. 379.401, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; creating s. 379.412, F.S.; providing penalties for the feeding of wildlife and freshwater fish; providing applicability; defining the term "violation"; repealing s. 379.3011, F.S., relating to the alligator trapping program; repealing s. 379.3013, F.S., relating to alligator study requirements; repealing s. 379.3016, F.S., relating to the unlawful sale of alligator products; repealing s. 379.3017, F.S., relating to products derived or made from the skins of other crocodilia; providing an effective date.

Page 2 of 22

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 379.3012, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

379.3012 Alligator management and trapping program implementation; commission authority.—

- (1) In any alligator management and trapping program that the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission shall establish, the commission shall have the authority to adopt all rules necessary for full and complete implementation of such alligator management and trapping program, and, in order to ensure its lawful, safe, and efficient operation in accordance therewith, may:
- (a) Regulate the marketing and sale of alligators, their hides, eggs, meat, and byproducts, including the development and maintenance of a state-sanctioned sale.
- (b) Regulate the handling and processing of alligators, their eggs, hides, meat, and byproducts, for the lawful, safe, and sanitary handling and processing of same.
- (c) Regulate commercial alligator farming facilities and operations for the captive propagation and rearing of alligators and their eggs.
- (d) Provide hide-grading services by two or more individuals pursuant to state-sanctioned sales if rules are first promulgated by the commission governing:
 - 1. All grading-related services to be provided pursuant to

Page 3 of 22

this section;

79

80 81

82

8384

85

86

87

88

89 90

91 92

93

94

95

96

97 98

99

100

101102

103

104

- 2. Criteria for qualifications of persons to serve as hide-graders for grading services to be provided pursuant to this section; and
- 3. The certification process by which hide-graders providing services pursuant to this section will be certified.
- (e) Provide sales-related services by contract pursuant to state-sanctioned sales if rules governing such services are first promulgated by the commission.
- (2) All contractors of the commission for the grading, marketing, and sale of alligators and their hides, eggs, meat, and byproducts shall not engage in any act constituting a conflict of interest under part III of chapter 112.
- the alligator management program do hereunder shall not be construed so as to supersede the regulatory authority or lawful responsibility of the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, the Department of Health, or any local governmental entity regarding the processing or handling of food products, but are shall be deemed supplemental thereto.
- Section 2. Subsections (1) and (3) of section 379.357, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
- 379.357 Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission license program for tarpon; fees; penalties.—
- (1) The commission shall establish a license program for the purpose of issuing tags to individuals desiring to harvest

Page 4 of 22

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124125

126

127

128129

130

fish of the species Megalops atlanticus, commonly known as tarpon, (megalops atlantica) from the waters of the state. The tags shall be nontransferable, except that the commission may allow for a limited number of tags to be purchased by professional fishing guides for transfer to individuals, and issued by the commission in order of receipt of a properly completed application for a nonrefundable fee of \$50 per tag. The commission and any tax collector may sell the tags and collect the fees therefor. Tarpon tags are valid from January July 1 through December 31 June 30. Before August 15 of each year, each tax collector shall submit to the commission all unissued tags for the previous fiscal year along with a written audit report, on forms prescribed or approved by the commission, as to the numbers of the unissued tags. To defray the cost of issuing any tag, the issuing tax collector shall collect and retain as his or her costs, in addition to the tag fee collected, the amount allowed under s. 379.352(6) for the issuance of licenses. An No individual may not shall take, kill, or possess

(3) An No individual may not shall take, kill, or possess any fish of the species <u>Megalops atlanticus</u> megalops atlantica, commonly known as tarpon, unless the such individual has purchased a tarpon tag and securely attached it through the lower jaw of the fish. Said individual shall within 5 days after the landing of the fish submit a form to the commission which indicates the length, weight, and physical condition of the tarpon when caught; the date and location of where the fish was

Page 5 of 22

caught; and any other pertinent information which may be required by the commission. The commission may refuse to issue new tags to individuals or guides who fail to provide the required information.

Section 3. Paragraph (b) of subsection (2) of section 379.361, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

379.361 Licenses.-

131

132

133134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153154

155156

- (2) SALTWATER PRODUCTS LICENSE.-
- (b) 1. A restricted species endorsement on the saltwater products license is required to sell to a licensed wholesale dealer those species which the state, by law or rule, has designated as "restricted species." This endorsement may be issued only to a person who is at least 16 years of age, or to a firm certifying that over 25 percent of its income or \$5,000 of its income, whichever is less, is attributable to the sale of saltwater products pursuant to a saltwater products license issued under this paragraph or a similar license from another state. This endorsement may also be issued to a for-profit corporation if it certifies that at least \$5,000 of its income is attributable to the sale of saltwater products pursuant to a saltwater products license issued under this paragraph or a similar license from another state. However, if at least 50 percent of the annual income of a person, firm, or for-profit corporation is derived from charter fishing, the person, firm, or for-profit corporation must certify that at least \$2,500 of the income of the person, firm, or corporation is attributable

Page 6 of 22

157

158

159160

161162

163

164165

166

167

168

169170

171

172

173174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

to the sale of saltwater products pursuant to a saltwater products license issued under this paragraph or a similar license from another state, in order to be issued the endorsement. Such income attribution must apply to at least 1 of the last 3 years. For the purpose of this section, "income" means that income that is attributable to work, employment, entrepreneurship, pensions, retirement benefits, and social security benefits. 2. To renew an existing restricted species endorsement, a marine aquaculture producer possessing a valid saltwater products license with a restricted species endorsement may apply income from the sale of marine aquaculture products to licensed wholesale dealers. 3. The commission may require verification of such income for all restricted species endorsements issued pursuant to this paragraph. Acceptable proof of income earned from the sale of saltwater products shall be: a. Copies of trip ticket records generated pursuant to this subsection (marine fisheries information system), documenting qualifying sale of saltwater products; b. Copies of sales records from locales other than Florida documenting qualifying sale of saltwater products; c. A copy of the applicable federal income tax return,

Page 7 of 22

d. Crew share statements verifying income earned from the

including Form 1099 attachments, verifying income earned from

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

the sale of saltwater products;

183 sale of saltwater products; or e. A certified public accountant's notarized statement 184 185 attesting to qualifying source and amount of income. 4. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any person 186 who owns a retail seafood market or restaurant at a fixed 187 188 location for at least 3 years, who has had an occupational license for 3 years before January 1, 1990, who harvests 189 190 saltwater products to supply his or her retail store, and who 191 has had a saltwater products license for 1 of the past 3 license 192 years before January 1, 1990, may provide proof of his or her verification of income and sales value at the person's retail 193 194 seafood market or restaurant and in his or her saltwater 195 products enterprise by affidavit and shall thereupon be issued a 196 restricted species endorsement. 197 5. Exceptions from income requirements shall be as 198 follows: 199 a. A permanent restricted species endorsement shall be 200 available to those persons age 62 and older who have qualified 201 for such endorsement for at least 3 of the last 5 years. 202 b. Active military duty time shall be excluded from 203 consideration of time necessary to qualify and shall not be 204 counted against the applicant for purposes of qualifying. 205 c. Upon the sale of a used commercial fishing vessel owned 206 by a person, firm, or corporation possessing or eligible for a

Page 8 of 22

shall be exempted from the qualifying income requirement for the

restricted species endorsement, the purchaser of such vessel

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

207208

purpose of obtaining a restricted species endorsement for a complete license year after purchase of the vessel.

d. Upon the death or permanent disablement of a person possessing a restricted species endorsement, an immediate family member wishing to carry on the fishing operation shall be exempted from the qualifying income requirement for the purpose of obtaining a restricted species endorsement for a complete license year after the death or disablement.

e. A restricted species endorsement may be issued on an individual saltwater products license to a person age 62 or older who documents that at least \$2,500 of such person's income is attributable to the sale of saltwater products.

f. A permanent restricted species endorsement may also be issued on an individual saltwater products license to a person age 70 or older who has held a saltwater products license for at least 3 of the last 5 license years.

g. Any resident who is certified to be totally and permanently disabled by the Railroad Retirement Board, by the United States Department of Veterans Affairs or its predecessor, or by any branch of the United States Armed Forces, or who holds a valid identification card issued by the Department of Veterans' Affairs pursuant to s. 295.17, upon proof of the same, or any resident certified to be disabled by the United States Social Security Administration or a licensed physician, upon proof of the same, shall be exempted from the income requirements if he or she also has held a saltwater products

Page 9 of 22

license for at least 3 of the last 5 license years before the date of the disability. A restricted species endorsement issued under this paragraph may be issued only on an individual saltwater products license.

235236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249250

251

252

253

254255

256

257

258

259

260

h. An honorably discharged, resident military veteran certified by the United States Department of Veterans Affairs or its predecessor or by any branch of the United States Armed Forces to have a service-connected permanent disability rating of 10 percent or higher, upon providing proof of such disability rating, is not required to provide documentation for the income requirement with his or-her initial application for a restricted species endorsement. Documentation for the income requirement is required beginning with the renewal of the restricted species endorsement after such veteran has possessed a valid restricted species endorsement for a complete license year. This exemption applies only to issuance of the endorsement on an individual saltwater products license and is a one-time exemption. In order to renew the restricted species endorsement on an individual saltwater products license, the veteran must document that at least \$2,500 of his or her income is attributable to the sale of saltwater products.

i. Beginning July 1, 2014, a resident military veteran who applies to the commission within 48 months after receiving an honorable discharge from any branch of the United States Armed Forces, the United States Coast Guard, the military reserves, the Florida National Guard, or the United States Coast Guard

Page 10 of 22

Reserve is not required to provide documentation for the income requirement with his or her initial application for a restricted species endorsement. Documentation for the income requirement is required beginning with the renewal of the restricted species endorsement after such veteran has possessed a valid restricted species endorsement for a complete license year. This exemption applies only to issuance of the endorsement on an individual saltwater products license and may only be applied one time per military enlistment.

j. Until June 30, 2014, a resident military veteran who applies to the commission and who received an honorable discharge from any branch of the United States Armed Forces, the United States Coast Guard, the military reserves, the Florida National Guard, or the United States Coast Guard Reserve between September 11, 2001, and June 30, 2014, is not required to provide documentation for the income requirement with his or her initial application for a restricted species endorsement. Documentation for the income requirement is required beginning with the renewal of the restricted species endorsement after such veteran has possessed a valid restricted species endorsement for a complete license year. This exemption applies only to issuance of the endorsement on an individual saltwater products license.

Section 4. Section 379.364, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

379.364 License required for fur and hide dealers.-

Page 11 of 22

(1) A It is unlawful for any person may not to engage in the business of a dealer or buyer in green or dried alligator hides skins or green or dried furs in the state or purchase such hides or furs skins within the state until the such person has been licensed as herein provided in this section.

- (2) A person Any resident dealer or buyer who solicits business through the <u>mail</u> mails, or by advertising, or who travels to buy or employs or has other agents or buyers, shall be deemed a dealer.
- $\underline{\text{(3)}}$ A resident state dealer and must pay a license fee of \$100 per annum.
- (4) (3) A nonresident dealer or buyer must pay a license fee of \$500 per annum.
- (4) All dealers and buyers shall forward to the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission each 2 weeks during open season a report showing number and kind of hides bought and name of trapper from whom bought and the trapper's license number, or if trapper is exempt from license under any of the provisions of this chapter, such report shall show the nature of such exemption. A common carrier may not knowingly ship or transport or receive for transportation any hides or furs unless such shipments have marked thereon name of shipper and the number of her or his fur-animal license or fur dealer's license.

Section 5. Subsections (1), (4), and (5) of section 379.3751, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

379.3751 Taking and possession of alligators; trapping

Page 12 of 22

licenses; fees.-

313

314

315

316

317

318319

320 321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329 330

331332

333

334

335

336

337

338

(1)(a) A No person may not shall take or possess any alligator or the eggs thereof without having been issued an alligator first obtained from the commission a trapping license and paid the fee as provided in this section. The Such license shall be dated when issued and remain valid for 12 months after the date of issuance and shall authorize the person to whom it is issued to take or possess alligators and their eggs, and to sell, possess, and process alligators and their hides and meat, in accordance with law and commission rules. The Such license is shall not be transferable and is shall not be valid unless it bears on its face in indelible ink the name of the person to whom it is issued. The Such license shall be in the personal possession of the licensee while the licensee such person is taking alligators or their eggs or is selling, possessing, or processing alligators or their eggs, hides, or meat. The failure of the licensee to exhibit $\underline{\text{the}}$ such license to $\underline{\text{a}}$ the commission law enforcement officer or its wildlife officers, when the licensee such person is found taking alligators or their eggs or is found selling, possessing, or processing alligators or their eggs, hides, or meat, is shall be a violation of law.

(b) In order to assure the optimal utilization of the estimated available alligator resource and to ensure adequate control of the alligator management and harvest program, the commission may by rule limit the number of participants engaged in the taking of alligators or their eggs from the wild.

Page 13 of 22

(b) (e) A No person who has been convicted of any violation of s. 379.3015 or s. 379.409 or the rules of the commission relating to the illegal taking of crocodilian species may not shall be issued eligible for issuance of a license for a period of 5 years subsequent to such conviction. If a In the event such violation involves the unauthorized taking of an endangered crocodilian species, a no license may not shall be issued for 10 years subsequent to the conviction.

- (c) An alligator trapping license is not required for a person taking nuisance alligators pursuant to a contract with the commission. A person assisting contracted nuisance alligator trappers, unless otherwise exempt under paragraph (d), paragraph (e), or paragraph (f), is required to possess an alligator trapping agent license as provided in paragraph (2)(c).
- (d) An alligator trapping agent license is not required for a child under 16 years of age taking alligators under an alligator harvest program implemented by commission rule.
- (e) An alligator trapping license or alligator trapping agent license is not required for a person taking alligators under a military or disabled veterans event permit issued by the commission pursuant to s. 379.353(2)(q).
- (f) An alligator trapping license or alligator trapping agent license shall be issued without fee to any disabled resident who meets the requirements of s. 379.353(1).
- (g) A person engaged in the taking of alligators under any permit issued by the commission which authorizes the take of

Page 14 of 22

alligators is not required to possess a management area permit under s. 379.354(8).

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

- A No person may not shall take any alligator egg (4)occurring in the wild or possess any such egg unless the such person has obtained, or is a licensed agent of another person who has obtained, an alligator egg collection permit. The alligator egg collection permit shall be required in addition to the alligator farming license provided in paragraph (2)(d). The commission may is authorized to assess a fee for issuance of the alligator egg collection permit of up to \$5 per egg authorized to be taken or possessed pursuant to such permit. Contingent upon an annual appropriation for alligator marketing and education activities Irrespective of whether a fee is assessed, \$1 per egg collected and retained, excluding eggs collected on private wetland management areas, shall be transferred from the alligator management program to the General Inspection Trust Fund, to be administered by the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services for the purpose of providing marketing and education services with respect to alligator products produced in this state, notwithstanding other provisions in this chapter.
- (5) The commission shall adopt criteria by rule to establish appropriate qualifications for alligator collectors who may receive permits pursuant to this section.

Section 6. Section 379.3752, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

379.3752 Required tagging of alligators and hides; fees;

Page 15 of 22

revenues.—The tags provided in this section shall be required in addition to any license required under s. 379.3751.

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

- (1) A No person may not shall take any alligator occurring in the wild or possess any such alligator unless such alligator is subsequently tagged in the manner required by commission rule. For the tag required for an alligator hatchling, the commission is authorized to assess a fee of not more than \$15 for each alligator hatchling tag issued. The commission shall expend one-third of the revenue generated from the issuance of the alligator hatchling tag for alligator husbandry research.
- (2) The commission may require that an alligator hide validation tag (CITES tag) be affixed to the hide of any alligator taken from the wild and that such hide be possessed, purchased, sold, offered for sale, or transported in accordance with commission rule. The commission may is authorized to assess a fee of up to \$30 for each alligator hide validation tag issued. Contingent upon an annual appropriation for alligator marketing and education activities Irrespective of whether a fee is assessed, \$5 per validated hide, excluding those validated from public hunt programs and alligator farms, shall be transferred from the alligator management program to the General Inspection Trust Fund, to be administered by the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services for the purpose of providing marketing and education services with respect to alligator products produced in this state, notwithstanding other provisions in this chapter.

Page 16 of 22

(3) The number of tags available for alligators taken pursuant to a collection permit shall be limited to the number of tags determined by the commission to equal the safe yield of alligators as determined pursuant to s. 379.3013.

Section 7. Paragraph (a) of subsection (2) of section 379.401, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

417

418

419

420

421

422 423

424

425

426 427

428 429

430 431

432

433

434

435

436437

438

439

440441

442

379.401 Penalties and violations; civil penalties for noncriminal infractions; criminal penalties; suspension and forfeiture of licenses and permits.—

- (2)(a) LEVEL TWO VIOLATIONS.—A person commits a Level Two violation if he or she violates any of the following provisions:
- 1. Rules or orders of the commission relating to seasons or time periods for the taking of wildlife, freshwater fish, or saltwater fish.
- 2. Rules or orders of the commission establishing bag, possession, or size limits or restricting methods of taking wildlife, freshwater fish, or saltwater fish.
- 3. Rules or orders of the commission prohibiting access or otherwise relating to access to wildlife management areas or other areas managed by the commission.
- 4. Rules or orders of the commission relating to the feeding of wildlife, freshwater fish, or saltwater fish.
- 5. Rules or orders of the commission relating to landing requirements for freshwater fish or saltwater fish.
- 6. Rules or orders of the commission relating to restricted hunting areas, critical wildlife areas, or bird

Page 17 of 22

443 sanctuaries.

446447

459 460

463

464

465

468

- 7. Rules or orders of the commission relating to tagging requirements for wildlife and fur-bearing animals.
 - 8. Rules or orders of the commission relating to the use of dogs for the taking of wildlife.
- 9. Rules or orders of the commission which are not otherwise classified.
- 450 10. Rules or orders of the commission prohibiting the unlawful use of finfish traps.
- 452 11. All prohibitions in this chapter which are not otherwise classified.
- 12. Section 379.33, prohibiting the violation of or noncompliance with commission rules.
- 13. Section 379.407(7), prohibiting the sale, purchase, 457 harvest, or attempted harvest of any saltwater product with 458 intent to sell.
 - 14. Section 379.2421, prohibiting the obstruction of waterways with net gear.
- 15. Section 379.413, prohibiting the unlawful taking of bonefish.
 - 16. Section 379.365(2)(a) and (b), prohibiting the possession or use of stone crab traps without trap tags and theft of trap contents or gear.
- 17. Section 379.366(4)(b), prohibiting the theft of blue crab trap contents or trap gear.
 - 18. Section 379.3671(2)(c), prohibiting the possession or

Page 18 of 22

169	use of spiny lobster traps without trap tags or certificates and										
170	theft of trap contents or trap gear.										
171	19. Section 379.357, prohibiting the possession of tarpon										
172	without purchasing a tarpon tag.										
173	20. Rules or orders of the commission prohibiting the										
174	feeding or enticement of alligators or crocodiles.										
175	20.21. Section 379.105, prohibiting the intentional										
176	harassment of hunters, fishers, or trappers.										
177	Section 8. Section 379.412, Florida Statutes, is created										
178	to read:										
179	379.412 Penalties for feeding wildlife and freshwater										
180	<u>fish</u>										
181	(1)(a) The penalties in this section apply to a violation										
182	of any rule or order of the commission that prohibits or										
183	restricts:										
184	1. Feeding wildlife or freshwater fish with food or										
185	garbage;										
186	2. Attracting or enticing wildlife or freshwater fish with										
187	food or garbage; or										
188	3. Allowing the placement of food or garbage in a manner										
189	that attracts or entices wildlife or freshwater fish.										
190	(b) This section does not apply to rules or orders of the										
191	commission relating to:										
192	1. Animals held in captivity;										
193	2. Restricting the taking or hunting of species over bait										
194	or intentionally placed or deposited food; or										

Page 19 of 22

3. Restricting the taking or hunting of species in proximity to feeding stations.

- (2) A person who violates a prohibition or restriction identified in subsection (1):
- (a) For a first violation, commits a noncriminal infraction, punishable by a civil penalty of \$100.
- 1. A person cited for a violation under this paragraph shall sign and accept a citation to appear before the county court. The issuing officer may indicate on the citation the time and location of the scheduled hearing and shall indicate the applicable civil penalty.
- 2. A person cited for a violation may pay the civil penalty by mail or in person within 30 days after receipt of the citation. If the civil penalty is paid, the person is deemed to have admitted committing the violation and to have waived his or her right to a hearing before the county court. Such admission may not be used as evidence in any other proceedings except to determine the appropriate fine for any subsequent violations.
- 3. A person who refuses to accept a citation, who fails to pay the civil penalty for a violation, or who fails to appear before a county court as required commits a misdemeanor of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082 or s. 775.083.
- 4. A person who elects to appear before the county court or who is required to appear before the county court is deemed to have waived the limitations on civil penalties provided under

Page 20 of 22

this paragraph. After a hearing, the county court shall determine if a violation has been committed, and if so, may impose a civil penalty of not less than \$100. A person found guilty of committing a violation may appeal that finding to the circuit court. The commission of a violation must be proved beyond a reasonable doubt.

- (b) For second and subsequent violations, when all violations are related to freshwater fish or wildlife other than bears or alligators or other crocodilians, commits a misdemeanor of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082 or s. 775.083.
- (c) For a second violation, when all violations are related to bears or alligators or other crocodilians, commits a misdemeanor of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082 or s. 775.083.
- (d) For a third violation, when all violations are related to bears or alligators or other crocodilians, commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082 or s. 775.083.
- (e) For a fourth or subsequent violation, when all violations are related to bears or alligators or other crocodilians, commits a felony of the third degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084.
- (3) As used in this section, the term "violation" means any judicial disposition other than acquittal or dismissal.

 Section 9. Sections 379.3011, 379.3013, 379.3016, and

Page 21 of 22

547	<u>379.</u>	3017,	Flo	orida	Stati	ıtes,	are	repeal	<u>led.</u>			
548		Secti	on	10.	This	act	shall	take	effect	upon	becoming	a
549	law.											

Page 22 of 22