

Natural Resources & Public Lands Subcommittee

January 23, 2018 12:00 PM – 3:00 PM 12 HOB

Meeting Packet

Committee Meeting Notice HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Natural Resources & Public Lands Subcommittee

Start Date and Time:

Tuesday, January 23, 2018 12:00 pm

End Date and Time:

Tuesday, January 23, 2018 03:00 pm

Location:

12 HOB

Duration:

3.00 hrs

Consideration of the following bill(s):

HB 869 Ranger Drainage District, Orange County by Plasencia HB 1075 Inland Protection by Raburn HB 1149 Environmental Regulation by Payne

State Park Fees Workshop

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES LOCAL BILL STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 869 Ranger Drainage District, Orange County

SPONSOR(S): Plasencia

TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS:

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Local, Federal & Veterans Affairs Subcommittee	14 Y, 0 N	Darden	Miller
2) Natural Resources & Public Lands Subcommittee		Gregory	Shugar XAS
3) Government Accountability Committee		- V	

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The Ranger Drainage District (District) is independent special district in eastern Orange County created by a decree of the Ninth Judicial Circuit in 1970. A three-member board elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis governs the District while exercising all powers set forth in Chapter 298, F.S.

The District is comprised of approximately 10,000 acres of land along the Econlockhatchee River (river). The lands east of the river comprise approximately 7,000 acres, primarily residential. In this area, the District provides all works and improvements necessary to execute the water control plan and levies assessments to provide services. The lands west of the river are generally for institutional and commercial uses, with site development controlled directly by the St. Johns River Water Management District. The lands west of the river currently are neither taxed nor serviced by the District.

The bill removes all lands west of the Econlockhatchee River from the District.

The bill shall take effect upon becoming a law.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h0869b.NRPL.DOCX

DATE: 1/19/2018

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Current Situation

Special Districts

A "special district" is a unit of local government created for a particular purpose, with jurisdiction to operate within a limited geographic boundary. Special districts are created by general law, 1 special act, 2 local ordinance, 3 or by rule of the Governor and Cabinet. 4 A special district has only those powers expressly provided by, or reasonably implied from, the authority provided in the district's charter. Special districts provide specific municipal services in addition to, or in place of, those provided by a municipality or county. 5

A "dependent special district" is a special district where the membership of the governing body is identical to the governing body of a single county or municipality, all members of the governing body are appointed by the governing body of a single county or municipality, members of the district's governing body are removable at will by the governing body of a single county or municipality, or the district's budget is subject to the approval of governing body of a single county or municipality. An "independent special district" is any district that is not a dependent special district.

Special districts do not possess "home rule" powers and may impose only those taxes, assessments, or fees authorized by special or general law. The special act creating an independent special district may provide for funding from a variety of sources while prohibiting others. For example, ad valorem tax authority is not mandatory for a special district.⁸

Chapter 298, F.S., governs the creation and operation of a water control district (WCD).⁹ A WCD has the authority and responsibility to construct, complete, operate, maintain, repair, and replace any and all works and improvements necessary to execute the water control plan adopted by that district.¹⁰ A WCD may build and construct any other works and improvements deemed necessary to preserve and maintain the works in or out of the district. A WCD also may acquire, construct, operate, maintain, use, purchase, sell, lease, convey, or transfer real or personal property, including pumping stations, pumping machinery, motive equipment, electric lines, and all appurtenant or auxiliary machines, devices, or equipment.¹¹ Any special or local law the Legislature enacts pertaining to a WCD prevails on the WCD and has the same force and effect as if it were part of ch. 298, F.S., at the time the WCD was created and organized.¹²

http://myfloridahouse.gov/Sections/Committees/committeesdetail.aspx?CommitteeId=2911 (last accessed Dec. 18, 2017).

STORAGE NAME: h0869b.NRPL.DOCX

DATE: 1/19/2018

¹ Section 189.031(3), F.S.

 $^{^{2}}$ Id.

³ Section 189.02(1), F.S.

⁴ Section 190.005(1), F.S. See, generally, s. 189.012(6), F.S.

⁵ 2017 – 2018 Local Gov't Formation Manual, p. 21, at

⁶ Section 189.012(2), F.S.

⁷ Section 189.012(3), F.S.

⁸ Art. VII, s. 9(a), Fla. Const.

⁹ All special districts operating under ch. 298, F.S., and formerly known as "drainage districts" or "water management districts" are now officially called water control districts. Section 298.001, F.S.

¹⁰ Section 298.22, F.S.

¹¹ Section 298.22(3), F.S.

¹² Section 298.76(5), F.S.

Ranger Drainage District

The Ranger Drainage District (District) is an independent special district in eastern Orange County, southeast of Orlando. The District was created by a decree of the Ninth Judicial Circuit in 1970. A three-member board elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis governs the District. The board of the District is authorized to exercise all powers set forth in Chapter 298, F.S. The board is also authorized to provide services to parcels adjoining the district with consent of the landowner, to allow fishing in district-owned canals, and to sponsor events "intended to foster community spirit," including a fishing tournament for children of the community.

The District is comprised of approximately 10,000 acres of land along the Econlockhatchee River (river). The lands to the east of the river, approximately 7,000 acres, are primarily zoned for residential use. Lands to the west of the river are generally zoned into large-scale institutional, utility, commercial, and conservation tracts. When the district was created in 1970, these lands were intended to be developed as residential and mixed-use development. Developers continued to pay capital improvement taxes on these properties until the District's bonds were retired in 1994, but obtained authorization for site development directly from the St. Johns River Water Management District. The lands west of the river currently are not taxed to fund District services since the District has no water control facilities in the area.

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill revises the boundaries of the district to remove all lands west of the Econlockhatchee River. This will reduce the size of the district by approximately 3,000 acres.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Amends ch. 99-453, Laws of Fla., removing lands west of the Econlockhatchee River

from the Ranger Drainage District.

Section 2: Provides that the bill shall take effect upon becoming a law.

II. NOTICE/REFERENDUM AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS

A. NOTICE PUBLISHED? Yes [x] No []

IF YES, WHEN? September 5, 2017

WHERE? Orlando Sentinel, a daily newspaper of general circulation published in Orange

County, Florida.

¹³ See Ranger Drainage District, Third Amended Water Control Plan, January 2008, at 67, available at https://docs.wixstatic.com/ugd/f79a5a_90c3d17f56a24be9a9e4fe3846dd912c.pdf (map of the district in relation to city of Orlando). ¹⁴ Ch. 99-453, s. 3, Laws of Fla. Prior to July 1, 1980, drainage districts could be created by order of the circuit court with jurisdiction over the majority of the land being made part of the district, on petition of the land owners. See s. 298.01, F.S.

¹⁵ Ch. 99-453, s. 7(5), Laws of Fla. Landowners owning less than one acre are entitled one vote, while landowners owning more than one acre are entitled to one additional vote for each acre owned beyond the first.

¹⁶ Ch. 99-453, s. 7(1), Laws of Fla.

¹⁷ Ch. 99-453, s. 5, Laws of Fla.

¹⁸ Ranger Drainage District, Third Amended Water Control Plan, supra note 9, at 7.

¹⁹ *Id*.

²⁰ *Id*.

²¹ *Id*.

- B. REFERENDUM(S) REQUIRED? Yes [] No [x] IF YES, WHEN?
- C. LOCAL BILL CERTIFICATION FILED? Yes, attached [x] No []
- D. ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT FILED? Yes, attached [x] No []

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES: None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The bill neither authorizes nor requires administrative rulemaking by executive branch agencies.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

None.

STORAGE NAME: h0869b.NRPL.DOCX

DATE: 1/19/2018

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to Ranger Drainage District, Orange County; amending ch. 99-453, Laws of Florida, as amended; revising district boundaries; providing an effective date.

6 7

1 2

3

4

5

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

8

10

1314

15

16

1718

Section 1. Section 4 of chapter 99-453, Laws of Florida, as amended by chapter 2005-309, Laws of Florida, is amended to read:

11 12

Section 4. Status and boundaries of ranger drainage district.—The Ranger Drainage District is hereby declared to be an independent water control district and a public corporation of the State of Florida pursuant to chapter 298, Florida Statutes, as it may be amended from time to time, and the lands lying within the area described as follows in Orange County, Florida, shall hereby constitute the Ranger Drainage District:

1920

21

22

23

24

25

The South one-half of Section 1, less that part lying East of the West right-of-way line of State Road No. 520; The South one-half of Section 2; the East three-eighths of Section 10; all of Sections 11, 12, 13, 14, 23, 24, 25, 26, together with portions of Sections 27 and 28, in Township 23 South, Range 32 East in Orange

Page 1 of 4

26 County, Florida, being more particularly described as 27 follows: 28 29 BEGINNING at the Southeast corner of Section 25, run 30 northerly along the easterly line of Sections 25, 24, 31 13, 12 and 1 to the westerly right-of-way line of 32 State Road No. 520; thence northwesterly along said 33 westerly right-of-way line of State Road No. 520 to a 34 point of intersection with the north line of the South 35 one-half of Section 1; thence westerly along the north 36 line of the South one-half of Sections 1 and 2 to the 37 westerly quarter corner of Section 2; thence southerly 38 along the West line of Section 2 to the Southwest 39 corner of Section 2; thence westerly along the North 40 line of Section 10 to the Northwest corner of the 41 easterly three-eighths of Section 10; thence southerly 42 along the west line of the easterly three-eighths of 43 Section 10 to the Southwest corner of the easterly 44 three-eighths of Section 10; thence easterly along the 45 south line of Section 10 to the Southeast corner of 46 Section 10; thence southerly along the west line of 47 Sections 14 and 23 to the Southwest corner of Section 48 23; thence westerly along the north line of Sections 49 27 and 28 to the Northeast corner of Tract A, 50 CAPE/ORLANDO ESTATES UNIT 11A, according to the plat

Page 2 of 4

thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 3, at Pages 107
through 109, inclusive, of the Public Records of
Orange County, Florida; thence southerly along the
easterly boundary of said Tract A to the Southeast
corner of said Tract A; thence easterly along the
south line of Sections 27, 26 and 25 to the POINT OF
BEGINNING.

The South one-half of Sections 1 and 2, the easterly

The South one-half of Sections 1 and 2, the easterly three-eighths of Section 10, all of Sections, 11, 12, 13, 14, 19, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, and 31 in Township 23 South, Range 32 East in Orange County, Florida, the boundary of which is more particularly described as follows:

Commence at the Southeast corner of Section 25, run northerly along the easterly lines of Sections 25, 24, 13, 12, and 1 to the westerly right-of-way of State Road 520; thence proceed northwesterly along said westerly right-of-way of State Road 520 to the intersection of State Road 520 and the north line of the South one-half of Section 1; thence westerly along the North line of the South one-half of Sections 1 and 2 to the westerly quarter corner of Section 2; thence southerly along the West line of Section 2 to the

Page 3 of 4

76 Southwest corner of Section 2; thence westerly along 77 the North line of Section 10 to the Northwest corner of the easterly three-eighths of Section 10; thence 78 79 southerly along the west line of the easterly three-80 eighths of Section 10 to the Southwest corner of the 81 easterly three-eighths of Section 10; thence easterly 82 along the south line of Section 10 to the Southeast 83 corner of Section 10; thence southerly along the west 84 line of Section 14 and 23 to the Southwest corner of 85 Section 23; thence westerly along the North line of Sections 27, 28, and 29 to the Northwest corner of 86 87 Section 29; thence northerly along the east line of 88 Section 19 to the Northeast corner of Section 19; 89 thence westerly along the North line of Section 19 to 90 the Northwest corner of Section 19; thence southerly 91 along the west line of Sections 19, 30, and 31 to the 92 Southwest corner of Section 31; thence easterly along the south-line of Section 31 to the Southeast corner 93 94 of Section 31; thence northerly along the east line of 95 Section 31 to the Northeast corner of Section 31; 96 thence easterly along the south lines of Sections 29, 97 28, 27, 26, and 25 to the point of beginning. 98

Section 2. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Page 4 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

99

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 1075

Inland Protection

SPONSOR(S): Raburn

TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1438

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Natural Resources & Public Lands Subcommittee		Gregory (Shugar KS
Agriculture & Natural Resources Appropriations Subcommittee		V	
3) Government Accountability Committee			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) regulates underground and aboveground storage tank systems in an effort to protect Florida's groundwater from past and future petroleum releases. DEP may establish criteria for the prioritization, assessment and cleanup, and reimbursement for cleanup of areas contaminated by leaking underground petroleum storage tanks. The Petroleum Restoration Program (PRP) establishes the requirements and procedures for cleaning up contaminated land, as well as the circumstances under which the state will pay for the cleanup. To fund the cleanup of contaminated sites, the Legislature created the Inland Protection Trust Fund (IPTF). An excise tax per barrel on petroleum and petroleum products in or imported into the state funds the IPTF.

In response to significant discharges of drycleaning solvents at drycleaning facilities as part of the normal operation of these facilities, the Legislature created the Drycleaning Solvent Cleanup Program (program) because these discharges pose a significant threat to the quality of the state's groundwater and inland surface waters. The program facilitates remedial measures, provides reliable alternative sources of water, encourages real property owners to voluntarily cleanup property contaminated with drycleaning solvents, and improves the marketability and use of property contaminated with drycleaning solvents. DEP may use funds from the Water Quality Assurance Trust Fund (WQATF) to rehabilitate contaminated facilities. The WQATF receives its funds from taxes collected on gross receipts on all charges imposed by the drycleaning facility or the dry drop-off facility for the drycleaning or laundering of clothing or other fabrics; taxes collected on each gallon of perchloroethylene sold; fees collected for registration of drycleaning facilities and wholesale supply facilities; and all penalties, judgments, recoveries, reimbursements, loans, and other fees and charged under the drycleaning solvent cleanup program.

The bill expands the use of the Inland Protection Trust Fund (IPTF), traditionally used for petroleum contamination site cleanup, for use for the program. Specifically, the bill:

- Requires a minimum of \$150 million to be appropriated annually to the IPTF;
- Directs \$30 million annually from the IPTF to the WQATF for the program;
- Authorizes the WQATF to receive \$30 million annually from the IPTF for use in the program:
- Directs all penalties, judgments, recoveries, reimbursements, loans, and other fees and charges related to implementation of the program to the IPTF; and
- Requires DEP to create, by rule, a scoring system to assign state contractors to program tasks and sites.

The bill may have a negative fiscal impact on DEP. The bill may have a positive fiscal impact on owners of eligible facilities contaminated with drycleaning solvents. The bill may have negative fiscal impact on petroleum contaminated sites.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2018.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h1075.NRPL

DATE: 1/21/2018

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Present Situation

Cleanup for Petroleum Contaminated Sites, Inland Protection Trust Fund

Petroleum is stored in thousands of underground and aboveground storage tank systems throughout Florida. Releases of petroleum into the environment may occur because of accidental spills, storage tank system leaks, or poor maintenance practices. These discharges pose a significant threat to groundwater quality, the source of 90 percent of Florida's drinking water. The identification and cleanup of petroleum contamination is particularly challenging due to the diverse geology in Florida, diverse water systems, and the complex dynamics between contaminants and the environment.

In 1983, Florida began enacting legislation to regulate underground and aboveground storage tank systems in an effort to protect Florida's groundwater from past and future petroleum releases. The Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) regulates these storage tank systems.² Further, DEP may establish criteria for the prioritization, assessment and cleanup, and reimbursement for cleanup of areas contaminated by leaking underground petroleum storage tanks.³ The Petroleum Restoration Program (PRP) establishes the requirements and procedures for cleaning up contaminated land, as well as the circumstances under which the state will pay for the cleanup.⁴

An owner of contaminated land or the person who caused the discharge is responsible for rehabilitating the land, unless the site owner can show that the contamination resulted from the activities of a previous owner or other third party (responsible party), who is then responsible.⁵ Over the years, DEP has implemented different eligibility programs to provide state financial assistance to certain site owners and responsible parties for site rehabilitation. To receive rehabilitation funding assistance, a site must qualify for one of the Petroleum Cleanup Eligibility Programs:

- Early Detection Incentive Program, s. 376.3071(10), F.S.;
- Petroleum Liability and Restoration Insurance Program, s. 376.3072, F.S.:
- Abandoned Tank Restoration Program, s. 376.305(6), F.S.;
- Innocent Victim Petroleum Storage System Restoration Program, s. 376.30715, F.S.;
- Petroleum Cleanup Participation Program, s. 376.3071(13), F.S.; and
- Consent Order (aka "Hardship" or "Indigent"), s. 376.3071(8)(e), F.S.

To fund the cleanup of contaminated sites, the Legislature created the Inland Protection Trust Fund (IPTF).⁶ An excise tax per barrel on petroleum and petroleum products in or imported into the state funds the IPTF.⁷ The amount of the excise tax per barrel is determined by a formula that is dependent upon the unobligated balance of the IPTF.8 In fiscal year 2016 - 2017, the Legislature appropriated \$166,705,572 to the IPTF and \$118 million from the IPTF for petroleum tank cleanup.9 In fiscal year

¹ Chapter 83-310, Laws of Fla.

² Sections 376.30(3)(a) and 376.303, F.S.

³ Section 376.3071(5), F.S.

⁴ DEP, Petroleum Restoration Program, https://floridadep.gov/waste/petroleum-restoration (last visited January 14, 2018).

⁵ Section 376.308, F.S.

⁶ Sections 376.3071(3) and (4), F.S.

⁷ Sections 206.9935(3) and 376.3071(7), F.S.

The amount of the excise tax per barrel is based on the following formula: 30 cents if the unobligated balance is between \$100 million and \$150 million; 60 cents if the unobligated balance is above \$50 million, but below \$100 million; and 80 cents if the unobligated balance is \$50 million or less; s. 206.9935(3), F.S.

⁹ Chapter 2016-66, s. 1671, Laws of Fla.

2017 - 2018, the Legislature appropriated \$169,667,360 to the IPTF and \$115 million from the IPTF for petroleum tank cleanup.¹⁰

DEP provides funding for site rehabilitation on a relative risk scoring system.¹¹ Each funding-eligible site receives a numeric score based on the threat the site contamination poses to the environment or to human health, safety, or welfare.¹² Sites currently in the PRP range in score from five to 115 points. DEP funds the rehabilitation of sites in priority order beginning with the highest score, with available budget.¹³ DEP sets the priority score funding threshold, which is the minimum score a site must achieve to receive restoration funding at a particular point in time. The threshold is periodically raised or lowered depending on the PRP's current budget, projected expenditures for the remainder of the fiscal year, and the next fiscal year's anticipated budget of the PRP. Currently, the threshold is set at 20 points.¹⁴ DEP directs state contractors to conduct rehabilitation tasks based on its rules.¹⁵

As of January 2018, 19,332 eligible discharges existed throughout the state. DEP completed cleanup of 9,903 sites. DEP is currently working on 6,610 discharges in the following discharges categories: Assessment - 3,986; Active Remediation - 497; Remedial Action Plans - 725; and Passive Remediation - 1.402.¹⁶

Drycleaning Solvent Cleanup, Water Quality Assurance Trust Fund

Drycleaning solvents are all nonaqueous solvents used in the cleaning of clothing and other fabrics. Solvents may include perchloroethylene (also known as tetrachloroethylene) and petroleum-based solvents, and their breakdown products.¹⁷ Drycleaning solvents can be safely used if managed properly. However, drycleaning solvents can harm people, animals, and plants if released into the environment by contaminating soil and water.¹⁸

In response to significant discharges of drycleaning solvents at drycleaning facilities as part of the normal operation of these facilities, the Legislature created the Drycleaning Solvent Cleanup Program (program) because these discharges pose a significant threat to the quality of the state's groundwater and inland surface water. The program facilitates remedial measures, provides reliable alternative sources of water, encourages real property owners to voluntarily cleanup property contaminated with drycleaning solvents, and improves the marketability and use of property contaminated with drycleaning solvents. On the state of the st

The program pays for the cleanup of properties contaminated from the operation of drycleaning facilities or wholesale supply facilities. DEP may use funds from the Water Quality Assurance Trust Fund (WQATF) to rehabilitate contaminated facilities.²¹ The WQATF receives its funds from taxes collected on gross receipts on all charges imposed by the drycleaning facility or the dry drop-off facility for the drycleaning or laundering of clothing or other fabrics; taxes collected on each gallon of perchloroethylene sold; fees collected for registration of drycleaning facilities and wholesale supply facilities; and all penalties, judgments, recoveries, reimbursements, loans, and other fees and charged

STORAGE NAME: h1075.NRPL

DATE: 1/21/2018

¹⁰ Chapter 2017-70, s. 1673, Laws of Fla.

¹¹ Sections 376.3071(5)(a) and (6), F.S.

¹² Rule 62-771.100, F.A.C.

¹³ Rule 62-771.300(6), F.A.C.

¹⁴ DEP, *Petroleum Restoration Program Priority Score Funding Threshold History*, https://floridadep.gov/waste/petroleum-restoration/content/priority-score-funding-threshold-history (last visited January 14, 2018).

¹⁵ Section 376.3071(5)(b), F.S.

¹⁶ Email from Kevin Cleary, Director of Legislative Affairs, DEP, Re: PRP and Drycleaning Questions (January 16, 2018).

¹⁷ Section 376.301(15), F.S.

¹⁸ United States Environmental Protection Agency, *State Coalition for Remediation of Drycleaners, A Citizen's Guide to Drycleaner Cleanup*, https://drycleancoalition.org/download/citizens_guide_drycleaner_cleanup.pdf (last visited January 14, 2018).

¹⁹ Sections 376.3078(1)(a) and (b), F.S.

²⁰ Sections 376.3078(1)(c) through (f), F.S.

²¹ Section 376.3078(2)(b), F.S.

under the drycleaning solvent cleanup program.²² During fiscal years 2016 – 2017 and 2017 – 2018, the Legislature appropriated \$8.5 million from the WQATF for the program.²³

Like the PRP, DEP scores each facility to determine the order in which it will begin site rehabilitation activities. ²⁴ Each facility's score is based on fire risk, threat to drinking water supplies, groundwater vulnerability, aquifer classification, conditions favoring continual scoring, and environmental setting. ²⁵ Facilities with the highest score receive the highest priority for rehabilitation. ²⁶ DEP incorporates scored sites into the priority list on a quarterly basis with the ranking of all sites previously on the list adjusted accordingly. ²⁷ State contractors are assigned program tasks according to the current priority list and based on DEP's determination of contractor logistics, geographical considerations, and other criteria DEP determines necessary to achieve cost-effective site rehabilitation. ²⁸ DEP assigns program tasks beginning with the highest-ranked sites on the priority list at the effective date DEP makes the assignment and proceeds through lower-ranked sites. ²⁹ DEP adds all scored sites to the priority list on a quarterly basis until it has assigned all the sites. ³⁰ Once DEP makes an assignment, a subsequent quarterly adjustment to the priority list does not alter that assignment unless DEP can achieve a more cost-effective approach by reassignment, a compelling public health condition or an environmental condition warrants a reassignment, or the reassignment is otherwise in the public interest. ³¹

In 2017, the Legislature created advanced site assessment for the program to allow real property owners eligible for site rehabilitation at an eligible facility under the program to request a site assessment in advance of the priority ranking if they meet certain criteria.³² The law reserved ten percent of the WQATF appropriation for the drycleaning solvent cleanup program to fund advanced site assessment.³³

The state pays for the costs incurred for site rehabilitation from the WQATF, minus a deductible paid by the applicant or current real property owner.³⁴ The facilities are rehabilitated using the principles of risk based corrective action found in chapter 62-780, F.A.C.³⁵ There are 1,421 eligible facilities. DEP has rehabilitated 210 facilities and initiated cleanup activities at 259 sites.³⁶

The application period for entry into the program ended December 31, 1998. DEP no longer accepts applications to the program.³⁷

Effect of the Proposed Changes

The bill creates s. 376.3071(15), F.S., and amends s. 376.3071(1)(d) and 376.3071(3), F.S., to require a minimum of \$150 million to be appropriated annually to the IPTF to implement the PRP and the program.

²² Sections 376.307(4)(e) and 376.3078(2)(a), F.S.

²³ Chapters 2016 – 66, s. 1668 and 2017 – 70, s. 1670, L.O.F.

²⁴ Sections 376.3078(7) and (8), F.S.

²⁵ Section 376.3078(7), F.S.

²⁶ Section 376.3078(8)(b), F.S.

²⁷ Section 376.3078(8)(c), F.S.

²⁸ Section 376.3078(8)(d), F.S.

²⁹ Section 376.3078(8)(e), F.S.

³⁰ Section 376.3078(8)(f), F.S.

³¹ Section 376.3078(8)(g), F.S.

³² Chapter 2017 – 95, s. 8 Laws of Fla.; s. 378.3078(14), F.S.

³³ Section 378.3078(14)(e), F.S.

³⁴ Section 376.3078(3)(e), F.S.

³⁵ Section 376.3078(4), F.S.

³⁶ Email from Kevin Cleary, Director of Legislative Affairs, DEP, Re: PRP and Drycleaning Questions (January 16, 2018).

³⁷ Section 376.3078(3)(e)4., F.S.

The bill expands the use of the Inland Protection Trust Fund (IPTF), traditionally used for petroleum contamination site cleanup, for the program. Specifically, the bill:

- Creates s. 376.3071(12)(c), F.S., to direct \$30 million annually from the IPTF to the WQATF for the program;
- Amends s. 376.3078(2)(a), F.S., to authorize the WQATF to receives \$30 million annually from the IPTF for use in the program;
- Amends s. 376.3071(1)(a), F.S., to identify drycleaning solvents stored in the state as a hazardous undertaking;
- Amends s. 376.3071(1)(g), F.S., to require the program be implemented in a manner that reduces costs and improves the efficiency of rehabilitation activities to reduce the significant backlog of contaminated sites eligible for state-funded rehabilitation and the corresponding threat to the public health, safety, and welfare, water resources, and the environment;
- Amends s. 376.3078(2)(a), F.S., to state that the Legislature intends to use the IPTF to respond without delay to incidents of inland contamination related to the storage of drycleaning solvents in order to protect the public health, safety, and welfare and to minimize environmental damage;
- Amends s. 376.3078(2)(b), F.S., to state that the Legislature intends DEP to implement rules and procedures to improve the efficiency and productivity of the program;
- Amends s. 376.3078(3), F.S., to authorize use of the IPTF to fund the program;
- Amends s. 376.3078(3), F.S., to direct all penalties, judgments, recoveries, reimbursements, loans, and other fees and charges related to implementation of the program to the IPTF;
- Amends s. 376.3078(4), (4)(i), (4)(o), and (4)(p), F.S., to authorize DEP to use the IPTF to obligate money to address incidents of inland contamination related to the storage of drycleaning solvents that may pose a threat to the public health, safety, or welfare, water resources, or the environment;
- Amends s. 376.3078(2)(b), F.S., to prohibit DEP from obligating funds from the WQATF in excess of its annual appropriation from the WQATF and the IPTF; and
- Amends s. 376.3078(14)(e), F.S., to authorize the use of funds received from the IPTF for advanced site assessment for the program.

The bill also creates s. 376.3078(15), F.S., to require DEP to create, by rule, a scoring system to assign state contractors to program tasks and sites. DEP must have 25 individual state contractors for the program by December 31, 2018. The scoring system, at a minimum, must consider the contractor's qualifications, the contractor's rates, and any of the contractor's performance evaluations for previous work performed for the program. The bill also amends s. 376,3078(8)(d) to require the assignment of program tasks or sites based on DEP's scoring system. The bill amends s. 376.3078(8)(d) and (8)(e). F.S., to add sites to the items that state contractors may be assigned for the program. This appears to create a task assignment system for state contractors similar to the PRP.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

- Section 1. Amends s. 376.3071, F.S., relating to the IPTF, creating, purpose, and funding.
- Section 2. Amends s. 376.3078, F.S., relating to drycleaning facility restoration, funds, uses, liability, and recovery of expenditures.
- Section 3. Provides an effective date of July 1, 2018.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

STORAGE NAME: h1075.NRPL **DATE: 1/21/2018**

2. Expenditures:

The bill may have a negative fiscal impact on DEP by requiring the department to create, by rule, a scoring system to assign state contractors to program tasks and sites, soliciting state contractors to perform drycleaning facility cleanup, and implementing the task assignment system.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The bill may have a positive fiscal impact on owners of eligible facilities contaminated with drycleaning solvents by directing more funds to the WQATF for drycleaning solvent cleanup.

The bill may have negative fiscal impact of owners of sites contaminated with petroleum by directing a portion of the IPTF to the WQATF for drycleaning solvent cleanup.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The bill may have a positive fiscal impact on DEP by directing a minimum of \$150 million annually to the IPTF and \$30 million annual to the WQATF from the IPTF.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable. This bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to spend funds or take action requiring the expenditures of funds; reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenues in the aggregate; or reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The bill requires DEP to create, by rule, a scoring system to assign state contractors to program tasks and sites.

STORAGE NAME: h1075.NRPL DATE: 1/21/2018

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

Currently, all penalties, judgments, recoveries, reimbursements, loans, and other fees and charges collected under the drycleaning solvent cleanup program are directed to the WQATF.³⁸ The bill direct all penalties, judgments, recoveries, reimbursements, loans, and other fees and charges related to implementation of the drycleaning solvent cleanup program to the IPTF. It is unclear if the WQATF should no longer receive these funds or whether these funds should be split in some manner.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

Not applicable.

³⁸ Sections 376.307(3)(e) and 376.3078(2)(a), F.S. **STORAGE NAME**: h1075.NRPL

DATE: 1/21/2018

24

25

1

A bill to be entitled An act relating to inland protection; amending s. 376.3071, F.S.; revising legislative findings and intent; authorizing the Inland Protection Trust Fund to be used for the cleanup of drycleaning solvents under the drycleaning solvent cleanup program; specifying an appropriation to the Water Quality Assurance Trust Fund for use in the drycleaning solvent cleanup program; specifying an annual appropriation; amending s. 376.3078, F.S.; revising the sources of funds for the drycleaning solvent cleanup program; revising the maximum amount of funds the Department of Environmental Protection may obligate under the program annually; making a technical change; revising the use of the scoring system application to include program sites; specifying that assignments use a specific scoring system created by rule; revising the annual funding available for advanced site assessment; requiring the department to have a specified number of individual contractors participating in the program by a specified date; requiring the department to adopt a scoring system by rule for scoring contractors; specifying system requirements; providing an effective date.

Page 1 of 14

2627

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

2829

30

3132

33

3435

36

37

.38 .39

40

4142

.43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

Section 1. Paragraphs (a), (d), and (g) of subsection (1), paragraphs (a) and (b) of subsection (2), and subsections (3) and (4) of section 376.3071, Florida Statutes, are amended, paragraph (c) is added to subsection (12), and subsection (15) is added to that section, to read:

376.3071 Inland Protection Trust Fund; creation; purposes; funding.—

- (1) FINDINGS.—In addition to the legislative findings set forth in s. 376.30, the Legislature finds and declares:
- (a) That significant quantities of <u>drycleaning solvents</u>, petroleum, and petroleum products are being stored in storage systems in this state, which is a hazardous undertaking.
- (d) That adequate financial resources must be readily available, including the appropriation specified in subsection (15), to provide for the expeditious supply of safe and reliable alternative sources of potable water to affected persons and to provide a means for investigation and cleanup of contamination sites without delay.
- (g) That the <u>drycleaning solvent cleanup program under s.</u>

 376.3078 and the Petroleum Restoration Program must be implemented in a manner that reduces costs and improves the efficiency of rehabilitation activities to reduce the

Page 2 of 14

significant backlog of contaminated sites eligible for statefunded rehabilitation and the corresponding threat to the public health, safety, and welfare, water resources, and the environment.

(2) INTENT AND PURPOSE.-

51 l

52

53

54

55

56

57

5.8

59 60

61

62 63

64

65

66 67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

- (a) It is the intent of the Legislature to establish the Inland Protection Trust Fund to serve as a repository for funds which will enable the department to respond without delay to incidents of inland contamination related to the storage of drycleaning solvents, petroleum, and petroleum products in order to protect the public health, safety, and welfare and to minimize environmental damage.
- (b) It is the intent of the Legislature that the department implement rules and procedures to improve the efficiency and productivity of the drycleaning solvent cleanup program under s. 376.3078 and the Petroleum Restoration Program. The department is directed to implement rules and policies to eliminate and reduce duplication of site rehabilitation efforts, paperwork, and documentation, and micromanagement of site rehabilitation tasks. The department shall make efficiency and productivity a priority in the administration of the Petroleum Restoration Program and to this end, when necessary, shall use petroleum program contracted services to improve the efficiency and productivity of the program. Furthermore, when implementing rules and procedures to improve such efficiency and

Page 3 of 14

productivity, the department shall recognize and consider the potential value of utilizing contracted inspection and professional resources to efficiently and productively administer the program.

- (3) CREATION.—There is created the Inland Protection Trust Fund, hereinafter referred to as the "fund," to be administered by the department. This fund shall be used by the department as a nonlapsing revolving fund, consisting of the appropriation specified in subsection (15), for carrying out the purposes of this section and ss. 376.3073 and 376.3078 s. 376.3073. To this fund shall also be credited all penalties, judgments, recoveries, reimbursements, loans, and other fees and charges related to the implementation of this section and ss. 376.3073 and 376.3078 s. 376.3073 and the excise tax revenues levied, collected, and credited pursuant to ss. 206.9935(3) and 206.9945(1)(c). Charges against the fund shall be made pursuant to this section.
- (4) USES.—Whenever, in its determination, incidents of inland contamination related to the storage of <u>drycleaning</u> solvents, petroleum, or petroleum products may pose a threat to the public health, safety, or welfare, water resources, or the environment, the department shall obligate moneys available in the fund to provide for:
- (a) Prompt investigation and assessment of contamination sites.

Page 4 of 14

(b) Expeditious restoration or replacement of potable water supplies as provided in s. 376.30(3)(c)1.

- (c) Rehabilitation of contamination sites, which shall consist of cleanup of affected soil, groundwater, and inland surface waters, using the most cost-effective alternative that is technologically feasible and reliable and that provides adequate protection of the public health, safety, and welfare, and water resources, and that minimizes environmental damage, pursuant to the site selection and cleanup criteria established by the department under subsection (5), except that this paragraph does not authorize the department to obligate funds for payment of costs which may be associated with, but are not integral to, site rehabilitation, such as the cost for retrofitting or replacing petroleum storage systems.
 - (d) Maintenance and monitoring of contamination sites.
- (e) Inspection and supervision of activities described in this subsection.
- (f) Payment of expenses incurred by the department in its efforts to obtain from responsible parties the payment or recovery of reasonable costs resulting from the activities described in this subsection.
- (g) Payment of any other reasonable costs of administration, including those administrative costs incurred by the Department of Health in providing field and laboratory services, toxicological risk assessment, and other assistance to

Page 5 of 14

the department in the investigation of drinking water contamination complaints and costs associated with public information and education activities.

- (h) Establishment and implementation of the compliance verification program as authorized in s. 376.303(1)(a), including contracting with local governments or state agencies to provide for the administration of such program through locally administered programs, to minimize the potential for further contamination sites.
- (i) Funding of the provisions of ss. 376.305(6), and 376.3072, and 376.3078.
- (j) Activities related to removal and replacement of petroleum storage systems, exclusive of costs of any tank, piping, dispensing unit, or related hardware, if soil removal is approved as a component of site rehabilitation and requires removal of the tank where remediation is conducted under this section or if such activities were justified in an approved remedial action plan.
- (k) Reasonable costs of restoring property as nearly as practicable to the conditions which existed before activities associated with contamination assessment or remedial action taken under $s.\ 376.303(4)$.
 - (1) Repayment of loans to the fund.
- (m) Expenditure of sums from the fund to cover ineligible sites or costs as set forth in subsection (13), if the

Page 6 of 14

department in its discretion deems it necessary to do so. In such cases, the department may seek recovery and reimbursement of costs in the same manner and pursuant to the same procedures established for recovery and reimbursement of sums otherwise owed to or expended from the fund.

- (n) Payment of amounts payable under any service contract entered into by the department pursuant to s. 376.3075, subject to annual appropriation by the Legislature.
- the drycleaning solvent remediation on eligible sites in the drycleaning solvent cleanup program and petroleum remediation pursuant to this section throughout a state fiscal year. The department shall establish a process to uniformly encumber appropriated funds throughout a state fiscal year and shall allow for emergencies and imminent threats to public health, safety, and welfare, water resources, and the environment as provided in paragraph (5)(a). This paragraph does not apply to appropriations associated with the free product recovery initiative provided in paragraph (5)(c) or the advanced cleanup program provided in s. 376.30713.
- (p) Enforcement of this section and ss. 376.30-376.317 by the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission. The department shall disburse moneys to the commission for such purpose.
- (q) Payments for program deductibles, copayments, and limited contamination assessment reports that otherwise would be paid by another state agency for state-funded drycleaning

Page 7 of 14

solvent or petroleum contamination site rehabilitation.

177178

179 180

181

182

183

184

185

186187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

176

The issuance of a site rehabilitation completion order pursuant to subsection (5) or paragraph (12)(b) for contamination eligible for programs funded by this section does not alter the project's eligibility for state-funded remediation if the department determines that site conditions are not protective of human health under actual or proposed circumstances of exposure under subsection (5). The Inland Protection Trust Fund may be used only to fund the activities in ss. 376.30-376.317 except s. 376.3079 ss. 376.3078 and 376.3079. Amounts on deposit in the fund in each fiscal year must first be applied or allocated for the payment of amounts payable by the department pursuant to paragraph (n) under a service contract entered into by the department pursuant to s. 376.3075 and appropriated in each year by the Legislature before making or providing for other disbursements from the fund. This subsection does not authorize the use of the fund for cleanup of contamination caused primarily by a discharge of solvents as defined in s. 206.9925(6), or polychlorinated biphenyls when their presence causes them to be hazardous wastes, except solvent contamination which is the result of chemical or physical breakdown of petroleum products and is otherwise eligible or solvent contamination from a drycleaning facility that is eligible for funding in the drycleaning solvent cleanup program. Facilities

Page 8 of 14

used primarily for the storage of motor or diesel fuels as defined in ss. 206.01 and 206.86 are not excluded from eligibility pursuant to this section.

(12) SITE CLEANUP.-

- (c) Drycleaning solvent facility restoration.—An annual appropriation of \$30 million shall be deposited from the fund into the Water Quality Assurance Trust Fund to be used for the drycleaning solvent cleanup program under s. 376.3078.
- (15) APPROPRIATION.—A minimum of \$150 million is appropriated annually to the Inland Protection Trust Fund to implement this section.

Section 2. Paragraphs (a) and (b) of subsection (2), paragraph (m) of subsection (3), paragraphs (d) and (e) of subsection (8), and paragraph (e) of subsection (14) of section 376.3078, Florida Statutes, are amended, and subsection (15) is added to that section, to read:

376.3078 Drycleaning facility restoration; funds; uses; liability; recovery of expenditures.—

- (2) FUNDS; USES.-
- (a) All penalties, judgments, recoveries, reimbursements, loans, and other fees and charges related to the implementation of this section and the tax revenues levied, collected, and credited pursuant to ss. 376.70 and 376.75, and fees collected pursuant to s. 376.303(1)(d), and deductibles collected pursuant to paragraph (3)(d), and the funds appropriated from the Inland

Page 9 of 14

Protection Trust Fund pursuant to s. 376.3071(12)(c), shall be deposited into the Water Quality Assurance Trust Fund, to be used upon appropriation as provided in this section and s. 376.3071(12)(c). Charges against the funds for drycleaning facility or wholesale supply site rehabilitation shall be made in accordance with the provisions of this section.

- (b) Whenever, in its determination, incidents of contamination by drycleaning solvents related to the operation of drycleaning facilities and wholesale supply facilities may pose a threat to the environment or the public health, safety, or welfare, the department shall obligate moneys available pursuant to this section to provide for:
- 1. Prompt investigation and assessment of the contaminated drycleaning facility or wholesale supply facility sites.
- 2. Expeditious treatment, restoration, or replacement of potable water supplies as provided in s. 376.30(3)(c)1.
- 3. Rehabilitation of contaminated drycleaning facility or wholesale supply facility sites, which shall consist of rehabilitation of affected soil, groundwater, and surface waters, using the most cost-effective alternative that is technologically feasible and reliable and that provides adequate protection of the public health, safety, and welfare and minimizes environmental damage, in accordance with the site selection and rehabilitation criteria established by the department under subsection (4), except that nothing in this

Page 10 of 14

subsection shall be construed to authorize the department to obligate drycleaning facility restoration funds for payment of costs that may be associated with, but are not integral to, drycleaning facility or wholesale supply facility site rehabilitation.

- 4. Maintenance and monitoring of contaminated drycleaning facility or wholesale supply facility sites.
- 5. Inspection and supervision of activities described in this subsection.
- 6. Payment of expenses incurred by the department in its efforts to obtain from responsible parties the payment or recovery of reasonable costs resulting from the activities described in this subsection.
- 7. Payment of any other reasonable costs of administration, including those administrative costs incurred by the Department of Health in providing field and laboratory services, toxicological risk assessment, and other assistance to the department in the investigation of drinking water contamination complaints and costs associated with public information and education activities.
- 8. Reasonable costs of restoring property as nearly as practicable to the conditions that existed prior to activities associated with contamination assessment or remedial action.

The department \underline{may} shall not obligate funds in excess of \underline{the} sum

Page 11 of 14

of the annual appropriation <u>plus</u> the appropriation <u>specified in</u> s. 376.3071(12)(c).

(3) REHABILITATION LIABILITY.-

276

277

278

279

280281

282

283

284

285

286

287288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299 300

- (m) The owner, operator, and either the real property owner or agent of the real property owner may apply for the drycleaning solvent contamination cleanup program by jointly submitting a completed application package to the department pursuant to the rules that shall be adopted by the department. If the application cannot be jointly submitted, then the applicant shall provide notice of the application to other interested parties. After reviewing the completed application package, the department may shall notify the applicant in writing as to whether the drycleaning facility or wholesale supply facility is eligible for the program. If the department denies eligibility for a completed application package, the notice of denial shall specify the reasons for the denial, including specific and substantive findings of fact, and shall constitute agency action subject to the provisions of chapter 120. For the purposes of ss. 120.569 and 120.57, the real property owner and the owner and operator of a drycleaning facility or wholesale supply facility which is the subject of a decision by the department with regard to eligibility shall be deemed to be parties whose substantial interests are determined by the department's decision to approve or deny eligibility.
 - (8) SCORING SYSTEM APPLICATION.-

Page 12 of 14

HB 1075

(d) Assignments for program tasks or sites to be conducted by state contractors shall be made according to the current priority list and shall be based on the department scoring system created pursuant to paragraph (15)(b) determination of contractor logistics, geographical considerations, and other criteria the department determines are necessary to achieve cost-effective site rehabilitation.

- (e) Assignments for the program tasks <u>or sites</u> shall be made beginning with the highest-ranked sites on the priority list at the effective date the assignment is made and proceed through lower-ranked sites.
- (14) ADVANCED SITE ASSESSMENT.—It is in the public interest, and of substantial environmental and economic benefit to the state, to provide an opportunity to conduct site assessment on a limited basis at contaminated sites in advance of the ranking of the sites on the priority list as specified in subsection (8).
- (e) Available funding for advanced site assessments may not exceed 10 percent of the annual Water Quality Assurance Trust Fund appropriation for the drycleaning solvent cleanup program under this section plus the appropriation specified in $\underline{s. 376.3071(12)(c)}$.
 - (15) STATE CONTRACTOR PARTICIPATION AND SCORING SYSTEM.-
- (a) The department must have at least 25 individual state contractors participating in the drycleaning solvent cleanup

Page 13 of 14

326 327

328329330

331332333

program by December 31, 2018.
(b) The department shall by rule create a system for
scoring contractors to be assigned to drycleaning solvent
cleanup program tasks and sites. Such system, at a minimum, must
consider the contractor's qualifications, the contractor's
rates, and any of the contractor's performance evaluations for
previous work performed pursuant to this section.
Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2018.

Page 14 of 14



COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT Bill No. HB 1075 (2018)

Amendment No.

	COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE ACTION
	ADOPTED (Y/N)
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED (Y/N)
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION (Y/N)
	FAILED TO ADOPT (Y/N)
	WITHDRAWN (Y/N)
	OTHER
L	Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Natural Resources & Public
2	Lands Subcommittee
3	Representative Raburn offered the following:
1	
5	Amendment
<u> </u>	Remove lines 88-89 and insert:
7	related to the implementation of this section and s. 376.3073
3	and the excise tax revenues levied,

631563 - HB 1075 Amendment.docx

Published On: 1/22/2018 5:19:40 PM

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 1149

Environmental Regulation

SPONSOR(S): Payne

TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1308

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Natural Resources & Public Lands Subcommittee		Moore	Shugar XAS
Agriculture & Natural Resources Appropriations Subcommittee			
3) Government Accountability Committee	<u> </u>		

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The bill revises policies relating to Florida's environmental regulation by:

- Providing examples of reclaimed water use that may create an impact offset to include those that prevent or stop further saltwater intrusion; raise aquifer levels; improve the water quality of an aquifer; or augment surface water to increase the quantity of water available for water supply;
- Requiring the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) to revise the water resource implementation rule to create criteria by which an impact offset or substitution credit may be applied to the issuance, renewal, or extension of a consumptive use permit (CUP) or may be used to address additional water resource constraints imposed by the adoption of a recovery or prevention strategy;
- Including the reuse of reclaimed water through aquifer recharge as a critical component of meeting the state's existing and future water supply needs while sustaining natural systems;
- Requiring DEP and water management districts to develop and enter into a memorandum of agreement, no later than December 1, 2018, providing for coordinated review of any reclaimed water project requiring a reclaimed water facility permit, a underground injection control permit, and a CUP, to be used solely at the permit applicant's request;
- Prohibiting a county or municipality from requiring the recycling of contaminated recyclable material and providing that a county, municipality, or recyclable material contractor is not required to collect, transport, or process contaminated recyclable material. The bill defines "contaminated recyclable material" to mean recyclable material having 15 percent or more, measured by weight or volume, of municipal solid waste or nonrecyclable material comingled with recyclable material. The bill provides an exclusion for executed contracts between a county or municipality and a recyclable material contractor for the collection, transportation, or processing of recyclable material that includes stated terms allowing contamination percentages of 15 percent or more that was executed before the effective date of the act and provides that the exclusion expires when the existing contract expires or on July 1, 2023, whichever occurs first;
- Prohibiting local governments from requiring verification from DEP that a particular activity meets a permit exception; and
- Revising the permit exception for the replacement or repair of existing docks and piers to allow for the repair or replacement if it is in approximately the same location, no larger in size than the existing dock or pier, and no additional aquatic resources are adversely and permanently impacted.

The bill appears to have a minimal negative fiscal impact on state government and a positive fiscal impact on local governments and the private sector.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h1149.NRPL

DATE: 1/21/2018

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Reuse of Reclaimed Water in Consumptive Use Permitting

Present Situation

Reclaimed Water

Reclaimed water¹ is water from a domestic wastewater² treatment facility, which has received at least secondary treatment³ and basic disinfection for reuse.⁴

Water Resource Implementation Rule

The water resource implementation rule, ch. 62-40, F.A.C., sets forth goals, objectives, and guidance for the development and review of programs, rules, and plans relating to water resources, based on statutory policies and directives.⁵ The Legislature required the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) to initiate rulemaking, by October 1, 2012, to revise the rule to include:

- Criteria for the use of a proposed impact offset⁶ derived from the use of reclaimed water when a water management district (WMD) evaluated an application for a consumptive use permit (CUP); and
- Criteria for the use of substitution credits⁷ where a WMD had adopted rules establishing withdrawal limits from a specified water resource within a defined geographic area.⁸

The revisions to the water resource implementation rule can be found in rules 62-40.416(7) and (8), F.A.C., respectively.

Consumptive Use Permitting

Before using waters of the state,⁹ a person must apply for and obtain a CUP from the applicable WMD¹⁰ or the DEP. The WMD or DEP may impose reasonable conditions necessary to assure that such use is consistent with the overall objectives of the WMD or DEP and is not harmful to the water resources of the area.¹¹ To obtain a CUP, an applicant must establish that the proposed use of water is

DATE: 1/21/2018

¹ Section 373.019(17), F.S; r. 62-610.200(48), F.A.C.

² Rule 62-610.200(15), F.A.C.

³ Rule 62-610.200(54), F.A.C.

⁴ Rules 62-610.200(12), 62-600.200(18), and 62-600.440(5), F.A.C.

⁵ Sections 373.019(25), and 373.036, F.S.

⁶ Section 373.250(5)(a)1., defines "impact offset" to mean the use of reclaimed water to reduce or eliminate a harmful impact that has occurred or would otherwise occur as a result of other surface water or groundwater withdrawals.

⁷ Section 373.250(5)(a)2., defines "substitution credit" to mean the use of reclaimed water to replace all or a portion of an existing permitted use of resource-limited surface water or groundwater, allowing a different user or use to initiate a withdrawal or increase its withdrawal from the same resource-limited surface water or groundwater source provided that the withdrawal creates no net adverse impact on the limited water resource or creates a net positive impact if required by water management district rule as part of a strategy to protect or recover a water resource.

⁸ Section 373.250(5)(a)1.-2., F.S.

⁹ Section 373.019(22), F.S., defines "water" or "waters of the state" to mean any and all water on or beneath the surface of the ground or in the atmosphere, including natural or artificial watercourses, lakes, ponds, or diffused surface water and water percolating, standing, or flowing beneath the surface of the ground, as well as all coastal waters within the jurisdiction of the state.

¹⁰ Section 373.216, F.S.; see chs. 40A-2, 40B-2, 40C-2, 40D-2, and 40E-2, F.A.C., for CUP permitting requirements.

¹¹ Section 373.219(1), F.S.; An individual solely using water for domestic consumption is exempt from CUP requirements. **STORAGE NAME**: h1149.NRPL

a reasonable-beneficial use, 12 will not interfere with any presently existing legal use of water, and is consistent with the public interest. 13

Recovery or Prevention Strategy

If, at the time a minimum flow¹⁴ or minimum water level¹⁵ (MFL) is initially established for a water body or is revised, and the existing flow or water level in the water body is below or is projected to fall within 20 years below, the applicable MFL, the DEP or WMD must concurrently adopt or modify and implement a recovery or prevention strategy. If a MFL has been established for a water body and the existing flow or water level in the water body falls below, or is projected to fall within 20 years below, the applicable MFL, the DEP or the WMD must expeditiously adopt a recovery or prevention strategy.¹⁶

A recovery or prevention strategy must include the development of additional water supplies and other actions to achieve recovery to the established MFL as soon as practicable or prevent the existing flow or water level from falling below the established MFL. A recovery or prevention strategy must also include a phased-in approach or a timetable that will allow for the provision of sufficient water supplies for all existing and projected reasonable-beneficial uses, including implementation of conservation and other efficiency measures to offset reductions in permitted withdrawals.¹⁷

Effect of the Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 373.250(5), F.S., regarding the reuse of reclaimed water, to delete the obsolete rulemaking provision that directs DEP to initiate rulemaking to develop criteria for the use of impact offsets and substitution credits under the water resource implementation rule.

The bill amends s. 373.250(5)(a)1., F.S., providing examples of reclaimed water use that may create an impact offset to include those that prevent or stop further saltwater intrusion; raise aquifer levels; improve the water quality of an aquifer; or augment surface water to increase the quantity of water available for water supply.

The bill creates s. 373.250(5)(a)3., F.S., requiring DEP to revise the water resource implementation rule to create criteria by which an impact offset or substitution credit may be applied to the issuance, renewal, or extension of a utility's or another user's CUP or may be used to address additional water resource constraints imposed through the adoption of a recovery or prevention strategy.

Reuse of Reclaimed Water and Pollution Control

Present Situation

Aquifer Recharge

Aquifer recharge is the underground injection and storage of water into an aquifer. It is primarily considered a water resource development and conservation strategy used to preserve and enhance

¹² Section 373.019(16), F.S., defines "reasonable-beneficial use" to mean the use of water in such quantity as is necessary for economic and efficient utilization for a purpose and in a manner which is both reasonable and consistent with the public interest. ¹³ Section 373.223(1), F.S.

¹⁴ The minimum flow is the limit at which further water withdrawals would be significantly harmful to the water resources or ecology of the area; s. 373.042(1)(a), F.S.

¹⁵ The minimum level is the level of groundwater in an aquifer or the level of a surface waterbody at which further withdrawals will significantly harm the water resources of the area; s. 373.042(1)(b), F.S.

¹⁶ Section 373.0421(2), F.S.

¹⁷ Id

water resources and natural systems (e.g., sustain water levels, meet MFLs) and to attenuate flooding. Aquifer recharge wells include:

- Recharge wells used to replenish, augment, or store water in an aquifer;
- Salt water intrusion barrier wells used to inject water into a fresh water aquifer to prevent the intrusion of salt water into the fresh water;
- Subsidence control wells used to inject fluids into a zone which does not produce oil or gas to reduce or eliminate subsidence associated with the overdraft of fresh water; and
- Connector wells used to connect two aquifers to allow interchange of water between those aquifers.¹⁹

Reclaimed Water Facility Permitting

Any facility or activity that discharges wastes into waters of the state, or which will reasonably be expected to be a source of water pollution, must obtain a wastewater permit from DEP.²⁰ DEP may issue construction permits for wastewater systems, treatment works, or reuse or disposal systems based upon review of a preliminary design report, application forms, and other required information, all of which shall be formulated by DEP rule. Upon a demonstration that a system constructed in accordance with a construction permit issued operates as designed, DEP must issue a permit for operation of the system.²¹

Underground Injection Control Permitting

DEP has general control and supervision over underground water, lakes, rivers, streams, canals, ditches, and coastal waters under the jurisdiction of the state insofar as their pollution may affect the public health or impair the interest of the public or persons lawfully using them.²² DEP's regulates the disposal of appropriately treated fluids via underground injection wells through its underground injection control (UIC) program. The UIC permitting program prevents degradation of the quality of aquifers adjacent to the injection zone. Subsurface injection, the practice of emplacing fluids in a permeable underground aquifer by gravity flow or under pressure through an injection well, is one of a variety of wastewater disposal or reuse methods used in the state.²³

Effect of the Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 403.064(1), F.S., providing legislative findings, regarding the reuse of reclaimed water, to include reuse through aquifer recharge as a critical component of meeting the state's existing and future water supply needs while sustaining natural systems.

The bill creates s. 403.064(17), F.S., requiring DEP and the WMDs to develop and enter into a memorandum of agreement (MOA) providing for coordinated review of any reclaimed water project requiring a reclaimed water facility permit, a UIC permit, and a CUP no later than December 1, 2018. The bill requires the MOA to provide such coordinated review solely at the applicant's request. The bill provides that the goal of the coordinated review is to share information, avoid requesting the applicant to submit redundant information, and ensure, to the extent feasible, a harmonized review of the reclaimed water project under these various permitting programs, including the use of a proposed impact offset or substitution credit.

STORAGE NAME: h1149.NRPL

DATE: 1/21/2018

¹⁸ DEP, Report on Expansion of Beneficial Use of Reclaimed Water, Stormwater and Excess Surface Water, pg. 83, https://floridadep.gov/sites/default/files/SB536%20Final%20Report.pdf (last visited Jan. 16, 2018).

¹⁹ Rule 62-528.300(1)(e), F.A.C.

²⁰ Section 403.087(1), F.S.; DEP and WMD, *Florida's Water Permitting Portal*. http://flwaterpermits.com/typesofpermits.html (last visited Jan. 16, 2018).

²¹ Section 403.0881, F.S.; see chs. 62-610, and 62-620, F.A.C., for reuse and wastewater permitting requirements, respectively.

²² Section 403.062, F.S.;

²³ DEP and WMD, *Florida's Water Permitting Portal*. http://flwaterpermits.com/typesofpermits.html (last visited Jan. 16, 2018); *see* ch. 62-528, F.A.C., for UIC permitting requirements.

Recyclable Materials and Contamination

Present Situation

Recyclable material is material that is capable of being recycled and that would otherwise be processed or disposed of as solid waste.²⁴ Each county must implement a recyclable materials recycling program with a goal of recycling recyclable solid waste by 40 percent by December 31, 2012; 50 percent by December 31, 2014; 60 percent by December 31, 2016; 70 percent by December 31, 2018; and 75 percent by December 31, 2020 (recycling goal).

Counties and municipalities are encouraged to form cooperative arrangements for implementing recycling programs.²⁵ Recycling programs must recover a significant portion of at least four of the following materials from the solid waste stream prior to final disposal at a solid waste disposal facility and to offer these materials for recycling: newspaper, aluminum cans, steel cans, glass, plastic bottles, cardboard, office paper, and yard trash. Local governments are also encouraged to separate all plastics, metal, and all grades of paper for recycling prior to final disposal and are further encouraged to recycle yard trash and other mechanically treated solid waste into compost available for agricultural and other acceptable uses.²⁶

To assess the progress in meeting the recycling goal, counties are annually required to provide information to DEP regarding their annual solid waste management program and recycling activities.²⁷ If the recycling goal is not met, DEP is required to provide a report to the Legislature, which identifies additional programs or statutory changes needed to achieve the recycling goal.²⁸

The state, having achieved 56 percent, fell short of its 2016 recycling goal. DEP provided its report to the Legislature, which provided some insight into the issue of contamination of recyclable materials. ²⁹ In its report, DEP found that many local governments have instituted single stream recycling programs, which allow all accepted recyclables in a single, curbside recycling cart, comingling materials such as paper, plastic bottles, metal cans and glass containers. These single stream recycling programs have been successful in providing curbside collection efficiency by increasing the amount of recyclables collected and residential participation. The unexpected consequence of single stream recycling has been the collection of unwanted materials and poorly sorted recyclables, resulting in increased contamination originating in the curbside recycling cart. Although some local governments have implemented successful single stream recycling programs with low contamination rates, contamination rates for other programs have continued to rise, in some cases reaching contamination rates of more than 30 to 40 percent by weight.³⁰

Contamination of recyclables occurs when residents place materials that are not recyclable into curbside recycling bins (e.g., plastic bags, styrofoam peanuts, and other increasingly popular thin plastics). While materials recovery facilities³¹ (MRFs) are equipped to handle some non-recyclable materials, excessive contamination can undermine the recycling process resulting in additional sorting, processing, energy consumption, and other increased costs due to equipment downtime, repair or

²⁴ Section 403.703(30), F.S.

²⁵ Section 403.706(2)(a), F.S.

²⁶ Section 403.706(2)(g), F.S.

²⁷ Section 403.706(7), F.S.

²⁸ Section 403.706(2)(e), F.S.

²⁹ DEP, Florida and the 2020 75% Recycling Goal, https://floridadep.gov/sites/default/files/FinalRecyclingReportVolume1_0_0.pdf (last visited Jan. 16, 2018).

³⁰ *Id*.

³¹ Section 403.703(29), F.S. **STORAGE NAME**: h1149.NRPL

replacement needs. In addition to increased recycling processing costs, contamination also results in poorer quality recyclables, and increased rejection and landfilling of unusable materials.³²

DEP, waste industry groups and local governments have worked to address rising single stream contamination rates and boost residential participation in curbside recycling statewide. In 2016, DEP rolled out a statewide public education campaign, "Rethink. Reset. Recycle." The campaign addresses the need to educate Florida residents on how to reduce single stream curbside recycling contamination.

Effect of the Proposed Changes

The bill creates s. 403.706(22), F.S., prohibiting a county or municipality from requiring the recycling of contaminated recyclable material and provides that a county, municipality, or recyclable material contractor is not required to collect, transport, or process contaminated recyclable material. The bill defines "contaminated recyclable material" to mean recyclable material having 15 percent or more, measured by weight or volume, of municipal solid waste³³ or nonrecyclable material comingled with recyclable material.

The bill provides an exclusion from the requirements of the bill for executed contracts between a county or municipality and a recyclable material contractor for the collection, transportation, or processing of recyclable material that includes stated terms allowing contamination percentages of 15 percent or more that was executed before the effective date of the act. The bill provides this exclusion expires when the existing contract expires or on July 1, 2023, whichever occurs first.

Verification of State Permit Exceptions

Present Situation

Current law provides exceptions from state environmental permitting³⁴ for certain types of projects.³⁵ Generally, these permit exceptions restrict how the project is undertaken, provide size and location requirements, or provide for maintenance, repair or replacement of existing structures.³⁶ These exceptions do not relieve an applicant from obtaining permission to use or occupy lands owned by the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund or a WMD or from complying with local pollution control programs, or other requirements of local governments.³⁷

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 403.813(1), F.S., prohibiting local governments from requiring verification from DEP that a particular activity meets a permit exception.

Dock and Pier Replacement and Repair Permit Exception

Present Situation

Currently, an exception from environmental permitting applies for the replacement or repair of existing docks and piers if fill³⁸ material is not used and the replacement or repaired dock or pier is in the same

STORAGE NAME: h1149.NRPL

DATE: 1/21/2018

³² DEP, *Florida and the 2020 75% Recycling Goal*, https://floridadep.gov/sites/default/files/FinalRecyclingReportVolume1_0_0.pdf (last visited Jan. 16, 2018).

³³ Section 403.706(5), F.S.

³⁴ See chs. 373, and 403, F.S.

³⁵ Section 403.803(1), F.S.

³⁶ Section 403.803(1)(a)-(v), F.S.

³⁷ Section 403.813(1), F.S.

³⁸ Filling means deposition of any material (such as sand, dock pilings or seawalls) in wetlands or other surface waters; https://floridadep.gov/water/submerged-lands-environmental-resources-coordination/content/erp-dredging-and-filling (last visited Jan. 14, 2018).

location and of the same configuration and dimensions as the dock or pier being replaced or repaired. The exception allows the use of different construction materials or minor deviations to allow upgrades to current structural and design standards.³⁹ Other permit exceptions that allow for repair or replacement also require the repair or replacement to be of the same configuration, location, length, and dimensions. These include the repair or replacement of stormwater pipes or culverts, 40 open-trestle foot bridges and vehicular bridges that are 100 feet or less in length and two lanes or less in width. 41 and insect control impoundment dikes, which are less than 100 feet in length.⁴² Another permit exception, regarding the restoration of seawalls, allows for the restoration of the seawall to take place at the previous location or upland of, or within 18 inches waterward of the previous location.⁴³

Effect of the Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 403.813(1)(d), F.S., regarding the exception for replacement or repair of existing docks or piers. The bill removes the requirement that a dock or pier replacement or repair remain in the same location and be of the same configuration and dimensions as the existing dock or pier. The bill provides that the repair or replacement of the dock or pier must be in approximately the same location, and no larger in size than the existing dock or pier, and no additional aquatic resources may be adversely and permanently impacted.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Ame	nds s. 327.250	, F.S., relating	a to reuse of	freclaimed water.
----------------	----------------	------------------	---------------	-------------------

Section 2. Amends s. 403.064, F.S., relating to reuse of reclaimed water.

Section 3. Amends s. 403.706, F.S., relating to local government solid waste responsibilities.

Section 4. Amends s. 403.813, F.S., relating to permit exceptions.

Section 5. Directs the Division of Law Revision and Information to replace the effective date of the act with the date the act becomes a law.

Section 6. Provides an effective date of upon becoming law.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

The bill may have a minimal negative fiscal impact on DEP because of an increased workload associated with the rulemaking requirements of the bill. The bill may also have a minimal negative fiscal impact on DEP and WMDs in the development of the MOA required by the bill. The bill may also have a minimal negative fiscal impact on DEP created by expanding the permit exception for

STORAGE NAME: h1149.NRPL

³⁹ Section 403.813(1)(d), F.S.

⁴⁰ Section 403.813(1)(h), F.S.

⁴¹ Section 403.813(1)(1), F.S.

⁴² Section 403.813(1)(p), F.S.

⁴³ Section 403.813(1)(e), F.S.

the replacement or repair of existing docks and piers by having less permit applications coming in for review.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

The bill may have a positive fiscal impact on local governments who are no longer required to collect, transport, or process contaminated recyclable material. The bill may have a positive fiscal impact on the on local governments with wastewater utilities that results from revisions to the water resource implementation rule creating criteria by which an impact offset or substitution credit may be applied to the issuance, renewal, or extension of a utility's or another user's CUP or may be used to address additional water resource constraints imposed through the adoption of a MFL recovery or prevention strategy.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The bill may have a positive fiscal impact on the private sector that result from revisions to the water resource implementation rule creating criteria by which an impact offset or substitution credit may be applied to the issuance, renewal, or extension of a utility's or another user's CUP or may be used to address additional water resource constraints imposed through the adoption of a MFL recovery or prevention strategy.

The bill may have a positive fiscal impact on the private sector in implementing a reclaimed water project that requires a reclaimed water facility permit, a UIC permit, and a CUP by utilizing the coordinated review process established by DEP and WMD MOA required by the bill.

The bill may have a positive fiscal impact on a recyclable material contractor who is no longer required to collect, transport, or process contaminated recyclable material.

The bill may have a positive fiscal impact on the private sector by prohibiting a local government from requiring verification from DEP on a permit exception under s. 403.813, F.S. The bill may also have a positive fiscal impact on the private sector by expanding the permit exception for the replacement or repair of existing docks and piers.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable. This bill does not appear to affect county or municipal governments.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The bill requires DEP to revise the water resource implementation rule to create criteria by which an impact offset or substitution credit may be applied to the issuance, renewal, or extension of a utility's or

DATE: 1/21/2018

another user's CUP or may be used to address additional water resource constraints imposed through the adoption of a recovery or prevention strategy.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

Recyclable Materials and Contamination

The bill provides that local governments and their contractors are not required to collect, transport, or process contaminated recyclable materials, but is unclear on how this determination would be made curbside. The bill is also unclear on how the 15 percent, calculated by weight or volume, would be determined.

The bill also appears as though it may hinder the state's recycling goal that is not currently being met. While DEP's report indicates contamination rates of more than 30 to 40 percent by weight may be high, DEP does not indicate what a low contamination rate would be.⁴⁴

Dock and Pier Replacement and Repair Permit Exception

The bill allows the location of a replaced or repaired dock or pier to be in approximately the same location, which could make verification of this exception difficult to measure. Perhaps adding some measurable information such as that provided for the allowable placements for the restoration of seawalls⁴⁵ would be helpful.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

Not applicable.

⁴⁵ Section 403.813(1)(e), F.S. **STORAGE NAME**: h1149.NRPL

DATE: 1/21/2018

⁴⁴ DEP, Florida and the 2020 75% Recycling Goal, https://floridadep.gov/sites/default/files/FinalRecyclingReportVolume1_0_0.pdf (last visited Jan. 16, 2018).

A bill to be entitled

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

An act relating to environmental regulation; amending s. 373.250, F.S.; deleting an obsolete provision; providing examples of reclaimed water use that may create an impact offset; revising the required provisions of the water resource implementation rule; amending s. 403.064, F.S.; revising legislative findings; requiring the Department of Environmental Protection and the water management districts to develop and enter into a memorandum of agreement providing for a coordinated review of any reclaimed water project requiring a reclaimed water facility permit, an underground injection control permit, and a consumptive use permit; specifying the required provisions of such memorandum; specifying the date by which the memorandum must be developed and executed; amending s. 403.706, F.S.; prohibiting counties and municipalities from requiring the recycling of . contaminated recyclable material; providing that counties, municipalities, and recyclable material contractors are not required to collect, transport, or process contaminated recyclable material; defining the term "contaminated recyclable material"; providing applicability; amending s. 403.813, F.S.; providing that a local government may not require further

Page 1 of 25

verification from the department for certain projects; revising the types of dock and pier replacements and repairs that are exempt from such verification and certain permitting requirements; providing a directive to the Division of Law Revision and Information; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsection (5) of section 373.250, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

373.250 Reuse of reclaimed water.

- (5)(a) No later than October 1, 2012, the department shall initiate rulemaking to adopt revisions to The water resource implementation rule, as defined in s. 373.019(25), <u>must which shall</u> include:
- 1. Criteria for the use of a proposed impact offset derived from the use of reclaimed water when a water management district evaluates an application for a consumptive use permit. As used in this subparagraph, the term "impact offset" means the use of reclaimed water to reduce or eliminate a harmful impact that has occurred or would otherwise occur as a result of other surface water or groundwater withdrawals. Examples of reclaimed water use that may create an impact offset include, but are not limited to, the use of reclaimed water to:

Page 2 of 25

a. Prevent or stop further saltwater intrusion;

b. Raise aquifer levels;

- c. Improve the water quality of an aquifer; or
- d. Augment surface water to increase the quantity of water available for water supply.
- 2. Criteria for the use of substitution credits where a water management district has adopted rules establishing withdrawal limits from a specified water resource within a defined geographic area. As used in this subparagraph, the term "substitution credit" means the use of reclaimed water to replace all or a portion of an existing permitted use of resource-limited surface water or groundwater, allowing a different user or use to initiate a withdrawal or increase its withdrawal from the same resource-limited surface water or groundwater source provided that the withdrawal creates no net adverse impact on the limited water resource or creates a net positive impact if required by water management district rule as part of a strategy to protect or recover a water resource.
- 3. Criteria by which an impact offset or substitution credit may be applied to the issuance, renewal, or extension of the utility's or another user's consumptive use permit or may be used to address additional water resource constraints imposed through the adoption of a recovery or prevention strategy under s. 373.0421.
 - (b) Within 60 days after the final adoption by the

Page 3 of 25

department of the revisions to the water resource implementation rule required under paragraph (a), each water management district <u>must shall</u> initiate rulemaking to incorporate those revisions by reference into the rules of the district.

Section 2. Subsection (1) of section 403.064, Florida Statutes, is amended, and subsection (17) is added to that section, to read:

403.064 Reuse of reclaimed water.-

- (1) The encouragement and promotion of water conservation, and reuse of reclaimed water, as defined by the department, are state objectives and are considered to be in the public interest. The Legislature finds that the reuse of reclaimed water, including reuse through aquifer recharge, is a critical component of meeting the state's existing and future water supply needs while sustaining natural systems. The Legislature further finds that for those wastewater treatment plants permitted and operated under an approved reuse program by the department, the reclaimed water shall be considered environmentally acceptable and not a threat to public health and safety. The Legislature encourages the development of incentive-based programs for reuse implementation.
- (17) The department and the water management districts shall develop and enter into a memorandum of agreement providing for a coordinated review of any reclaimed water project requiring a reclaimed water facility permit, an underground

Page 4 of 25

HB 1149 2018

101 injection control permit, and a consumptive use permit. The memorandum of agreement must provide that the coordinated review is performed only if the applicant for such permits requests a coordinated review. The goal of the coordinated review is to share information, avoid requesting the applicant to submit redundant information, and ensure, to the extent feasible, a harmonized review of the reclaimed water project under these various permitting programs, including the use of a proposed impact offset or substitution credit in accordance with s. 373.250(5). The department and the water management districts must develop and execute such memorandum of agreement no later than December 1, 2018. Section 3. Present subsection (22) of section 403.706, Florida Statutes, is renumbered as subsection (23), and a new subsection (22) is added to that section, to read: 403.706 Local government solid waste responsibilities .-(22) Upon the effective date of this act and except as provided in paragraph (d): (a) A county or municipality may not require the recycling of contaminated recyclable material. (b) A county, municipality, or recyclable material contractor is not required to collect, transport, or process contaminated recyclable material. As used in this subsection, the term "contaminated

Page 5 of 25

recyclable material" means recyclable material having 15 percent

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

102 103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

or more, measured by weight or volume, of municipal solid waste or nonrecyclable material comingled with recyclable material.

(d) This subsection does not apply to a contract between a county or municipality and a recyclable material contractor for the collection, transportation, or processing of recyclable material that includes stated terms allowing contamination percentages of 15 percent or more and that was executed before the effective date of this act. This exclusion continues until the remaining term of the existing contract expires or until July 1, 2023, whichever occurs first.

Section 4. Subsection (1) of section 403.813, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

403.813 Permits issued at district centers; exceptions.-

(1) A permit is not required under this chapter, chapter 373, chapter 61-691, Laws of Florida, or chapter 25214 or chapter 25270, 1949, Laws of Florida, and a local government may not require further verification from the department, for activities associated with the following types of projects; however, except as otherwise provided in this subsection, this subsection does not relieve an applicant from any requirement to obtain permission to use or occupy lands owned by the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund or a water management district in its governmental or proprietary capacity or from complying with applicable local pollution control programs authorized under this chapter or other requirements of

Page 6 of 25

151 county and municipal governments:

152

153

154155

156

157

158

159

160 161

162163

164

165166

167

168

169

170171

172

173

174175

- (a) The installation of overhead transmission lines, having with support structures that which are not constructed in waters of the state and which do not create a navigational hazard.
- (b) The installation and repair of mooring pilings and dolphins associated with private docking facilities or piers and the installation of private docks, piers, and recreational docking facilities, or piers and recreational docking facilities of local governmental entities when the local governmental entity's activities will not take place in any manatee habitat, any of which docks:
- 1. Has 500 square feet or less of over-water surface area for a dock which is located in an area designated as Outstanding Florida Waters or 1,000 square feet or less of over-water surface area for a dock which is located in an area that which is not designated as Outstanding Florida Waters;
- 2. Is constructed on or held in place by pilings or is a floating dock which is constructed so as not to involve filling or dredging other than that necessary to install the pilings;
- 3. May Shall not substantially impede the flow of water or create a navigational hazard;
- 4. Is used for recreational, noncommercial activities associated with the mooring or storage of boats and boat paraphernalia; and

Page 7 of 25

5. Is the sole dock constructed pursuant to this exemption as measured along the shoreline for a distance of 65 feet, unless the parcel of land or individual lot as platted is less than 65 feet in length along the shoreline, in which case there may be one exempt dock allowed per parcel or lot.

- Nothing in This paragraph does not shall prohibit the department from taking appropriate enforcement action pursuant to this chapter to abate or prohibit any activity otherwise exempt from permitting pursuant to this paragraph if the department can demonstrate that the exempted activity has caused water pollution in violation of this chapter.
- (c) The installation and maintenance to design specifications of boat ramps on artificial bodies of water where navigational access to the proposed ramp exists or the installation of boat ramps open to the public in any waters of the state where navigational access to the proposed ramp exists and where the construction of the proposed ramp will be less than 30 feet wide and will involve the removal of less than 25 cubic yards of material from the waters of the state, and the maintenance to design specifications of such ramps; however, the material to be removed shall be placed upon a self-contained upland site so as to prevent the escape of the spoil material into the waters of the state.
 - (d) The replacement or repair of existing docks and piers,

Page 8 of 25

except that fill material may not be used and the replacement or repaired dock or pier must be in approximately the same location and no larger in size than the existing dock or pier, and no additional aquatic resources may be adversely and permanently impacted by such replacement or repair the same location and of the same configuration and dimensions as the dock or pier being replaced or repaired. This does not preclude the use of different construction materials or minor deviations to allow upgrades to current structural and design standards.

- (e) The restoration of seawalls at their previous locations or upland of, or within 18 inches waterward of, their previous locations. However, this <u>may shall</u> not affect the permitting requirements of chapter 161, and department rules shall clearly indicate that this exception does not constitute an exception from the permitting requirements of chapter 161.
- (f) The performance of maintenance dredging of existing manmade canals, channels, intake and discharge structures, and previously dredged portions of natural water bodies within drainage rights-of-way or drainage easements which have been recorded in the public records of the county, where the spoil material is to be removed and deposited on a self-contained, upland spoil site which will prevent the escape of the spoil material into the waters of the state, provided that no more dredging is to be performed than is necessary to restore the canals, channels, and intake and discharge structures, and

Page 9 of 25

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243244

245

246

247

248

249250

previously dredged portions of natural water bodies, to original design specifications or configurations, provided that the work is conducted in compliance with s. 379.2431(2)(d), provided that no significant impacts occur to previously undisturbed natural areas, and provided that control devices for return flow and best management practices for erosion and sediment control are utilized to prevent bank erosion and scouring and to prevent turbidity, dredged material, and toxic or deleterious substances from discharging into adjacent waters during maintenance dredging. Further, for maintenance dredging of previously dredged portions of natural water bodies within recorded drainage rights-of-way or drainage easements, an entity that seeks an exemption must notify the department or water management district, as applicable, at least 30 days before prior to dredging and provide documentation of original design specifications or configurations where such exist. This exemption applies to all canals and previously dredged portions of natural water bodies within recorded drainage rights-of-way or drainage easements constructed before prior to April 3, 1970, and to those canals and previously dredged portions of natural water bodies constructed on or after April 3, 1970, pursuant to all necessary state permits. This exemption does not apply to the removal of a natural or manmade barrier separating a canal or canal system from adjacent waters. When no previous permit has been issued by the Board of Trustees of the Internal

Page 10 of 25

Improvement Trust Fund or the United States Army Corps of Engineers for construction or maintenance dredging of the existing manmade canal or intake or discharge structure, such maintenance dredging shall be limited to a depth of no more than 5 feet below mean low water. The Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund may fix and recover from the permittee an amount equal to the difference between the fair market value and the actual cost of the maintenance dredging for material removed during such maintenance dredging. However, no charge shall be exacted by the state for material removed during such maintenance dredging by a public port authority. The removing party may subsequently sell such material; however, proceeds from such sale that exceed the costs of maintenance dredging shall be remitted to the state and deposited in the Internal Improvement Trust Fund.

dikes, and irrigation and drainage ditches, provided that spoil material is deposited on a self-contained, upland spoil site which will prevent the escape of the spoil material into waters of the state. In the case of insect control structures, if the cost of using a self-contained upland spoil site is so excessive, as determined by the Department of Health, pursuant to s. 403.088(1), that it will inhibit proposed insect control, then-existing spoil sites or dikes may be used, upon notification to the department. In the case of insect control

Page 11 of 25

where upland spoil sites are not used pursuant to this exemption, turbidity control devices shall be used to confine the spoil material discharge to that area previously disturbed when the receiving body of water is used as a potable water supply, is designated as shellfish harvesting waters, or functions as a habitat for commercially or recreationally important shellfish or finfish. In all cases, no more dredging is to be performed than is necessary to restore the dike or irrigation or drainage ditch to its original design specifications.

- (h) The repair or replacement of existing functional pipes or culverts the purpose of which is the discharge or conveyance of stormwater. In all cases, the invert elevation, the diameter, and the length of the culvert <u>may shall</u> not be changed. However, the material used for the culvert may be different from the original.
- (i) The construction of private docks of 1,000 square feet or less of over-water surface area and seawalls in artificially created waterways where such construction will not violate existing water quality standards, impede navigation, or affect flood control. This exemption does not apply to the construction of vertical seawalls in estuaries or lagoons unless the proposed construction is within an existing manmade canal where the shoreline is currently occupied in whole or part by vertical seawalls.

Page 12 of 25

(i) The construction and maintenance of swales.

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309 310

311

312

313314

315

316

317

318319

320

321

322323

324325

- (k) The installation of aids to navigation and buoys associated with such aids, provided the devices are marked pursuant to s. 327.40.
- (1) The replacement or repair of existing open-trestle foot bridges and vehicular bridges that are 100 feet or less in length and two lanes or less in width, provided that no more dredging or filling of submerged lands is performed other than that which is necessary to replace or repair pilings and that the structure to be replaced or repaired is the same length, the same configuration, and in the same location as the original bridge. No debris from the original bridge shall be allowed to remain in the waters of the state.
- (m) The installation of subaqueous transmission and distribution lines laid on, or embedded in, the bottoms of waters in the state, except in Class I and Class II waters and aquatic preserves, provided no dredging or filling is necessary.
- (n) The replacement or repair of subaqueous transmission and distribution lines laid on, or embedded in, the bottoms of waters of the state.
- (o) The construction of private seawalls in wetlands or other surface waters where such construction is between and adjoins at both ends existing seawalls; follows a continuous and uniform seawall construction line with the existing seawalls; is no more than 150 feet in length; and does not violate existing

Page 13 of 25

water quality standards, impede navigation, or affect flood control. However, in estuaries and lagoons the construction of vertical seawalls is limited to the circumstances and purposes stated in s. 373.414(5)(b)1.-4. This paragraph does not affect the permitting requirements of chapter 161, and department rules must clearly indicate that this exception does not constitute an exception from the permitting requirements of chapter 161.

)

- (p) The restoration of existing insect control impoundment dikes which are less than 100 feet in length. Such impoundments shall be connected to tidally influenced waters for 6 months each year beginning September 1 and ending February 28 if feasible or operated in accordance with an impoundment management plan approved by the department. A dike restoration may involve no more dredging than is necessary to restore the dike to its original design specifications. For the purposes of this paragraph, restoration does not include maintenance of impoundment dikes of operating insect control impoundments.
- (q) The construction, operation, or maintenance of stormwater management facilities which are designed to serve single-family residential projects, including duplexes, triplexes, and quadruplexes, if they are less than 10 acres total land and have less than 2 acres of impervious surface and if the facilities:
- 1. Comply with all regulations or ordinances applicable to stormwater management and adopted by a city or county;

Page 14 of 25

2. Are not part of a larger common plan of development or sale; and

- 3. Discharge into a stormwater discharge facility exempted or permitted by the department under this chapter which has sufficient capacity and treatment capability as specified in this chapter and is owned, maintained, or operated by a city, county, special district with drainage responsibility, or water management district; however, this exemption does not authorize discharge to a facility without the facility owner's prior written consent.
- (r) The removal of aquatic plants, the removal of tussocks, the associated replanting of indigenous aquatic plants, and the associated removal from lakes of organic detrital material when such planting or removal is performed and authorized by permit or exemption granted under s. 369.20 or s. 369.25, provided that:
- 1. Organic detrital material that exists on the surface of natural mineral substrate shall be allowed to be removed to a depth of 3 feet or to the natural mineral substrate, whichever is less;
- 2. All material removed pursuant to this paragraph shall be deposited in an upland site in a manner that will prevent the reintroduction of the material into waters in the state except when spoil material is permitted to be used to create wildlife islands in freshwater bodies of the state when a governmental

Page 15 of 25

entity is permitted pursuant to s. 369.20 to create such islands as a part of a restoration or enhancement project;

- 3. All activities are performed in a manner consistent with state water quality standards; and
- 4. No activities under this exemption are conducted in wetland areas, as defined in s. 373.019(27), which are supported by a natural soil as shown in applicable United States

 Department of Agriculture county soil surveys, except when a governmental entity is permitted pursuant to s. 369.20 to conduct such activities as a part of a restoration or enhancement project.

376 l

The department may not adopt implementing rules for this paragraph, notwithstanding any other provision of law.

- (s) The construction, installation, operation, or maintenance of floating vessel platforms or floating boat lifts, provided that such structures:
- · 1. Float at all times in the water for the sole purpose of supporting a vessel so that the vessel is out of the water when not in use;
- 2. Are wholly contained within a boat slip previously permitted under ss. 403.91-403.929, 1984 Supplement to the Florida Statutes 1983, as amended, or part IV of chapter 373, or do not exceed a combined total of 500 square feet, or 200 square feet in an Outstanding Florida Water, when associated with a

Page 16 of 25

dock that is exempt under this subsection or associated with a permitted dock with no defined boat slip or attached to a bulkhead on a parcel of land where there is no other docking structure;

- 3. Are not used for any commercial purpose or for mooring vessels that remain in the water when not in use, and do not substantially impede the flow of water, create a navigational hazard, or unreasonably infringe upon the riparian rights of adjacent property owners, as defined in s. 253.141;
- 4. Are constructed and used so as to minimize adverse impacts to submerged lands, wetlands, shellfish areas, aquatic plant and animal species, and other biological communities, including locating such structures in areas where seagrasses are least dense adjacent to the dock or bulkhead; and
- 5. Are not constructed in areas specifically prohibited for boat mooring under conditions of a permit issued in accordance with ss. 403.91-403.929, 1984 Supplement to the Florida Statutes 1983, as amended, or part IV of chapter 373, or other form of authorization issued by a local government.

Structures that qualify for this exemption are relieved from any requirement to obtain permission to use or occupy lands owned by the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund and, with the exception of those structures attached to a bulkhead on a parcel of land where there is no docking

Page 17 of 25

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

structure, may shall not be subject to any more stringent permitting requirements, registration requirements, or other regulation by any local government. Local governments may require either permitting or one-time registration of floating vessel platforms to be attached to a bulkhead on a parcel of land where there is no other docking structure as necessary to ensure compliance with local ordinances, codes, or regulations. Local governments may require either permitting or one-time registration of all other floating vessel platforms as necessary to ensure compliance with the exemption criteria in this section; to ensure compliance with local ordinances, codes, or regulations relating to building or zoning, which are no more stringent than the exemption criteria in this section or address subjects other than subjects addressed by the exemption criteria in this section; and to ensure proper installation, maintenance, and precautionary or evacuation action following a tropical storm or hurricane watch of a floating vessel platform or floating boat lift that is proposed to be attached to a bulkhead or parcel of land where there is no other docking structure. The exemption provided in this paragraph shall be in addition to the exemption provided in paragraph (b). The department shall adopt a general permit by rule for the construction, installation, operation, or maintenance of those floating vessel platforms or floating boat lifts that do not qualify for the exemption provided in this paragraph but do not cause significant adverse

Page 18 of 25

impacts to occur individually or cumulatively. The issuance of such general permit shall also constitute permission to use or occupy lands owned by the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund. No local government shall impose a more stringent regulation, permitting requirement, registration requirement, or other regulation covered by such general permit. Local governments may require either permitting or one-time registration of floating vessel platforms as necessary to ensure compliance with the general permit in this section; to ensure compliance with local ordinances, codes, or regulations relating to building or zoning that are no more stringent than the general permit in this section; and to ensure proper installation and maintenance of a floating vessel platform or floating boat lift that is proposed to be attached to a bulkhead or parcel of land where there is no other docking structure.

- (t) The repair, stabilization, or paving of existing county maintained roads and the repair or replacement of bridges that are part of the roadway, within the Northwest Florida Water Management District and the Suwannee River Water Management District, provided:
- 1. The road and associated bridge were in existence and in use as a public road or bridge, and were maintained by the county as a public road or bridge on or before January 1, 2002;
- 2. The construction activity does not realign the road or expand the number of existing traffic lanes of the existing

Page 19 of 25

road; however, the work may include the provision of safety shoulders, clearance of vegetation, and other work reasonably necessary to repair, stabilize, pave, or repave the road, provided that the work is constructed by generally accepted engineering standards;

- 3. The construction activity does not expand the existing width of an existing vehicular bridge in excess of that reasonably necessary to properly connect the bridge with the road being repaired, stabilized, paved, or repaved to safely accommodate the traffic expected on the road, which may include expanding the width of the bridge to match the existing connected road. However, no debris from the original bridge shall be allowed to remain in waters of the state, including wetlands;
- 4. Best management practices for erosion control shall be employed as necessary to prevent water quality violations;
- 5. Roadside swales or other effective means of stormwater treatment must be incorporated as part of the project;
- 6. No more dredging or filling of wetlands or water of the state is performed than that which is reasonably necessary to repair, stabilize, pave, or repave the road or to repair or replace the bridge, in accordance with generally accepted engineering standards; and
- 7. Notice of intent to use the exemption is provided to the department, if the work is to be performed within the

Page 20 of 25

Northwest Florida Water Management District, or to the Suwannee River Water Management District, if the work is to be performed within the Suwannee River Water Management District, 30 days before prior to performing any work under the exemption.

505506

507

508509

510

511

512

513

514515

516

517

·518

519

520

521

501

502

503

504

Within 30 days after this act becomes a law, the department shall initiate rulemaking to adopt a no fee general permit for the repair, stabilization, or paving of existing roads that are maintained by the county and the repair or replacement of bridges that are part of the roadway where such activities do not cause significant adverse impacts to occur individually or cumulatively. The general permit shall apply statewide and, with no additional rulemaking required, apply to qualified projects reviewed by the Suwannee River Water Management District, the St. Johns River Water Management District, the Southwest Florida Water Management District, and the South Florida Water Management District under the division of responsibilities contained in the operating agreements applicable to part IV of chapter 373. Upon adoption, this general permit shall, pursuant to the provisions of subsection (2), supersede and replace the exemption in this paragraph.

522523

524525

(u) Notwithstanding any provision to the contrary in this subsection, a permit or other authorization under chapter 253, chapter 369, chapter 373, or this chapter is not required for an individual residential property owner for the removal of organic

Page 21 of 25

detrital material from freshwater rivers or lakes that have a natural sand or rocky substrate and that are not Aquatic Preserves or for the associated removal and replanting of aquatic vegetation for the purpose of environmental enhancement, providing that:

- 1. No activities under this exemption are conducted in wetland areas, as defined in s. 373.019(27), which are supported by a natural soil as shown in applicable United States

 Department of Agriculture county soil surveys.
 - 2. No filling or peat mining is allowed.

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546 547

548

549

550

- 3. No removal of native wetland trees, including, but not limited to, ash, bay, cypress, gum, maple, or tupelo, occurs.
- 4. When removing organic detrital material, no portion of the underlying natural mineral substrate or rocky substrate is removed.
- 5. Organic detrital material and plant material removed is deposited in an upland site in a manner that will not cause water quality violations.
- 6. All activities are conducted in such a manner, and with appropriate turbidity controls, so as to prevent any water quality violations outside the immediate work area.
- 7. Replanting with a variety of aquatic plants native to the state shall occur in a minimum of 25 percent of the preexisting vegetated areas where organic detrital material is removed, except for areas where the material is removed to bare

Page 22 of 25

551 l

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574575

rocky substrate; however, an area may be maintained clear of vegetation as an access corridor. The access corridor width may not exceed 50 percent of the property owner's frontage or 50 feet, whichever is less, and may be a sufficient length waterward to create a corridor to allow access for a boat or swimmer to reach open water. Replanting must be at a minimum density of 2 feet on center and be completed within 90 days after removal of existing aquatic vegetation, except that under dewatered conditions replanting must be completed within 90 days after reflooding. The area to be replanted must extend waterward from the ordinary high water line to a point where normal water depth would be 3 feet or the preexisting vegetation line, whichever is less. Individuals are required to make a reasonable effort to maintain planting density for a period of 6 months after replanting is complete, and the plants, including naturally recruited native aquatic plants, must be allowed to expand and fill in the revegetation area. Native aquatic plants to be used for revegetation must be salvaged from the enhancement project site or obtained from an aquatic plant nursery regulated by the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services. Plants that are not native to the state may not be used for replanting.

8. No activity occurs any farther than 100 feet waterward of the ordinary high water line, and all activities must be designed and conducted in a manner that will not unreasonably

Page 23 of 25

restrict or infringe upon the riparian rights of adjacent upland riparian owners.

- 9. The person seeking this exemption notifies the applicable department district office in writing at least 30 days before commencing work and allows the department to conduct a preconstruction site inspection. Notice must include an organic-detrital-material removal and disposal plan and, if applicable, a vegetation-removal and revegetation plan.
- 10. The department is provided written certification of compliance with the terms and conditions of this paragraph within 30 days after completion of any activity occurring under this exemption.
- (v) Notwithstanding any other provision in this chapter, chapter 373, or chapter 161, a permit or other authorization is not required for the following exploratory activities associated with beach restoration and nourishment projects and inlet management activities:
- ·1. The collection of geotechnical, geophysical, and cultural resource data, including surveys, mapping, acoustic soundings, benthic and other biologic sampling, and coring.
- 2. Oceanographic instrument deployment, including temporary installation on the seabed of coastal and oceanographic data collection equipment.
- 3. Incidental excavation associated with any of the activities listed under subparagraph 1. or subparagraph 2.

Page 24 of 25

2018 HB 1149

601 Section 5. The Division of Law Revision and Information is directed to replace the phrase "the effective date of this act" wherever it occurs in this act with the date the act becomes a law.

602

603

604

605

Section 6. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Page 25 of 25



COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT Bill No. HB 1149 (2018)

Amendment No.

	COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE ACTION	
	ADOPTED (Y/N)	
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED (Y/N)	
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION (Y/N)	
	FAILED TO ADOPT (Y/N)	
	WITHDRAWN (Y/N)	
	OTHER	
		conductions were
1	Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Natural Resources & Publi	С
2	Lands Subcommittee	
3	Representative Payne offered the following:	
4		
5	Amendment (with title amendment)	
5	Amendment (with title amendment) Remove lines 117-135 and insert:	
6	Remove lines 117-135 and insert:	
6 7	Remove lines 117-135 and insert: (22) Counties and municipalities shall address the contamination of recyclable material in contracts for the	
6 7 8	Remove lines 117-135 and insert: (22) Counties and municipalities shall address the contamination of recyclable material in contracts for the	
6 7 8	Remove lines 117-135 and insert: (22) Counties and municipalities shall address the contamination of recyclable material in contracts for the collection, transportation, and processing of residential	
6 7 8 9	Remove lines 117-135 and insert: (22) Counties and municipalities shall address the contamination of recyclable material in contracts for the collection, transportation, and processing of residential recyclable material based upon the following:	
6 7 8 9 10 11	Remove lines 117-135 and insert: (22) Counties and municipalities shall address the contamination of recyclable material in contracts for the collection, transportation, and processing of residential recyclable material based upon the following: (a) A residential recycling collector may not be required	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Remove lines 117-135 and insert: (22) Counties and municipalities shall address the contamination of recyclable material in contracts for the collection, transportation, and processing of residential recyclable material based upon the following: (a) A residential recycling collector may not be required to collect or transport contaminated recyclable material. As used in this subsection, the term "residential recycling	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Remove lines 117-135 and insert: (22) Counties and municipalities shall address the contamination of recyclable material in contracts for the collection, transportation, and processing of residential recyclable material based upon the following: (a) A residential recycling collector may not be required to collect or transport contaminated recyclable material. As used in this subsection, the term "residential recycling collector" means a for-profit business entity that collects and	

404129 - Amendment to HB 1149.docx

Published On: 1/22/2018 5:44:14 PM



COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT Bill No. HB 1149 (2018)

Amendment No.

17	(b) A materials recovery facility may not be required to
18	process contaminated recyclable material.
19	(c) Each contract between a residential recycling
20	collector and a county or municipality for the collection or
21	transport of residential recyclable material, and each request
22	for proposal for residential recyclable material, must define
23	the term "contaminated recyclable material" in a manner that is
24	appropriate for the local community, based on the available
25	markets for recyclable material. The contract and request for
26	proposal must include:
27	1. The respective strategies and obligations of the county
28	or municipality and the collector to reduce the amount of
29	contaminated recyclable material being collected;
30	2. The procedures for identifying, documenting, managing,
31	and rejecting residential recycling containers, carts, or bins
32	that contain contaminated recyclable material;
33	3. The remedies that will be used if a container, cart, or
34	bin contains contaminated recyclable material; and
35	4. The education and enforcement measures that will be
36	used to reduce the amount of contaminated recyclable material.
37	(d) Each contract between a materials recovery facility
38	and a county or municipality for processing residential
39	recyclable material must define the term "contaminated

404129 - Amendment to HB 1149.docx

40

Published On: 1/22/2018 5:44:14 PM

recyclable material" in a manner that is appropriate for the

local community, based on the available markets for recyclable



COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT Bill No. HB 1149 (2018)

Amendment No.

- 1. The respective strategies and obligations of the parties to reduce the amount of contaminated recyclable material being processed;
- 2. The procedures for identifying, documenting, managing, and rejecting residential recycling containers or loads that contain contaminated recyclable material; and
- 3. The remedies that will be used if a container or load contains contaminated recyclable material.
- (e) This subsection shall apply to each contract between a municipality or county and a residential recycling collector or materials recovery facility executed or renewed after the effective date of this act.

55

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

57

56

58 59

61 62

60

63

64 65

66

TITLE AMENDMENT

Remove lines 17-23 and insert: amending s. 403.706, F.S.; requiring counties and municipalities to address contamination of recyclable material in specified contracts; prohibiting counties and municipalities from requiring the collection or transport of contaminated recyclable material by residential recycling collectors; defining the term "residential recycling collector"; specifying required contract provisions in residential recycling collector

404129 - Amendment to HB 1149.docx

Published On: 1/22/2018 5:44:14 PM



COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT Bill No. HB 1149 (2018)

Amendment No.

67	and materials recovery facility contracts w	with
68	counties and municipalities; providing	

404129 - Amendment to HB 1149.docx

Published On: 1/22/2018 5:44:14 PM